

ANSWERS TO THE OPEN PROBLEM ON THE STABILITY OF THE GENERAL MIXED ADDITIVE AND QUADRATIC FUNCTIONAL EQUATIONS

NGUYEN THI THANH LY* AND NGUYEN VAN DUNG**

*Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Science, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam;
 Vietnam National University, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam;
 Faculty of Mathematics-Informatics Teacher Education, School of Education,
 Dong Thap University, Cao Lanh City, Dong Thap Province, Vietnam
 E-mail: nguyenthithanhly@dtu.edu.vn

**Faculty of Mathematics-Informatics Teacher Education, School of Education,
 Dong Thap University, Cao Lanh City, Dong Thap Province, Vietnam
 E-mail: nvdung@dtu.edu.vn

Abstract. The purpose of this paper is to answer Eskandani-Gavruta-Rassias-Zarghami open problem on the stability of the mixed additive and quadratic functional equations. In particular, we give an affirmative answer to the problem in case $\beta < a + b < 2\beta$ by fixed point method and two counterexamples in cases $a + b = \beta$ and $a + b = 2\beta$. The obtained results also extend the Eskandani-Gavruta-Rassias-Zarghami results on the stability of such functional equations in quasi- β -Banach spaces.

Key Words and Phrases: Hyers-Ulam stability, quasi- β -norm space, additive functional equation, quadratic functional equation.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 39B82, 46A16, 47H10, 11D09.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Recently, authors have been interested in investigating the stability of functional equations in quasi- β -normed spaces. The quasi- β -normed space was defined in [10] as a generalization of a quasi-normed space [1, Definition 3]. Every quasi-normed space is a quasi- β -normed space with $\beta = 1$ and both of them are not continuous in general. However, a special case of quasi- β -norms, called (β, p) -norm, is continuous. In [5], Dung and Sintunavarat showed that every quasi- β -normed space is equivalent to a certain (β, p) -normed space.

Since the (β, p) -norm is continuous and every quasi- β -normed space is equivalent to a certain (β, p) -normed space, some authors prefer to investigate the stability in the (β, p) -normed space. In 2011, Eskandani *et al.* [6] formulated the general solution and investigated the stability of the following mixed additive and quadratic functional

equation

$$f(\lambda x + y) + f(\lambda x - y) - f(x + y) - f(x - y) - (\lambda - 1)[(\lambda + 2)f(x) + \lambda f(-x)] = 0 \quad (1.1)$$

where λ is a natural number with $\lambda \neq 1$ in (β, p) -Banach spaces. These results were based on Gavruta's idea [7] and Rassias-Kim's idea [10] concerning generalized control function φ . The authors also deduced [6, Corollary 3.15] on the stability of the functional equation (1.1) according to the upper bound, which is the mixed "product-sum" of powers of norms function. They also confirmed the analogue to Proposition 1.4 in the case $a + b > 2\beta$, see [6, page 346]. However, the conclusion in the case $\beta \leq a + b \leq 2\beta$ is still open [6, page 346].

Fixed point theorems have been applied to investigate the stability of functional equations [2], [4], [11]. In 2018, Aydi *et al.* [2] introduced the generalized b -metric space and proved a fixed point theorem in this space. The generalized b -metric space is a generalization of the b -metric space [3] and the generalized metric space [9]. Later, Sintunavarat *et al.* [11] also gave the specific form of the fixed point theorem in b -metric spaces and proposed an approximation in the case of d not being continuous.

The purpose of this paper is to answer Eskandani-Gavruta-Rassias-Zarghami's open problem on the stability of the mixed additive and quadratic functional equations, see Question 1.5 below. In particular, we give an affirmative answer to the problem in case $\beta < a + b < 2\beta$ by fixed point method and two counterexamples in cases $a + b = \beta$ and $a + b = 2\beta$. The obtained results also extend the Eskandani-Gavruta-Rassias-Zarghami's results in [6] on the stability of functional equation (1.1), in which λ is a complex number and $\lambda \neq 0, \lambda \neq 1$, in quasi- β -Banach spaces without assuming (p, β) -norm.

Now we recall notions and properties which are useful later.

Definition 1.1 ([2], page 1). Let X be a nonempty set, $\kappa \geq 1$ and $d : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be a function such that for all $x, y, z \in X$,

- (1) $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$.
- (2) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$.
- (3) $d(x, y) \leq \kappa(d(x, z) + d(z, y))$.

Then d is called a *generalized b -metric* on X and (X, d, κ) is called a *generalized b -metric space*. Without loss of generality, we can assume that κ is the smallest possible value.

The notions of convergent sequences, Cauchy sequences and complete generalized b -metric spaces are similar to those in metric spaces and b -metric spaces.

Definition 1.2 ([10], page 303). Let X be a linear space over the field \mathbb{K} (\mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C}), $\kappa \geq 1$, $0 < \beta \leq 1$, and $\|\cdot\| : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that for all $x, y \in X$ and all $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$,

- (1) $\|x\| = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$.
- (2) $\|\lambda x\| = |\lambda|^\beta \|x\|$.
- (3) $\|x + y\| \leq \kappa(\|x\| + \|y\|)$.

