

Lecture 3

2. Hypergeometric Model

This is the version of the Binomial model, **without replacement**. That will make a *great* difference, not only in the computational formulas, but in the parameters of the model.

Model: There are N ($N \in \mathbb{N}$) objects, n_1 ($n_1 \leq N$) of which have a certain trait (we could call that “success”). A number of n ($n \leq N$) objects are selected, one at a time, **without replacement**. Find the probability $P(n; k)$ of exactly k ($0 \leq k \leq n$) of the n objects selected, having that trait (i.e. k successes).

In the other setup, the model could be described as: There are N ($N \in \mathbb{N}$) balls in a box, n_1 ($n_1 \leq N$) of which are white, the rest of them ($N - n_1$) black. A number of n ($n \leq N$) balls are extracted, one at a time, **without putting them back**. Find the probability $P(n; k)$ of exactly k ($0 \leq k \leq n$) white balls being selected.

Remark 2.1.

The parameters in a Hypergeometric model are N (total number of objects), n_1 (number of objects with a certain property) and n (number of trials). Again, k is **not** a parameter of the model.

Proposition 2.2.

The probability $P(n; k)$ in a Hypergeometric model is given by

$$P(n; k) = \frac{C_{n_1}^k C_{N-n_1}^{n-k}}{C_N^n}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n. \quad (2.1)$$

Remark 2.3.

1. Intuitively, the probability $P(n; k)$ in (2.1) can be computed using the **classical definition of probability**:

The total number of possible outcomes for the experiment is C_N^n .

There are $C_{n_1}^k$ ways of choosing the k objects from the first category and $C_{N-n_1}^{n-k}$ ways of choosing the remaining $n - k$ objects from the rest (without replacement), and the two actions are **independent of each other**, so the number of favorable outcomes is $C_{n_1}^k C_{N-n_1}^{n-k}$.

2. As before,

$$\sum_{k=0}^n P(n; k) = 1, \text{ i.e. } \sum_{k=0}^n C_{n_1}^k C_{N-n_1}^{n-k} = C_N^n.$$

Example 2.4.

There are 15 boys and 20 girls in a probability class. Ten people are selected for a certain project. Find the probability that the group contains

- an equal number of boys and girls (event A),
- at least one girl (event B).

Solution. This is a **Hypergeometric model** with $N = 35$ and $n = 10$. If we choose “success” to mean “selecting a girl” (case I), then $n_1 = 20$, otherwise (“success” = “choosing a boy”, case II), $n_1 = 15$.

a) For event A , an equal number of boys and girls out of 10 people, means 5 boys and 5 girls. Therefore,

In case I,

$$P(A) = P(10; 5) = \frac{C_{20}^5 C_{15}^5}{C_{35}^{10}} \approx 0.2536.$$

In case II,

$$P(A) = P(10; 5) = \frac{C_{15}^5 C_{20}^5}{C_{35}^{10}} \approx 0.2536.$$

b) For event B , since the question is about the number of girls being selected, it is easier to go with case I.

“At least one girl” means the number of girls could be 1 or 2 or ... or 10. Let us look at the **complementary event**, which would be “at most 0 girls”, or “0 girls”. There are less numbers to consider, so it is easier to compute the probability of the contrary event. Thus,

$$P(B) = 1 - P(\bar{B}) = 1 - P(10; 0) = 1 - \frac{C_{20}^0 C_{15}^{10}}{C_{35}^{10}} = 1 - \frac{C_{15}^{10}}{C_{35}^{10}} \approx 0.9999.$$

If we consider case II, the event would be “at most 9 boys” and again it is easier to compute the probability of the contrary event, i.e. “at least 10 boys”, which means “10 boys”. So,

$$P(B) = 1 - P(\bar{B}) = 1 - P(10; 10) = 1 - \frac{C_{15}^{10} C_{20}^0}{C_{35}^{10}} \approx 0.9999.$$

Note: whichever we consider as “success”, of course the result should be **the same**.

3. Poisson Model

This model is a generalization of the Binomial model, in the sense that it allows **the probability of success to vary** at each trial. Everything else is the same. So, instead of one probability of success p , we will have probabilities of success p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n , one for each of the n trials.

Model: Consider an experiment where in each trial there are two possible outcomes, “success”, A , and “failure”, \bar{A} . The probability of success in the i th trial is p_i (and, accordingly, the probability of failure is $q_i = 1 - p_i$). Find the probability $P(n; k)$ that in n independent such trials, exactly k ($0 \leq k \leq n$) successes occur.

The parameters of a Poisson model are n and p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n (**not** k).

Proposition 3.1.

The probability $P(n; k)$ in a Poisson model is given by

$$P(n; k) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k} q_{i_{k+1}} \dots q_{i_n}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n, \quad (3.1)$$

where $i_{k+1}, \dots, i_n \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$.

Remark 3.2.

1. The number $P(n; k)$ is the coefficient of x^k in the polynomial expansion

$$(p_1x + q_1) \dots (p_nx + q_n) = \sum_{k=0}^n P(n; k)x^k$$

and, for the Poisson model, **this is the computational formula** that we will use.

