

ON KHAMSI'S FIXED POINT THEOREM

EDITH MIKLÓS

Department of Mathematics
Babeş-Bolyai University Cluj-Napoca
e-mail: debrenti@easynet.ro

Abstract. In the paper [4], Khamsi gives an abstract formulation to Sadowskii's fixed point theorem. In this paper we will present a general fixed point principle, which generalizes Khamsi's fixed point theorem.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

Key Words and Phrases: Kuratowski measure of noncompactness, hyperconvex metric spaces, fixed point, fixed point structure, θ -condensing mapping, retractible mapping.

1. INTRODUCTION

May be one of the most interesting result in metric fixed point theory is Kirk's theorem [5]. The initial attempts to extend it to the nonlinear case were not very successful. In the paper [4], Khamsi considers a notion of convexity structure and discuss Sadowskii's fixed point theorem in this setting. Then he gives an interesting example of hyperconvex metric spaces.

In this paper we will present a general fixed point principle, which generalize Khamsi's fixed point theorem and we will give a general fixed point principle for the case of non self-mappings. Our approach is based on the retraction mapping principle.

2. KHAMSI'S FIXED POINT THEOREM

Definition 2.1. [4] Let (X, d) be a metric space and F a family of bounded subsets of X . We will say:

(i) F has the *intersection property (IP)* if and only if $A \cap B \in F$ provided $A \in F$ and $B \in F$.

(ii) F has the *chain intersection property (CIP)* if and only if $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i \in F$ provided $(A_i)_{i \in I}$ is a decreasing chain of elements in F .

In both cases, we may talk about the F -closure of $A \in P_b(X)$, which we will denote $co_F(A)$. Indeed, if F has *IP*, then we set

$$co_F(A) = \bigcap_{B \in F(A)} B,$$

where $F(A) = \{B \in F / A \subset B\}$.

Example 2.1. Let X be a normed linear space and $Y \subset X$ a closed bounded convex subset of X . Consider F to be the family of all the closed convex subsets of Y . Then F satisfies *IP*.

Definition 2.2. [4] Let (X, d) be a metric space and F a family of closed bounded subsets of X . We will say that F is α_K -invariant if and only if for any $A \in P_b(X)$, $co_F(A)$ exists and $\alpha_K(co_F(A)) = \alpha_K(A)$.

Definition 2.3. [1] A metric space X is said to be *hyperconvex* if and only if for any family $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ of points in X and any family $(r_i)_{i \in I}$ of positive numbers such that $d(x_i, x_j) \leq r_i + r_j, \forall i, j \in I$, then we have :

$$\bigcap_{i \in I} B(x_i, r_i) \neq \emptyset.$$

Remark 2.1. [2] If X is a hyperconvex metric space and (H_i) is a decreasing chain of bounded hyperconvex subsets of X , then $\bigcap_i H_i$ is not empty and is hyperconvex. Therefore, the family $H = \{H \subset P_b(X) / H \neq \emptyset, H \text{ is hyperconvex}\}$ satisfies *CIP* (but fails to satisfy *IP*, i.e. the intersection of two hyperconvex is not necessarily hyperconvex).

Proposition 2.1. (Khamsi) [4] Let X be a hyperconvex metric space and H an associated family to X . Then H is α_K -invariant.

Definition 2.4. [4] Let (X, d) be a metric space and F a family of bounded subsets of X . We will say that F satisfies the property *(S)* (for Schauder) if and only if for any $Y \in F$ nonempty compact set and any $f : Y \rightarrow Y$ continuous map, we have $F_f \neq \emptyset$.

Proposition 2.2. (Khamsi) [3] Let X be a hyperconvex metric space and H an associated family to X . Then the family H satisfies *(S)*.

Theorem 2.2. (Khamisi) [4] Let (X, d) be a metric space and F a family of bounded subsets of X . We assume that:

- (i) F satisfy IP (or CIP)
- (ii) F satisfy the property (S)
- (iii) F is α_K - invariant.

Then, for any nonempty $Y \in F$ and any continuous $f : Y \rightarrow Y$, which is condensing, we have $F_f \neq \emptyset$.

Corollary 2.1. (Kirk) [5] Let X be a bounded hyperconvex metric space and $f : X \rightarrow X$ a continuous condensing map. Then $F_f \neq \emptyset$.

3. MAIN RESULTS

The main result of the paper is the following:

Theorem 3.1. Let $(X, S(X), M)$ be a fixed point structure, $\theta : Z \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ and $\eta : P(X) \rightarrow P(X)$ a closure operator. Let $S(X) \subset S_1(X) \subset \eta(Z) \subset Z$, which satisfies the following condition: $A \in S_1(X)$ and $A \in F_\eta \cap Z_\theta$ implies $A \in S(X)$.

Let $Y \in S_1(X)$ and $f \in M(Y)$.

