

## ON SOME OPEN PROBLEMS OF RADU

DOREL MIHET

West University of Timisoara, Faculty of Mathematics

Bv. V.Parvan 4, 1900 Timisoara, Romania

e-mail: mihet@math.uvt.ro

**Abstract.** Answering two recent open problems of Radu, we give a class of  $t$ -norms for which a general contraction principle for probabilistic contractions holds.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 54E70, 54H25.

**Key Words and Phrases:** Menger space, probabilistic contraction.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In *Chapter 20* of [9], V. Radu presented three open problems in fixed point theory of probabilistic metric spaces. Let us introduce them.

**Definition 1.1.** ([11]) Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and  $F$  probabilistic distance on  $X$ . We say that the mapping  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is a *probabilistic contraction* (or *B-contraction*) if there exists  $k \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$F_{f(x)f(y)}(kt) \geq F_{xy}(t), \forall x, y \in X, \forall t > 0.$$

**Definition 1.2.** ([8]) Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and  $F$  probabilistic distance on  $X$ . We say that the mapping  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is a *probabilistic strict contraction* (or *strict B-contraction*) if there exists  $k \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$F_{f(x)f(y)}(kt) \geq \frac{F_{xy}(t)}{F_{xy}(t) + k(1 - F_{xy}(t))}, \forall x, y \in X, \forall t > 0.$$

**Theorem 1.3.** ([8]) Let  $(X, F, T)$  be a complete generalized Menger space with  $T \geq T_L$  and  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a strict B-contraction such that  $F_{xf(x)}(u) > 0$  for some  $x \in X$  and  $u > 0$ . Then  $f$  has a fixed point.

The mappings from the above definitions satisfy contraction relations of the form

$$(PC\alpha\beta) : F_{f(x)f(y)}(\alpha(t)) \geq \beta(F_{xy}(t)), \forall x, y \in X, \forall t \geq 0$$

where  $\alpha : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  and  $\beta : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  have the properties:

$\alpha 1)$   $\alpha$  is increasing

$\alpha 2)$   $\alpha(t) \leq t, \forall t \geq 0$

$\alpha 3)$   $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha^n(t) = 0, \forall t \geq 0$

$\beta 1)$   $\beta$  is increasing

$\beta 2)$   $\beta(u) \geq u, \forall u \in [0, 1]$

$\gamma)$  the mapping  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1], \gamma(s) := \max\{\alpha(s), 1 - \beta(1 - s)\}$  is continuous and verifies  $\sum_n \lambda^n(s) < \infty, \forall s < 1$ .

Theorem 2.3 leads to the following general contraction principle:

**Theorem 1.4.** ([9]) (*Contraction Principle*) Let  $(X, F, T)$  be a complete generalized Menger space with  $T(a, b) \geq T_L(a, b) := \text{Max}(a + b - 1, 0)$  and consider (for  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  as above) a  $(PC\alpha\beta)$ -contraction  $A : X \rightarrow X$  such that  $F_{xAx}(u) > 0$  for some  $x \in X$  and some  $u > 0$ . Then  $A$  has a fixed point.

In this context, the following questions (Radu [9]) are quite natural:

*Problem 14.* Determine the class of triangular norms for which the Theorem 2.3. is still true.

*Problem 15.* Determine the class of triangular norms  $T$  for which the Contraction Principle 2.4. holds on every complete generalized Menger space  $(X, F, T)$ .

*Problem 16.* Find appropriate conditions on  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $\gamma$  in general case, when the  $t$ -norm  $T$  is replaced by an arbitrary Archimedean one.

In this paper we answer the first two of these questions.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we recall some classical notions from the probabilistic metric spaces theory. For more details concerning this problematic we refer the reader to the books [2], [10].

