Fixed Point Theory, 24(2023), No. 2, 583-594 DOI: 10.24193/fpt-ro.2023.2.08 http://www.math.ubbcluj.ro/~nodeacj/sfptcj.html

FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR NONSELF OPERATORS ON A LARGE KASAHARA SPACE

ALEXANDRU-DARIUS FILIP

Babeş-Bolyai University, Faculty of Economics and Business Administration, Department of Statistics-Forecasts-Mathematics, Teodor Mihali Street, No. 58-60, 400591 Cluj-Napoca, Romania. E-mail: darius.filip@econ.ubbcluj.ro

Abstract. In this paper we give some fixed point theorems for nonself operators on a large Kasahara space, which generalize some results given by I.A. Rus and M.-A. Şerban (I.A. Rus, M.-A. Şerban, *Some fixed point theorems for nonself generalized contractions*, Miskolc Math. Notes, **17**(2016), no.2, 1021-1031) and by S. Reich and A.J. Zaslavski (S. Reich, A.J. Zaslavski, *A note on Rakotch contractions*, Fixed Point Theory, **9**(2008), no.1, 267-273).

Key Words and Phrases: Large Kasahara space, nonself operator, fixed point, comparison function, diameter functional, maximal displacement functional.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H09, 47H10, 54H25.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

There are several techniques in the fixed point theory for nonself operators on a complete metric space ([6], [18], [12], [15], [14], [2], [8], [19], ...). Some results are given in the case of Kasahara spaces ([3], [4], [13]). By following the papers of S. Reich and A.J. Zaslavski [8] and I.A. Rus and M.-A. Şerban [19] we give some fixed point theorems for nonself operators on a large Kasahara space.

In this paper we will use the notations and terminology given in [3] and [19]. The notions of comparison function, *L*-space and large Kasahara space are recalled below. **Definition 1.1.** Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be a function. If φ is monotone increasing, i.e., for all $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+, t_1 \leq t_2$ implies $\varphi(t_1) \leq \varphi(t_2)$, and the sequence $\varphi^n(t) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, then φ is a comparison function.

If φ is a continuous comparison function satisfying $t - \varphi(t) \to \infty$ as $t \to \infty$, then φ is called strict comparison function. In this case, we can define the function

$$\theta_{\varphi}: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+, \ \theta_{\varphi}(t) = \sup\{s \in \mathbb{R}_+ \mid s - \varphi(s) \le t\}, \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}_+$$

which is increasing and has the property $\theta_{\varphi}(t) \to 0$ as $t \to 0$. We will use the function θ_{φ} to study the data dependence of the fixed points.

If φ is a comparison function satisfying $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \varphi^n(t) < \infty$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, then φ is

called strong comparison function.

More consideration on comparison functions are given in [1] and [10].

The notion of L-space was given by M. Fréchet in 1906 (see [5]).

Definition 1.2. Let X be a nonempty set. Let $s(X) := \{\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mid x_n \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Let c(X) be a subset of s(X) and $Lim : c(X) \to X$ be an operator. By definition the triple (X, c(X), Lim) is called an L-space (denoted also by $(X, \stackrel{F}{\to})$) if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) if $x_n = x$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in c(X)$ and $Lim\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = x$.
- (*ii*) if $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in c(X)$ and $Lim\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = x$, then for all subsequences $\{x_{n_i}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$
- of $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, we have that $\{x_{n_i}\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\in c(X)$ and $Lim\{x_{n_i}\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}=x$.

By definition, an element $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of c(X) is a convergent sequence,

$$x = Lim\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$$

is the limit of this sequence and we also write $x_n \xrightarrow{F} x$ as $n \to \infty$.

Example 1.1. Let X be a nonempty set and $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in X which does not have a constant subsequence. Let $c_1(X)$ be the set of all constant sequences and $c_2(X)$ be the set of all subsequences of $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. Let $c(X) := c_1(X) \cup c_2(X)$ and $z \in X$ be an arbitrary element. If $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in c_1(X)$ then $Lim\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} = x$. If $\{y_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in c_2(X)$ then $Lim\{y_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} = z$. The triple (X, c(X), Lim) is an L-space.

More examples of L-spaces are given in [11].