Then we have

- (1) $\|\cdot\|$ is called a *quasi- β -norm* on X and $(X, \|\cdot\|, \kappa)$ is called a *quasi- β -normed space*. Without loss of generality, we can assume that κ is the smallest possible value.
- (2) $\|\cdot\|$ is called a *(β, p) -norm* on X and $(X, \|\cdot\|, \kappa)$ is called a *(β, p) -normed space* if there exists $0 < p \leq 1$ such that for all $x, y \in X$,

$$\|x + y\|^p \leq \|x\|^p + \|y\|^p.$$

- (3) The sequence $\{x_n\}$ is called *convergent* to x , written $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$, if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x\| = 0.$$

- (4) The sequence $\{x_n\}$ is called *Cauchy* if $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x_m\| = 0$.
- (5) The quasi- β -normed space $(X, \|\cdot\|, \kappa)$ is called *quasi- β -Banach* if each Cauchy sequence is a convergent sequence.
- (6) The (β, p) -normed space $(X, \|\cdot\|, \kappa)$ is called *(β, p) -Banach* if it is a quasi- β -Banach space.

The next theorem shows that every quasi- β -normed space is equivalent to a certain (β, p) -normed space.

Theorem 1.3 ([5], Theorem 6). *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|, \kappa)$ be a quasi- β -normed space, $p = \log_{(2\kappa)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}} 2$ and*

$$|||x||| = \inf \left\{ \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^{\frac{p}{\beta}} \right)^{\frac{\beta}{p}} : x = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i, x_i \in X, n \geq 1 \right\}$$

for all $x \in X$. Then $|||\cdot|||$ is a quasi- β -norm on X satisfying

$$|||x + y|||^p \leq |||x|||^p + |||y|||^p$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2\kappa} \|x\| \leq |||x||| \leq \|x\|$$

for all $x, y \in X$. In particular, the quasi- β -norm $|||\cdot|||$ is a (β, p) -norm, and if $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm then $\beta = p = 1$ and $|||\cdot||| = \|\cdot\|$.

We must say that, by direct calculation, the value

$$\frac{\sqrt[p]{2}\kappa_Y^2}{4^\beta} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt[p]{\lambda^{2p\beta} - \lambda^{a+b}}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt[p]{\lambda^{p\beta} - \lambda^{a+b}}} \right] \|x\|_X^{a+b}$$

in the approximation of [6, Theorem 3.14] is exactly as in (1.2) as follows. Note that through the paper we denote

$$D_\lambda f(x, y) = f(\lambda x + y) + f(\lambda x - y) - f(x + y) - f(x - y) - (\lambda - 1)[(\lambda + 2)f(x) + \lambda f(-x)].$$

Proposition 1.4 ([6], Corollary 3.15). *Suppose that*

- (1) $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ is a normed space, and $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y, \kappa_Y)$ is a (β, p) -Banach space.
- (2) $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a map, and there exist non-negative numbers a, b such that $a + b < \beta$ and for all $x, y \in X$,

$$\|D_\lambda f(x, y)\| \leq \|x\|_X^a \|y\|_X^b + \|x\|_X^{a+b} + \|y\|_X^{a+b}.$$

Then there exist a unique additive map $A : X \rightarrow Y$ and a unique quadratic map $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying

$$\|f(x) - A(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{\sqrt[p]{2}\kappa_Y^2}{4^\beta} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt[p]{\lambda^{2p\beta} - \lambda^{p(a+b)}}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt[p]{\lambda^{p\beta} - \lambda^{p(a+b)}}} \right] \|x\|_X^{a+b}. \quad (1.2)$$

Question 1.5 ([6], page 346). Does Proposition 1.4 hold for $\beta \leq a + b \leq 2\beta$?

We must say that, from the proof of [11, Theorem 2.2], the value L in [11, Theorem 2.2.(2).(b)] is exactly L^p as in (1.3) as follows.

Theorem 1.6 ([11], Theorem 2.2). Suppose that

- (1) (X, d, κ) is a complete generalized b -metric space.
- (2) The mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ satisfies for all $x, y \in X$ and some $L \in [0, 1)$,

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq L.d(x, y).$$

Then for each $x \in X$, we have

- (1) Either $d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) = \infty$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, or
- (2) There exists n_0 such that for all $n > n_0$, $p = \log_{2\kappa} 2$,

$$d(T^n x, x^*) \leq \left(\frac{4}{1 - L^p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} d(T^{n_0} x, T^{n_0+1} x) \quad (1.3)$$

where x^* is a fixed point of T and $x^* = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n x$.

2. MAIN RESULTS

We first show some properties of solutions and the stability of functional equation (1.1) where λ is a complex number, $\lambda \neq 0$ and $\lambda \neq 1$.

Lemma 2.1. Suppose that X, Y are two linear spaces and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a mapping satisfying (1.1) for all $x, y \in X$,

- (1) If f is odd then f is additive and $f(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda^n} f(\lambda^n x)$ for all $x \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (2) If f is even then f is quadratic and $f(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda^{2n}} f(\lambda^n x)$ for all $x \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. (1). The additive property of f is proved as in [6, Lemma 2.2]. Replacing $y = 0$ in (1.1) and using the oddness of f , we have $f(\lambda x) = \lambda f(x)$. By induction on n , we get $f(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda^n} f(\lambda^n x)$.

