

## THE ABSOLUTE FRATTINI AUTOMORPHISMS

PARISA SEIFIZADEH and AMIRALI FAROKHNIAEE

**Abstract.** Let  $G$  be a finite non-abelian  $p$ -group, where  $p$  is a prime number, and  $\text{Aut}(G)$  be the group of all automorphisms of  $G$ . An automorphism  $\alpha$  of  $G$  is an absolute central automorphism if  $x^{-1}\alpha(x) \in L(G)$ , where  $L(G)$  is the absolute center of  $G$ . In addition,  $\alpha$  is an absolute Frattini automorphism if  $x^{-1}\alpha(x) \in \Phi(L(G))$ , where  $\Phi(L(G))$  is the Frattini subgroup of the absolute center of  $G$ , and let  $LF(G)$  denote the group of all such automorphisms of  $G$ . Also, we denote by  $C_{LF(G)}(Z(G))$  and  $C_{LA(G)}(Z(G))$ , respectively, the group of all absolute Frattini automorphisms and the group of all absolute central automorphisms of  $G$  fixing elementwise the center  $Z(G)$  of  $G$ . Here, we give necessary and sufficient conditions on a finite non-abelian  $p$ -group  $G$  of class two such that  $C_{LF(G)}(Z(G)) = C_{LA(G)}(Z(G))$  holds. Moreover, we investigate the conditions under which  $LF(G)$  is a torsion-free abelian group.

**MSC 2020.** Primary: 20D35; Secondary: 20D45.

**Key words.** Absolute central automorphism, torsion-free, Frattini subgroup, finite group.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper,  $p$  denotes a prime number. Let  $G$  be a finite group. We assume that  $G'$ ,  $Z(G)$ ,  $\Phi(G)$ ,  $\exp(G)$ ,  $\text{Aut}(G)$ ,  $\text{Inn}(G)$  and  $\exp(G)$ , are the commutator subgroup, the centre, Frattini subgroup, the exponent, the group of all automorphisms and the inner automorphisms of  $G$ , respectively. For any group  $G$ , Hegarty [4] defined the subgroups  $L(G)$  and  $Z(G)$  of  $G$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} L(G) &= \{g \in G \mid \alpha(g) = g \ \forall \alpha \in \text{Aut}(G)\}, \\ Z(G) &= \{g \in G \mid \alpha(g) = g \ \forall \alpha \in \text{Inn}(G)\} \end{aligned}$$

and called  $L(G)$  the absolute center of  $G$ . Note that  $L(G) \leq Z(G)$ .

An automorphism  $\alpha$  of  $G$  is called a central automorphism, if  $[g, \alpha] = g^{-1}\alpha(g) \in Z(G)$ , for each  $g \in G$ . The central automorphisms fix the commutator subgroup  $G'$  of  $G$ , elementwise and form a normal subgroup  $\text{Aut}^Z(G)$  of  $\text{Aut}(G)$ , where  $Z = Z(G)$ . In a similar way, Hegarty [3] defined an absolute central automorphism of  $G$  as follows: an automorphism  $\alpha$  of  $G$  is

---

The authors thank the referee for his helpful comments and suggestions.

Corresponding author: Parisa Seifzadeh.

called an absolute central automorphism if it induces the identity automorphism on  $G/L(G)$ , or, equivalently,  $[g, \alpha] = g^{-1}\alpha(g) \in L(G)$ , for each  $g \in G$ . Let  $LA(G) = \text{Aut}^{L(G)}(G)$  denotes the group of all absolute central automorphisms of  $G$ . Clearly,  $LA(G)$  is a normal subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(G)$  contained in  $\text{Aut}^Z(G)$ .