The notion of large Kasahara space was given by I.A. Rus in [13], as follows:

Definition 1.3. Let X be a nonempty set, \xrightarrow{F} be an L-space structure on X, $(G, +, \leq, \xrightarrow{G})$ be an L-space ordered semigroup with unity, 0 be the least element in (G, \leq) and $d_G : X \times X \to G$ be an operator. The triple $(X, \xrightarrow{F}, d_G)$ is a large Kasahara space iff we have the following compatibility condition between \xrightarrow{F} and d_G :

(i) $x_n \in X$, $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ a Cauchy sequence (in some sense) with respect to d_G implies that $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges in $(X, \stackrel{F}{\rightarrow})$.

The notion of large Kasahara space which will be used in this paper, is the following: **Definition 1.4.** Let X be a nonempty set, \xrightarrow{F} be an L-space structure on X and $d: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be a metric on X. The triple (X, \xrightarrow{F}, d) is a large Kasahara space iff we have the following compatibility conditions between \xrightarrow{F} and d:

- (i) $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a fundamental sequence in $(X,d) \Rightarrow \{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges in (X, \xrightarrow{F}) ;
- (ii) $x_n \xrightarrow{F} x^*, y_n \xrightarrow{F} y^*$ and $d(x_n, y_n) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty \Rightarrow x^* = y^*$.

Example 1.2. (See [9], [17], [4]). Let (X, ρ) be a complete metric space and (X, d) be a metric space. We suppose that there exists c > 0 such that $\rho(x, y) \leq cd(x, y)$, for all $x, y \in X$. Then, $(X, \xrightarrow{\rho}, d)$ is a large Kasahara space.

Example 1.3. We give here a counterexample of large Kasahara space, showing that the condition (ii) of the Definition 1.4. is necessary.

Let $X := \mathbb{R}$, $c(\mathbb{R}) := c_1(\mathbb{R}) \cup c_2(\mathbb{R}) \cup c_3(\mathbb{R})$, where $c_1(\mathbb{R})$ is the set of all convergent sequences with respect to the metric $d : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}_+$, defined by d(x, y) = |x - y|, for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ and, on $c_1(\mathbb{R})$, we consider $\xrightarrow{F} := \xrightarrow{d}$; $c_2(\mathbb{R})$ is the set of all subsequences

 $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $\{n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ with $Lim\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} = 0$; $c_3(\mathbb{R})$ be the set of all subsequences $\{y_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $\{n + \frac{1}{n+1}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ with $Lim\{y_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} = 1$. Notice that $(\mathbb{R}, c(\mathbb{R}), Lim)$ is an *L*-space. But the triple $(\mathbb{R}, \xrightarrow{F}, d)$ is not a large Kasahara space. The condition (i) of Definition 1.4. is satisfied, but the condition (ii) is not. Indeed, let

$$x_n := n$$
 and $y_n := n + \frac{1}{n+1}$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For these two sequences we have $x_n \xrightarrow{F} 0$, $y_n \xrightarrow{F} 1$ and $d(x_n, y_n) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

Remark 1.1. Let (X, \xrightarrow{F}, d) be a large Kasahara space. Then for any sequence $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*} \subset X$ with $x_n \xrightarrow{d} x^*$ as $n \to \infty$, we have $x_n \xrightarrow{F} x^*$ as $n \to \infty$. This implies that for any subset $A \subset X$, with A closed in (X, \xrightarrow{F}) , A is closed in (X, d).

2. The case of l-contractions

We give here one of the main results of this paper, concerning the existence and uniqueness of fixed points for nonself *l*-contractions, in the context of a large Kasahara space. The data dependence of the fixed point is also discussed (see [16]).

Theorem 2.1. Let $(X, \stackrel{F}{\rightarrow}, d)$ be a large Kasahara space, $Y \subset X$ be a closed subset of $(X, \stackrel{F}{\rightarrow})$ and $f: Y \to X$ be an operator. We suppose that:

- (i) there exists $y_n \in Y$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, such that the set $\{y_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$ is bounded and $f^i(y_n)$ is defined for $i = \overline{1, n}, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$;
- (ii) f is continuous in $(X, \stackrel{F}{\rightarrow})$;
- (iii) f is an l-contraction w.r.t. the metric d.

Then:

(1)
$$F_f = \{x^*\};$$

(2)
$$f^n(y_n) \xrightarrow{F} x^*$$
 as $n \to \infty$;

(3) $f^n(y_n) \xrightarrow{d} x^*$ as $n \to \infty$;

- (4) $d(x, x^*) \leq \frac{1}{1-l} d(x, f(x)), \text{ for all } x \in Y;$
- (5) if the operator $g: Y \to X$ is such that (j) there exists $\eta > 0$ such that $d(f(x), g(x)) \leq \eta$, for all $x \in Y$; (jj) $F_g \neq \emptyset$ then $d(x^*, y^*) \leq \frac{\eta}{1-l}$, for all $y^* \in F_q$.

