Fixed Point Theory, 26(2025), No. 1, 235-246 DOI: 10.24193/fpt-ro.2025.1.15 http://www.math.ubbcluj.ro/~nodeacj/sfptcj.html

ABOUT SOME INTEGRAL EQUATION IN TERMS OF A METRIC AND ORDER RELATION

ION MARIAN OLARU

University "Lucian-Blaga" of Sibiu, Faculty of Sciences, Department of Mathematics E-mail: marian.olaru@ulbsibiu.ro

Abstract. In this paper we shall study, in terms of a metric and an order relation, the existence, uniqueness and data dependence for the solutions of integral equation

$$x(t) = (g_1(t) + \int_a^t K_1(t, s, x(s))ds) \cdot (g_2(t) + \int_a^t K_2(t, s, x(s))ds), \ t \in [a, b].$$

Our results extend the results from I.M.Olaru An Integral Equation via Weakly Picard Operators, Fixed Point Theory, 11(2010), No. 1, pp 97-106.

Key Words and Phrases: Integral equations, Picard operators, fixed points, data dependence, ordered metric space, Gronwall lemma.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 45G10, 47H10, 45D05.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a nonempty set and $T: X \to X$ an operator. We denote by $T^0 := 1_X$, $T^1 := T, T^{n+1} := T^n \circ T, n \in \mathbb{N}$ the iterate operators of the operator T. We also have

$$F_T := \{ x \in X \mid T(x) = x \}$$

the set of fixed point for operator T.

Definition 1.1. (see [3]) Let X be a nonempty set and let us consider $s(X) := \{\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mid x_n \in X\}, c(X) \subset s(X) \text{ and } Lim : c(X) \to X \text{ an operator. We say that } (X, c(X), Lim) \text{ is an } L\text{-space (denoted also by } (X, \xrightarrow{F})) \text{ if the following conditions are satisfied:}$

- (i) if $x_n = x$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in c(X)$ and $Lim\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = x$
- (ii) if $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in c(X)$ and $Lim\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} = x$ then for all subsequences $\{x_{n_i}\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ we have $\{x_{n_i}\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \in c(X)$ and $Lim\{x_{n_i}\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}} = x$

Definition 1.2. Let $(X, \stackrel{F}{\rightarrow})$ be an *L*-space An operator $T : X \to X$ is orbitally continuous if $x \in X$ and $T^{n(i)}(x) \to a \in X$ as $i \to \infty$ imply $T^{n(i)+1}(x) \to T(a) \in X$ as $i \to \infty$.

ION MARIAN OLARU

Definition 1.3. (see [12]) Let $(X, \stackrel{F}{\rightarrow})$ be an *L*-space An operator $T : X \rightarrow X$ is weakly Picard operator (WPO) if the sequence $(T^n(x))_{n \in N}$ converges, for all $x \in X$ and the limit (which depend on x) is a fixed point of T.

Definition 1.4. (see [12]) If the operator T is WPO and $F_T = \{x^*\}$ then by definition T is Picard operator.

Notice that if T is WPO, then we define the operator $T^{\infty}: X \to F_T$ by

$$T^{\infty}(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} T^n(x).$$

Definition 1.5. A triple $(X, \stackrel{F}{\rightarrow}, \preceq)$ is called an ordered L-space if $(X, \stackrel{F}{\rightarrow})$ is an L-space and \preceq is a partial order on X which is closed with respect to $\stackrel{F}{\rightarrow}$ i.e. if $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $\{y_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ are sequences in X such that $x_n \preceq y_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_n \rightarrow x, y_n \rightarrow y$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ then $x \preceq y$

The following abstract Gronwall type lemma takes place for POs

Lemma 1.1. Let $(X, \xrightarrow{F}, \preceq)$ be an ordered L-space and $T : X \to X$ be an operator. We suppose that:

- (a) T is a PO with respect to \rightarrow (we denote by x_T^* its unique fixed point);
- (b) T is increasing with respect to \leq ;

Then we have:

(i) $x \in X, x \preceq T(x)$ implies $x \preceq x_T^*$;

(ii) $x \in X$, $T(x) \preceq x$ implies $x_T^* \preceq x$.