**Definition 2.1.** ([10]) A mapping  $T : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1] = I$  is called a  $t$ -norm (shortly  $t$ -norm) if it satisfies the following conditions:

$N1)$   $T(a, b) = T(b, a) \quad \forall a, b \in I$

$N2)$   $a \leq c, b \leq d \Rightarrow T(a, b) \leq T(c, d)$

$N3)$   $T(a, 1) = a, \quad \forall a \in I.$

$N4)$   $T(a, T(b, c)) = T(T(a, b), c) \quad \forall a, b, c \in I.$

Among the important examples of  $t$ -norms we mention  $T_L : I \times I \rightarrow I$ ,  $T_L(a, b) = \text{Max}\{a + b - 1, 0\}$  (*Lukasiewicz  $t$ -norm*),  $T_P(a, b) = ab$  and  $T_M(a, b) = \text{Min}\{a, b\}$ .

**Definition 2.2.** ([1], [2]) We say that the  $t$ -norm  $T$  is of *Hadžić-type* and we write  $T \in \mathcal{H}$  if the family  $\{T^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of its iterates defined, for each  $x$  in  $[0, 1]$ , by

$$T^0(x) = 1 \text{ and } T^{n+1}(x) = T(T^n(x), x), \forall n \geq 0$$

is equicontinuous at  $x = 1$ , that is,

$$\forall \varepsilon \in (0, 1) \exists \delta \in (0, 1) \text{ such that } x > 1 - \delta \implies T^n(x) > 1 - \varepsilon, \forall n \geq 1.$$

If  $T$  is a  $t$ -norm and  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is a given sequence of numbers in  $[0, 1]$ , one can define recurrently  $\mathbf{T}_{i=1}^n x_i$  by  $\mathbf{T}_{i=1}^1 x_i = x_1$  and  $\mathbf{T}_{i=1}^n x_i = T(\mathbf{T}_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i, x_n)$   $\forall n \geq 2$ .

$T_{i=1}^\infty x_i$  is defined ([2]) by  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{T}_{i=1}^n x_i$  and  $T_{i=n}^\infty x_i$  by  $T_{i=1}^\infty x_{n+i}$ . More about this important notion of countable extension of  $t$ -norms and many examples of such  $t$ -norms can be found in [2].

**Definition 2.3.** ([10]) The class of (*generalized*) *distribution functions*, denoted by  $\Delta_+$ , is the class of all functions  $F : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with the properties:

- a)  $F(0) = 0$ ;
- b)  $F$  is nondecreasing;
- c)  $F$  is left continuous on  $(0, \infty)$ .

$D_+$  is the subset of  $\Delta_+$  containing the functions  $F$  which also satisfy the condition  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} F(x) = 1$ .

A special element of  $D_+$  is the function  $\varepsilon_0$ , defined by

$$\varepsilon_0(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } t = 0 \\ 1, & \text{if } t > 0 \end{cases}.$$

If  $X$  is a nonempty set, a mapping  $F : X \times X \rightarrow \Delta_+$  is called a *probabilistic distance* and  $F(x, y)$  is usually denoted by  $F_{xy}$ .

**Definition 2.4.** ([3], [10]) If  $X$  is a nonempty set,  $F$  is a probabilistic distance and  $T$  is a  $t$ -norm, the triple  $(X, F, T)$  is called a *generalized Menger*

space if the following axioms are satisfied:

$$(PM0) : F_{xy} = \varepsilon_0 \text{ iff } x = y$$

$$(PM1) : F_{xy} = F_{yx}, \forall x, y \in X$$

$$(PM2_M) : F_{xy}(t+s) \geq T(F_{xz}(t), F_{zy}(s)), \forall x, y, z \in X, \forall t, s > 0.$$

**Proposition 2.5.** ([10]) Let  $(X, F, T)$  be a generalized Menger space. If  $\sup_{a < 1} T(a, a) = 1$  then the family  $\mathcal{U}_F := \{U_{\varepsilon, \lambda}\}_{\varepsilon > 0, \lambda \in (0, 1)}$  where

$$U_{\varepsilon, \lambda} = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : F_{xy}(\varepsilon) > 1 - \lambda\}$$

is a base for a metrizable uniformity on  $X$ , called the  $F$ -uniformity.