Proof. (1) + (2). First, we remark that

$$\{f^i(y_n) \mid i = \overline{0, n-1}, \ n \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$$

is a bounded set. Indeed, since the set $\{y_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$ is bounded, for a given $y_0 \in Y$ there exists a constant R > 0 such that $d(y_0, y_n) \leq R$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

By the assumption (iii), we have the following estimations

$$d(y_0, f(y_n)) \le d(y_0, f(y_0)) + d(f(y_0), f(y_n)) \le d(y_0, f(y_0)) + lR, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

On the other hand, for any $i \ge 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_0, f^i(y_n)) &\leq d(y_0, f(y_0)) + d(f(y_0), f^i(y_n)) \\ &\leq d(y_0, f(y_0)) + d(f(y_0), f(y_n)) + d(f(y_n), f^i(y_n)) \\ &\leq d(y_0, f(y_0)) + lR + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} d(f^j(y_n), f^{j+1}(y_n)) \\ &\leq d(y_0, f(y_0)) + lR + \frac{l}{1-l} d(y_n, f(y_n)), \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}^* \end{aligned}$$

and

$$d(y_n, f(y_n)) \le d(y_n, y_0) + d(y_0, f(y_n)) \le R + lR + d(y_0, f(y_0)).$$

So, there exists

$$\tilde{R} := \frac{1}{1-l}d(y_0, f(y_0)) + \frac{2lR}{1-l} > 0$$

such that $d(y_0, f^i(y_n)) \leq \tilde{R}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $i = \overline{0, n-1}$. Thus, the set

$$\{f^i(y_n) \mid i = \overline{0, n-1}, \ n \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$$

is bounded.

Let $A \in P_b(Y)$ be such that $\{f^i(y_n) \mid i = \overline{0, n-1}, n \in \mathbb{N}^*\} \subset A$. (The authors of the paper [19] informed me that in their paper, the condition $\{y_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset A$ must be replaced with $\{f^i(y_n) \mid i = \overline{0, n-1}, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset A$).

Let $A_1 := f(A), A_2 := f(A_1 \cap A), \ldots, A_{n+1} := f(A_n \cap A)$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. By this construction, we obtain the sequence of sets $\{A_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ with the following properties: $A_{n+1} \subset A_n$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, and $f^n(y_n) \in A_n$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

Since the operator f is an *l*-contraction w.r.t. the metric d, there exists a constant $l \in [0, 1)$ such that $d(f(x), f(y)) \leq ld(x, y)$, for all $x, y \in Y$. By taking the supremum over $x, y \in Y$ in the contraction condition, we get that

$$\delta(f(B)) \leq l\delta(B)$$
, for all $B \in P_b(Y)$.

By using the properties of the diameter functional δ and the contraction condition of the operator f, we have

$$\delta(A_{n+1}) = \delta(f(A_n \cap A)) \le \delta(f(A_n)) \le l\delta(A_n), \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

By mathematical induction over $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, it follows that

$$\delta(A_{n+1}) \leq l^{n+1}\delta(A)$$
, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

By letting $n \to \infty$, we get that $\delta(A_{n+1}) \to 0$.

Since $f^n(y_n) \in A_n$, $f^{n-1}(y_n) \in A_{n-1} \cap A$ and $\delta(A_{n-1}) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, we get that $\{f^n(y_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ and $\{f^{n-1}(y_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ are fundamental sequences in (X, d).

By the condition (i) of Definition 1.4. we have that

$$f^{n-1}(y_n) \xrightarrow{F} u^*$$
 and $f^n(y_n) \xrightarrow{F} v^*$ as $n \to \infty$.

On the other hand, $d(f^{n-1}(y_n), f^n(y_n)) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. By the condition (*ii*) of Definition 1.4. we have that $u^* = v^* =: x^*$.

Since f is continuous, we have

$$f^n(y_n) = f(f^{n-1}(y_n)) \xrightarrow{F} f(x^*) \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

. Hence $F_f = \{x^*\}.$

So,
$$f(x^*) = x^*$$
. Hence $F_f = \{x^*\}$.
(3). By (*iii*),
 $d(f^n(y_n), x^*) = d(f^n(y_n), f^n(x^*)) \le ld(f^{n-1}(y_n), f^{n-1}(x^*)) \le \ldots \le l^n d(y_n, x^*) \to 0$

as $n \to \infty$. So, $f^n(y_n) \stackrel{a}{\to} x^*$ as $n \to \infty$.