Definition 1.6. (see [9]) A nonempty ordered set (X, \preceq) is said to be generalized directed set if for each pair of elements $x, y \in X$ there exists $z \in X$ such that (x, z) and (y, z) are in X_{\prec} where $X_{\prec} := \{(x, y) \in X \times X \mid x \preceq y \text{ or } y \preceq x\}.$

Definition 1.7. (see [9]) Let us consider (X, \preceq) an ordered set and $T : X \to X$ an operator. Then T is called a generalized monotone operator if $(T \times T)(X_{\preceq}) \subset X_{\preceq}$, where $(T \times T))(x, y) := (T(x), T(y))$ for $(x, y) \in X \times X$.

Definition 1.8. (see [11]) A function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is a comparison function if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) φ is increasing;
- (ii) the sequence $\varphi^n(t) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, for every t > 0.

Definition 1.9. (see [11]) A comparison function is a strong comparison function if

$$\sum_{k\geq 0} \varphi^k(t) < \infty, \text{ for any } t > 0$$

Next result will be used by us in order to study the existence and uniqueness of solution for the above mentioned integral equation.

Lemma 1.2. (see [9]) Let X be a nonempty set, d be a metric on X and \leq an order relation on X. We consider an operator $T: X \to X$ having the generalized monotone property. We suppose that:

- (i) (X, \preceq) is a generalized directed set;
- (ii) if $(x, y) \in X_{\preceq}$, then x and y are asymptotically equivalent;
- (iii) the set $X_T = \{x \in X \mid T(x) \preceq x \text{ or } x \preceq T(x)\}$ is not empty and $T : X_T \to X_T$ is WPO.

Then $T: X \to X$ is a PO.

More results about generalized contraction in partially ordered complete metric spaces can be found in [2], [1], [5], [4], [6], [8], [10], [9]

2. EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS RESULTS

Let us consider denote

$$X = C([a, b], \mathbb{R}_+) := \{ x : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}_+ \mid x \text{ is continuous} \}.$$

We consider on X the following norms:

$$\|x\|_{\infty} = \max_{t \in [a,b]} |x(t)|, \ \|x\|_{\tau} = \max_{t \in [a,b]} |x(t)| \cdot e^{-\tau(t-a)}, \tau > 0$$

and the standard order relation

$$x \preceq y \iff x(t) \le y(t), \ (\forall)t \in [a, b].$$

We get the following Banach lattices $(X, +, \mathbb{R}, \|\cdot\|_{\tau}, \preceq)$ and $(X, +, \mathbb{R}, \|\cdot\|_{\infty}, \preceq)$. From the above definition we notice that (X, \preceq) is a generalized directed set. Next we shall study, in the above defined Banach lattices, the existence and uniqueness, data dependence for the solution of the following integral equation:

$$x(t) = (g_1(t) + \int_a^t K_1(t, s, x(s))ds) \cdot (g_2(t) + \int_a^t K_2(t, s, x(s))ds), \ t \in [a, b]$$
(2.1)

The equation (2.1) is equivalent with the following fixed point problem

$$x = T(x) \tag{2.2}$$

where:

$$T: C([a,b], \mathbb{R}_{+}) \to C([a,b], \mathbb{R}_{+})$$
$$T(x)(t) = (g_{1}(t) + \int_{a}^{t} K_{1}(t,s,x(s))ds) \cdot (g_{2}(t) + \int_{a}^{t} K_{2}(t,s,x(s))ds)$$
(2.3)

Our first result is the following one, where we get the existence and uniqueness, in the Banach lattices $(X, +, \mathbb{R}, \|\cdot\|_{\tau}, \preceq)$, for the solution of equation (2.1)

Theorem 2.1. We suppose that

- (i) $g_i \in C([a, b], \mathbb{R}_+), K_i \in C([a, b] \times [a, b] \times \mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_+), i = \overline{1, 2};$
- (ii) $K_i(t, s, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is increasing for every $t, s \in [a, b], i = \overline{1, 2}$;
- (iii) there exists $L_{K_i} > 0$ such that

 $|K_i(t, s, u) - K_i(t, s, v)| \le L_{K_i} |u - v|,$

for all $t, s \in [a, b]$, $u, v \in \mathbb{R}_+, u \leq v$, $i = \overline{1, 2}$;

(iv) there exists $M_{K_i} > 0$ such that

$$|K_i(t, s, u)| \le M_{K_i},$$

for all
$$t, s \in [a, b], u, v \in \mathbb{R}_+, i = \overline{1, 2};$$

(v) the set X_T is not empty;