(2). The quadratic property of f is proved as in [6, Lemma 2.1]. Replacing $y = 0$ in (1.1) and using the evenness of f , we have $f(\lambda x) = \lambda^2 f(x)$. By induction on n , we have $f(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda^{2n}} f(\lambda^n x)$. \square

Next, we present a fixed point result which is an important tool to prove our stability results. It follows directly from [2, Theorem 3.1] and Theorem 1.6 by choosing $\varphi(t) = L.t$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$.

Lemma 2.2. Suppose that

- (1) (X, d, κ) is a complete generalized b -metric space.
- (2) $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a mapping such that for all $x, y \in X$ and some $L \in [0, 1)$,

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq L.d(x, y).$$

- (3) There exist $n_0 \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $x_0 \in X$ such that $d(T^{n_0+1}x_0, T^{n_0}x_0) < \infty$.

Then we have

- (1) T has a unique fixed point x^* in the set $X^* = \{x \in X : d(T^{n_0}x_0, x) < \infty\}$.
- (2) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n x_0 = x^*$.
- (3) For each $x \in X^*$, $p = \log_{2\kappa} 2$,

$$d(x, x^*) \leq \left(\frac{4}{1-L^p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} d(x, Tx).$$

Now, we use the fixed point result in Lemma 2.2 to prove the stability of functional equation (1.1) in case the given approximate map f is an odd map.

Proposition 2.3. Suppose that

- (1) X is a linear space, and $(Y, \|\cdot\|, \kappa)$ is a quasi- β -Banach space.
- (2) $\varphi : X^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a function such that for some $0 \leq L < 1$ and for all $x, y \in X$,

$$\varphi(\lambda x, \lambda y) \leq L|\lambda|^\beta \varphi(x, y) \quad (2.1)$$

- (3) $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an odd map such that for all $x, y \in X$,

$$\|D_\lambda f(x, y)\| \leq \varphi(x, y). \quad (2.2)$$

Then we have

- (1) There exists a unique odd map A such that
 - (a) A is a solution of the functional equation (1.1).
 - (b) For all $x \in X$, and $p = \log_{2\kappa} 2$,

$$\|f(x) - A(x)\| \leq \frac{4\kappa^2}{(2|\lambda|)^\beta \sqrt[p]{1-L^p}} \varphi(x, 0). \quad (2.3)$$

- (2) A is an additive map defined by for all $x \in X$,

$$A(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(\lambda^n x)}{\lambda^n}.$$

Proof. Let $G = \{g : X \rightarrow Y\}$ and $d : G \times G \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be defined by

$$d(g, h) = \inf\{\gamma \in [0, \infty] : \|g(x) - h(x)\| \leq \gamma \varphi(x, 0), x \in X\}$$

for all $g, h \in G$, where $\inf \emptyset = \infty$. Then d is a generalized b -metric on G and (G, d, κ) is a complete generalized b -metric space. Let $T : G \rightarrow G$ be defined by

$$Tg(x) = \frac{g(\lambda x)}{\lambda}, \quad g \in G, x \in X. \quad (2.4)$$

Now, replacing $y = 0$ in (2.2) and using the oddness of f , we have

$$\|f(\lambda x) - \lambda f(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2^\beta} \varphi(x, 0). \quad (2.5)$$

It follows from (2.4) and (2.5) that

$$\|Tf(x) - f(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{(2|\lambda|)^\beta} \varphi(x, 0).$$

This implies that

$$d(Tf, f) \leq \frac{1}{(2|\lambda|)^\beta} < \infty. \quad (2.6)$$

Moreover, for all $g, h \in G$ and $x \in X$, by using definitions of T, d and (2.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tg(x) - Th(x)\| &= \frac{1}{|\lambda|^\beta} \|g(\lambda x) - h(\lambda x)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|\lambda|^\beta} d(g, h) \varphi(\lambda x, 0) \\ &\leq Ld(g, h) \varphi(x, 0). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $d(Tg, Th) \leq Ld(g, h)$. By Lemma 2.2, T has a unique fixed point A in the set $G^* = \{g \in G : d(f, g) < \infty\}$ where

$$\begin{aligned} A(x) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T^{n-1} f(\lambda x)}{\lambda} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T^{n-2} f(\lambda^2 x)}{\lambda^2} = \dots = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(\lambda^n x)}{\lambda^n} \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

and

$$d(f, A) \leq \left(\frac{4}{1 - L^p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} d(f, Tf). \quad (2.8)$$

It follows from definition of d , (2.6) and (2.8), we obtain

$$\|f(x) - A(x)\| \leq d(f, A) \varphi(x, 0) \leq \frac{4\kappa^2}{(2|\lambda|)^\beta \sqrt[p]{1 - L^p}} \varphi(x, 0).$$

Next, we show that A is additive. Using Theorem 1.3, (2.1), (2.2), (2.7) and the continuity of $|||\cdot|||$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |||D_\lambda A(x, y)||| &= ||| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^n} D_\lambda f(\lambda^n x, \lambda^n y) ||| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|\lambda|^{n\beta}} |||D_\lambda f(\lambda^n x, \lambda^n y)||| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|\lambda|^{n\beta}} \|D_\lambda f(\lambda^n x, \lambda^n y)\| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|\lambda|^{n\beta}} \varphi(\lambda^n x, \lambda^n y) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L^n \varphi(x, y) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $D_\lambda A(x, y) = 0$. This proves that A is a solution of (1.1). From (2.7) and the oddness of f , we have that A is also odd. It follows from Lemma 2.1.(1) that A is additive.