(4). Let $x \in Y$. By using the triangle inequality of the metric d and the assumption (iii), we have

$$d(x, x^*) \le d(x, f(x)) + d(f(x), f(x^*)) \le d(x, f(x)) + ld(x, x^*).$$

So, $d(x, x^*) \leq \frac{1}{1-l}d(x, f(x))$, for all $x \in Y$.

(5). Let $y^* \in F_g$. Then, by choosing $x := y^*$ in the conclusion (4), we get

$$d(y^*, x^*) \le \frac{1}{1-l} d(y^*, f(y^*)) = \frac{1}{1-l} d(g(y^*), f(y^*)).$$

By the symmetry of the metric d and by condition (j), it follows that

$$d(x^*, y^*) \le \frac{\eta}{1-l}$$
, for all $y^* \in F_g$

3. The case of φ -contractions

The following theorem generalizes Theorem 1 and Theorem 3 given by I.A. Rus and M.-A. Şerban in [19], for φ -contractions in the context of complete metric spaces, and Theorem 1, given by S. Reich and A.J. Zaslavski in [8], for Rakotch contractions, in the same context.

Theorem 3.1. Let $(X, \stackrel{F}{\rightarrow}, d)$ be a large Kasahara space, $Y \subset X$ be a closed subset of $(X, \stackrel{F}{\rightarrow})$ and $f: Y \to X$ be an operator. We suppose that:

- (i) there exists $y_n \in Y$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, such that the set $\{y_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$ is bounded and $f^i(y_n)$ is defined for $i = \overline{1, n}, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$;
- (ii) f is continuous in $(X, \stackrel{F}{\rightarrow})$;
- (iii) f is a φ -contraction w.r.t. the metric d, where φ is a strict and strong comparison function.

Then:

(1)
$$F_f = \{x^*\};$$

- (2) $f^n(y_n) \xrightarrow{F} x^* as n \to \infty;$
- (3) $f^n(y_n) \xrightarrow{d} x^* as n \to \infty;$
- (4) $d(f^n(y_n), x^*) \leq \varphi(d(y_n, x^*)), \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}^*;$
- (5) $d(x, x^*) \leq \theta_{\varphi}(d(x, f(x))), \text{ for all } x \in Y;$
- (6) if $g: Y \to X$ is such that (*j*) there exists $\eta > 0$ such that $d(f(x), g(x)) \le \eta$, for all $x \in Y$; (*jj*) $F_g \ne \varnothing$ then $d(x^*, y^*) \le \theta_{\varphi}(\eta)$, for all $y^* \in F_g$.

Proof. (1) + (2). First, we remark that $\{f^i(y_n) \mid i = \overline{0, n-1}, n \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$ is a bounded set. Indeed, since the set $\{y_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$ is bounded, for a given $y_0 \in Y$ there exists a constant R > 0 such that $d(y_0, y_n) \leq R$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

Since $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is a comparison function, we have $\varphi(t) \leq t$, for all $t \geq 0$. By (*iii*) we have the following estimations

$$d(y_0, f(y_n)) \le d(y_0, f(y_0)) + d(f(y_0), f(y_n)) \le d(y_0, f(y_0)) + \varphi(d(y_0, y_n))$$

$$\le d(y_0, f(y_0)) + R, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

On the other hand, for any $i \ge 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_0, f^i(y_n)) &\leq d(y_0, f(y_0)) + d(f(y_0), f^i(y_n)) \\ &\leq d(y_0, f(y_0)) + d(f(y_0), f(y_n)) + d(f(y_n), f^i(y_n)) \\ &\leq d(y_0, f(y_0)) + R + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} d(f^j(y_n), f^{j+1}(y_n)) \\ &\leq d(y_0, f(y_0)) + R + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \varphi^j(d(y_n, f(y_n))), \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}^*. \end{aligned}$$

Since φ is a strong comparison function, it follows that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \varphi^j(d(y_n, f(y_n))) < \infty.$$

So, there exists a number $\Phi \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $d(y_0, f^i(y_n)) \leq d(y_0, f(y_0)) + R + \Phi$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Thus, the set $\{f^i(y_n) \mid i = \overline{0, n-1}, n \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$ is bounded.