In addition, Moghaddam and Safa [5] have investigated some properties of absolute central automorphisms. Assume that  $LF(G) = \text{Aut}^{\Phi(L(G))}(G)$  denotes the group of all absolute Frattini automorphisms,  $\alpha$  of  $G$ , such that  $[g, \alpha] = g^{-1}\alpha(g) \in \Phi(L(G))$ , for each  $g \in G$ . One can easily check that  $LF(G)$  is a normal subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(G)$  and contained in  $LA(G)$ . Now, let  $C_{LF(G)}(Z(G))$  and  $C_{LA(G)}(Z(G))$ , respectively, to be the group of all absolute Frattini automorphisms and the group of all absolute central automorphisms of  $G$ , fixing the center  $Z(G)$  of  $G$ , elementwise. Shabani Attar [9] gave necessary and sufficient conditions for any finite non-abelian  $p$ -group such that  $\text{Aut}^Z(G) = C_{\text{Aut}^Z(G)}(Z(G))$ , where  $C_{\text{Aut}^Z(G)}(Z(G))$  is the group of all central automorphisms of  $G$  fixing  $Z(G)$ , elementwise. On the other hand, Rai [6] obtained necessary and sufficient conditions on a finite  $p$ -group  $G$  under which  $\text{Aut}^Z(G) = C_{\text{IA}(G)}(Z(G))$ , where  $\text{IA}(G)$  and  $C_{\text{IA}(G)}(Z(G))$ , respectively, the group of all derived automorphisms and the group of all derived automorphisms of  $G$  fixing  $Z(G)$ , elementwise. In another study, Singh and Gumber [10], gave necessary and sufficient conditions on a finite non-abelian  $p$ -group  $G$ , such that  $C_{LA(G)}(Z(G)) = \text{Aut}^Z(G)$ .

In this article we present necessary and sufficient conditions on a finite non-abelian  $p$ -group  $G$  of class 2, in which  $C_{LF(G)}(Z(G)) = C_{LA(G)}(Z(G))$ . We also find the conditions on the group  $G$ , so that  $LF(G)$  is a torsion-free abelian group.

Throughout this paper, we utilize the following well-known lemmas and theorem:

LEMMA 1.1 ([1, Lemma 3]). *Let  $G$  be any group, and let  $Y$  be a central subgroup of  $G$  contained in a normal subgroup  $X$  of  $G$ . Then the group of all automorphisms of  $G$  that induce the identity on both  $X$  and  $G/Y$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Hom}(G/X, Y)$ .*

LEMMA 1.2 ([2, Lemma E]). *Suppose  $H$  is an abelian  $p$ -group of exponent  $p^c$ , and  $K$  is cyclic group of order divisible by  $p^c$ . Then  $\text{Hom}(H, K)$  is isomorphic to  $H$ .*

LEMMA 1.3 ([4, Lemma 3.1]). *Let  $G$  be a finite non-abelian  $p$ -group. Then  $L(G) \leq \Phi(G)$ .*

REMARK 1.4 ([7]). *Let  $G$  be a finite group, then  $\Phi(G) = G$  if and only if  $G$  is the trivial group.*

THEOREM 1.5 ([8, Theorem 2.6]). *Let  $G$  be a purely non-abelian group satisfying maximal and minimal conditions on normal subgroups. Let  $M$  be a*

central subgroup of  $G$  and let  $\text{Aut}^M(G)$  denote the group of all those automorphisms of  $G$  which induce the identity on  $G/M$ . Then:

- i) there is a one-one correspondence between  $\text{Aut}^M(G)$  and  $\text{Hom}(G, M)$ ,  
and
- ii) if  $M$  is contained in  $L(G)$ , then  $\text{Aut}^M(G) \cong \text{Hom}(G, M)$ .

