

STRICT FIXED POINT THEORY

IOAN A. RUS

Department of Applied Mathematics
Babeş-Bolyai University
Str. M. Kogălniceanu Nr.1, Cluj-Napoca
e-mail: iarus@math.ubbcluj.ro

Abstract. In this paper, we survey some results on strict fixed point theory for multivalued operators. Some new results are given.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25, 47H04.

Key Words and Phrases: multivalued operators, strict fixed points, common strict fixed points.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a nonempty set and $T : X \multimap X$ a multivalued operator. An element $x \in X$ is a fixed point of T if $x \in T(x)$ and a strict fixed point if $T(x) = \{x\}$. We denote by F_T the fixed point set of T and by $(SF)_T$ the strict fixed point set of T .

Let (X, d) be a metric space. We denote:

$$P(X) := \{Y \subset X \mid Y \neq \emptyset\},$$

$$P_p(X) := \{Y \in P(X) \mid Y \text{ has the property } p\},$$

where p could be: b = bounded, cl = closed, cp = compact, etc.

In what follow we consider the following functionals:

$$D : P(X) \times P(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+, \quad D(Y, Z) := \inf\{d(y, z) \mid y \in Y, z \in Z\},$$

$$\delta : P(X) \times P(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{+\infty\}, \quad \delta(Y, Z) := \sup\{d(y, z) \mid y \in Y, z \in Z\},$$

$$\rho : P(X) \times P(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{+\infty\}, \quad \rho(Y, Z) := \sup\{D(y, Z) \mid y \in Y\},$$

$$H : P(X) \times P(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{+\infty\}, \quad H(Y, Z) := \sup(\rho(Y, Z), \rho(Z, Y)).$$

For the basic properties of these functionals see [26] and [14].

The aim of this paper is to survey strict fixed point theorems for multivalued operators and to give some new results. For the reader convenience some of our results which appeared in less accessible publications will be presented together with their proofs.

2. STRICT FIXED POINT THEOREMS

We begin our considerations with the following result for generalized δ -contraction:

Theorem 2.1 ([22], [23]). *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, $T : X \rightarrow P_b(X)$ and $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a function. We suppose that:*

- (i) $r, s \in \mathbb{R}_+^5$, $r \leq s$ implies $\varphi(r) \leq \varphi(s)$;
- (ii) there exists $p > 1$ such that

$$\varphi(r, pr, pr, r, r) < r, \quad \forall r > 0;$$

- (iii) $r - \varphi(r, pr, pr, r, r) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $r \rightarrow +\infty$;
- (iv) φ is continuous;
- (v) for all $x, y \in X$,

$$\delta(T(x), T(y)) \leq \varphi(d(x, y), \delta(x, T(x)), \delta(y, T(y)), D(x, T(y)), D(y, T(x))).$$

Then

$$F_T = (SF)_T = \{x^*\}.$$

Proof. Let $p > 1$. By Lemma 8.1.3 in [26] (see also [21] or [27]) there exists a selection t of T such that $\delta(x, T(x)) \leq pd(x, t(x))$. From the condition (v) it follows that

$$d(t(x), t(y)) \leq \varphi(d(x, y), d(x, t(x)), d(y, t(y)), d(x, t(y)), d(y, t(x))).$$

From a fixed point theorem for φ -contraction (see [26]) the operator t has a unique fixed point x^* .

Let $x \in F_T$. If we take in (v), $y = x$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(T(x)) &= \delta(T(x), T(x)) \leq u(0, \delta(x, T(x)), \delta(x, T(x)), 0, 0) \leq \\ &\leq \varphi(\delta(T(x)), p\delta(T(x)), p\delta(T(x)), \delta(T(x)), \delta(T(x))). \end{aligned}$$

From the condition (ii) we have $\delta(T(x)) = 0$, i.e., $T(x) = \{x\}$. So, $F_T = (SF)_T \neq \emptyset$.

Now the uniqueness follows from (v).

Remark 2.1. From the above theorem we have some results given by S. Reich [20], Lj. B. Ćirić [3], K. Iseki [12], I. A. Rus [21].

Theorem 2.2. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T : X \rightarrow P_b(X)$ a multivalued operator. We suppose that*

(i) $x \in T(x), \forall x \in X$;

(ii) *there exists a comparison function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ and a Picard sequence $x_{n+1} \in T(x_n), n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$\delta(T(x_{n+1})) \leq \varphi(\delta(T(x_n))), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then $x_n \rightarrow x^$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $x^* \in (SF)_T$.*

Proof. From the condition (ii) it follows that

$$\delta(T(x_n)) \leq \varphi^n(\delta(T(x_0))) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

This implies that $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence. So $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is convergent. Let x^* be the limit of $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. From (i) $x^* \in T(x^*)$ and from $\delta(T(x^*)) = 0$, it follows that $x^* \in (SF)_T$.

