

## COMPATIBLE STRUCTURE IN IDEAL ČECH CLOSURE SPACES

AHMAD AL-OMARI

**Abstract.** In Al-Omari et al., *Touch points in ideal Čech closure spaces*, *Mathematica*, **64** (2022),  $(\mathcal{C}, f, \mathcal{I})$  is a Čech closure space with an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$ . For  $H \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ , the set  $\tilde{f}(H)$ , called Čech touch points, is defined by  $\tilde{f}(H) = \{x \in \mathcal{C} : H \cap N \notin \mathcal{I} \text{ for every } N \in \mathcal{N}(x)\}$ . Several characterizations of these sets will also be discussed through this paper. Moreover, we obtain characterizations of  $\tilde{f}$ -operator in an ideal Čech closure space  $(\mathcal{C}, f, \mathcal{I})$ , we investigate the notion of  $f$ -compatibility with an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  and obtain several characterizations of the compatibility.

**MSC 2020.** 54A05.

**Key words.** Čech closure operator, ideal Čech closure space,  $\tilde{f}$ -operator,  $f$ -compatible.

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

A non-empty collection of subsets of  $\mathcal{C}$  is called an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  on a space  $\mathcal{C}$  if the following properties are satisfied:

- (1) If  $H \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $K \subseteq H$  then  $K \in \mathcal{I}$ .
- (2) If  $H \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $K \in \mathcal{I}$  then  $H \cup K \in \mathcal{I}$ .

An ideal topological space is topological space  $(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$  with an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $\mathcal{C}$  and is denoted by  $(\mathcal{C}, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  (see [9, 10]). First we recall several definitions.

An operator  $f : \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C})$  defined on the power set  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C})$  of a set  $\mathcal{C}$  such that the following holds:

- (1)  $f(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ ;
- (2)  $H \subseteq f(H)$  for all  $H \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ ;
- (3)  $f(H \cup K) = f(H) \cup f(K)$  for every  $A, B \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C})$ .

is called a Čech closure operator (see [7, 8]) and the pair  $(\mathcal{C}, f)$  is a Čech closure space. A subset  $H$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  is said to be  $f$ -closed in  $(\mathcal{C}, f)$  if  $f(H) = H$  holds. And  $H$  is  $f$ -open if  $\mathcal{C} - H$  is  $f$ -closed.

---

Corresponding author: Ahmad Al-Omari.

By the closure operator we defined the interior operator  $f^* : \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C})$  in the usual way:  $f^*(H) = \mathcal{C} - f(\mathcal{C} - H)$ .

Let  $(\mathcal{C}, f, \mathcal{I})$  be a Čech closure space with an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$ . For a subset  $H$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ , the set  $\tilde{f}(H)$  called touch points is defined as:  $\tilde{f}(H) = \{x \in \mathcal{C} : H \cap N \notin \mathcal{I} \text{ for every } N \in \mathcal{N}(x)\}$ . We investigate the properties of touch points and construct a topology on  $X$  from the touch points. Moreover, in an ideal Čech closure space  $(\mathcal{C}, f, \mathcal{I})$ , we define  $f$ -compatibility with the ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  and obtain several characterizations of the compatibility. Also the papers [2–6] have introduced some property related to compatible structure in ideal Čech closure spaces.

REMARK 1.1. Let  $(\mathcal{C}, f)$  be a Čech closure space.

- (1)  $f^*(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ .
- (2)  $f^*(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C}$ .
- (3)  $f^*(H) \subseteq H$  for every  $H \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ .
- (4)  $f^*(H \cap K) = f^*(H) \cap f^*(K)$  for all  $H, K \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C})$ .

A subset  $N$  is a neighborhood of a point  $x$  (respectively, subset  $H$ ) in  $\mathcal{C}$  if  $x \in f^*(N)$  (respectively,  $H \subseteq f^*(N)$ ) holds. The collection of all neighborhoods of  $x$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{N}_x$  or  $\mathcal{N}(x)$ .

In  $(\mathcal{C}, f)$ , a point  $x \in f(H)$  if and only if for each neighborhood  $N$  of  $x$ ,  $N \cap A \neq \emptyset$  holds.

We set  $f(H) = \cap\{N : A \subseteq N, \mathcal{C} - N \in \mathcal{N}(x)\}$  and  $f^*(H) = \cup\{U : U \subseteq H, U \in \mathcal{N}(x)\}$ .

DEFINITION 1.2 ([16]). Given  $f$  and  $f^*$  be the be closure map and its dual map on  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then the neighborhood map  $\mathcal{N} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C})$  and the convergent map  $\mathcal{N}^* : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C})$  assign to each  $x \in \mathcal{C}$  the collections

$$\mathcal{N}(x) = \{N \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}) : x \in f^*(N)\}$$

$$\mathcal{N}^*(x) = \{Q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}) : x \in f(Q)\}$$

of its neighborhoods and convergents, respectively.

LEMMA 1.3. Given  $(\mathcal{C}, f)$  be a Čech closure space. Then the properties holds

- (1)  $Q \in \mathcal{N}^*(x)$  if and only if  $\mathcal{C} - Q \notin \mathcal{N}(x)$ .
- (2)  $x \in f(A)$  if and only if  $\mathcal{C} - A \notin \mathcal{N}(x)$ .
- (3)  $x \in f^*(A)$  if and only if  $\mathcal{C} - A \notin \mathcal{N}^*(x)$ .

LEMMA 1.4 ([1]). Given  $(\mathcal{C}, f)$  be a Čech closure space, then

- (1)  $\mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{N}(x)$  for every  $x \in \mathcal{C}$ .

- (2)  $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{N}(x)$  for every  $x \in \mathcal{C}$ .
- (3) If  $N \in \mathcal{N}(x)$ , then  $x \in f^*(N) \subseteq N$ .
- (4) If  $N, M \in \mathcal{N}(x)$ , then we have  $N \cap M \in \mathcal{N}(x)$ .
- (5) If  $N \cup M \in \mathcal{N}^*(x)$ , then  $N \in \mathcal{N}^*(x)$  or  $M \in \mathcal{N}^*(x)$ .