Now, we find necessary and sufficient conditions on a finite non-abelian  $p$ -group  $G$  of class 2, such that  $C_{LA(G)}(Z(G)) = C_{LF(G)}(Z(G))$ . Let

$$G/Z(G) = C_{p^{a_1}} \times C_{p^{a_2}} \times \dots \times C_{p^{a_k}}$$

where  $C_{p^{a_i}}$  is a cyclic group of order  $p^{a_i}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , and  $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_k \geq 1$ . Since  $\Phi(L(G)) \leq L(G) \leq Z(G)$ , so we can write

$$\Phi(L(G)) = C_{p^{b_1}} \times C_{p^{b_2}} \times \dots \times C_{p^{b_l}}$$

and let

$$L(G) = C_{p^{c_1}} \times C_{p^{c_2}} \times \dots \times C_{p^{c_m}}$$

be the cyclic decompositions of the corresponding abelian group, where  $b_i \geq b_{i+1} \geq 1$  and  $c_i \geq c_{i+1} \geq 1$ . Since  $\Phi(L(G))$  is a subgroup of  $L(G)$ , we have  $l \leq m$  and  $b_j \leq c_j$ , for all  $j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq l$ . Considering the notation above, we prove the main theorem of this paper.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $G$  be a finite non-abelian  $p$ -group of class two. Then  $C_{LA(G)}(Z(G)) = C_{LF(G)}(Z(G))$ , if and only if  $L(G)$  is the trivial subgroup of  $G$  ( $\Phi(L(G)) = L(G)$ ), or  $\Phi(L(G)) < L(G)$ ,  $l = m$ , and  $a_1 \leq b_s$ , where  $s$  is the largest integer between 1 and  $l$  such that  $b_s < c_s$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $C_{LA(G)}(Z(G)) = C_{LF(G)}(Z(G))$  and  $\Phi(L(G)) \neq L(G)$ . So we have  $\Phi(L(G)) < L(G)$ . We claim that  $l = m$ , and  $a_1 \leq b_s$ , where  $s$  is the largest integer between 1 and  $l$  such that  $b_s < c_s$ . As  $\Phi(L(G)) < L(G) \leq Z(G)$ , using Lemma 1.1, we can see that  $C_{LA(G)}(Z(G)) \cong \text{Hom}(G/Z(G), L(G))$  and  $C_{FA(G)}(Z(G)) \cong \text{Hom}(G/Z(G), \Phi(G))$ . So,

$$|C_{LA(G)}(Z(G))| = |\text{Hom}(G/Z(G), L(G))|$$

and

$$|C_{LF(G)}(Z(G))| = |\text{Hom}(G/Z(G), \Phi(L(G)))|.$$

Thus, we have  $|\text{Hom}(G/Z(G), \Phi(L(G)))| = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq l} p^{\min\{a_i, b_j\}}$  and

$$|\text{Hom}(G/Z(G), L(G))| = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq m} p^{\min\{a_i, c_j\}}.$$

As,  $C_{LF(G)}(Z(G)) = C_{LA(G)}(Z(G))$ , hence

$$|\text{Hom}(G/Z(G), \Phi(L(G)))| = |\text{Hom}(G/Z(G), L(G))|.$$

Therefore,  $\prod_{1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq l} p^{\min\{a_i, b_j\}} = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq m} p^{\min\{a_i, c_j\}}$ . Since  $\Phi(L(G)) < L(G)$ , we have  $l \leq m$ , and  $b_j \leq c_j$ , for every  $j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq l$ , therefore  $\min\{a_i, b_j\} \leq \min\{a_i, c_j\}$  for all  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$  and for all  $j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq l$ . If  $l < m$ , then  $|\text{Hom}(G/Z(G), \Phi(L(G)))| < |\text{Hom}(G/Z(G), L(G))|$ , which is not true. Thus  $l = m$  and  $\min\{a_i, b_j\} = \min\{a_i, c_j\}$ , for all  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$  and for all  $j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq l$ . Since  $\Phi(L(G)) < L(G)$ , there exists some  $j$  between 1 and  $l$  such that  $b_j < c_j$ . Let  $s$  be the largest integer between 1 and  $l$  such that  $b_s < c_s$ . We show that  $a_1 \leq b_s$ . Suppose for a contradiction that  $b_s < a_1$ . Thus  $b_s = \min\{a_1, b_s\} = \min\{a_1, c_s\}$ , which is impossible. Therefore,  $a_1 \leq b_s$ .