Then

- (a) the equation (2.1) has a unique solution $x^{\star} \in C([a, b], \mathbb{R}_+);$
- (b) if $x \in X$ is such that $x \preceq T(x)$ then $x \preceq x^*$; (c) if $x \in X$ is such that $T(x) \preceq x$ then $x^* \preceq x$;

Proof. (a) First of all we remark that the condition (ii) leads us to the fact that the operator T defined by equation (2.3) is increasing and consequently it is a generalized monotone operator. On the other hand for all $x,y\in X_{\preceq}$ we have that

$$\begin{split} |T(x)(t) - T(y)(t)| &\leq \\ &\leq |(g_1(t) + \int_a^t K_1(t, s, x(s))ds) \cdot (g_2(t) + \int_a^t K_2(t, s, x(s))ds) - \\ &- (g_1(t) + \int_a^t K_1(t, s, y(s))ds) \cdot (g_2(t) + \int_a^t K_2(t, s, y(s))ds)| = \\ &= |(g_1(t) + \int_a^t K_1(t, s, x(s))ds) \cdot (g_2(t) + \int_a^t K_2(t, s, x(s))ds) - \\ &- (g_1(t) + \int_a^t K_1(t, s, x(s))ds) \cdot (g_2(t) + \int_a^t K_2(t, s, y(s))ds) + \\ &+ (g_1(t) + \int_a^t K_1(t, s, x(s))ds) \cdot (g_2(t) + \int_a^t K_2(t, s, y(s))ds) - \\ &- (g_1(t) + \int_a^t K_1(t, s, y(s))ds) \cdot (g_2(t) + \int_a^t K_2(t, s, y(s))ds) - \\ &- (g_1(t) + \int_a^t K_1(t, s, y(s))ds) \cdot (g_2(t) + \int_a^t K_2(t, s, y(s))ds) | \leq \\ &- (\frac{L_{K_2}M(g_1, K_1)}{r} + \frac{L_{K_1}M(g_2, K_2)}{\tau}) ||x - y||_{\tau} e^{\tau(t-a)}, \end{split}$$

where

$$M(g_1, K_1) := \max_{t \in [a,b]} |g_1(t)| + M_{K_1}(b-a)$$
$$M(g_2, K_2) := \max_{t \in [a,b]} |g_2(t)| + M_{K_2}(b-a)|.$$

Then, for any $x,y\in X_{\preceq}$ we have that

$$||T(x) - T(y)||_{\tau} \le \left(\frac{L_{K_2}M(g_1, K_1)}{\tau} + \frac{L_{K_1}M(g_2, K_2)}{\tau}\right)||x - y||_{\tau}$$

Thus, for any $x \in X_T$ we get that

$$\|T(x) - T^{2}(x)\|_{\tau} \leq \left(\frac{L_{K_{2}}M(g_{1}, K_{1})}{\tau} + \frac{L_{K_{1}}M(g_{2}, K_{2})}{\tau}\right)\|x - T(x)\|_{\tau}$$

and consequently $T:X_T\to X_T$ is a graphic $L-{\rm contraction.}$ and taking into account that

$$O_T(z) := \{T^n(z) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset X_T$$

we get that $T^n(z) \to T^\infty(z) \in F_T$, for any $z \in X_T$. Hence T is a WPO on X_T . Since the operator T is a L-contraction on X_T we obtain that

$$d(T^n(x), T^n(y)) \le L^n \cdot d(x, y) \to 0,$$

as $n \to \infty$ for any $x, y \in X_{\preceq}$ and consequently x, y are asymptotic equivalent. Lemma 1.2 leads us to the conclusion that operator T is PO and therefore the equation (2.1) has a unique solution in $C([a, b], \mathbb{R}_+)$.

(b)+(c) It follows from Lemma 1.1 applied to the operator T.

Theorem 2.2. We suppose that g_1, g_2, K_1, K_2 , verify the conditions (i) - (v) from the Theorem 2.1 and $(L_{K_1} \cdot M(g_2, K_2) + L_{K_2} \cdot M(g_1, K_1)) \cdot (b-a) < 1$ where

$$M(g_1, K_1) := \max_{t \in [a,b]} |g_1(t)| + M_{K_1}(b-a)$$
$$M(g_2, K_2) := \max_{t \in [a,b]} |g_2(t)| + M_{K_2}(b-a)|.$$

Then

- (a) the equation (2.1) has a unique solution $x^* \in C([a, b], \mathbb{R}_+)$;
- (b) if $x \in X$ is such that $x \preceq T(x)$ then $x \preceq x^*$;
- (c) if $x \in X$ is such that $T(x) \preceq x$ then $x^* \preceq x$.