DEFINITION 1.5 ([1]). Given  $(\mathcal{C}, f, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal Čech-space. For  $H \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ , we define the set:  $\tilde{f}(H) = \{x \in \mathcal{C} : H \cap N \notin \mathcal{I} \text{ for all } N \in \mathcal{N}(x)\}$ . And  $\tilde{f}(H)$  it is called touch points of  $H$  with respect to  $f$  and  $\mathcal{I}$ .

LEMMA 1.6 ([1]). Given  $(\mathcal{C}, f, \mathcal{I})$  and  $(\mathcal{C}, g, \mathcal{J})$  be ideal Čech-spaces,  $\mathcal{I}$  and  $\mathcal{J}$  be ideals on  $\mathcal{C}$ , and let  $H$  and  $K$  be subsets of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then we have:

- (1) For  $H \subseteq K$ , we have  $\tilde{f}(H) \subseteq \tilde{f}(K)$ .
- (2) For  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$ , we have  $\tilde{f}(H) \supseteq \tilde{g}(H)$ .
- (3)  $\tilde{f}(H) = f(\tilde{f}(H)) \subseteq f(H)$  and  $\tilde{f}(H)$  is  $f$ -closed.
- (4) For  $H \subseteq \tilde{f}(H)$ , we have  $\tilde{f}(H) = f(\tilde{f}(H)) = f(H)$ .
- (5) For  $H \in \mathcal{I}$ , we have  $\tilde{f}(H) = \emptyset$ .

LEMMA 1.7 ([1]). Given  $(\mathcal{C}, f, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal Čech-space and  $x \in \mathcal{C}$ . If  $N \in \mathcal{N}(x)$ , then  $N \cap \tilde{f}(H) = N \cap \tilde{f}(N \cap A) \subseteq \tilde{f}(N \cap H)$  for any subset  $H$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

THEOREM 1.8 ([1]). Given  $(\mathcal{C}, f, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal Čech-space and  $H, K \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ . Then the following hold:

- (1)  $\tilde{f}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ .
- (2)  $\tilde{f}(\tilde{f}(H)) \subseteq \tilde{f}(H)$ .
- (3)  $\tilde{f}(H) \cup \tilde{f}(K) = \tilde{f}(H \cup K)$ .

THEOREM 1.9 ([1]). Given  $(\mathcal{C}, f, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal Čech-space,  $\bar{A} = \tilde{f}(H) \cup H$  and  $H, K$  be subsets of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then

- (1)  $\bar{\emptyset} = \emptyset$ .
- (2)  $H \subseteq \bar{H}$ .
- (3)  $\overline{H \cup K} = \bar{H} \cup \bar{K}$ .
- (4)  $\bar{H} = \overline{\bar{H}}$ .

By Theorem 1.9, we obtain that  $\bar{H} = H \cup \tilde{f}(H)$  is a Kuratowski closure operator. We will denote by  $\tau_{cl}(x)$  the topology generated by  $\bar{H}$ , that is,  $\tau_{cl}(x) = \{U \subseteq X : \overline{\mathcal{C} - U} = \mathcal{C} - U\}$ . A subset  $H$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  is said to be  $\tau_{cl}(x)$ -closed if and only if  $\tilde{f}(H) \subseteq H$ . It is said to be  $\tau_{cl}(x)$ -open if the complement is  $\tau_{cl}(x)$ -closed.

**THEOREM 1.10** ([1]). *Given  $(\mathcal{C}, f, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal Čech-space. Then  $\beta(f, \mathcal{I}) = \{V - I : V \in \mathcal{N}(x), I \in \mathcal{I}, x \in \mathcal{C}\}$  is a basis for  $\tau_{cl}(x)$  and  $\mathcal{N}(x) \subseteq \tau_{cl}(x)$ .*

**THEOREM 1.11** ([1]). *Given  $(\mathcal{C}, f, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal Čech-space and  $x \in \mathcal{C}$ , then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $\mathcal{N}(x) \cap \mathcal{I} = \emptyset$ ;
- (2)  $\mathcal{C} = \tilde{f}(\mathcal{C})$ ;
- (3) For all  $N \in \mathcal{N}(x)$ ,  $N \subseteq \tilde{f}(N)$ ;
- (4) If  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ , then  $f^*(I) = \emptyset$ .

**LEMMA 1.12** ([1]). *Given  $(\mathcal{C}, f, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal Čech-space and  $H, K$  be subsets of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then*

- (1)  $\tilde{f}(H) - \tilde{f}(K) = \tilde{f}(H - K) - \tilde{f}(K)$ .
- (2)  $\tilde{f}(H \cup K) = \tilde{f}(H) = \tilde{f}(H - K)$  if  $K \in \mathcal{I}$ .

## 2. $f_\psi$ -OPERATOR IN IDEAL ČECH-SPACE

**DEFINITION 2.1.** Let  $(\mathcal{C}, f, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal Čech-space. An operator  $f_\psi : \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C})$  is defined as follows for every  $A \in X$ ,  $f_\psi(H) = \{x \in \mathcal{C} : \text{there exists } U \in \mathcal{N}(x) \text{ such that } U - H \in \mathcal{I}\}$  and observes that  $f_\psi(H) = \mathcal{C} - \tilde{f}(\mathcal{C} - H)$ .

Several basic behavior of the operator  $f_\psi$  are included in the below theorem.