Finally, we prove the uniqueness of A . Suppose that $B : X \rightarrow Y$ is also an odd map satisfying the functional equation (1.1) and inequality (2.3). By Lemma 2.1.(1), we obtain

$$\frac{B(\lambda x)}{\lambda} = B(x)$$

for all $x \in X$. That is B is a fixed point of T . Since B satisfies inequality (2.3), we have

$$d(f, B) \leq \frac{4\kappa^2}{(2|\lambda|)^\beta \sqrt[p]{1-L^p}} < \infty.$$

This implies that B is also a fixed point of T in G^* . Since T has a unique fixed point A in G^* , $B = A$. \square

The following result is formulated similarly to Proposition 2.3, in which the condition of φ is changed slightly.

Proposition 2.4. *Suppose that*

- (1) X is a linear space, and $(Y, \|\cdot\|, \kappa)$ is a quasi- β -Banach space.
- (2) $\varphi : X^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a function such that for some $0 \leq L < 1$ and for all $x, y \in X$,

$$\varphi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}, \frac{y}{\lambda}\right) \leq \frac{L}{|\lambda|^\beta} \varphi(x, y)$$

- (3) $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an odd map such that for all $x, y \in X$,

$$\|D_\lambda f(x, y)\| \leq \varphi(x, y).$$

Then we have

- (1) There exists a unique odd map A such that
 - (a) A is a solution of the functional equation (1.1).
 - (b) For all $x \in X$ and $p = \log_{2\kappa} 2$,

$$\|f(x) - A(x)\| \leq \frac{4\kappa^2}{(2|\lambda|)^\beta \sqrt[p]{1-L^p}} \varphi(x, 0).$$

- (2) A is an additive map defined by for all $x \in X$,

$$A(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^n f\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^n}\right).$$

We also use the fixed point result in Lemma 2.2 to prove the stability of the functional equation (1.1) in case the given approximate map f is an even map. The technique used to prove this is similar to that in Proposition 2.3.

Proposition 2.5. *Suppose that*

- (1) X is a linear space, and $(Y, \|\cdot\|, \kappa)$ is a quasi- β -Banach space.
- (2) $\varphi : X^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a function such that for some $0 \leq L < 1$ and for all $x, y \in X$,

$$\varphi(\lambda x, \lambda y) \leq L|\lambda|^{2\beta} \varphi(x, y)$$

- (3) $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an even map such that for all $x, y \in X$,

$$\|D_\lambda f(x, y)\| \leq \varphi(x, y).$$

Then we have

- (1) There exists a unique even map Q such that
 - (a) Q is a solution of the functional equation (1.1).
 - (b) For all $x \in X$ and $p = \log_{2\kappa} 2$,

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{4\kappa^2}{(2|\lambda|^2)^\beta \sqrt[p]{1-L^p}} \varphi(x, 0).$$

- (2) Q is an quadratic map defined by for all $x \in X$,

$$Q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(\lambda^n x)}{\lambda^{2n}}.$$

The following result is formulated similarly to Proposition 2.4.

Proposition 2.6. Suppose that

- (1) X is a linear space, and $(Y, \|\cdot\|, \kappa)$ is a quasi- β -Banach space.
- (2) $\varphi : X^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a function such that for some $0 \leq L < 1$ and for all $x, y \in X$,

$$\varphi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}, \frac{y}{\lambda}\right) \leq \frac{L}{|\lambda|^{2\beta}} \varphi(x, y)$$

- (3) $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an odd map such that for all $x, y \in X$,

$$\|D_\lambda f(x, y)\| \leq \varphi(x, y).$$

Then we have

- (1) There exists a unique even map Q such that
 - (a) Q is a solution of the functional equation (1.1).
 - (b) For all $x \in X$ and $p = \log_{2\kappa} 2$,

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{4\kappa^2}{(2|\lambda|^2)^\beta \sqrt[p]{1-L^p}} \varphi(x, 0).$$

- (2) Q is an quadratic map defined by for all $x \in X$,

$$Q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^{2n} f\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^n}\right).$$

From the above propositions, we have the following result which answers Question 1.5 in the sense that the functional equation (1.1) is also stable in the case $\beta < a + b < 2\beta$.