We suppose that:

- (i) $\theta(\eta(A)) = \theta(A), \forall A \in Z$,
- (ii) $A \in Z, x \in X \Rightarrow A \cup \{x\} \in Z$ and $\theta(A \cup \{x\}) = \theta(A)$,
- (iii) $S_1(X)$ satisfy the following intersection property:
 $A_i \in S_1(X), A_{i+1} \subset A_i, i \in \mathbf{N} \Rightarrow \bigcap_{i \in \mathbf{N}} A_i \in S_1(X)$,
- (iv) f is θ - condensing mapping.

Then:

- (a) $\exists A \in I(f) \cap S(X)$
- (b) $F_f \neq \emptyset$.
- (c) If $F_f \in Z$, then $\theta(F_f) = 0$.

Proof. Let $a \in Y$ and $A = \{a\} \subset Y$. Then by a lemma in [10] there exists $A_0 \subset Y$, which satisfies the following conditions:

- (c₁) $A \subset A_0$
- (c₂) $A_0 \in F_\eta$
- (c₃) $A_0 \in I(f)$
- (c₄) $\eta(f(A_0) \cup A) = A_0$.

Thus by (i), (ii) and (c_4) we have $\theta(\eta(f(A_0) \cup A)) = \theta(f(A_0) \cup A) = \theta(f(A_0) \cup \{a\}) = \theta(f(A_0)) = \theta(A_0)$. The mapping f is θ -condensing, this implies $\theta(A_0) = 0$, thus $A_0 \in Z_\theta$.

By (c_2) we have $A_0 \in F_\eta \cap Z_\theta$, this implies $A_0 \in S(X)$ and by (c_3) we have $A_0 \in I(f) \cap S(X)(a)$.

We consider $f/A_0 : A_0 \rightarrow A_0, A_0 \subset Y, f \in M(Y)$, we have $f/A_0 \in M(A_0)$. Since $(X, S(X), M)$ is a fixed point structure, we have $F_f \neq \emptyset(b)$.

If $F_f \in Z$, from $\theta(F_f) = \theta(f(F_f))$ we have $\theta(F_f) = 0(c)$.

Proof of the theorem 2.2. Let $S(X) := \{Y \in P_{cp}(X)/f \in C(Y) \Rightarrow F_f \neq \emptyset\}$ and $M = C$.

Thus $(X, S(X), M)$ is a fixed point structure. We consider the Kuratowski's mapping $\theta = \alpha_K : P_b(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$, and $\eta : P(X) \rightarrow P(X)$ a closure operator, $\eta(A) = \overline{c\overline{o}_F(A)}, \forall A \in P(X)$. Let $S_1(X) = F$ from hypothesis.

If $A \in S_1(X) = F$ and $A \in F_\eta \cap Z_\theta$, we have A bounded, $\overline{c\overline{o}_F(A)} = A$ and $\alpha_K(A) = 0$, this implies A is compact. For any $f \in C(A)$, from(ii) we have $F_f \neq \emptyset$, thus $A \in S(X)$.

$Y \in F = S_1(X)$, from hypothesis $f \in M(Y)$. F is α_K -invariant, for any $A \in P_b(X), x \in X$ we have $A \cup \{x\} \in P_b(X)$ and $\alpha_K(A \cup \{x\}) = \alpha_K(A)$.

$S_1(X) = F$ has the intersection property CIP, f is α_K -condensing mapping, and for any $f \in C(Y), Z \subset Y, f(Z) \subset Z \Rightarrow f/Z \in C(Z)$. Then by the above theorem, we have $F_f \neq \emptyset$.

Further on we will present a general fixed point principle for the case of non-self mappings. Our approach is based on the retraction mapping principle. Then we will give as application, Khamsi's fixed point theorem for the case of non-self mappings.

Definition 3.1. Let X be a nonempty set and $Y \subset X$ a nonempty subset of X . A mapping $\rho : X \rightarrow Y$ is called a *retraction* of X onto Y , if $\rho|_Y = 1_Y$.

Definition 3.2. A mapping $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is called *retractible* onto Y by $\rho : X \rightarrow Y$, if $F_f = F_{\rho \circ f}$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $(X, S(X), M)$ be a fixed point structure, $\theta : Z \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ and $\eta : P(X) \rightarrow P(X)$ a closure operator. Let $S(X) \subset S_1(X) \subset \eta(Z) \subset Z$, which satisfies the following condition:

$A \in S_1(X)$ and $A \in F_\eta \cap Z_\theta$ implies $A \in S(X)$.

Let $Y \in S_1(X)$ and $f : Y \rightarrow X$ a mapping and $\rho : X \rightarrow Y$ a retraction.