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Given  $(\mathcal{C}, f, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal Čech-space. Then the following hold:*

- (1) For  $H \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ , we have  $f_\psi(H)$  is  $f$ -open
- (2) For  $H \subseteq K$ , we have  $f_\psi(H) \subseteq f_\psi(K)$ .
- (3) For  $H, K \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C})$ , we have  $f_\psi(H \cap K) = f_\psi(H) \cap f_\psi(K)$ .
- (4) For  $U \in \tau_{cl}(x)$ , we have  $U \subseteq f_\psi(U)$ .
- (5) For  $H \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ , we have  $f_\psi(H) \subseteq f_\psi(f_\psi(H))$ .
- (6) For  $H \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ , we have  $f_\psi(H) = f_\psi(f_\psi(H))$  if and only if  $\tilde{f}(X - H) = \tilde{f}(\tilde{f}(\mathcal{C} - H))$ .
- (7) For  $H \in \mathcal{I}$ , we have  $f_\psi(H) = \mathcal{C} - \tilde{f}(\mathcal{C})$ .
- (8) For  $H \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ , we have  $H \cap f_\psi(H) = \text{int}(H)$ .
- (9) For  $H \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ ,  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ , we have  $f_\psi(H - I) = f_\psi(H)$ .
- (10) For  $H \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ ,  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ , we have  $f_\psi(H \cup I) = f_\psi(H)$ .
- (11) For  $(H - K) \cup (K - H) \in \mathcal{I}$ , we have  $f_\psi(H) = f_\psi(K)$ .

*Proof.* (1) Follows by Lemma 1.6 (3).

(2) Follows by Lemma 1.6 (1).

(3) By (2) that  $f_\psi(H \cap K) \subseteq f_\psi(H)$  and  $f_\psi(H \cap K) \subseteq f_\psi(K)$ . Hence  $f_\psi(H \cap K) \subseteq f_\psi(H) \cap f_\psi(K)$ . Now let  $x \in f_\psi(H) \cap f_\psi(K)$ . There exist  $U, V \in \mathcal{N}(x)$  such that  $U - H \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $V - K \in \mathcal{I}$ . Let  $G = U \cap V \in \mathcal{N}(x)$  and we have  $G - H \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $G - K \in \mathcal{I}$  by heredity. Thus  $G - (H \cap K) = (G - H) \cup (G - K) \in \mathcal{I}$  by additivity, and hence  $x \in f_\psi(H \cap K)$ . We have  $f_\psi(H) \cap f_\psi(K) \subseteq f_\psi(H \cap K)$  and the proof is complete.

(4) If  $U \in \tau_{cl}(x)$ , then  $X - U$  is  $\tau_{cl}(x)$ -closed then we have  $\tilde{f}(\mathcal{C} - U) \subseteq \mathcal{C} - U$  and hence  $U \subseteq \mathcal{C} - \tilde{f}(\mathcal{C} - U) = f_\psi(U)$ .

(5) Follows by (4).

(6) Follows by the facts:

$$(i) f_\psi(H) = \mathcal{C} - \tilde{f}(\mathcal{C} - H).$$

$$(ii) f_\psi(f_\psi(H)) = \mathcal{C} - \tilde{f}[\mathcal{C} - (\mathcal{C} - \tilde{f}(\mathcal{C} - H))] = \mathcal{C} - \tilde{f}(\tilde{f}(\mathcal{C} - H)).$$

(7) By Lemma 1.12 we obtain that  $\tilde{f}(\mathcal{C} - H) = \tilde{f}(X)$  if  $H \in \mathcal{I}$ .

(8) If  $x \in H \cap f_\psi(H)$ , then  $x \in H$  and there exists a  $U_x \in \mathcal{N}(x)$  such that  $U_x - H \in \mathcal{I}$ . Then by Theorem 1.10,  $U_x - (U_x - H)$  is an  $\tau_{cl}(x)$ -open of  $x$  and  $x \in \text{int}(H)$ . On the other hand, if  $x \in \text{int}(H)$ , there exists a basic  $\tau_{cl}(x)$ -open  $V_x - I$  of  $x$ , where  $V_x \in \mathcal{N}(x)$  and  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ , such that  $x \in V_x - I \subseteq H$  which implies  $V_x - H \subseteq I$  and hence  $V_x - H \in \mathcal{I}$ . Hence  $x \in H \cap f_\psi(\mathcal{C})$ .

(9) By Lemma 1.12 and  $f_\psi(H - I) = \mathcal{C} - \tilde{f}[\mathcal{C} - (H - I)] = \mathcal{C} - \tilde{f}[(\mathcal{C} - H) \cup I] = \mathcal{C} - \tilde{f}(\mathcal{C} - H) = f_\psi(H)$ .

(10) By Lemma 1.12 and  $f_\psi(H \cup I) = X - \tilde{f}[\mathcal{C} - (H \cup I)] = \mathcal{C} - \tilde{f}[(\mathcal{C} - H) - I] = \mathcal{C} - \tilde{f}(\mathcal{C} - H) = f_\psi(H)$ .

(11) Let  $(H - K) \cup (K - H) \in \mathcal{I}$ . Let  $H - K = I$  and  $K - H = J$ . Observe that  $I, J \in \mathcal{I}$  by heredity. Also clear that  $K = (H - I) \cup J$ . Hence  $f_\psi(H) = f_\psi(H - I) = f_\psi[(H - I) \cup J] = f_\psi(K)$  by (9) and (10).  $\square$

**COROLLARY 2.3.** *Given  $(\mathcal{C}, f, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal Čech-space. We have  $U \subseteq f_\psi(U)$  for all  $U \in \mathcal{N}(x)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $f_\psi(U) = \mathcal{C} - \tilde{f}(\mathcal{C} - U)$  is true. Now  $\tilde{f}(\mathcal{C} - U) \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{C} - U} = \mathcal{C} - U$ , since  $U \in \mathcal{N}(x)$ . Hence,  $U = \mathcal{C} - (\mathcal{C} - U) \subseteq \mathcal{C} - \tilde{f}(\mathcal{C} - U) = f_\psi(U)$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.4.** *Given  $(\mathcal{C}, f, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal Čech-space and  $H \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ . Then the following hold:*

$$(1) f_\psi(H) = \cup\{U \in \mathcal{N}(x) : U - H \in \mathcal{I}\}.$$

$$(2) f_\psi(H) \supseteq \cup\{U \in \mathcal{N}(x) : (U - H) \cup (H - U) \in \mathcal{I}\}.$$

*Proof.* (1) This follows by the definition of  $f_\psi$ -operator.