The  $F$ -uniformity naturally determines a metrizable topology on  $X$ , called the  $(\varepsilon$ - $\lambda)$  topology or the  $F$ -topology : a subset  $O$  of  $X$  is  $F$ -open iff for every  $p \in O$  there exists  $\varepsilon > 0, \lambda \in (0, 1)$  such that  $N_p(\varepsilon, \lambda) = \{q \in X : F_{pq}(\varepsilon) > 1 - \lambda\} \subset O$ .

In the following all topological notions refer to the  $F$ -topology.

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

#### Answer to Problem 14.

We will show that for the class of triangular norms  $T$  with  $T$  continuous in  $(a, 1)$  for every  $a \in (0, 1)$ , Theorem 1.3. remains true.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Every strict  $B$ -contraction in a complete generalized Menger space  $(X, F, T)$  with  $T$  continuous in  $(a, 1)$  for every  $a \in (0, 1)$  has a fixed point iff  $F_{xf(x)}(t) > 0$  for some  $x \in X$  and some  $t > 0$ .*

Actually, we will consider a more general class of contractions, introduced by us in [4] and Theorem 3.1. will be obtained as a corollary of Theorem 3.5. below.

In the following by  $\Phi$  we will denote the class of all mappings  $\varphi : (0, 1) \rightarrow (0, 1)$  with the properties:

- i)  $\varphi$  is an increasing bijection;
- ii)  $\varphi(\lambda) < \lambda \forall \lambda \in (0, 1)$ .

Obviously, every such a comparison mapping is continuous and if  $\varphi \in \Phi$  then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^n(\lambda) = 0 \forall \lambda \in (0, 1)$ .

**Definition 3.2.** ([6]) Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and  $F$  be a probabilistic distance on  $X$ . Let also  $\varphi \in \Phi$  and  $k \in (0, 1)$  be given. A mapping  $f :$

$X \rightarrow X$  is called a  $(\varphi-k)$ - $B$  contraction if the following contractivity condition holds:

$$x, y \in X, \varepsilon > 0, \lambda \in (0, 1), F_{xy}(\varepsilon) > 1 - \lambda \implies F_{f(x)f(y)}(k\varepsilon) > 1 - \varphi(\lambda).$$

**Proposition 3.3.** ([6]) For a given  $k \in (0, 1)$ , the mapping  $\varphi$  defined on  $(0, 1)$  by  $\varphi(\lambda) = \frac{k\lambda}{1-\lambda+k\lambda}$  is in the class  $\Phi$  and every strict  $k$ - $B$  contraction is a  $(\varphi-k)$ - $B$  contraction.

In the proof of *Theorem 3.5.*, which is a slight modification of our proof [6, Theorem 3.11.] we will use the following

**Lemma 3.4.** Let  $(X, U)$  be a separated sequentially complete uniform space and  $B$  be a base for the uniformity  $\mathcal{U}$ . If  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is a mapping with the property that for every  $U \in B$  there exists  $K \in B$  such that

$$(x, y) \in U \circ K \implies (fx, fy) \in U$$

and there exists  $x \in X$  such that

$$\forall U \in \mathcal{B} \exists n = n(U, x) \in \mathbf{N} : (f^n(x), f^{n+1}(x)) \in U$$

then  $f$  has a fixed point.

For the proof of the lemma see e.g. [7].

A mapping with the contractivity condition from the above lemma is called  $\mathcal{B}$ -contraction.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $(S, F, T)$  be a sequentially complete generalized Menger space with  $T$  continuous in  $(a, 1)$  for every  $a \in (0, 1)$  and  $f : S \rightarrow S$  be a  $(\varphi-k)$ - $B$  contraction. If there exist  $p \in S$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that  $F_{pf(p)}(\delta) > 0$ , then  $f$  has a fixed point.