We suppose that:

- (i) $\theta(\eta(A)) = \theta(A), \forall A \in Z,$
- (ii) $A \in Z, x \in X \Rightarrow A \cup \{x\} \in Z$ and $\theta(A \cup \{x\}) = \theta(A),$
- (iii) $S_1(X)$ satisfy the following intersection property:
 $A_i \in S_1(X), A_{i+1} \subset A_i, i \in \mathbf{N} \Rightarrow \bigcap_{i \in \mathbf{N}} A_i \in S_1(X),$
- (iv) f is a strong θ - condensing mapping
- (v) ρ is $(\theta, 1)$ - contraction mapping
- (vi) f is retractible onto Y by ρ and $\rho \circ f \in M(Y).$

Then $F_f \neq \emptyset$ and if $F_f \in Z,$ we have $\theta(F_f) = 0.$

Proof. Let $a \in Y$ and $A = \{a\} \subset Y.$ The set $Y \in F_\eta$ and $\rho \circ f : Y \rightarrow Y.$ Then by a lemma in [10] there exists $A_0 \subset Y,$ which satisfies the following conditions:

- $(c_1) A \subset A_0$
- $(c_2) A_0 \in F_\eta$
- $(c_3) A_0 \in I(\rho \circ f)$
- $(c_4) \eta((\rho \circ f)(A_0) \cup A) = A_0.$

The mapping $\rho \circ f : Y \rightarrow Y$ is strong θ -condensing, because from conditions (v) and (iv) we have:

$$\theta((\rho \circ f)(A)) \leq \theta(f(A)) < \theta(A), \forall A \in P(Y) \cap Z, \theta(A) \neq 0$$

Thus by (i), (ii) and (c_4) we have $\theta(\eta((\rho \circ f)(A_0) \cup A)) = \theta((\rho \circ f)(A_0) \cup A) = \theta((\theta \circ f)(A_0) \cup \{a\}) = \theta((\rho \circ f)(A_0)) = \theta(A_0).$ The mapping $\rho \circ f : Y \rightarrow Y$ is strong θ - condensing, this implies $\theta(A_0) = 0,$ thus $A_0 \in Z_\theta.$

By (c_2) we have $A_0 \in F_\eta \cap Z_\theta,$ this implies $A_0 \in S(X)$ and by (c_3) we have $A_0 \in I(\rho \circ f) \cap S(X).$

We consider $\rho \circ f /_{A_0} : A_0 \rightarrow A_0, A_0 \subset Y, \rho \circ f \in M(Y), (X, S(X), M)$ is a fixed point structure, thus $F_{\rho \circ f} = F_f \neq \emptyset.$ If $F_f \in Z,$ from $\theta(F_f) = \theta((\rho \circ f)(F_f)) < \theta(F_f),$ $\rho \circ f$ is θ -condensing, we have $\theta(F_f) = 0.$

Theorem 3.3. Let (X, d) be a metric space and F a family of bounded subsets of $X.$ We assume that:

- (i) F satisfy CIP
- (ii) F satisfy the property (S)
- (iii) F is α_K - invariant.

Then, for any nonempty $Y \in F$ and any continuous $f : Y \rightarrow X,$ which

is a strong α_K -condensing mapping, such that f is retractible onto Y by $\rho : X \rightarrow Y$, and ρ is a strong $(\alpha_K, 1)$ -contraction mapping, we have $F_f \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Let $S(X) := \{Y \in P_{cp}(X) / f \in C(Y) \Rightarrow F_f \neq \emptyset\}$ and $M = C$.

Thus $(X, S(X), M)$ is a fixed point structure. We consider the Kuratowski's measure of noncompactness $\theta = \alpha_K : P_b(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$, and $\eta : P(X) \rightarrow P(X)$ a closure operator, $\eta(A) = \overline{c\bar{o}_F(A)}, \forall A \in P(X)$. Let $S_1(X) = F$ from hypothesis.

$Y \in F = S_1(X)$, $\rho \circ f \in M(Y)$. From theorem 3.2 we have $F_f \neq \emptyset$ and if $F_f \in Z$, thus $\alpha_K(F_f) = 0$.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Aronszajn, P. Panitchpakdi, *Extensions of uniformly continuous transformations and hyperconvex metric spaces*, Pacific J. Math., **6**(1956), 405-439.
- [2] J. B. Baillon, *Nonexpansive mappings and hiperconvex spaces*, Contemp. Math., **72**(1988), 11-19.
- [3] M. A. Khamsi, *KKM and Ky Fan theorems in hyperconvex metric spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **204**(1996), 298-306.
- [4] M. A. Khamsi, *Sadovskii's fixed point theorem without convexity*, Nonlinear Analysis, **53**(2003), 829-837.
- [5] W. A. Kirk, S. S. Shin, *Fixed point theorems in hyperconvex spaces*, Houston J. Math., **23**(1997), 175-187.
- [6] W. A. Kirk, S. S. Shin, *Handbook of metric fixed point theory*, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, MA 2001, 1-34.
- [7] I. A. Rus, *The fixed point structures and the retraction mapping principle*, Research Seminaries, Cluj-Napoca, Preprint nr. **3**(1986), 175-184.
- [8] I. A. Rus, *Fixed points of retractible mappings*, Research Seminaries, Cluj-Napoca, Preprint nr. **2**(1988), 163-166.
- [9] I. A. Rus, *Retraction method in the fixed point theory in ordered structures*, Research Seminaries, Cluj-Napoca, Preprint nr. **3**(1988), 1-8.
- [10] I. A. Rus, *Some open problems in fixed point theory by means of fixed point structures*, Libertas Mathematica, **14**(1994), 65-84.
- [11] B. Sadovskii, *On a fixed point principle*, Funk. Anal. Prilozen, **1**(1967), 74-76.