(2) Since  $\mathcal{I}$  is heredity, it is clear that  $\cup\{U \in \mathcal{N}(x) : (U - H) \cup (A - U) \in \mathcal{I}\} \subseteq \cup\{U \in \mathcal{N}(x) : U - H \in \mathcal{I}\} = f_\psi(H)$  for every  $H \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.5.** *Assume that  $(\mathcal{C}, f, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal Čech-space. If  $\varrho = \{H \subseteq X : H \subseteq f_\psi(H)\}$ . Then  $\varrho$  is a topology for  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\varrho = \tau_{cl}(x)$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\varrho = \{H \subseteq \mathcal{C} : H \subseteq f_\psi(H)\}$ . First, we prove that  $\varrho$  is a topology. Clear that  $\emptyset \subseteq f_\psi(\emptyset)$  and  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq f_\psi(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C}$ , and thus  $\emptyset$  and  $\mathcal{C} \in \varrho$ . Now if  $H, K \in \varrho$ , then  $H \cap K \subseteq f_\psi(H) \cap f_\psi(K) = f_\psi(H \cap K)$  then  $H \cap K \in \varrho$ . If  $\{H_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\} \subseteq \varrho$ , then  $H_\alpha \subseteq f_\psi(H_\alpha) \subseteq f_\psi(\cup H_\alpha)$  for all  $\alpha$  and hence  $\cup H_\alpha \subseteq f_\psi(\cup H_\alpha)$ . This shows  $\varrho$  is a topology. Now if  $U \in \tau_{cl}(x)$  and  $x \in U$ , then by Theorem 1.10 there exist  $V \in \mathcal{N}(x)$  and  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  such that  $x \in V - I \subseteq U$ . Clearly  $V - U \subseteq I$  so that  $V - U \in \mathcal{I}$  by heredity and then  $x \in f_\psi(U)$ . Thus  $U \subseteq f_\psi(U)$  and we shown  $\tau_{cl}(x) \subseteq \varrho$ . Now let  $H \in \varrho$ , we have  $H \subseteq f_\psi(H)$ , that is,  $H \subseteq \mathcal{C} - \tilde{f}(\mathcal{C} - H)$  and  $\tilde{f}(\mathcal{C} - H) \subseteq \mathcal{C} - H$ . This shows that  $\mathcal{C} - H$  is  $\tau_{cl}(x)$ -closed and then  $H \in \tau_{cl}(x)$ . Thus  $\varrho \subseteq \tau_{cl}(x)$  and hence  $\varrho = \tau_{cl}(x)$ .  $\square$

### 3. SOME PROPERTIES OF $f$ -COMPATIBLE IN IDEAL ČECH-SPACES

**DEFINITION 3.1** ([1]). Given  $(\mathcal{C}, f, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal Čech-space. Then  $f$  is  $f$ -compatible with respect to ideal  $\mathcal{I}$ , denoted  $f \cong \mathcal{I}$ , if the following holds for all  $H \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ : For all  $x \in H$  and  $U \in \mathcal{N}(x)$ , and if  $U \cap H \in \mathcal{I}$ , then  $H \in \mathcal{I}$ .

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Given  $(\mathcal{C}, f, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal Čech-space,  $f$  be  $f$ -compatible with respect to  $\mathcal{I}$  such that  $\mathcal{N}(x) \cap \mathcal{I} = \emptyset$ . Let  $G$  be a  $\tau_{cl}(x)$ -open set such that  $G = U - H$ , where  $U \in \mathcal{N}(x)$  and  $H \in \mathcal{I}$ . Then  $f(\tilde{f}(G)) = f(G) = \tilde{f}(G) = \tilde{f}(U) = f(U) = f(\tilde{f}(U))$ .*

*Proof.* (1) Let  $G = U - H$ , where  $U \in \mathcal{N}(x)$  and  $H \in \mathcal{I}$ . Since  $\mathcal{N}(x) \cap \mathcal{I} = \emptyset$ , by Theorem 1.11 we have  $U \subseteq \tilde{f}(U)$ . Hence by Lemma 1.6,  $\tilde{f}(U) = f(\tilde{f}(U)) = f(U)$ .

(2) Since  $G$  is  $\tau_{cl}(x)$ -open,  $\mathcal{C} - G = \overline{\mathcal{C} - G}$  and hence  $\tilde{f}(\mathcal{C} - G) \subseteq \mathcal{C} - G$ . By Lemma 1.12,  $\tilde{f}(\mathcal{C}) - \tilde{f}(G) \subseteq \tilde{f}(\mathcal{C} - G)$ . But  $\mathcal{N}(x) \cap \mathcal{I} = \emptyset$  and by Theorem 1.11,  $\tilde{f}(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C}$  and hence  $\mathcal{C} - \tilde{f}(G) \subseteq \tilde{f}(\mathcal{C} - G) \subseteq \mathcal{C} - G$ . Therefore,  $G \subseteq \tilde{f}(G)$ . Hence,  $f(G) \subseteq f(\tilde{f}(G))$ . Hence by Lemma 1.6,  $\tilde{f}(G) = f(G) = f(\tilde{f}(G))$ .

(3) Again,  $G \subseteq U$  implies that  $\tilde{f}(G) \subseteq \tilde{f}(U)$ . By Lemma 1.12,  $\tilde{f}(G) = \tilde{f}(U - H) \supseteq \tilde{f}(U) - \tilde{f}(H) = \tilde{f}(U)$  since  $H \in \mathcal{I}$ . Thus  $\tilde{f}(U) = \tilde{f}(G)$ .

By (1), (2) and (3), we obtain the result.  $\square$

LEMMA 3.3 ([1]). Let  $(\mathcal{C}, f, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal Čech-space, then  $f \cong \mathcal{I}$  iff  $H - \tilde{f}(A) \in \mathcal{I}$  for all  $H \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ .

THEOREM 3.4. Given  $(\mathcal{C}, f, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal Čech-space. Then  $f \cong \mathcal{I}$  if and only if  $f_\psi(H) - H \in \mathcal{I}$  for all  $H \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ .

*Proof. Necessity.* Let  $f \cong \mathcal{I}$  and let  $H \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ . clearly that  $x \in f_\psi(H) - H \in \mathcal{I}$  if and only if  $x \notin H$  and  $x \notin \tilde{f}(\mathcal{C} - H)$  if and only if  $x \notin H$  and there exists  $U_x \in \mathcal{N}(x)$  such that  $U_x - H \in \mathcal{I}$  if and only if there exists  $U_x \in \mathcal{N}(x)$  such that  $x \in U_x - H \in \mathcal{I}$ . Now, for each  $x \in f_\psi(H) - H$  and  $U_x \in \mathcal{N}(x)$ ,  $U_x \cap (f_\psi(H) - H) \in \mathcal{I}$  by heredity and hence  $f_\psi(H) - H \in \mathcal{I}$  by assumption that  $f \cong \mathcal{I}$ .

*Sufficiency.* Let  $H \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  and assume that for each  $x \in H$  there exists  $U_x \in \mathcal{N}(x)$  such that  $U_x \cap H \in \mathcal{I}$ . Observe that  $f_\psi(\mathcal{C} - H) - (\mathcal{C} - H) = \{x : \text{there exists } U_x \in \mathcal{N}(x) \text{ such that } x \in U_x \cap H \in \mathcal{I}\}$ . Thus we have  $A \subseteq f_\psi(\mathcal{C} - H) - (\mathcal{C} - H) \in \mathcal{I}$  and hence  $H \in \mathcal{I}$  by heredity of  $\mathcal{I}$ .  $\square$

LEMMA 3.5. Let  $(\mathcal{C}, f, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal Čech-space such that  $f \cong \mathcal{I}$  and  $H \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ , then  $H$  is a  $\tau_{cl}(x)$ -closed iff  $H = K \cup I$  such that  $K$  is  $f$ -closed and  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ .

*Proof.* If  $H$  is a  $\tau_{cl}(x)$ -closed set, then  $\tilde{f}(H) \subseteq H$ . Hence  $H = H \cup \tilde{f}(H) = (H - \tilde{f}(H)) \cup \tilde{f}(H)$ . Then by Lemma 1.6  $\tilde{f}(H)$  is  $f$ -closed set and by Lemma 3.3  $A - \tilde{f}(H) \in \mathcal{I}$ . Conversely, if  $H = K \cup I$  such that  $K$  is  $f$ -closed set and  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ , then by Lemma 1.12 we get that  $\tilde{f}(H) = \tilde{f}(K \cup I) = \tilde{f}(K) \cup \tilde{f}(I) = \tilde{f}(K) \subseteq f(K) = K \subseteq H$ . Implies that  $H$  is a  $\tau_{cl}(x)$ -closed.  $\square$

COROLLARY 3.6. Given  $(\mathcal{C}, f, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal Čech-space such that  $f \cong \mathcal{I}$ . Then  $\beta(f, \mathcal{I})$  is a topology on  $\mathcal{C}$  and hence  $\beta(f, \mathcal{I}) = \tau_{cl}(x)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $H \in \tau_{cl}(x)$ . Then by Lemma 3.5,  $\mathcal{C} - H = F \cup I$ , where  $F$  is  $f$ -closed and  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ . Then  $H = \mathcal{C} - (F \cup I) = (\mathcal{C} - F) \cap (\mathcal{C} - I) = (\mathcal{C} - F) - I = V - I$ , where  $V = \mathcal{C} - F \in \mathcal{N}(x)$ . Thus every  $\tau_{cl}(x)$ -open set is form of the  $V - I$ , where  $V \in \mathcal{N}(x)$  and  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ . Hence by by Theorem 1.10 the result follows.  $\square$

PROPOSITION 3.7. Given  $(\mathcal{C}, f, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal Čech-space such that  $f \cong \mathcal{I}$ ,  $H \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ . If  $N \in \mathcal{N}(x)$  and  $N \subseteq \tilde{f}(A) \cap f_\psi(H)$ , then  $N - H \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $N \cap H \notin \mathcal{I}$ .

*Proof.* If  $N \subseteq \tilde{f}(H) \cap f_\psi(H)$ , then  $N - H \subseteq f_\psi(H) - H \in \mathcal{I}$  by Theorem 3.4 and hence  $N - H \in \mathcal{I}$  by heredity. Since  $N \in \mathcal{N}(x)$  and  $N \subseteq \tilde{f}(H)$ , we get  $N \cap H \notin \mathcal{I}$  by the definition of  $\tilde{f}(H)$ .  $\square$

As a consequence of proposition, we have.

**COROLLARY 3.8.** *Let  $(\mathcal{C}, f, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal Čech-space such that  $f \cong \mathcal{I}$ . Then  $f_\psi(f_\psi(H)) = f_\psi(H)$  for all  $H \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ .*

*Proof.*  $f_\psi(H) \subseteq f_\psi(f_\psi(H))$  follows from Theorem 2.2 (5). Since  $f \cong \mathcal{I}$ , it follows from Theorem 3.4 that  $f_\psi(H) \subseteq H \cup I$  for some  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  and hence  $f_\psi(f_\psi(H)) = f_\psi(H)$  by Theorem 2.2 (10).  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.9.** *Let  $(\mathcal{C}, f, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal Čech-space such that  $f \cong \mathcal{I}$ . Then  $f_\psi(H) = \cup\{f_\psi(U) : U \in \mathcal{N}(x), f_\psi(U) - H \in \mathcal{I}\}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\Phi(H) = \cup\{f_\psi(U) : U \in \mathcal{N}(x), f_\psi(U) - A \in \mathcal{I}\}$ . Clearly,  $\Phi(H) \subseteq f_\psi(H)$ . Now let  $x \in f_\psi(H)$ . Then there exists  $U \in \mathcal{N}(x)$  such that  $U - H \in \mathcal{I}$ . By Corollary 2.3,  $U \subseteq f_\psi(U)$  and  $f_\psi(U) - H \subseteq [f_\psi(U) - U] \cup [U - H]$ . By Theorem 3.4,  $f_\psi(U) - U \in \mathcal{I}$  and hence  $f_\psi(U) - H \in \mathcal{I}$ . Hence  $x \in \Phi(H)$  and  $\Phi(H) \supseteq f_\psi(H)$ . Consequently, we obtain  $\Phi(H) = f_\psi(H)$ .  $\square$

In [12], Newcomb defines  $H = K \text{ [mod } \mathcal{I}]$  if  $(H - K) \cup (K - H) \in \mathcal{I}$  and observes that  $= \text{[mod } \mathcal{I}]$  is an equivalence relation. By Theorem 2.2 (11), we have that if  $H = K \text{ [mod } \mathcal{I}]$ , then  $f_\psi(H) = f_\psi(K)$ .