Theorem 2.7. Suppose that

- (1) $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ is a normed space, and $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y, \kappa)$ is a quasi- β -Banach space.
- (2) $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a map, and there exist $a, b \geq 0$ such that for all $x, y \in X$,

$$\|D_\lambda f(x, y)\|_Y \leq \|x\|_X^a \|y\|_X^b + \|x\|_X^{a+b} + \|y\|_X^{a+b}. \quad (2.9)$$

Then we have

- (1) If either $|\lambda| > 1$ and $a + b < \beta$ or $|\lambda| < 1$ and $a + b > 2\beta$ then
 - (a) There exist a unique odd map A and a unique even map Q such that
 - (i) A and Q are solutions of the functional equation (1.1).

(ii) For all $x \in X$ and $p = \log_{2\kappa} 2$,

$$\|f(x) - A(x) - Q(x)\|_Y \leq \frac{8\kappa^4}{4^\beta} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt[p]{|\lambda|^{p\beta} - |\lambda|^{p(a+b)}}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt[p]{|\lambda|^{2p\beta} - |\lambda|^{p(a+b)}}} \right] \|x\|_X^{a+b}.$$

(iii) A is additive and Q is quadratic and defined by for all $x \in X$,

$$A(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\lambda^n} (f(\lambda^n x) - f(-\lambda^n x)) \quad (2.10)$$

and

$$Q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\lambda^{2n}} (f(\lambda^n x) + f(-\lambda^n x)). \quad (2.11)$$

(2) If either $|\lambda| > 1$ and $a + b > 2\beta$ or $|\lambda| < 1$ and $a + b < \beta$ then

(a) There exist a unique odd map A and a unique even map Q such that

(i) A and Q are solutions of the functional equation (1.1).

(ii) For all $x \in X$ and $p = \log_{2\kappa} 2$,

$$\|f(x) - A(x) - Q(x)\|_Y \leq \frac{8\kappa^4}{(4|\lambda|^2)^\beta} \left[\frac{|\lambda|^\beta}{\sqrt[p]{1 - |\lambda|^{p(\beta-a-b)}}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt[p]{1 - |\lambda|^{p(2\beta-a-b)}}} \right] \|x\|_X^{a+b}.$$

(iii) A is additive and Q is quadratic and defined by for all $x \in X$,

$$A(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda^n}{2} \left[f\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^n}\right) - f\left(-\frac{x}{\lambda^n}\right) \right] \quad (2.12)$$

and

$$Q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda^{2n}}{2} \left[f\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^n}\right) + f\left(-\frac{x}{\lambda^n}\right) \right]. \quad (2.13)$$

(3) If $|\lambda| > 1$ and $\beta < a + b < 2\beta$ then

(a) There exist a unique odd map A and a unique even map Q such that

(i) A and Q are solutions of the functional equation (1.1).

(ii) For all $x \in X$ and $p = \log_{2\kappa} 2$,

$$\|f(x) - A(x) - Q(x)\|_Y \leq \frac{8\kappa^4}{(4|\lambda|^2)^\beta} \left[\frac{|\lambda|^\beta}{\sqrt[p]{1 - |\lambda|^{p(\beta-a-b)}}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt[p]{1 - |\lambda|^{p(a+b-2\beta)}}} \right] \|x\|_X^{a+b}.$$

(iii) A is additive and Q is quadratic and defined by for all $x \in X$,

$$A(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda^n}{2} \left[f\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^n}\right) - f\left(-\frac{x}{\lambda^n}\right) \right]$$

and

$$Q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\lambda^{2n}} (f(\lambda^n x) + f(-\lambda^n x)).$$

(4) If $|\lambda| < 1$ and $\beta < a + b < 2\beta$ then

(a) There exist a unique odd map A and a unique even map Q such that

(i) A and Q are solutions of the functional equation (1.1).

(ii) For all $x \in X$ and $p = \log_{2\kappa} 2$,

$$\|f(x) - A(x) - Q(x)\|_Y \leq \frac{8\kappa^4}{(4|\lambda|^2)^\beta} \left[\frac{|\lambda|^\beta}{\sqrt[p]{1 - |\lambda|^{p(a+b-\beta)}}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt[p]{1 - |\lambda|^{p(2\beta-a-b)}}} \right] \|x\|_X^{a+b}.$$

(iii) A is additive and Q is quadratic and defined by for all $x \in X$,

$$A(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\lambda^n} (f(\lambda^n x) - f(-\lambda^n x))$$

and

$$Q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda^{2n}}{2} \left[f\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^n}\right) + f\left(-\frac{x}{\lambda^n}\right) \right].$$

Proof. For all $x \in X$, we have $f(x) = f_e(x) + f_o(x)$ where f_e is an even map, f_o is an odd map and $f_e, f_o : X \rightarrow Y$ are defined by for all $x \in X$,

$$f_e(x) = \frac{f(x) + f(-x)}{2},$$

$$f_o(x) = \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2}.$$

It follows from (2.9) that

$$\|D_\lambda f_e(x, y)\|_Y \leq \Psi(x, y),$$

$$\|D_\lambda f_o(x, y)\|_Y \leq \Psi(x, y)$$

where $\Psi(x, y) = \frac{2\kappa}{2^\beta} (\|x\|_X^a \|y\|_X^b + \|x\|_X^{a+b} + \|y\|_X^{a+b})$ for all $x, y \in X$.