**Proof.** Let  $(S, F, T)$ ,  $f : S \rightarrow S$ ,  $p \in S$  and  $\delta > 0$  be as in the statement of the theorem.

We will show that all the conditions of *Lemma 3.4.* are fulfilled.

a)  $f$  is a  $\mathcal{U}_F$ -contraction

Indeed, let  $U = U_{\varepsilon, \lambda} \in \mathcal{U}_F$  be given. Then there exists  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$  such that  $\varepsilon + \varepsilon_1 = \frac{\varepsilon}{k}$ .

Since  $1 - \varphi^{-1}(\lambda) < 1 - \lambda = T(1 - \lambda, 1)$ , by the continuity of  $T$  in  $(1 - \lambda, 1)$  we deduce that there exists  $\lambda_1 \in (0, 1)$  such that:

$$T(1 - \lambda, 1 - \lambda_1) > 1 - \varphi^{-1}(\lambda)$$

where  $\varphi^{-1}$  is the inverse of  $\varphi$ .

If we consider the set  $K = U_{\varepsilon_1, \lambda_1}$  then the following implications hold:  
 $(p, q) \in U \circ K \Rightarrow \exists r \in X : (p, r) \in U, (r, q) \in K \Rightarrow F_{pr}(\varepsilon) > 1 - \lambda,$   
 $F_{rq}(\varepsilon_1) > 1 - \lambda_1 \Rightarrow$

$$F_{pq}(\varepsilon + \varepsilon_1) \geq T(1 - \lambda, 1 - \lambda_1) > 1 - \varphi^{-1}(\lambda) \Rightarrow F_{pq}(\frac{\varepsilon}{k}) > 1 - \varphi^{-1}(\lambda).$$

From the definition of  $(\varphi-k)$ - $B$  contraction we deduce that  $F_{f(p)f(q)}(\varepsilon) > 1 - \lambda$ , that is  $(p, q) \in U \circ K \Rightarrow (f(p), f(q)) \in U$ .

$$b) \forall U \in \mathcal{U}_F \exists n \in \mathbb{N} : (f^n(p), f^{n+1}(p)) \in U.$$

Indeed, let  $U = U_{\varepsilon, \lambda} \in \mathcal{U}_M$  be given. Since  $F_{pf(p)}(\delta) > 0$ , we can find  $\delta_1 > 0$  such that  $F_{pf(p)}(\delta) > 1 - \delta_1$  and then  $F_{f^r(p)f^{r+1}(p)}(k^r \delta) > 1 - \varphi^r(\delta_1)$  for all  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . By choosing  $n$  such that  $k^n \delta < \varepsilon$  and  $\varphi^n(\delta_1) < \lambda$  we obtain  $F_{f^n(p)f^{n+1}(p)}(\varepsilon) > 1 - \lambda$ , i.e.  $(f^n(p), f^{n+1}(p)) \in U$ .

The theorem (and *Theorem 3.1.* as well) is proved.

In order to answer to the other question, we begin by recalling some results from [5].

**Definition 3.6.** ([5]) Let  $\varphi$  be a mapping from  $(0, 1)$  to  $(0, 1)$  and  $T$  be a  $t$ -norm. We say that  $T$  is  $\varphi$ -convergent if

$$\forall \delta \in (0, 1) \forall \lambda \in (0, 1) \exists s (=s(\delta, \lambda)) \in \mathbb{N} : T_{i=1}^n(1 - \varphi^{s+i}(\delta)) > 1 - \lambda, \forall n \geq 1.$$

**Proposition 3.7.** If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_{i=1}^\infty(1 - \varphi^{n+i}(\delta)) = 1 \forall \delta \in (0, 1)$  then  $T$  is  $\varphi$ -convergent.