Conversely, if  $\Phi(L(G)) = L(G)$ , then  $C_{LA(G)}(Z(G)) = C_{LF(G)}(Z(G))$ . Suppose that  $\Phi(L(G)) < L(G)$ ,  $l = m$ , and  $a_1 \leq b_s$ , where  $s$  is the largest integer between 1 and  $l$  such that  $b_s < c_s$ . Now

$$|C_{LF(G)}(Z(G))| = |\text{Hom}(G/Z(G), \Phi(L(G)))| = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq l} p^{\min\{a_i, b_j\}}$$

and  $|C_{LA(G)}(Z(G))| = |\text{Hom}(G/Z(G), L(G))| = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq m} p^{\min\{a_i, c_j\}}$ . Note

that  $a_i \leq b_j \leq c_j$ , for all  $1 \leq i \leq k$  and  $1 \leq j \leq s$ , whence  $\min\{a_i, b_j\} = a_i = \min\{a_i, c_j\}$ , for all  $1 \leq i \leq k$  and  $1 \leq j \leq s$ . On the other hand  $c_j = b_j$ , for all  $j > s$ , so we have  $\min\{a_i, b_j\} = a_i = \min\{a_i, c_j\}$ , for all  $1 \leq i \leq k$  and  $s+1 \leq j \leq m$ . Therefore  $|C_{LF(G)}(Z(G))| = |C_{LA(G)}(Z(G))|$ . Because  $C_{LF(G)}(Z(G))$  is a subgroup of  $C_{LA(G)}(Z(G))$ . We have  $C_{LF(G)}(Z(G)) = C_{LA(G)}(Z(G))$ . The proof of the theorem is complete.  $\square$

The following corollary is the consequence of the above theorem.

**COROLLARY 2.2.** *Let  $G$  be a finite non-abelian  $p$ -group of class two and  $\exp(L(G)) = p$ . Then  $\Phi(L(G)) = 1$  and  $C_{LF(G)}(Z(G)) = 1$ . Hence*

$$C_{LA(G)}(Z(G)) \neq C_{LF(G)}(Z(G)).$$

**EXAMPLE 2.3.** Let  $G = M_2(4, 1) = \langle a, b; a^{16} = b^2 = 1, [a, b] = a^8 \rangle$ , where  $p = 2$ ,  $n = 4$  and  $m = 1$ .  $G$  is a minimal non-abelian finite 2-group, we have  $L(G) = Z(G) = \Phi(G) = \mathbb{Z}_8$  and  $|G'| = 2$ ,  $G/Z(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ . One can easily check that  $\Phi(L(G)) = \mathbb{Z}_4$ . Applying Theorem 2.1, we can see that  $C_{LA(G)}(Z(G)) = C_{LF(G)}(Z(G)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ .

**LEMMA 2.4.** *Let  $G$  be a non-abelian finitely generated group, in which  $\Phi(L(G))$  is indecomposable and torsion free and  $G/\Phi(L(G))$  is torsion free abelian. Suppose that  $f \in \text{Hom}(G, \Phi(L(G)))$ . Then  $\Phi(L(G)) \leq \text{Ker}(f)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\Phi(L(G))$  and  $G/\Phi(L(G))$  are abelian, so  $G' \leq \Phi(L(G)) \cap \text{Ker}(f)$  and hence  $G/\Phi(L(G)) \cap \text{Ker}(f)$  is abelian. The map  $\sigma : x(\Phi(L(G)) \cap \text{Ker}(f)) \mapsto x\Phi(L(G))$  defines an epimorphism from  $G/\Phi(L(G)) \cap \text{Ker}(f)$  onto  $G/\Phi(L(G))$ . Since  $G/\Phi(L(G))$  is a free abelian group, by ([7, Theorem 4.2.4]), there exists a homomorphism  $\delta : G/\Phi(L(G)) \rightarrow G/\Phi(L(G)) \cap \text{Ker}(f)$  such that  $\sigma \circ \delta$  is an identity on  $G/\Phi(L(G))$ . As  $\text{Im}(\delta)$  is a subgroup of