2. Again, as a consequence (let $x = 1$ above),

$$\sum_{k=0}^n P(n; k) = 1.$$

3. If $p_i = p$ (and consequently, $q_i = q$), $\forall i = \overline{1, n}$, then this becomes the **Binomial model** and (3.1) is reduced to (1.7) in Lecture 2.

Example 3.3 (The Three Shooters Problem).

Three shooters aim at a target and they hit it (independently of each other) with probabilities 0.4, 0.5 and 0.7, respectively. Each of them shoots once. Find the probability p that the target is hit once.

Solution.

A trial is “a person shoots the target”. Define “success” as “the target is hit”.

Then we have a **Poisson model** with $n = 3$ independent trials and $p_1 = 0.4$, $p_2 = 0.5$, $p_3 = 0.7$.

We want the probability of 1 success occurring. Hence $p = P(3; 1)$ and it is equal to the coefficient of x in the polynomial

$$(0.4x + 0.6)(0.5x + 0.5)(0.7x + 0.3) = 0.14x^3 + 0.41x^2 + 0.36x + 0.09,$$

i.e.

$$p = 0.36.$$

4. Pascal (Negative Binomial) Model

This model is a little different from the previous ones, in the sense that, we are not only interested in *number* of successes and failures, but also **how they occur**, i.e. in the **rank** of a success. Another novelty is that in this model we have (theoretically) an **infinite number of trials**.

Model: Consider an infinite sequence of Bernoulli trials with probability of success p (and probability of failure $q = 1 - p$) in each trial. Find the probability $P(n, k)$ of the n th success occurring after k failures ($n \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$).

Remark 4.1.

For the Pascal model, again the parameters are n (rank of the success we want) and p (probability of success), but n has a different meaning than the one in the Binomial model. Again k is **not** a parameter of the model, it varies from 0 to ∞ .

Proposition 4.2.

The probability $P(n, k)$ in a Negative Binomial model is given by

$$P(n, k) = C_{n+k-1}^k p^n q^k, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots \quad (4.1)$$

Remark 4.3.

1. The probability $P(n; k)$ is the coefficient of x^k in the expansion

$$\left(\frac{p}{1 - qx} \right)^n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P(n, k) x^k, \quad |qx| < 1,$$

hence the name.

2. As before,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P(n, k) = 1.$$

5. Geometric Model

Although a particular case for the Pascal Model (case $n = 1$), the Geometric model comes up in many applications and deserves a place of its own.

Model: Consider an infinite sequence of Bernoulli trials with probability of success p (and probability of failure $q = 1 - p$) in each trial. Find the probability p_k that the first success occurs after k failures ($k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$).

There is only one parameter for this model, p .

Proposition 5.1.

The probability p_k in a Geometric model is given by

$$p_k = pq^k, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots \quad (5.1)$$

Remark 5.2.

1. The number p_k is the coefficient of x^k in the Geometric expansion (series)

$$\frac{p}{1 - qx} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k x^k, \quad |qx| < 1,$$

hence the name.

2. Again,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p q^k = 1,$$

(the Geometric series).

Remark 5.3.

In a Geometric model setup, one might count the number of **trials** (not just *failures*) needed to get the 1st success. The model would then be: In an infinite sequence of Bernoulli trials with probability of success p (and probability of failure $q = 1 - p$), find the probability \tilde{p}_k that it takes k trials to get the first success ($k \in \mathbb{N}$). Then that would be

$$\tilde{p}_k = pq^{k-1}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Of course, if X is the number of failures and Y the number of trials, then we simply have $Y = X + 1$ (the number of failures plus the one success).

Example 5.4.

When a die is rolled, find the probability of the following events:

- a) A : the first 6 appears after exactly 5 throws;
- b) B : the 3rd even appears after exactly 5 throws.

Solution.

a) For event A , success means that face 6 appears, hence $p = 1/6$. We want the first success to occur after 5 failures, so this is a **Geometric model**. By (5.1), we have

$$P(A) = p_5 = \frac{1}{6} \left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^5 \approx 0.067.$$

b) For event B , success means that an even number shows, so $p = 1/2$. This fits the **Pascal model** with $n = 3$ and $p = 1/2$. The 3rd even appears after 5 throws (on the 6th throw), which means after 3 odds, i.e. after 3 failures.

Thus, using (4.1), we have

$$P(B) = P(3, 3) = C_5^3 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^3 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^3 \approx 0.1562.$$

Chapter 3. Random Variables and Random Vectors

- to do a more rigorous study of random phenomena, we need to give them a more general **quantitative description**;
- that materializes in **random variables**, variables whose observed values are determined by **chance**;
- random variables are the **fundamentals** of modern Statistics;
- they fall into one of two categories:
 - *discrete* or
 - *continuous*.

1. Discrete Random Variables and Probability Distribution Function

Definition 1.1.

Let (S, \mathcal{K}, P) be a probability space. A **random variable** is a function $X : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the property that for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, the event

$$(X \leq x) := \{e \in S \mid X(e) \leq x\} \in \mathcal{K}. \quad (1.1)$$

Definition 1.2.