Let $A \in P_b(Y)$ be such that $\{f^i(y_n) \mid i = \overline{0, n-1}, n \in \mathbb{N}^*\} \subset A$.

Let $A_1 := f(A), A_2 := f(A_1 \cap A), \ldots, A_{n+1} := f(A_n \cap A)$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. By this construction, we obtain the sequence of sets $\{A_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ with the following properties: $A_{n+1} \subset A_n$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, and $f^n(y_n) \in A_n$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

Since the operator f is a φ -contraction w.r.t. the metric d,

$$d(f(x), f(y)) \leq \varphi(d(x, y)), \text{ for all } x, y \in Y.$$

By taking the supremum over $x, y \in Y$ in the contraction condition, we get that

$$\delta(f(B)) \leq \varphi(\delta(B)), \text{ for all } B \in P_b(Y).$$

By using the properties of the diameter functional δ and by taking into account the assumption (*iii*), we have

$$\delta(A_{n+1}) = \delta(f(A_n \cap A)) \le \delta(f(A_n)) \le \varphi(\delta(A_n)), \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

By mathematical induction over $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, it follows that

$$\delta(A_{n+1}) \leq \varphi^{n+1}(\delta(A)), \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

By letting $n \to \infty$, we get that $\delta(A_{n+1}) \to 0$.

Since $f^n(y_n) \in A_n$, $f^{n-1}(y_n) \in A_{n-1} \cap A$ and $\delta(A_{n-1}) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, we get that $\{f^n(y_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ and $\{f^{n-1}(y_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ are fundamental sequences in (X, d).

By the condition (i) of Definition 1.4. we have that

$$f^{n-1}(y_n) \xrightarrow{F} u^*$$
 and $f^n(y_n) \xrightarrow{F} v^*$ as $n \to \infty$.

On the other hand, $d(f^{n-1}(y_n), f^n(y_n)) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. By the condition (*ii*) of Definition 1.4. we have that $u^* = v^* =: x^*$. Since f is continuous, we have

$$f^n(y_n) = f(f^{n-1}(y_n)) \xrightarrow{F} f(x^*)$$
 as $n \to \infty$.

So, $f(x^*) = x^*$. Hence $F_f = \{x^*\}$.

(3) + (4). By using the assumption (*iii*), we have

 $d(f^{n}(y_{n}), x^{*}) = d(f^{n}(y_{n}), f^{n}(x^{*})) \leq \varphi(d(f^{n-1}(y_{n}), f^{n-1}(x^{*}))) \leq \ldots \leq \varphi^{n}(d(y_{n}, x^{*})),$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$. Since $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_{+} \to \mathbb{R}_{+}$ is a comparison function, we have that $\varphi^{n}(d(y_{n}, x^{*})) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. So, $f^{n}(y_{n}) \xrightarrow{d} x^{*}$ as $n \to \infty$. On the other hand, φ is an increasing function on \mathbb{R}_{+} and $\varphi(t) \leq t$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$, with equality when t = 0. By mathematical induction over $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ we have

$$d(f^n(y_n), x^*) \le \varphi^n(d(y_n, x^*)) \le \ldots \le \varphi(d(y_n, x^*)), \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

(5). Since $d(x, x^*) \leq d(x, f(x)) + d(f(x), x^*) \leq d(x, f(x)) + \varphi(d(x, x^*))$, for all $x \in Y$, it follows that $d(x, x^*) - \varphi(d(x, x^*)) \leq d(x, f(x))$, for all $x \in Y$. We have next

$$d(x, x^*) \le \sup_{d(x, x^*) - \varphi(d(x, x^*)) \le d(x, f(x))} d(x, x^*) = \theta_{\varphi}(d(x, f(x))), \text{ for all } x \in Y.$$

(6). Let $y^* \in F_g$. Then, from the conclusion (5) we have

$$d(x^*, y^*) = d(y^*, x^*) \le \theta_{\varphi}(d(y^*, f(y^*))) = \theta_{\varphi}(d(g(y^*), f(y^*))) \le \theta_{\varphi}(\eta).$$

4. The case of Kannan operators

Let us recall first the notion of α -Kannan nonself operator. **Definition 4.1.** Let (X, d) be a metric space and $Y \in P(X)$. The operator $f: Y \to X$ is an α -Kannan operator, if there exists a constant $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ such that

$$d(f(x), f(y)) \le \alpha [d(x, f(x)) + d(y, f(y))], \text{ for all } x, y \in Y.$$

In the proof of the main result of this section, we will use the maximal displacement functional, also recalled below.