Remark 2.2. If we take $\varphi(t) = kt, 0 \leq k < 1$, we have a result given by H. W. Corley in [4].

Remark 2.3. For others results for reflexive multivalued operators see S. Danes, M. Hegedüs and P. Medvegyev [6].

Theorem 2.3 ([24]). *Let (X, d) be a bounded complete metric space and $T : X \rightarrow P(X)$ a (δ, φ) -contraction, i.e., φ is a comparison function ([26]) and*

$$\delta(T(Y)) \leq \varphi(\delta(Y)), \quad \forall Y \in P(X), T(Y) \subset Y.$$

Then

(i) $F_T = (SF)_T = \{x^*\}$;

(ii) *There exists a selection $t : X \rightarrow X$ of T which is P.O., i.e., $F_t = \{x^*\}$ and*

$$t^n(x_0) \rightarrow x^* \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ for each } x_0 \in X;$$

(iii) $T^n(x) \xrightarrow{H} \{x^*\}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty, \forall x \in X$.

Proof. (i). Let $X_1 := \overline{T(X)}, \dots, X_{n+1} := \overline{T(X_n)}, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

We remark that

- (a) $X \supset X_1 \supset \cdots \supset X_n \supset \dots$;
- (b) $X_n \in P_{b,cl}(X)$ and $T(X_n) \subset X_n$;
- (c) $\delta(X_n) \leq \varphi^n(\delta(X)) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $X_\infty := \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$. From (a)+(b)+(c) we have that $T(X_\infty) \subset X_\infty$ and $\delta(X_\infty) = 0$. So, $X_\infty = \{x^*\}$, and $x^* \in (SF)_T$. On the other hand $F_T \subset \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$. This implies that $F_T = (SF)_T = \{x^*\}$.

(ii). Let $t(x) \in T(x)$, $\forall x \in X$. Let $x, y \in X$. Then $t(x), t(y) \in T(X)$ and $t^n(x), t^n(y) \in T^n(X)$.

From (i) we have that $t^n(x) \rightarrow x^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

(iii). We remark that $T^n(x) \subset T^n(X)$, $\forall x \in X$.

Now (i) \Rightarrow (iii).

Remark 2.4. From the Theorem 2.3 we have some results by S. Reich [20], Lj. B. Ćirić [3], K. Iseki [12], I. A. Rus [21], [22], [23], [24], A. Petruşel and I. A. Rus [19],...

Remark 2.5. If (X, d) is a bounded complete metric space then Theorem 2.3 implies Theorem 2.1.

3. STRICT FIXED POINTS AND FRACTAL OPERATORS

Let (X, d) be a metric space. An operator $t : X \rightarrow X$ is Picard operator if $F_t = \{x^*\}$ and $t^n(x) \rightarrow x^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for all $x \in X$ ([26]).

Let $T : X \multimap X$. The operator $\widehat{T} : P(X) \rightarrow P(X)$ defined by $\widehat{T}(Y) := \bigcup_{x \in Y} T(x)$ is called the fractal operator generated by T ([5], [8], [9], [16], [18], [19],...).

We have

Theorem 3.1. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, $U \subset P_{cl}(X)$ and $T : X \rightarrow U$. We suppose that*

- (i) $x \in X$ implies $\{x\} \in U$;
- (ii) $Y \in U$ implies $T(Y) \in U$;
- (iii) $T : (U, H) \rightarrow (U, H)$ is Picard operator.

Then

$$(SF)_T \neq \emptyset \text{ implies } F_T = (SF)_T = \{x^*\}.$$

Proof. Let Y^* be the unique fixed point of \widehat{T} . From (iii) it follows that

$$T^n(x) \xrightarrow{H} Y^* \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

If we take $x = x^* \in (SF)_T$, we have $Y^* = \{x^*\}$.

On the other hand if $x \in F_T$, then $x \in T(x) \subset T^2(x) \subset \dots \subset T^n(x) \rightarrow \{x^*\}$.
So, $F_T \subset \{x^*\}$.

From Theorem 3.1 we have

Theorem 3.2. (Rus (1997); see [28], or [26]) *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T : X \rightarrow P_{b,cl}(X)$ a contraction. Then*

$$(SF)_T \neq \emptyset \text{ implies } F_T = (SF)_T = \{x^*\}.$$

Remark 3.1. For others results of this type see A. Sîntămărian [28] and A. Muntean [14].

Remark 3.2. For some results on common strict fixed points see A. Ahmad and M. Imdad [1], M. Avram [2], T. L. Hicks [10], T. Hicks and B. E. Rhoades [11], K. Iseki [12], T. Kubiak [13], A. Muntean [14], A. S. Mureşan [15], N. Negoescu [17], I. A. Rus, A. Petruşel and G. Petruşel [27], A. Sîntămărian [28] and D. H. Tan and D. T. Nhan [30].