Proof. (a) By using the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 2.1 we have that

$$||T(x) - T(y)(t)||_{\infty} \le M(g_1, g_2, K_1, K_2)(b-a)||x-y||_{\infty},$$

for all $x, y \in X_{\preceq}$.

$$M(g_1, g_2, K_1, K_2) := L_{K_1} M(g_2, K_2) + L_{K_2} M(g_1, K_1).$$

Now, the conclusions follows from Lemma 1.2

(b)-(e) Analogous with the proof or Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 2.3. We suppose that

- (i) g_1, g_2, K_1, K_2 , verify the conditions (i), (ii), (iv) and (iv) from the Theorem 2.1;
- (ii) there exists $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ a strong comparison function such that

$$|K_i(t, s, u) - K_i(t, s, v)| \le \varphi(|u - v|),$$

for all $t, s \in [a, b]$, $u, v \in \mathbb{R}_+, u \leq v$ $i = \overline{1, 2}$;

(iii) $(M(g_1, K_1) + M(g_2, K_2))(b - a) \le 1$

(iv) X_T is not empty and $T: X \to X$ is orbitally continuous;

Then

(a) the equation (2.1) has a unique solution $x^* \in C([a, b], \mathbb{R}_+)$;

- (b) if $x \in X$ is such that $x \preceq T(x)$ then $x \preceq x^*$;
- (c) if $x \in X$ is such that $T(x) \preceq x$ then $x^* \preceq x$.

Proof. (a) First of all we remark that the condition (ii) leads us to the fact that the operator T has the generalized monotone property. On the other hand for all $x, y \in X_{\preceq}$ we have that

$$\begin{split} |T(x)(t) - T(y)(t)| &\leq \\ &\leq |(g_1(t) + \int_a^t K_1(t, s, x(s))ds) \cdot (g_2(t) + \int_a^t K_2(t, s, x(s))ds) - \\ &- (g_1(t) + \int_a^t K_1(t, s, y(s))ds) \cdot (g_2(t) + \int_a^t K_2(t, s, y(s))ds)| = \\ &= |(g_1(t) + \int_a^t K_1(t, s, x(s))ds) \cdot (g_2(t) + \int_a^t K_2(t, s, x(s))ds) - \\ &- (g_1(t) + \int_a^t K_1(t, s, x(s))ds) \cdot (g_2(t) + \int_a^t K_2(t, s, y(s))ds) + \\ &+ (g_1(t) + \int_a^t K_1(t, s, x(s))ds) \cdot (g_2(t) + \int_a^t K_2(t, s, y(s))ds) - \\ &- (g_1(t) + \int_a^t K_1(t, s, y(s))ds) \cdot (g_2(t) + \int_a^t K_2(t, s, y(s))ds) - \\ &- (g_1(t) + \int_a^t K_1(t, s, y(s))ds) \cdot (g_2(t) + \int_a^t K_2(t, s, y(s))ds) | \leq \\ &- (M(g_1, K_1) + M(g_2, K_2)) \cdot (b - a)\varphi(||x - y||_{\infty}), \end{split}$$

where

$$M(g_1, K_1) := \max_{t \in [a,b]} |g_1(t)| + M_{K_1}(b-a)$$

$$M(g_2, K_2) := \max_{t \in [a,b]} |g_2(t)| + M_{K_2}(b-a)|.$$

Then, for any $x,y\in X_{\preceq}$ we have that

 $||T(x) - T(y)||_{\infty} \leq (M(g_1, K_1) + M(g_2, K_2)) \cdot (b - a) \cdot \varphi(||x - y||_{\infty}) \leq \varphi(||x - y||_{\infty}).$ From the above inequality we get that $T: X_T \to X_T$ is a φ -contraction and consequently

$$d(Tx, T^{2}(x)) \leq \varphi(d(x, T(x))),$$

for every $x \in X_T$. Then we obtain

$$d(T^n(x), T^{n+1}(x)) \le \varphi^n(d(x, T(x))) \to 0,$$

as $n \to \infty$, for every $x \in X_T$. Therefore, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \ge 1$ we have that

$$d(T^{n}(x), T^{n+p}(x)) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \varphi^{n+k}(d(x, T(x)))$$

and taking into consideration the strong comparison assumption on φ we get that, for each $x \in X_T$, the sequence $\{T^n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy. Since $T \mid X_T$ is orbitally continuous it follows that $T^n(x) \to T^\infty(x) \in F_T$ as $n \to \infty$, for each $x \in X_T$ and consequently $T: X_T \to X_T$ is WPO.