$G/\Phi(L(G)) \cap \text{Ker}(f)$ , there exists a subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  containing  $\Phi(L(G)) \cap \text{Ker}(f)$  such that  $\text{Im}(\delta) = H/\Phi(L(G) \cap \text{Ker}(f))$ . Because  $G/\Phi(L(G)) \cap \text{Ker}(f)$  is abelian,  $H/\Phi(L(G) \cap \text{Ker}(f))$  is a normal subgroup of  $G/\Phi(L(G)) \cap \text{Ker}(f)$ . So  $H$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ .

Since  $\delta$  is an injective homomorphism from  $G/\Phi(L(G))$  to  $G/\Phi(L(G)) \cap \text{Ker}(f)$  and its image is  $H/\Phi(L(G) \cap \text{Ker}(f))$ . This means, if we pull back  $H$  via the inverse of  $\delta$ , then we get  $G$ . But the inverse image is  $H\Phi(L(G))$ . Hence  $G = H\Phi(L(G))$ . Also,  $\Phi(L(G)) \cap H = \Phi(L(G)) \cap \text{Ker}(f)$  because  $\sigma \circ \delta$  is an identity on  $G/\Phi(L(G))$ . Here  $H \neq 1$ , otherwise if  $H = 1$ , then  $G = \Phi(L(G))$ , and so  $G$  is abelian, which is a contradiction as  $G$  is non abelian. From the fact that  $G$  is finitely generated, it follows that  $H/\Phi(L(G) \cap \text{Ker}(f))$ , and so  $\Phi(L(G))/\Phi(L(G) \cap \text{Ker}(f))$  is a finitely generated abelian group. Furthermore,  $\Phi(L(G))/\Phi(L(G) \cap \text{Ker}(f))$  is torsion free. Let  $t \in \Phi(L(G))$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $(t(\Phi(L(G)) \cap \text{Ker}(f)))^k = 1$ . Since  $\Phi(L(G))$  is torsion free,  $f(t) = 1$ , and so  $t \in \text{Ker}(f)$ . Therefore,  $t \in \Phi(L(G)) \cap \text{Ker}(f)$ . This shows that  $\Phi(L(G))/\Phi(L(G) \cap \text{Ker}(f))$  is free abelian. Hence, by ([7, Theorem 4.2.5]), we have  $\Phi(L(G)) = (\Phi(L(G)) \cap \text{Ker}(f)) \times A$ , for some  $A \leq \Phi(L(G))$ . Since  $\Phi(L(G))$  is indecomposable, thus  $\Phi(L(G)) \cap \text{Ker}(f) = 1$  or  $A = 1$ . If  $\Phi(L(G)) \cap \text{Ker}(f) = 1$ , then  $G' = 1$ , and so  $G$  is abelian. This is a contradiction. Therefore, we must have  $A = 1$ , and this means  $\Phi(L(G)) = \Phi(L(G)) \cap \text{Ker}(f)$ . Thus,  $\Phi(L(G))$  is contained in  $\text{Ker}(f)$  as desired.  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.5.** *Let  $G$  be a non-abelian finitely generated group, in which  $\Phi(L(G))$  is indecomposable and torsion free and  $G/\Phi(L(G))$  is torsion free abelian, then  $\text{Hom}(G, \Phi(L(G)))$  is a torsion free abelian group.*