(1) If either $|\lambda| > 1$ and $a + b < \beta$ or $|\lambda| < 1$ and $a + b > 2\beta$, then

$$L_1 = |\lambda|^{a+b-\beta}, L_2 = |\lambda|^{a+b-2\beta} \in [0, 1).$$

For all $x, y \in X$,

$$\Psi(\lambda x, \lambda y) = |\lambda|^{a+b} \Psi(x, y) = L_1 |\lambda|^\beta \Psi(x, y),$$

$$\Psi(\lambda x, \lambda y) = |\lambda|^{a+b} \Psi(x, y) = L_2 |\lambda|^{2\beta} \Psi(x, y).$$

Hence, all the assumptions of Proposition 2.3, Proposition 2.5 are satisfied for Ψ, L_1, f_o , and Ψ, L_2, f_e , respectively. Therefore, there exist a unique odd map A , and a unique even map Q satisfying functional equation (1.1) and

$$\|f_o(x) - A(x)\|_Y \leq \frac{4\kappa^2}{(2|\lambda|)^\beta \sqrt[p]{1 - L_1^p}} \Psi(x, 0) = \frac{8\kappa^3 \|x\|_X^{a+b}}{4^\beta \sqrt[p]{|\lambda|^{p\beta} - |\lambda|^{p(a+b)}}}. \quad (2.14)$$

$$\|f_e(x) - Q(x)\|_Y \leq \frac{4\kappa^2}{(2|\lambda|^2)^\beta \sqrt[p]{1 - L_2^p}} \Psi(x, 0) = \frac{8\kappa^3 \|x\|_X^{a+b}}{4^\beta \sqrt[p]{|\lambda|^{2p\beta} - |\lambda|^{p(a+b)}}}. \quad (2.15)$$

where A is additive and Q is quadratic and defined by (2.10) and (2.11).

It follows from (2.14) and (2.15) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) - A(x) - Q(x)\|_Y &\leq \kappa (\|f_o(x) - A(x)\|_Y + \|f_e(x) - Q(x)\|_Y) \\ &\leq \frac{8\kappa^4}{4^\beta} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt[p]{|\lambda|^{p\beta} - |\lambda|^{p(a+b)}}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt[p]{|\lambda|^{2p\beta} - |\lambda|^{p(a+b)}}} \right] \|x\|_X^{a+b}. \end{aligned}$$

(2) If either $|\lambda| > 1$ and $a + b > 2\beta$ or $|\lambda| < 1$ and $a + b < \beta$ then

$$L_1^{-1} = |\lambda|^{\beta-a-b}, L_2^{-1} = |\lambda|^{2\beta-a-b} \in [0, 1).$$

For all $x, y \in X$,

$$\Psi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}, \frac{y}{\lambda}\right) = \frac{1}{|\lambda|^{a+b}} \Psi(x, y) = L_1^{-1} |\lambda|^{-\beta} \Psi(x, y)$$

$$\Psi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}, \frac{y}{\lambda}\right) = \frac{1}{|\lambda|^{a+b}} \Psi(x, y) = L_2^{-1} |\lambda|^{-2\beta} \Psi(x, y)$$

Hence, all assumptions of Proposition 2.4 and Proposition 2.6 are satisfied for Ψ, L_1^{-1}, f_o , and Ψ, L_2^{-1}, f_e , respectively. Therefore, there exist a unique odd map A , and a unique even map Q satisfying functional equation (1.1) and

$$\|f_o(x) - A(x)\|_Y \leq \frac{4\kappa^2}{(2|\lambda|)^\beta \sqrt[p]{1 - L_1^{-p}}} \Psi(x, 0) = \frac{8\kappa^3 \|x\|^{a+b}}{(4|\lambda|)^\beta \sqrt[p]{1 - |\lambda|^{p(\beta-a-b)}}}. \quad (2.16)$$

$$\|f_e(x) - Q(x)\|_Y \leq \frac{4\kappa^2}{(2|\lambda|^2)^\beta \sqrt[p]{1 - L_2^{-p}}} \Psi(x, 0) = \frac{8\kappa^3 \|x\|^{a+b}}{(4|\lambda|^2)^\beta \sqrt[p]{1 - |\lambda|^{p(2\beta-a-b)}}}. \quad (2.17)$$

where A is additive and Q is quadratic, and defined by (2.12) and (2.13).