On the other hand the operator T being a φ -contraction on X_T we obtain that

$$d(T^n(x), T^n(y)) \le \varphi^n(d(x, y)) \to 0.$$

as $n \to \infty$ for any $x, y \in X_{\preceq}$ and consequently x, y are asymptotic equivalent. By using Lemma 1.2 we conclude that the equation (2.1) has a unique solution in $C([a, b], \mathbb{R}_+)$.

(b)+(c) It follows from Lemma 1.1 applied to the operator T.

3. Data dependence: Continuity

Consider the equation (2.1) and let us denote by $x(\cdot; g_1, g_2, K_1, K_2)$ the solution of this equation. We have

Theorem 3.1. Let $g_1^j, g_2^j, K_1^j, K_2^j, j = 1, 2$ be as in the Theorem 2.2. We suppose that

(a) there exists $\eta_i > 0$ such that

$$|g_i^1(t) - g_i^2(t)| \le \eta_i,$$

for all $t \in [a, b]$, i = 1, 2; (b) there exists $\mu_i > 0$ such that

$$|K_{i}^{1}(t, s, u) - K_{i}^{2}(t, s, u)| \le \mu_{i},$$

for all $t, s \in [a, b]$, $u \in \mathbb{R}_+$, i = 1, 2.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\|x(\cdot;g_1^1,g_2^1,K_1^1,K_2^1) - x(\cdot;g_1^2,g_2^2,K_1^2,K_2^2)\|_{\infty} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{M(g_1^1,K_1^1)(\eta_2 + \mu_2(b-a)) + M(g_2^2,K_2^2)(\eta_1 + \mu_1(b-a))}{1 - \frac{\alpha}{\tau}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\alpha = \max_{j=1,2} \{ L_{K_2^j} M(g_1^j, K_1^j) + L_{K_1^j} M(g_2^j, K_2^j) \}.$$

Proof. For $j = \overline{1, 2}$ we consider the operators $T_j : C([a, b], \mathbb{R}_+) \to C([a, b], \mathbb{R}_+)$ defined by

$$T_j(x)(t) = (g_1^j(t) + \int_a^t K_1^j(t, s, x(s))ds) \cdot (g_2^j(t) + \int_a^t K_2^j(t, s, x(s))ds).$$

According with Theorem 2.2 the above operators are POs and additionally

$$||T_1(x) - T_2(x)||_{\infty} \le M(g_1^1, K_1^1)(\eta_2 + \mu_2(b-a)) + M(g_2^2, K_2^2)(\eta_1 + \mu_1(b-a)),$$

for all $x \in C([a, b], \mathbb{R}_+)$. Now the proof follows from the well known data dependence result (see [12], Theorem 10.2.1 pp.122)

4. Smooth dependence on parameter

Next we consider the following integral equation

$$x(t,\lambda) = (g_1(t,\lambda) + \int_a^t K_1(t,s,x(s,\lambda),\lambda)ds) \cdot (g_2(t,\lambda) + \int_a^t K_2(t,s,x(s,\lambda),\lambda)ds), \quad (4.1)$$

for all $t \in [a, b], \lambda \in J \subset \mathbb{R}$. We assume that

- (H_1) $J \subset \mathbb{R}$ an compact interval;
- $(H_2) \ g_i \in C^1([a,b] \times J, \mathbb{R}_+), \ K_i \in C^1([a,b] \times [a,b] \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times J, \mathbb{R}_+), \ i = 1, 2;$
- (H_3) there exists $L_{K_i} > 0$ such that:

$$|K_i(t,s,u) - K_i(t,s,v)| \le L_{K_i} \cdot |u-v|,$$

- for all $t, s \in [a, b]$, $u, v \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $u \le v$, $\lambda \in J$, i = 1, 2;
- (H_4) there exists $M_{K_i} > 0$ such that

$$|K_i(t, s, u, \lambda)| \le M_{K_i}$$
$$\frac{\partial K_i}{\partial (t, s, u, \lambda)} \le M_K$$