**DEFINITION 3.10.** Let  $(\mathcal{C}, f, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal Čech-space. A subset  $H$  of  $X$  is called a Baire set with respect to  $\mathcal{N}(x)$  and  $\mathcal{I}$ , denoted  $H \in \mathcal{W}_r(X, f, \mathcal{I})$ , if there exists  $U \in \mathcal{N}(x)$  such that  $H = U \text{ [mod } \mathcal{I}]$ .

**LEMMA 3.11.** *Let  $(\mathcal{C}, f, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal Čech-space such that  $f \cong \mathcal{I}$ . If  $U, V \in \mathcal{N}(x)$  and  $f_\psi(U) = f_\psi(V)$ , then  $U = V \text{ [mod } \mathcal{I}]$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $U \in \mathcal{N}(x)$ , we have  $U \subseteq f_\psi(U)$  and hence  $U - V \subseteq f_\psi(U) - V = f_\psi(V) - V \in \mathcal{I}$  by Theorem 3.4. Similarly  $V - U \in \mathcal{I}$ . Now  $(U - V) \cup (V - U) \in \mathcal{I}$  by additivity. Hence  $U = V \text{ [mod } \mathcal{I}]$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.12.** *Let  $(\mathcal{C}, f, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal Čech-space such that  $f \cong \mathcal{I}$ . If  $H, K \in \mathcal{W}_r(\mathcal{C}, f, \mathcal{I})$ , and  $f_\psi(H) = f_\psi(K)$ , then  $H = K \text{ [mod } \mathcal{I}]$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $U, V \in \mathcal{N}(x)$  such that  $H = U \text{ [mod } \mathcal{I}]$  and  $K = V \text{ [mod } \mathcal{I}]$ . Now  $f_\psi(H) = f_\psi(U)$  and  $f_\psi(K) = f_\psi(V)$  by Theorem 2.2(11). Since  $f_\psi(H) = f_\psi(K)$  implies that  $f_\psi(U) = f_\psi(V)$  and hence  $U = V \text{ [mod } \mathcal{I}]$  by Lemma 3.11. Hence  $H = K \text{ [mod } \mathcal{I}]$  by transitivity.  $\square$

#### 4. MORE PROPERTIES OF AN IDEAL ČECH-SPACES

**LEMMA 4.1.** *Given  $(\mathcal{C}, f, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal Čech-space. If  $A \in \mathcal{N}(x)$  then  $\mathcal{N}(x) \cap \mathcal{I} = \emptyset$  if and only if  $\tilde{f}(H) = f(H)$ .*



*Proof.* Let  $H \in \mathcal{N}(x)$  then by Lemma 1.6 we have  $\tilde{f}(H) \subseteq f(H)$ . Let  $x \in f(H)$ , then for all  $U_x \in \mathcal{N}(x)$  containing  $x$  we have  $U_x \cap H \neq \emptyset$ . Again  $U_x \cap A \in \mathcal{N}(x)$ , so  $U_x \cap H \notin \mathcal{I}$ , since  $\mathcal{N}(x) \cap \mathcal{I} = \emptyset$ . Hence  $x \in \tilde{f}(H)$ . Therefore,  $\tilde{f}(H) = f(H)$ . Conversely, for any  $A \in \mathcal{N}(x)$  we have  $\tilde{f}(H) = f(H)$ . Then  $\mathcal{C} = \tilde{f}(\mathcal{C})$  and then  $\mathcal{N}(x) \cap \mathcal{I} = \emptyset$  by Theorem 1.11.  $\square$

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Let  $(\mathcal{C}, f, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal Čech-space.*

- (1) *If  $K \in \mathcal{W}_r(\mathcal{C}, f, \mathcal{I}) - \mathcal{I}$ , then there exists  $H \in \mathcal{N}(x)$  such that  $K = H \pmod{\mathcal{I}}$ .*
- (2) *If  $\mathcal{N}(x) \cap \mathcal{I} = \emptyset$ , then  $K \in \mathcal{W}_r(\mathcal{C}, f, \mathcal{I}) - \mathcal{I}$  if and only if there exists  $H \in \mathcal{N}(x)$  such that  $K = H \pmod{\mathcal{I}}$ .*

*Proof.* (1) If  $K \in \mathcal{W}_r(\mathcal{C}, f, \mathcal{I}) - \mathcal{I}$ , then  $K \in \mathcal{W}_r(\mathcal{C}, f, \mathcal{I})$ . Now if there does not exist  $H \in \mathcal{N}(x)$  such that  $K = H \pmod{\mathcal{I}}$ , we have  $K = \emptyset \pmod{\mathcal{I}}$ . Then  $K \in \mathcal{I}$  which is a contradiction.