It follows from (2.16) and (2.17) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) - A(x) - Q(x)\|_Y &\leq \kappa(\|f_o(x) - A(x)\|_Y + \|f_e(x) - Q(x)\|_Y) \\ &\leq \frac{8\kappa^4}{(4|\lambda|^2)^\beta} \left[\frac{|\lambda|^\beta}{\sqrt[p]{1 - |\lambda|^{p(\beta-a-b)}}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt[p]{1 - |\lambda|^{p(2\beta-a-b)}}} \right] \|x\|_X^{a+b}. \end{aligned}$$

(3) If $|\lambda| > 1$ and $\beta < a + b < 2\beta$ then by using the similar argument to the cases (1) and (2), we have all assumptions of Proposition 2.4 and Proposition 2.5 are satisfied for Ψ, L_1^{-1}, f_o , and Ψ, L_2, f_e , respectively. Therefore, there exist a unique odd map A , and a unique even map Q satisfying functional equation (1.1) and inequalities (2.16), (2.15), where A is additive and Q is quadratic and defined by (2.12) and (2.11). It follows from (2.15) and (2.16) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) - A(x) - Q(x)\|_Y &\leq \kappa(\|f_o(x) - A(x)\|_Y + \|f_e(x) - Q(x)\|_Y) \\ &\leq \frac{8\kappa^4}{(4|\lambda|^2)^\beta} \left[\frac{|\lambda|^\beta}{\sqrt[p]{1 - |\lambda|^{p(\beta-a-b)}}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt[p]{1 - |\lambda|^{p(a+b-2\beta)}}} \right] \|x\|_X^{a+b}. \end{aligned}$$

(4) If $|\lambda| < 1$ and $\beta < a + b < 2\beta$ then by using the similar argument to the cases (1) and (2), we have all assumptions of Proposition 2.3 and Proposition 2.6 are satisfied for Ψ, L_1, f_o , and Ψ, L_2^{-1}, f_e , respectively. Therefore, there exist a unique odd map A , and a unique even map Q satisfying functional equation (1.1) and inequalities (2.14), (2.17), where A is additive and Q is quadratic and defined by (2.10) and (2.13). It

follows from (2.14) and (2.17) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) - A(x) - Q(x)\|_Y &\leq \kappa(\|f_o(x) - A(x)\|_Y + \|f_e(x) - Q(x)\|_Y) \\ &\leq \frac{8\kappa^4}{(4|\lambda|^2)^\beta} \left[\frac{|\lambda|^\beta}{\sqrt[p]{1 - |\lambda|^{p(a+b-\beta)}}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt[p]{1 - |\lambda|^{p(2\beta-a-b)}}} \right] \|x\|_X^{a+b}. \end{aligned}$$

□

The following example shows that the functional equation (1.1) is not stable in the case $a + b = \beta$.

Example 2.8. Let $X = Y = \mathbb{R}$ with the absolute value norm, $\lambda > 1$,

$$\alpha = \frac{\lambda - 1}{2\lambda^3(\lambda^2 + 1)} > 0$$

and $\Psi, f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by

$$\Psi(x) = \begin{cases} \alpha x & \text{if } |x| < 1, \\ \alpha & \text{if } |x| \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

and for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Psi(\lambda^i x)}{\lambda^i}.$$

Then we have

- (1) X is a normed space, and Y is a (β, p) -Banach space with $\beta = p = 1$.
- (2) For all $a > 0$, $b > 0$ and $\beta = a + b$, f satisfies the inequality

$$|D_\lambda f(x, y)| \leq |x| + |y| + |x|^a |y|^b. \quad (2.18)$$

- (3) There do not exist $\delta > 0$, an additive map $A : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a quadratic map $Q : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|f(x) - A(x) - Q(x)| \leq \delta |x|.$$

Proof. (2) For all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$|f(x)| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\Psi(\lambda^i x)|}{\lambda^i} \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha}{\lambda^i} = \frac{\alpha \lambda}{\lambda - 1}. \quad (2.19)$$

If $x = y = 0$, then (2.18) holds.

If $0 < |x| + |y| < \frac{1}{\lambda}$, then there exists a positive integer number k such that

$$\frac{1}{\lambda^{k+2}} \leq |x| + |y| < \frac{1}{\lambda^{k+1}}.$$

This implies that

$$\lambda^{k-1}x, \lambda^{k-1}(-x), \lambda^{k-1}(x+y), \lambda^{k-1}(x-y), \lambda^{k-1}(\lambda x+y), \lambda^{k-1}(\lambda x-y) \in (-1, 1).$$

Hence, for each $i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$,

$$\lambda^i x, \lambda^i(-x), \lambda^i(x+y), \lambda^i(x-y), \lambda^i(\lambda x+y), \lambda^i(\lambda x-y) \in (-1, 1).$$

Then, using the definitions of Ψ and $D_\lambda f$, we obtain

$$D_\lambda \Psi(\lambda^i x, \lambda^i y) = 0$$

for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$. This follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |D_\lambda f(x, y)| &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{|D_\lambda \Psi(\lambda^i x, \lambda^i y)|}{\lambda^i} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \frac{|D_\lambda \Psi(\lambda^i x, \lambda^i y)|}{\lambda^i} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \frac{2\alpha(\lambda^2 + 1)}{\lambda^i} \\ &= \frac{2\alpha\lambda^{1-k}(\lambda^2 + 1)}{\lambda - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\frac{|D_\lambda f(x, y)|}{|x| + |y|} \leq \frac{2\alpha\lambda^{1-k}(\lambda^2 + 1)}{\lambda - 1} \lambda^{k+2} = \frac{2\alpha\lambda^3(\lambda^2 + 1)}{\lambda - 1} = 1.$$

If $0 < |x| + |y| \geq \frac{1}{\lambda}$ then using (2.19), we obtain

$$|D_\lambda f(x, y)| \leq 2(\lambda^2 + 1) \frac{\alpha\lambda}{\lambda - 1}.$$

This implies that

$$\frac{|D_\lambda f(x, y)|}{|x| + |y|} \leq \frac{2\alpha\lambda^2(\lambda^2 + 1)}{\lambda - 1} \leq \frac{2\alpha\lambda^3(\lambda^2 + 1)}{\lambda - 1} = 1.$$

Hence, we have

$$|D_\lambda f(x, y)| \leq |x| + |y| \leq |x| + |y| + |x|^a |y|^b.$$

Therefore, f satisfies (2.18).