 $\left|\frac{\partial K_i}{\partial u}(t, s, u, \lambda)\right| \le M_{K_i}$ for all $t, s \in [a, b], u \in \mathbb{R}_+, \lambda \in J, i = 1, 2;$

(H₅) $K_i(t, s, \cdot, \lambda) : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is increasing for every $t, s \in [a, b], \lambda \in J, i = 1, 2$. We define the operator

$$B: C([a,b] \times J, \mathbb{R}_{+}) \to C([a,b] \times J, \mathbb{R}_{+}),$$
$$B(x)(t,\lambda) =$$
$$= [g_{1}(t,\lambda) + \int_{a}^{t} K_{1}(t,s,x(s,\lambda),\lambda)ds] \cdot [g_{2}(t,\lambda) + \int_{a}^{t} K_{2}(t,s,x(s,\lambda),\lambda)ds]$$

According with Theorem 2.1, under hypothesis $(H_1) - (H_5)$, the operator B is PO. Let $x^*(\cdot, \lambda)$ the unique fixed point of operator B. Then

$$x^{\star}(t,\lambda) =$$

$$[g_1(t,\lambda) + \int_a^t K_1(t,s,x^*(s,\lambda),\lambda)ds] \cdot [g_2(t,\lambda) + \int_a^t K_2(t,s,x^*(s,\lambda),\lambda)ds], \qquad (4.2)$$

for all $t \in [a, b], \lambda \in J \subset \mathbb{R}$. We suppose that there exists $\frac{\partial x^*}{\partial \lambda}$. Then from relation (4.2) we obtain that

$$\frac{\partial x^{\star}}{\partial \lambda} = \\ = \left[\frac{\partial g_1}{\partial \lambda}(t,\lambda) + \int_a^t \frac{\partial K_1}{\partial u}(t,s,x^{\star}(s,\lambda),\lambda) \cdot \frac{\partial x^{\star}}{\partial \lambda}(s,\lambda)ds + \int_a^t \frac{\partial K_1}{\partial \lambda}(t,s,x^{\star}(s,\lambda),\lambda)ds\right] \\ [g_2(t,\lambda) + \int_a^t K_2(t,s,x^{\star}(s,\lambda),\lambda)ds] + [g_1(t,\lambda) + \int_a^t K_1(t,s,x^{\star}(s,\lambda),\lambda)ds] \cdot \\ \end{bmatrix}$$

$$[\frac{\partial g_2}{\partial \lambda}(t,\lambda) + \int\limits_a^t \frac{\partial K_2}{\partial u}(t,s,x^\star(s,\lambda),\lambda) \cdot \frac{\partial x^\star}{\partial \lambda}(s,\lambda)ds + \int\limits_a^t \frac{\partial K_2}{\partial \lambda}(t,s,x^\star(s,\lambda),\lambda)ds].$$

This relation suggest us to consider the following operator

$$C: C([a,b] \times J, \mathbb{R}_+) \times C([a,b] \times J, \mathbb{R}_+) \to C([a,b] \times J, \mathbb{R}_+),$$
$$C(x,y)(t,\lambda) :=$$

$$\begin{split} \left[\frac{\partial g_1}{\partial \lambda}(t,\lambda) + \int_a^t \frac{\partial K_1}{\partial u}(t,s,x(s,\lambda),\lambda) \cdot y(s,\lambda)ds + \int_a^t \frac{\partial K_1}{\partial \lambda}(t,s,x(s,\lambda),\lambda)ds\right] \cdot \\ \left[g_2(t,\lambda) + \int_a^t K_2(t,s,x(s,\lambda),\lambda)ds\right] + \\ \left[g_1(t,\lambda) + \int_a^t K_1(t,s,x(s,\lambda),\lambda)ds\right] \cdot \\ \left[\frac{\partial g_2}{\partial \lambda}(t,\lambda) + \int_a^t \frac{\partial K_2}{\partial u}(t,s,x(s,\lambda),\lambda) \cdot y(s,\lambda)ds + \int_a^t \frac{\partial K_2}{\partial \lambda}(t,s,x(s,\lambda),\lambda)ds\right]. \end{split}$$