**Proof.** If  $\delta \in (0, 1), \lambda \in (0, 1)$  are given, from  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_{i=1}^\infty(1 - \varphi^{n+i}(\delta)) = 1$  it follows that there exists  $s_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$T_{i=1}^\infty(1 - \varphi^{s+i}(\delta)) > 1 - \lambda, \forall s \geq s_0.$$

Since the sequence  $(T_{i=1}^n(1 - \varphi^{n+i}(\delta)))_{n \geq 1}$  is nonincreasing, we have  $T_{i=1}^n(1 - \varphi^{s+i}(\delta)) \geq T_{i=1}^\infty(1 - \varphi^{s+i}(\delta)) > 1 - \lambda \forall s \geq s_0, \forall n \geq 1$ , wherefrom it follows that  $T$  is  $\varphi$ -convergent.

In [2, page 39] it is proved that for  $T \geq T_L$  the following implication holds:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_{i=1}^\infty x_{n+i} = 1 \iff \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - x_n) < \infty.$$

Using this result we can obtain the following

**Example 3.8.** Let  $\varphi(t) = kt$  for all  $t$  in  $(0, 1)$ . Then the  $t$ -norm  $T_L$  is  $\varphi$ -convergent.

**Definition 3.9.** ([4, Definition 2.1]) Let  $\Phi$  be the class of all mappings  $\varphi : (0, 1) \rightarrow (0, 1)$ . If  $X$  is a nonempty set,  $F$  is a probabilistic distance on  $X$  and  $\varphi \in \Phi$ , we say that the self-mapping  $f$  of  $X$  is a  $\varphi$ - $H$  contraction if

$$(\varphi\text{-}H) : x, y \in X, t \in (0, 1), F_{xy}(t) > 1 - t \implies F_{f(x)f(y)}(\varphi t) > 1 - \varphi(t).$$

**Theorem 3.10.** ([5, Theorem 2.3. and Remark 2.3.]) Let  $(X, F, T)$  be a complete generalized Menger space and  $\varphi \in \Phi$  be such that  $\varphi(t) < t \forall t \in (0, 1)$  and the series  $\sum_1^\infty \varphi^n(\lambda)$  is convergent for every  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ . If  $T$  is  $\varphi$ -convergent then every  $\varphi$ - $H$  contraction  $f$  on  $X$  with the property  $F_{xf(x)}(1) > 0$  for some  $x \in X$  has a fixed point.

**An answer to Problem 15.**

**Theorem 3.11.** Let  $(X, F, T)$  be a complete generalized Menger space with  $\sup_{a < 1} T(a, a) = 1$  and  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping with the property

$$F_{f(x)f(y)}(\alpha(t)) \geq \beta(F_{xy}(t)), \forall x, y \in X, \forall t > 0$$

where  $\alpha : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is increasing,  $\alpha(s) < s \forall s \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\beta : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is increasing,  $\beta(u) > u, \forall u \in (0, 1)$  and the mapping  $\varphi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ ,  $\varphi(s) := \max\{\alpha(s), 1 - \beta(1 - s)\}$  satisfies  $\sum_n \varphi^n(s) < \infty, \forall s < 1$ . If  $T$  is  $\varphi$ -convergent and  $F_{xf(x)}(1) > 0$  for some  $x \in X$ , then  $f$  has a fixed point.

**Proof.** We will show that the contractions considered in *Problem 15.* are actually  $\varphi$ - $H$  contractions and all the other conditions of *Theorem 3.10.* are satisfied.

We have:  $F_{xy}(t) > 1 - t \implies \beta(F_{xy}(t)) > \beta(1 - t) \implies F_{f(x)f(y)}(\alpha(t)) > \beta(1 - t)$ . Since  $\varphi(s) \geq \alpha(s)$  and  $\beta(1 - s) \geq 1 - \varphi(s)$  for all  $s \in (0, 1)$ , we deduce that  $F_{f(x)f(y)}(\varphi(t)) > 1 - \varphi(t)$ . Therefore,  $F_{xy}(t) > 1 - t \implies F_{f(x)f(y)}(\varphi(t)) > 1 - \varphi(t)$ .