Definition 4.2. Let (X, d) be a metric space, $Y \in P_{cl}(X)$ and $f : Y \to X$ be a continuous nonself operator. By the maximal displacement functional corresponding to f, we understand the functional $E_f : P(Y) \to \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{+\infty\}$ defined by

$$E_f(A) := \sup\{d(x, f(x)) \mid x \in A\}, \text{ for all } A \in P(Y).$$

The maximal displacement functional has the following properties:

(i)
$$A, B \in P(Y), A \subset B$$
 imply $E_f(A) \leq E_f(B)$;

(ii)
$$E_f(A) = E_f(A)$$
, for all $A \in P(Y)$.

Lemma 4.1. Let (X,d) be a metric space, $Y \in P_{cl}(X)$ and $f : Y \to X$ be a continuous α -Kannan operator. Then:

(1) $E_f(f(A)) \leq \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} E_f(A)$, for all $A \in Y$ with $f(A) \subset Y$;

(2) $E_f(f(A) \cap Y) \leq \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} E_f(A)$, for all $A \in Y$ with $f(A) \cap Y \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. (1). From the definition of the maximal displacement functional corresponding to f we have that

$$E_f(f(A)) = \sup\{d(x, f(x)) \mid x \in f(A)\} = \sup\{d(f(u), f^2(u)) \mid u \in A\}.$$

Since f is an α -Kannan operator, we have

$$d(f(u), f^2(u)) \le \alpha[d(u, f(u)) + d(f(u), f^2(u))], \text{ for all } u \in A.$$

It follows that $d(f(u), f^2(u)) \leq \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} d(u, f(u))$, for all $u \in A$. Hence

$$E_f(f(A)) \le \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \sup\{d(u, f(u)) \mid u \in A\} = \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} E_f(A),$$

for all $A \in Y$ with $f(A) \subset Y$.

(2). Taking into consideration that f is an α -Kannan operator, we have that

$$E_f(f(A) \cap Y) = \sup\{d(x, f(x)) \mid x \in f(A) \cap Y\}$$

$$= \sup\{d(f(u), f^2(u)) \mid u \in A, \ f(u) \in Y\}$$

$$\leq \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \sup\{d(u, f(u)) \mid u \in A\}$$

$$= \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} E_f(A),$$

for all $A \in Y$ with $f(A) \cap Y \neq \emptyset$.

The following result generalizes Theorem 4 given by I.A. Rus and M.-A. Serban in [19] for Kannan operators in the context of complete metric spaces.

Theorem 4.1. Let (X, \xrightarrow{F}, d) be a large Kasahara space, $Y \subset X$ be a closed subset of (X, \xrightarrow{F}) and $f: Y \to X$ be an operator. We suppose that:

- (i) there exists $y_n \in Y$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, such that the set $\{y_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$ is bounded and $f^{i}(y_{n})$ is defined for $i = \overline{1, n}, n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$;
- (ii) f is continuous in $(X, \stackrel{F}{\rightarrow})$;
- (iii) f is an α -Kannan operator w.r.t. the metric d;
- (iv) $E_f(Y) < \infty$.

Then:

(1)
$$F_f = \{x^*\}$$

- (1) $F_f = \{x^*\};$ (2) $f^n(y_n) \xrightarrow{F} x^* \text{ as } n \to \infty;$
- (3) $f^n(y_n) \xrightarrow{d} x^* \text{ as } n \to \infty;$
- (4) $d(x, x^*) \le (1+\alpha)d(x, f(x)), \text{ for all } x \in Y;$ (5) $d(f^{n-1}(x_n), x^*) \le (1+\alpha)\left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right)^{n-1}d(x_n, f(x_n)), \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}^*;$
- (6) if $g: Y \to X$ is such that (j) there exists $\eta > 0$ such that $d(f(x), g(x)) \leq \eta$, for all $x \in Y$; $(jj) \ F_g \neq \emptyset$ then $d(x^*, y^*) \leq (1 + \alpha)\eta$, for all $y^* \in F_q$.