In this way we have the triangular operator

$$\begin{split} A: C([a,b]\times J,\mathbb{R}_+)\times C([a,b]\times J,\mathbb{R}_+) &\to C([a,b]\times J,\mathbb{R}_+)\times C([a,b]\times J,\mathbb{R}_+),\\ A(x,y)(t,\lambda) &= (B(x)(t,\lambda),C(x,y)(t,\lambda)). \end{split}$$

We remark that for each $x \in C([a, b] \times J, \mathbb{R}_+)$ we have $\begin{vmatrix} C(x, y)(t, \lambda) - C(x, z)(t, \lambda) \end{vmatrix}$

$$\begin{split} \left|C(x,y)(t,\lambda) - C(x,z)(t,\lambda)\right| &= \\ \left|\left[\frac{\partial g_1}{\partial \lambda}(t,\lambda) + \int_a^t \frac{\partial K_1}{\partial u}(t,s,x(s,\lambda),\lambda) \cdot y(s,\lambda)ds + \int_a^t \frac{\partial K_1}{\partial \lambda}(t,s,x(s,\lambda),\lambda)ds\right] \cdot \\ & \left[g_2(t,\lambda) + \int_a^t K_2(t,s,x(s,\lambda),\lambda)ds\right] \\ & + \left[g_1(t,\lambda) + \int_a^t K_1(t,s,x(s,\lambda),\lambda)ds\right] \cdot \\ & \left[\frac{\partial g_2}{\partial \lambda}(t,\lambda) + \int_a^t \frac{\partial K_2}{\partial u}(t,s,x,\lambda) \cdot y(s,\lambda)ds + \int_a^t \frac{\partial K_2}{\partial \lambda}(t,s,x,\lambda)ds\right] \\ & - \left[\frac{\partial g_1}{\partial \lambda}(t,\lambda) + \int_a^t \frac{\partial K_1}{\partial u}(t,s,x(s,\lambda),\lambda) \cdot z(s,\lambda)ds + \int_a^t \frac{\partial K_1}{\partial \lambda}(t,s,x(s,\lambda),\lambda)ds\right] \cdot \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \left[g_{2}(t,\lambda)+\int_{a}^{t}K_{2}(t,s,x(s,\lambda),\lambda)ds\right] \\ &-\left[g_{1}(t,\lambda)+\int_{a}^{t}K_{1}(t,s,x(s,\lambda),\lambda)ds\right] \cdot \\ \left[\frac{\partial g_{2}}{\partial\lambda}(t,\lambda)+\int_{a}^{t}\frac{\partial K_{2}}{\partial u}(t,s,x(s,\lambda),\lambda)\cdot z(s,\lambda)ds+\int_{a}^{t}\frac{\partial K_{2}}{\partial\lambda}(t,s,x(s,\lambda),\lambda)ds\right]\right] \\ &\leq \left[\int_{a}^{t}\left|\frac{\partial K_{1}}{\partial u}(t,s,x(s,\lambda),\lambda)\right|\cdot|y(s,\lambda)-z(s,\lambda)|ds\right]\cdot\left[|g_{2}(t,\lambda)|+\int_{a}^{t}|K_{2}(t,s,x(s,\lambda),\lambda)|ds\right] + \\ \left[\int_{a}^{t}\left|\frac{\partial K_{2}}{\partial u}(t,s,x(s,\lambda),\lambda)\right|\cdot|y(s,\lambda)-z(s,\lambda)|ds\right]\cdot\left[|g_{1}(t,\lambda)|+\int_{a}^{t}|K_{1}(t,s,x(s,\lambda),\lambda)|ds\right] \leq \\ \frac{M_{K_{1}}\cdot M(g_{2},K_{2})+M_{K_{2}}\cdot M(g_{1},K_{1})}{\tau}\cdot e^{\tau\cdot(t-a)}\cdot\|y-z\|_{\tau} \end{split}$$

where

$$M(g_1, K_1) := \max_{\substack{(t,\lambda) \in [a,b] \times J}} |g_1(t,\lambda)| + M_{K_1}(b-a)$$
$$M(g_2, K_2) := \max_{\substack{(t,\lambda) \in [a,b] \times J}} |g_2(t,\lambda)| + M_{K_2}(b-a)|$$