(2) If there exists  $H \in \mathcal{N}(x)$  such that  $K = H \pmod{\mathcal{I}}$ . Then  $H = (K - J) \cup I$ , where  $J = K - H, I = H - K \in \mathcal{I}$ . If  $K \in \mathcal{I}$ , then  $H \in \mathcal{I}$  by heredity and additivity, which contradicts that  $\mathcal{N}(x) \cap \mathcal{I} = \emptyset$ .  $\square$

PROPOSITION 4.3. *Let  $(\mathcal{C}, f, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal Čech-space with  $\mathcal{N}(x) \cap \mathcal{I} = \emptyset$ . If  $K \in \mathcal{W}_r(\mathcal{C}, f, \mathcal{I}) - \mathcal{I}$ , then  $f_\psi(K) \cap f(\tilde{f}(K)) \neq \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $K \in \mathcal{W}_r(\mathcal{C}, f, \mathcal{I}) - \mathcal{I}$ , then by Proposition 4.2(1), there exists  $H \in \mathcal{N}(x)$  such that  $K = H \pmod{\mathcal{I}}$ . This implies that  $\emptyset \neq H \subseteq \tilde{f}(H) = \tilde{f}((K - J) \cup I) = \tilde{f}(K) = f(\tilde{f}(K))$ , where  $J = K - H, I = H - K \in \mathcal{I}$  by Theorem 1.8 and Lemma 1.12. Also  $\emptyset \neq H \subseteq f_\psi(H) = f_\psi(K)$  by Theorem 2.2 (11), so that  $H \subseteq f_\psi(K) \cap f(\tilde{f}(K))$ .  $\square$

Given an ideal Čech-space  $(\mathcal{C}, f, \mathcal{I})$ , let  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{C}, f, \mathcal{I})$  denote  $\{H \subseteq \mathcal{C} : \text{there exists } K \in \mathcal{W}_r(\mathcal{C}, f, \mathcal{I}) - \mathcal{I} \text{ such that } K \subseteq H\}$ .

PROPOSITION 4.4. *Let  $(\mathcal{C}, f, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal Čech-space with  $\mathcal{N}(x) \cap \mathcal{I} = \emptyset$ . The following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $H \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{C}, f, \mathcal{I})$ ;
- (2)  $f_\psi(H) \cap f(\tilde{f}(H)) \neq \emptyset$ ;
- (3)  $f_\psi(H) \cap \tilde{f}(H) \neq \emptyset$ ;
- (4)  $f_\psi(H) \neq \emptyset$ ;
- (5)  $f(H) \neq \emptyset$ ;
- (6) *There exists  $N \in \mathcal{N}(x)$  such that  $N - H \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $N \cap H \notin \mathcal{I}$ .*

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Let  $K \in \mathcal{W}_r(\mathcal{C}, f, \mathcal{I}) - \mathcal{I}$  such that  $K \subseteq H$ . Then  $f(\tilde{f}(K)) \subseteq f(\tilde{f}(H))$  and  $f_\psi(K) \subseteq f_\psi(H)$  and hence  $f(\tilde{f}(K)) \cap f_\psi(K) \subseteq f(\tilde{f}(H)) \cap f_\psi(H)$ . By Proposition 4.3, we have  $f_\psi(H) \cap f(\tilde{f}(H)) \neq \emptyset$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): It is obvious.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4): It is obvious.

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (5): If  $f_\psi(H) \neq \emptyset$ , then there exists  $U \in \mathcal{N}(x)$  such that  $U - H \in \mathcal{I}$ . Since  $U \notin \mathcal{I}$  and  $U = (U - H) \cup (U \cap H)$ , we have  $U \cap H \notin \mathcal{I}$ . By Theorem 2.2,  $\emptyset \neq (U \cap H) \subseteq f_\psi(U) \cap H = f_\psi((U - H) \cup (U \cap H)) \cap H = f_\psi(U \cap H) \cap H \subseteq f_\psi(H) \cap H = f(H)$ . Hence  $f(H) \neq \emptyset$ .

(5)  $\Rightarrow$  (6): If  $f(H) \neq \emptyset$ , then by Theorem 1.10 there exists  $N \in \mathcal{N}(x)$  and  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  such that  $\emptyset \neq N - I \subseteq H$ . We have  $N - H \in \mathcal{I}$ ,  $N = (N - H) \cup (N \cap H)$  and  $N \notin \mathcal{I}$ . Hence  $N \cap H \notin \mathcal{I}$ .

(6)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Let  $K = N \cap H \notin \mathcal{I}$  with  $N \in \mathcal{N}(x)$  and  $N - H \in \mathcal{I}$ . Then  $K \in \mathcal{W}_r(\mathcal{C}, f, \mathcal{I}) - \mathcal{I}$  since  $K \notin \mathcal{I}$  and  $(K - N) \cup (N - K) = N - H \in \mathcal{I}$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 4.5.** *Given  $(\mathcal{C}, f, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal Čech-space, where  $\mathcal{N}(x) \cap \mathcal{I} = \emptyset$ . Then for  $H \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ ,  $f_\psi(H) \subseteq \tilde{f}(H)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in f_\psi(H)$  and  $x \notin \tilde{f}(H)$ . Then there exists a nonempty  $U_x \in \mathcal{N}(x)$  such that  $U_x \cap H \in \mathcal{I}$ . Since  $x \in f_\psi(H)$ , by Theorem 2.4,  $x \in \cup\{U \in \mathcal{N}(x) : U - H \in \mathcal{I}\}$  and there exists  $V \in \mathcal{N}(x)$  such that  $x \in V$  and  $V - H \in \mathcal{I}$ . Now we have  $U_x \cap V \in \mathcal{N}(x)$ ,  $U_x \cap V \cap H \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $(U_x \cap V) - H \in \mathcal{I}$  by heredity. Then by finite additivity we get  $(U_x \cap V \cap H) \cup (U_x \cap V - H) = (U_x \cap V) \in \mathcal{I}$ . Since  $(U_x \cap V) \in \mathcal{N}(x)$ , this is contrary to  $\mathcal{N}(x) \cap \mathcal{I} = \emptyset$ . Therefore,  $x \in \tilde{f}(H)$ . Hence  $f_\psi(H) \subseteq \tilde{f}(H)$ .  $\square$

**COROLLARY 4.6.** *Given  $(\mathcal{C}, f, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal Čech-space, where  $\mathcal{N}(x) \cap \mathcal{I} = \emptyset$ . Then for  $H \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ ,  $f_\psi(H) \subseteq f(\tilde{f}(H))$ .*