Proof. (1) + (2). First, we remark that $\{f^i(y_n) \mid i = \overline{0, n-1}, n \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$ is a bounded set. Indeed, since the set $\{y_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$ is bounded, for a given $y_0 \in Y$ there exists a constant R > 0 such that $d(y_0, y_n) \leq R$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

By (iii) we have the following estimations

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_0, f(y_n)) &\leq d(y_0, f(y_0)) + d(f(y_0), f(y_n)) \\ &\leq d(y_0, f(y_0)) + \alpha[d(y_0, f(y_0)) + d(y_n, f(y_n))] \\ &\leq (1+\alpha)d(y_0, f(y_0)) + \alpha d(y_n, y_0) + \alpha d(y_0, f(y_n)), \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}^*. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$d(y_0, f(y_n)) \le \frac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha} d(y_0, f(y_0)) + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} R, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

On the other hand, for any $i \ge 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_0, f^i(y_n)) &\leq d(y_0, f(y_0)) + d(f(y_0), f^i(y_n)) \\ &\leq d(y_0, f(y_0)) + d(f(y_0), f(y_n)) + d(f(y_n), f^i(y_n)) \\ &\leq d(y_0, f(y_0)) + \alpha[d(y_0, f(y_0)) + d(y_n, f(y_n))] + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} d(f^j(y_n), f^{j+1}(y_n)) \\ &\leq (1+\alpha)d(y_0, f(y_0)) + \alpha[d(y_n, y_0) + d(y_0, f(y_n))] + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right)^j d(y_n, f(y_n)) \\ &\leq (1+\alpha)d(y_0, f(y_0)) + \alpha R + \alpha d(y_0, f(y_n)) + \frac{\alpha}{1-2\alpha}[d(y_n, y_0) + d(y_0, f(y_n))] \\ &\leq (1+\alpha)d(y_0, f(y_0)) + 2\alpha \frac{1-\alpha}{1-2\alpha}[R + d(y_0, f(y_n))] \\ &\leq \frac{1+\alpha}{1-2\alpha}d(y_0, f(y_0)) + \frac{2\alpha}{1-2\alpha}R, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}^*. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the set $\{f^i(y_n) \mid i = \overline{0, n-1}, n \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$ is bounded.

Let $A \in P_b(Y)$ be such that $\{f^i(y_n) \mid i = \overline{0, n-1}, n \in \mathbb{N}^*\} \subset A$.

Let $A_1 := f(A), A_2 := f(A_1 \cap A), \ldots, A_{n+1} := f(A_n \cap A)$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. By this construction, we obtain the sequence of sets $\{A_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ with the following properties: $A_{n+1} \subset A_n$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, and $f^n(y_n) \in A_n$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

By the definitions of the diameter functional δ and maximal displacement functional E_f and taking into account the properties stated in Lemma 4.1., we have

$$\delta(A_{n+1}) = \delta(f(A_n \cap A)) \le 2\alpha E_f(A_n \cap A) = 2\alpha E_f(f(A_{n-1} \cap A) \cap A)$$
$$\le \frac{2\alpha^2}{1-\alpha} E_f(A_{n-1} \cap A) \le \dots \le \frac{2\alpha^{n+1}}{(1-\alpha)^n} E_f(A) \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

By following the proof of Theorem 2.1., the conclusions follow.

- (3). Follows from the proof of (5).
- (4). Let $x \in Y$. By using the assumption (*iii*), we have

$$\begin{split} & d(x,x^*) \leq d(x,f(x)) + d(f(x),f(x^*)) \leq d(x,f(x)) + \alpha[d(x,f(x)) + d(x^*,f(x^*))].\\ & \text{It follows that } d(x,x^*) \leq (1+\alpha)d(x,f(x)), \text{ for all } x \in Y. \end{split}$$

ALEXANDRU-DARIUS FILIP

(5). Let $x := f^{n-1}(x_n)$ in the conclusion (4). Then we have

$$d(f^{n-1}(x_n), x^*) \leq (1+\alpha)d(f^{n-1}(x_n), f^n(x_n))$$

$$\leq (1+\alpha)\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}d(f^{n-2}(x_n), f^{n-1}(x_n))$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\leq (1+\alpha)\left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right)^{n-1}d(x_n, f(x_n)), \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

(6). Let $y^* \in F_q$. By letting $x := y^*$ in the conclusion (4) we have

$$d(y^*, x^*) \le (1 + \alpha)d(y^*, f(y^*)).$$

Hence $d(x^*, y^*) \le (1 + \alpha)d(g(y^*), f(y^*)) \le (1 + \alpha)\eta$, for all $y^* \in F_g$.