A random variable $X : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a **discrete random variable** if the set of values that it takes, $X(S)$, is at most countable (i.e., finite or countably infinite) in \mathbb{R} .

Example 1.3.

Consider the experiment of rolling a die. Then the sample space is

$$S = \{e_1, \dots, e_6\},$$

where e_i represents the event that face i shows on the die, $i = \overline{1, 6}$.

Let $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{P}(S)$ (all subsets of S) and P be given by classical probability.

Define $X : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$X(e_i) = i, i = 1, \dots, 6.$$

Let us check that this is a discrete random variable.

For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, the event (set) $(X \leq x) \subseteq S$, so it obviously belongs to \mathcal{K} .

Thus X is a **well-defined random variable** (it satisfies (1.1)).

Since the set of values that it takes $X(S) = \{1, \dots, 6\}$ is *finite*, X is also a **discrete random variable**.

Example 1.4 (The indicator of an event).

Consider a probability space (S, \mathcal{K}, P) over the sample space S of some experiment. For any event $A \in \mathcal{K}$, define $X_A : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$X_A(e) = \begin{cases} 0, & e \notin A \quad (e \in \bar{A}) \\ 1, & e \in A \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

First off, $X_A(S) = \{0, 1\}$, which is obviously *countable*.

Let us check condition (1.1).

- Let $x < 0$. Since all the values that X_A takes are nonnegative, there is no way that $X_A(e)$ could be $\leq x$, i.e.

$$(X_A \leq x) = \{e \in S \mid X_A(e) \leq x\} = \emptyset \in \mathcal{K},$$

since any σ -field contains the impossible event (empty set).

- If $0 \leq x < 1$, the event from (1.1) is

$$\begin{aligned}(X_A \leq x) &= \{e \in S \mid X_A(e) \leq x\} \\ &= \{e \in S \mid X_A(e) = 0\} \\ &= \bar{A} \in \mathcal{K},\end{aligned}$$

because $A \in \mathcal{K}$.

- Finally for $x \geq 1$,

$$(X_A \leq x) = \{e \in S \mid X_A(e) \leq x\} = A \cup \bar{A} = S \in \mathcal{K},$$

again, by the properties of a σ -field.

So X_A is a discrete random variable.

Remark 1.5.

A discrete random variable that takes only a **finite** set of values is called a **simple discrete random variable**. All of the examples above are simple discrete random variables.

The previous example can easily be generalized to any **countable partition** of the sample space S .

Example 1.6.

Let I be a countable set of indexes, $\{A_i\}_{i \in I} \subseteq \mathcal{K}$ a partition of S and $\{x_i\}_{i \in I} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ a sequence of distinct real numbers. Define $X : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$X(e) = \sum_{i \in I} x_i X_{A_i}(e), \quad (1.3)$$

where X_{A_i} is the indicator of A_i , $i \in I$. Then X is a discrete random variable satisfying

$$X(e) = x_i \iff e \in A_i, \quad (1.4)$$

for all $i \in I$.

This is more than just an example, relation (1.3) gives the **general expression of a discrete random variable**.

Any discrete random variable can be written in the form (1.3).

Having the set of values that X takes, $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$, X can be written as in (1.3), with $A_i = (X = x_i)$.

This justifies the next definition. Instead of defining a discrete random variable as a function $X : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we emphasize directly the **values** $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ that it takes and the **probabilities** of taking each value,

$$p_i = P(A_i) = P(X = x_i).$$

Definition 1.7.

Let $X : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a discrete random variable. The **probability distribution function (pdf)**, or **probability mass function (pmf)** of X is an array of the form

$$X \left(\begin{array}{c} x_i \\ p_i \end{array} \right)_{i \in I}, \quad (1.5)$$

where $x_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $i \in I$, are the values that X takes and $p_i = P(X = x_i)$ are the probabilities that X takes each value x_i .

Remark 1.8.

1. All values $x_i, i \in I$, in (1.5) are **distinct**. If some are equal, they only appear once, with the added corresponding probability.
2. All probabilities $p_i \neq 0, i \in I$. If for some $i \in I$, $p_i = 0$, then the corresponding value x_i is **not included** in the pdf (1.5).
3. If X is a discrete random variable with pdf (1.5), then

$$\sum_{i \in I} p_i = 1,$$

(a necessary and sufficient condition for such an array to represent a pdf of a discrete random variable). Indeed, since the events $\{(X = x_i)\}_{i \in I}$ form a **partition** of S , we have

$$\sum_{i \in I} p_i = \sum_{i \in I} P(X = x_i) = P(S) = 1.$$

4. Henceforth, we will identify a discrete random variable with its pdf and use (1.5) to describe it.

Example 1.9.

The pdf of the random variable in Example 1.3 (rolling a die) is

$$X \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{6} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Example 1.10.

The pdf of the random variable in Example 1.4 (the indicator of an event) is

$$X_A \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1-p & p \end{pmatrix}, p = P(A).$$