**THEOREM 4.7.** *Given  $(\mathcal{C}, f, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal Čech-space. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $\mathcal{N}(x) \cap \mathcal{I} = \emptyset$ ;
- (2)  $f_\psi(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ ;
- (3) If  $H \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  is  $\tau_{cl}(x)$ -closed, then  $f_\psi(H) - H = \emptyset$ ;
- (4) If  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ , then  $f_\psi(I) = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Since  $\mathcal{N}(x) \cap \mathcal{I} = \emptyset$ , by Theorem 2.4 we obtain  $f_\psi(\emptyset) = \cup\{U \in \mathcal{N}(x) : U \in \mathcal{I}\} = \emptyset$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): Suppose  $x \in f_\psi(H) - H$ , then there exists a  $U_x \in \mathcal{N}(x)$  such that  $x \in U_x - H \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $U_x - H \in \mathcal{N}(x)$ . But  $U_x - H \in \{U \in \mathcal{N}(x) : U \in \mathcal{I}\} = f_\psi(\emptyset)$  which implies that  $f_\psi(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ . Hence  $f_\psi(H) - H = \emptyset$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4): Let  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  then  $f_\psi(I) = f_\psi(I \cup \emptyset) = f_\psi(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ .

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Let  $H \in \mathcal{N}(x) \cap \mathcal{I}$ , then  $H \in \mathcal{I}$  and by (4)  $f_\psi(H) = \emptyset$ . Since  $H \in \mathcal{N}(x)$ , by Corollary 2.3 we get  $H \subseteq f_\psi(H) = \emptyset$ . Hence  $\mathcal{N}(x) \cap \mathcal{I} = \emptyset$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 4.8.** *Let  $(\mathcal{C}, f, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal Čech-space. Then  $\mathcal{N}(x) \cap \mathcal{I} = \emptyset$  if and only if  $\tilde{f}[f_\psi(H)] = f[f_\psi(H)]$  for all  $H \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{N}(x) \cap \mathcal{I} = \emptyset$ . It is clear that  $\tilde{f}[f_\psi(H)] \subseteq f[f_\psi(H)]$ . For the reverse inclusion, let  $x \in f[f_\psi(H)]$ . Then for every  $U_x \in \mathcal{N}(x)$ ,  $U_x \cap f_\psi(H) \neq \emptyset$  and  $U_x \cap f_\psi(H) \in \mathcal{N}(x)$  implies that  $U_x \cap f_\psi(H) \notin \mathcal{I}$ , since  $\mathcal{N}(x) \cap \mathcal{I} = \emptyset$ . Hence  $x \in \tilde{f}[f_\psi(H)]$ . Hence  $\tilde{f}[f_\psi(H)] = f[f_\psi(H)]$ . Conversely, suppose that  $\tilde{f}[f_\psi(H)] = f[f_\psi(H)]$ , for every  $H \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ . Then for  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ ,  $\tilde{f}[f_\psi(\mathcal{C})] = f[f_\psi(\mathcal{C})]$ . Hence  $\tilde{f}[\mathcal{C} - \tilde{f}(\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C})] = f[\mathcal{C} - \tilde{f}(\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C})]$ , implies that  $\tilde{f}(\mathcal{C}) = f(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{N}(x) \cap \mathcal{I} = \emptyset$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Al-Omari, R. Gargouri and T. Noiri, *Touch points in ideal Čech closure spaces*, *Mathematica*, **64** (87) (2022), 164–172.
- [2] A. Al-Omari and T. Noiri, *A Topology generated by  $\psi$ -operation and ideal spaces*, *Iran. J. Math. Sci. Inform.*, accepted (2022), to appear.
- [3] A. Al-Omari and T. Noiri, *On operators in ideal minimal spaces*, *Mathematica*, **58** (81) (2016), 3–13.
- [4] A. Al-Omari and T. Noiri, *Operators in minimal spaces with hereditary classes*, *Mathematica*, **61** (84) (2019), 101–110.
- [5] A. Al-Omari and T. Noiri, *Properties of  $\gamma H$ -compact spaces with hereditary classes*, *Atti Accad. Peloritana Pericolanti Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur.*, **98** (2020), A4, 1–11.
- [6] A. Al-Omari and T. Noiri, *Generalizations of Lindelöf spaces via hereditary classes*, *Acta Univ. Sapientiae Math.*, **13** (2021), 281–291.
- [7] D. Andrijević, M. Jelić and M. Mršević, *Some properties of hyperspaces of Čech closure spaces*, *Filomat*, **24** (2010), 53–61.
- [8] D. Andrijević, M. Jelić and M. Mršević, *On function space topologies in the setting of Čech closure spaces*, *Topology Appl.*, **158** (2011), 1390–1395.
- [9] D. Jankovic and T. R. Hamlett, *New topologies from old via ideals*, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **97** (1990), 295–310.
- [10] K. Kuratowski, *Topology: Volume I*, Warszawa, 1933.
- [11] H. Maki, K. C. Rao and A. Nagoor Gani, *On generalizing semi-open and preopen sets*, *Pure Appl. Math. Sci.*, **49** (1999), 17–29.

- [12] R. L. Newcomb, *Topologies which are compact modulo an ideal*, M.S. thesis, University of California, Santa Barbara, California, 1967.
- [13] O. B. Ozbakir and E. D. Yildirim, *On some closed sets in ideal minimal spaces*, Acta Math. Hungar., **125** (2009), 227–235.
- [14] V. Popa and T. Noiri, *On  $M$ -continuous functions*, An. Univ. Dunărea de Jos Galați Fasc. II Mat. Fiz. Mec. Teor., **18** (23) (2000), 31–41.
- [15] J. P. Porter and J. D. Thomas, *On  $H$ -closed and minimal Hausdorff spaces*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl., **138** (1969), 159–170.
- [16] B. M. R. Stadler and P. F. Stadler, *Basic properties of closure spaces*, J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci., **42** (2002), 577–585.

Received October 30, 2022

Accepted Jun 18, 2023

*Al al-Bayt University*

*Faculty of Sciences*

*Department of Mathematics*

*P.O. Box 130095, Mafrq 25113, Jordan*

*E-mail: omarimutah1@yahoo.com*

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6696-1301>