*Proof.* Let  $f \in \text{Hom}(G, \Phi(L(G)))$ . Let  $\sigma_f : G/\Phi(L(G)) \rightarrow \Phi(L(G))$  as  $\sigma_f(x) = f(x)$ . Now, we show that  $\sigma_f$  a homomorphism from  $G/\Phi(L(G))$  to  $\Phi(L(G))$ . First, we prove  $\sigma_f$  is well defined. Suppose that  $x_1, x_2 \in G$  and  $x_1\Phi(L(G)) = x_2\Phi(L(G))$ , then  $x_1x_2^{-1} \in \Phi(L(G))$  implies  $f(x_1x_2^{-1}) = 1$  by Lemma 2.4, and this means  $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ . Clearly,  $\sigma_f$  is a homomorphism. Thus,  $\sigma_f \in \text{Hom}(G/\Phi(L(G)), \Phi(L(G)))$ . Now, it is easy to see that the map  $\phi : f \mapsto \sigma_f$  is an isomorphism from  $\text{Hom}(G, \Phi(L(G)))$  to  $\text{Hom}(G/\Phi(L(G)), \Phi(L(G)))$ , So,  $\text{Hom}(G, \Phi(L(G))) \cong \text{Hom}(G/\Phi(L(G)), \Phi(L(G)))$ . Since  $G/\Phi(L(G))$  is a free abelian group, there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $G/\Phi(L(G)) = \underbrace{\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}}_{n\text{-times}}$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}(G, \Phi(L(G))) &\cong \text{Hom}(G/\Phi(L(G)), \Phi(L(G))) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}(\underbrace{\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}}_{n\text{-times}}, \Phi(L(G))) \\ &\cong \underbrace{\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \Phi(L(G))) \times \dots \times \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \Phi(L(G)))}_{n\text{-times}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\cong \underbrace{\Phi(L(G)) \times \Phi(L(G)) \times \dots \times \Phi(L(G))}_{n\text{-times}},$$

by ([7, Theorem 4.7 and 4.9]). Since  $\Phi(L(G))$  is a torsion-free abelian group,  $\text{Hom}(G, \Phi(L(G)))$  is a torsion free abelian group.  $\square$

Immediate from Theorems 1.5 and 2.5, we get the following corollary.

**COROLLARY 2.6.** *Let  $G$  be a purely non-abelian and finitely generated group satisfying maximal and minimal conditions on normal subgroups, in which  $\Phi(L(G))$  is indecomposable and torsion free and  $G/\Phi(L(G))$  is torsion free abelian, then  $LF(G)$  is a torsion free abelian group.*

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. L. Alperin, *Groups with finitely many automorphisms*, Pacific J. Math., **12** (1962), 1–5.
- [2] M. J. Curran and D. J. McCaughan, *Central automorphisms that are almost inner*, Comm. Algebra, **29** (2001), 2081–2087.
- [3] P. V. Hegarty, *The absolute center of a group*, J. Algebra, **169** (1994), 929–935.
- [4] H. Meng and X. Guo, *The absolute center of finite groups*, J. Group Theory, **18** (2015), 887–904.
- [5] M. R. R. Moghaddam and H. Safa, *Some properties of autocentral automorphisms of a group*, Ric. Mat., **59** (2010), 257–264.
- [6] P. K. Rai, *On class-preserving automorphisms of groups*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Sect. A, **124** (2014), 169–173.
- [7] D. J. S. Robinson, *Course in the Theory of Groups*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980.
- [8] S. S. Chahal, D. Gumber and H. Kalra, *On Autocentral Automorphisms of Finite  $p$ -Groups*, Results Math., **76** (2021), 1–8.
- [9] M. Shabani Attar, *Finite  $p$ -groups in which each central automorphism fixes centre elementwis*, Comm. Algebra, **40** (2012), 1096–1102.
- [10] M. Singh and D. Gumber, *On the coincidence of the central and absolute central automorphism groups of finite  $p$ -groups*, Math. Notes, **107** (2020), 863–866.

Received August 10, 2021

Accepted January 11, 2022

*University of Birjand*

*Department of Mathematics*

*Birjand, Iran*

*E-mail:* paris.seifzadeh@birjand.ac.ir

<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1556-3482>

*University College Dublin*

*School of Electrical and Electronic Engineering,*

*Dublin, Ireland*

*E-mail:* amirali.farokhniaee@ucd.ie

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9653-0158>