Remark 3.3. For a set-theoretic aspects of strict fixed point theory see I. A. Rus [25].

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Ahmad and M. Imdad, *On common fixed point of mappings and multivalued mappings*, Radovi Mat., **8**(1992), 147-158.
- [2] M. Avram, *Points fixes communs pour les applications multivoques dans les espaces métriques*, Mathematica, **17**(1975), No. 2, 153-156.
- [3] Lj. B. Ćirić, *A generalization of Banach's contraction principle*, Proc. AMS, **45**(1974), 267-273.
- [4] H. W. Corley, *Some hybrid fixed point theorem related to optimization*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **120**(1986), 528-532.
- [5] H. Covitz and . B. Nadler, *Multivalued contraction mappings in generalized metric spaces*, Israel J. Math., **8**(1970), No. 1, 5-11.
- [6] S. Dancs, M. Hegedüs and P. Medvegyev, *A general ordering and fixed point principle in complete metric space*, Acta Sci. Math., **46**(1983), 381-388.
- [7] F. S. De Blasi, *Compactness gauge and fixed points*, Atti Acad. Naz. Lincei, Rend., **57**(1974), No. 3-4, 170-176.

- [8] R. B. Fraser and S. B. Nadler, *Sequences of contractive maps and fixed points*, Pacific J. Math. **31**(1969), 659-667.
- [9] V. Glăvan and V. Guțu, *On the dynamics of contracting relations*, Anal. Optim. Diff. Systems, Kluwer, 2003, 179-188.
- [10] T. L. Hics, *Setvalued mappings on metric spaces*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **22**(1991), 269-271.
- [11] T. Hicks and B. E. Rhoades, *Fixed points and continuity for multivalued mappings*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci., **15**(1992), No. 1, 15-30.
- [12] K. Iseki, *Multivalued contraction mappings in complete metric spaces*, Math. Sem. Notes, **2**(1974), 45-49.
- [13] T. Kubiak, *Fixed point theorems for contractive type multivalued mappings*, Math. Japonica, **30**(1985), No. 1, 89-101.
- [14] A. Muntean, *Fixed point principles and applications to mathematical economics*, Cluj Univ. Press, Cluj-Napoca, 2002.
- [15] A. S. Mureșan, *On some invariant problem of fixed point set for multivalued mappings*, Seminar on Fixed Point Theory, Cluj-Napoca, 1985, 37-42.
- [16] S. B. Nadler, *Multivalued contraction mappings*, Pacific J. Math., **30**(1969), No. 2, 475-488.
- [17] N. Negoescu, *Observations sur des paires d'applications multivoques d'un certain type de contractivité*, Bul. Inst. Politehnic Iași, **35**(1989), 21-25.
- [18] A. Petrușel, *Generalized multivalued contractions*, Nonlinear Analysis, **47**(2001), 649-659.
- [19] A. Petrușel and I. A. Rus, *Multivalued Picard and weakly Picard operators* (to appear).
- [20] S. Reich, *Fixed points of contractive functions*, Boll. UMI, **5**(1972), 26-42.
- [21] I. A. Rus, *Fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings in complete metric spaces*, Math. Japonica, **20**(1975), 21-24.
- [22] I. A. Rus, *Some general fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings in complete metric spaces*, Proc. of the third Colloq. Operations Research, Cluj-Napoca, 1978/1979, 20-21.
- [23] I. A. Rus, *Fixed and strict fixed points for multivalued mappings*, Seminar on Fixed Point Theory, 1985, 77-82.
- [24] I. A. Rus, *Reducible multivalued mappings and fixed points*, Itinerant Seminar, Cluj-Napoca, 1990, 77-82.
- [25] I. A. Rus, *Technique of the fixed point structures for multivalued mappings*, Math. Japonica, **38**(1993), 289-296.
- [26] I. A. Rus, *Generalized contractions and applications*, Cluj Univ. Press, Cluj-Napoca, 2001.
- [27] I. A. Rus, A. Petrușel and G. Petrușel, *Fixed Point Theory 1950-2000. Romanian Contributions*, House of the Book of Science, Cluj-Napoca, 2002.
- [28] A. Sîntămărian, *Metrical strict fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings*, Seminar on Fixed Point Theory, 1997, 27-31.

- [29] A. Sintămărian, *Common fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings*, Seminar on Fixed Point Theory, 1(2000), 93-102.
- [30] D. H. Tan, D. T. Nhan, *Common fixed points of two mappings of contractive type*, Acta Math. Vietnamica, 5(1980), 150-160.