5. Some problems

The above considerations give rise to the following problems.

Problem 5.1. Let (X, d) be a metric space, $Y \subset X$ be a nonempty closed subset and $\{y_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subset Y$ be a bounded sequence. For which generalized contractions ([10], [18], [1], [7]) $f: Y \to X$, the following implication holds: $f^i(y_n)$ is defined for $i = \overline{1, n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ implies that the set $\{f^i(y_n) \mid i = \overline{1, n}, n \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$ is bounded ?

Problem 5.2. If f is a solution of Problem 5.1. in which conditions we have that:

- $\begin{array}{ll} (i) & F_f = \{x^*\};\\ (ii) & f^n(y_n) \to x^* \text{ as } n \to \infty \end{array} .$

Problem 5.3. Let $(X, \xrightarrow{F} d)$ be a large Kasahara space, $Y \subset X$ be a closed subset of $(X, \stackrel{F}{\rightarrow})$ and $f: Y \to X$ be an operator. We suppose that:

- (i) there exists a bounded sequence $\{y_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subset Y$ such that $f^i(y_n)$ is defined for $i = \overline{1, n}, n \in \mathbb{N}^*;$
- (*ii*) f is continuous in $(X, \stackrel{F}{\rightarrow})$.

The problem is to find those generalized contractions f, satisfying the above conditions, for which we have that:

(1)
$$F_f = \{x^*\};$$

(2) $f_f^n(x) \xrightarrow{F_1} x^*$

(2)
$$f^n(y_n) \xrightarrow{i} x^*$$
 as $n \to \infty$.

References

- [1] V. Berinde, Iterative Approximation of Fixed Points, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2007.
- [2] A. Chiş-Novac, R. Precup, I.A. Rus, Data dependence of fixed points for non-self generalized contractions, Fixed Point Theory, 10(2009), 73-87.
- [3] A.-D. Filip, Fixed Point Theory in Kasahara Spaces, Casa Cărții de Știință, Cluj-Napoca, 2015.
- [4] A.-D. Filip, I.A. Rus, Fixed point theory for nonself generalized contractions in Kasahara spaces, An. Univ. Vest Timişoara, Ser. Mat.-Inform., 57(2019), 66-76.
- [5] M. Fréchet, Les Espaces Abstraits, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1928.
- [6] A. Granas, J. Dugundji, Fixed Point Theory, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003.
- [7] G.E. Hardy, T.D. Rogers, A generalization of a fixed point theorem of Reich, Canad. Math. Bull., 16(1973), 201-206.

- [8] S. Reich, A.J. Zaslavski, A note on Rakotch contractions, Fixed Point Theory, 9(2008), no. 1, 267-273.
- [9] I.A. Rus, Basic problem for Maia's theorem, Sem. Fixed Point Theory, (1981), 112-115.
- [10] I.A. Rus, Generalized Contractions and Applications, Cluj University Press, Cluj-Napoca, 2001.
- [11] I.A. Rus, Picard operators and applications, Sci. Math. Jpn., 58(2003), 191-219.
- [12] I.A. Rus, Fixed Point Structure Theory, Cluj University Press, 2006.
- [13] I.A. Rus, Kasahara spaces, Sci. Math. Jpn., 72(2010), no. 1, 101-110.
- [14] I.A. Rus, Five open problems in fixed point theory in terms of fixed point structures (I): Single valued operators, Proceedings of the 10th IC-FPTA, 39-60, July 9-18 Cluj-Napoca, Romania, House of the Book of Science 2013, Cluj-Napoca.
- [15] I.A. Rus, The generalized retraction methods in fixed point theory for nonself operators, Fixed Point Theory, 15(2014), no. 2, 559-578.
- [16] I.A. Rus, Some variants of contraction principle, generalizations and applications, Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math., 61(2016), 343-358.
- [17] I.A. Rus, A. Mureşan, V. Mureşan, Weakly Picard operators on a set with two metrics, Fixed Point Theory, 6(2005), no. 2, 323-331.
- [18] I.A. Rus, A. Petruşel, G. Petruşel, Fixed Point Theory, Cluj University Press, 2008.
- [19] I.A. Rus, M.-A. Şerban, Some fixed point theorems for nonself generalized contractions, Miskolc Math. Notes, 17(2016), no. 2, 1021-1031.

Received: October 28, 2021; Accepted: May 10, 2022.

ALEXANDRU-DARIUS FILIP