Moreover, from  $\alpha(s) < s$  and  $\beta(s) > s$  for all  $s \in (0, 1)$  it follows  $\varphi(s) < s, \forall s \in (0, 1)$ .

**Remark 3.12.** In the proof of *Theorem 3.10.* from [5] the condition  $\varphi(t) < t \forall t \in (0, 1)$  is used only for the proof of continuity of  $f$ . As a matter of fact, this condition can be replaced with  $\lim_{t \searrow 0} \varphi(t) = 0$  so, in the statement of *Theorem 3.11.* we can replace the condition: " $\alpha(s) < s \forall s \in (0, 1)$  and  $\beta(u) > u, \forall u \in (0, 1)$ " with " $\lim_{t \searrow 0} \alpha(t) = 0$  and  $\lim_{t \nearrow 1} \beta(t) = 1$ ".

In the following we will show that there exist  $t$ -norms  $T$ , different from  $T_L$ , and mappings  $\varphi$  such that  $\varphi(s) < s$ ,  $\sum_n \varphi^n(s) < \infty$ ,  $\forall s < 1$  and  $T$  is  $\varphi$ -convergent.

**Lemma 3.13.** ([2, Prop. 1.70.]) *Let  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $[0, 1]$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = 1$ . If  $T$  is a  $t$ -norm of Hadžić-type, then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_{i=1}^{\infty} x_{n+i} = 1$ .*

From the above lemma and *Proposition 3.7.* it follows that if  $\varphi(t) = kt$ ,  $\forall t \in (0, 1)$  (for a given  $k \in (0, 1)$ ) and  $T \in \mathcal{H}$  then  $\sum_1^{\infty} \varphi^n(t)$  is convergent for every  $t \in (0, 1)$  and  $T$  is  $\varphi$ -convergent.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] O. Hadžić, *On the  $(\varepsilon - \lambda)$ -topology in probabilistic locally convex spaces*, Glasnik Matem. **13**(33)(1978), 193-297.
- [2] O. Hadžić, E. Pap, *Fixed Point Theory in PM Spaces*, Kluwer Academic Publ., 2001.
- [3] I. Istrățescu, *On some fixed point theorems in generalized Menger spaces*, Boll. UMI, **5**, 13-A(1976), 95-100.
- [4] D. Mihet, *A large class of probabilistic contractions*, Seminarul de Teoria Probabilităților și Aplicații (STPA), **137**(2002).
- [5] D. Mihet, *A large class of probabilistic contractions revisited*, STPA, **138**(2002).
- [6] D. Mihet, *A Banach contraction theorem in fuzzy metric spaces*, to appear in Fuzzy Sets and Systems.
- [7] D. Mihet, *Fixed point theorems in quasi-uniform spaces*, STPA.
- [8] V. Radu, *Some remarks on the probabilistic contractions on fuzzy Menger spaces*, The 8-Th International Conference on Applied Mathematics and Computer Science, Cluj-Napoca, 2002, in Automation Computers Appl. Math., **11**(2002) 125-131.
- [9] I. A. Rus, A. Petrușel, G. Petrușel, *Fixed point theory 1950-2000. Romanian contributions*, House of the Book of Science, Cluj-Napoca, 2002.
- [10] B. Schweizer, A. Sklar, *Probabilistic Metric Spaces*, North Holland, 1983.
- [11] V. M. Sehgal and A. T. Bharucha-Reid, *Fixed points of contraction mappings on PM-Spaces*, Math. Syst. Theory, **6**(1972) 97-100.
- [12] W. W. Taylor, *Fixed point Theorems for Nonexpansive Mappings in Linear Topological Spaces*, Journal of Math. Anal. and Appl., **49**(1972), 162-173.