From the above inequality we get that

$$\|C(x,y) - C(x,z)\|_{\tau} \le \frac{M_{K_1} \cdot M(g_2,K_2) + M_{K_2} \cdot M(g_1,K_1)}{\tau} \cdot \|y - z\|_{\tau}.$$

for each $x, y, z \in C([a, b] \times J, \mathbb{R}_+)$. Therefore the operator

$$C(x,\cdot): C([a,b] \times J, \mathbb{R}_+) \to C([a,b] \times J, \mathbb{R}_+)$$

is a α - contraction with $\alpha := \frac{M(g_2, K_2)M_{K_1} + M(g_1, K_1)M_{K_2}}{\tau}$. From the theorem of fiber contraction (see I.A. Rus [12] Theorem 10.5.1, pp.125) we have that the operator A is Picard operator. So, the sequences

$$x_{n+1} = B(x_n), n \in \mathbb{N}$$
$$y_{n+1} = C(x_n, y_n)$$

converges uniformly to $(x^*, y^*) \in F_A$, for all $x_0, y_0 \in C([a, b] \times J, \mathbb{R}_+)$. If we take $x_0, y_0 \in C([a, b] \times J, \mathbb{R}_+)$ such that $y_0 = \frac{\partial x_0}{\partial \lambda}$ then $y_1 = \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial \lambda}$ and by induction we prove that $y_n = \frac{\partial x_n}{\partial \lambda}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

Thus

$$x_n \to x^*$$
, uniform as $n \to \infty$

$$\frac{\partial x_n}{\partial \lambda} \to y^\star, \quad uniform \quad as \ n \to \infty$$

These imply that there exists $\frac{\partial x^{\star}}{\partial \lambda}$ and $\frac{\partial x^{\star}}{\partial \lambda} = y^{\star}$

From the above considerations, we have that

Theorem 4.1. We consider the integral equation (4.1) in the hypothesis $(H_1) - (H_5)$. Then

(i) the equation (4.1) has, in $C([a, b] \times J, \mathbb{R}_+)$, a unique solution $x^*(t, \cdot)$;

(ii) $x^{\star}(t, \cdot) \in C^1(J, \mathbb{R}_+)$, for all $t \in [a, b]$.

References

- C.-M. Chen, J.-C. Lee, C.-H. Chen, Fixed point theory of weak contractions in partially ordered metric spaces, Journal of Applied Mathematics, 2013, Article ID 302438(2013), 1-7.
- [2] L.B. Ciric, N. Cakic, M. Rajovic, J.S. Ume, Monotone generalized nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2008, Article ID 131294 (2009), 1-11.
- [3] M. Frechet, Les Espaces Abstraits, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1928.
- [4] J. Harjani, F. Sabetghadam, K. Sadarangani, Generalized contractions in partially ordered metric spaces and applications to ordinary differential equations, Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications, 72(2010), No. 3, 1188-1197.
- [5] J. Harjani, K. Sadarangani, Fixed point theorems for weakly contractive mappings in partially ordered sets, Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications, 71(2009), No. 7-8, 3403-3410.
- [6] J. Harjani, K. Sadarangani, Fixed point theorems for monotone generalized contractions in partially ordered metric spaces and applications to integral equations, J. Convex Anal., 19(2012), No. 3, 853-864.
- [7] I.M. Olaru, An integral equation via weakly Picard operators, Fixed Point Theory, 11(2010), No. 1, 97-106.
- [8] A. Petruşel, I.A. Rus, Fixed point theorems in ordered L-Spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 134(2005), No. 2, 411-418.
- [9] A. Petruşel, I.A. Rus, Fixed point theory in terms of a metric and an order relation, Fixed Point Theory, 20(2019), No. 2, 601-622.
- [10] A.C.M. Ran, M.C. Reurings, A fixed point theorem in partially ordered sets and some applications to matrix equations, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 132(2004), No. 5, 1435-1443.
- [11] I.A. Rus, Generalized Contractions and Applications, Cluj University Press, Cluj-Napoca, Romania, 2001.
- [12] I.A. Rus, A. Petruşel, G. Petruşel, Fixed Point Theory, Cluj Univ. Press, 2008.

Received: June 3, 2022; Accepted: September 17, 2023.

ION MARIAN OLARU