(3) Suppose that there exist an additive map $A : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, a quadratic map $Q : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and $\delta > 0$ such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|f(x) - A(x) - Q(x)| \leq \delta|x|.$$

It follows from [8, Theorem 1] and [8, Corollary 2] that there exist $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $A(x) = \theta_1 x$, $Q(x) = \theta_2 x^2$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. This implies that

$$|f(x)| \leq \begin{cases} (|\theta_1| + |\theta_2| + \delta)|x| & \text{if } |x| < 1, \\ (|\theta_1| + |\theta_2| + \delta)|x|^2 & \text{if } |x| \geq 1. \end{cases} \quad (2.20)$$

Let m be large enough such that $m\alpha > |\theta_1| + |\theta_2| + \delta$. For $x \in (0, \frac{1}{\lambda^{m-1}})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Psi(\lambda^i x)}{\lambda^i} \\ &\geq \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{\alpha \lambda^i x}{\lambda^i} \\ &= m\alpha x \\ &> (|\theta_1| + |\theta_2| + \delta)x. \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts to (2.20). \square

The following example shows that the functional equation (1.1) is not stable in the case $a + b = 2\beta$.

Example 2.9. Let $X = Y = \mathbb{R}$ with the absolute value norm, $\lambda > 1$,

$$\alpha = \frac{4\lambda^2 - 1}{32\lambda^4(\lambda^2 + 1)} > 0$$

and $\Psi, f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by

$$\Psi(x) = \begin{cases} \alpha x^2 & \text{if } |x| < 1, \\ \alpha & \text{if } |x| \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

and for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Psi(2^i \lambda^i x)}{(2\lambda)^{2i}}.$$

Then we have

- (1) X is a normed space, and Y is a (β, p) -Banach space with $\beta = p = 1$.
- (2) For all $a > 0$, $b > 0$ and $2\beta = a + b$, f satisfies the inequality

$$|D_\lambda f(x, y)| \leq |x|^2 + |y|^2 + |x|^a |y|^b.$$

- (3) There do not exist $\delta > 0$, an additive map $A : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a quadratic map $Q : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$|f(x) - A(x) - Q(x)| \leq \delta |x|^2.$$

Proof. Similar to the proof of Example 2.8. \square

Remark 2.10. Theorem 2.7.(3)&(4), Example 2.8 and Example 2.9 are the answers to Question 1.5.

Acknowledgment. This research is supported by the project B2023.SPD.03, Dong Thap University, Vietnam.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Aoki, *Locally bounded linear topological spaces*, Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo, **18**(1942), no. 10, 588–594.
- [2] H. Aydi, S. Czerwik, *Fixed point theorems in generalized b-metric spaces*, Springer Optim. Appl., **131**(2018), 1–9.
- [3] S. Czerwik, *Nonlinear set-valued contraction mappings in b-metric spaces*, Atti Semin. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena, **46**(1998), no. 2, 263–276.
- [4] J. B Diaz, B. Margolis, *A fixed point theorem of the alternative, for contractions on a generalized complete metric space*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **74**(1968), no. 2, 305–309.
- [5] N. V. Dung, W. Sintunavarat, *Ulam-Hyers stability of functional equations in quasi- β -Banach spaces*, Ulam Type Stability, (2019), 97–130.
- [6] G. Z. Eskandani, P. Gavruta, J. M. Rassias, R. Zarghami, *Generalized Hyers-Ulam stability for a general mixed functional equation in quasi- β -normed spaces*, Mediterr. J. Math., **8**(2011), no. 3, 331–348.
- [7] P. Gavruta, *A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **184**(1994), no. 3, 431–436.
- [8] S. Kurepa, *On the quadratic functional*, Publ. Inst. Math. Acad. Serbe Sci. Beograd, **13**(1959), no. 19, 57–72.
- [9] W. A. Luxemburg, *On the convergence of successive approximations in the theory of ordinary differential equations II*, Indag. Math., **20**(1958), no. 5, 540–546.
- [10] J. M. Rassias, H-M. Kim, *Generalized Hyers-Ulam stability for general additive functional equations in quasi- β -normed spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **356**(2009), no. 1, 302–309.
- [11] A. Thanyacharoen, W. Sintunavarat, N. V. Dung, *Stability of Euler-Lagrange-type cubic functional equations in quasi-Banach spaces*, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc., **44**(2021), 251–266.

Received: April 8, 2024; Accepted: June 20, 2024.

