

Tilting complexes for group graded algebras and Broué's abelian defect group conjecture

Andrei Marcus

"Babeş-Bolyai" University Cluj-Napoca

Madrid, August 25, 2006

- 1 Introduction
- 2 G -graded tilting complexes
- 3 Stable equivalences and Rickard equivalences between symmetric algebras
- 4 On Okuyama's tilting complexes
- 5 Extending Rickard's construction
- 6 Splendid stable and derived equivalences
- 7 Equivalences between blocks of alternating groups
- 8 References

The problem

Problem

Construct derived equivalences between two algebras R and S over the commutative ring k , graded by the finite group G .

The problem

Problem

Construct derived equivalences between two algebras R and S over the commutative ring k , graded by the finite group G .

Motivation

The problem

Problem

Construct derived equivalences between two algebras R and S over the commutative ring k , graded by the finite group G .

Motivation

- Let $K \trianglelefteq H$, $G = H/K$, b is a G -invariant block with defect group D of the group algebra kK .

The problem

Problem

Construct derived equivalences between two algebras R and S over the commutative ring k , graded by the finite group G .

Motivation

- Let $K \trianglelefteq H$, $G = H/K$, b is a G -invariant block with defect group D of the group algebra kK .
- The Brauer correspondent c of b in $kN_K(D)$ is a G -invariant block of $kN_K(D)$;

The problem

Problem

Construct derived equivalences between two algebras R and S over the commutative ring k , graded by the finite group G .

Motivation

- Let $K \trianglelefteq H$, $G = H/K$, b is a G -invariant block with defect group D of the group algebra kK .
- The Brauer correspondent c of b in $kN_K(D)$ is a G -invariant block of $kN_K(D)$;
- if D is abelian, Broué's conjecture predicts that there is a derived equivalence between the block algebras $A = kKb$ and $B = kN_K(D)c$ i.e. $\mathcal{D}^b(A)$ and $\mathcal{D}^b(B)$ are equivalent as triangulated categories;

The problem

Problem

Construct derived equivalences between two algebras R and S over the commutative ring k , graded by the finite group G .

Motivation

- Let $K \trianglelefteq H$, $G = H/K$, b is a G -invariant block with defect group D of the group algebra kK .
- The Brauer correspondent c of b in $kN_K(D)$ is a G -invariant block of $kN_K(D)$;
- if D is abelian, Broué's conjecture predicts that there is a derived equivalence between the block algebras $A = kKb$ and $B = kN_K(D)c$ i.e. $\mathcal{D}^b(A)$ and $\mathcal{D}^b(B)$ are equivalent as triangulated categories;
- moreover, such an equivalence should be compatible with p' -extensions, i.e. if $p \nmid |G|$, then the equivalence can be extended to a derived equivalence between the G -graded k -algebras $S = kHb$ and $R = kN_H(D)c$ induced by a bounded complex of G -graded (R, S) -bimodules.

The problem

This talk

The problem

This talk

- We discuss constructions due to T. Okuyama and J. Rickard aimed to lift stable equivalences between symmetric algebras to Rickard equivalences.

The problem

This talk

- We discuss constructions due to T. Okuyama and J. Rickard aimed to lift stable equivalences between symmetric algebras to Rickard equivalences.
- Although they end up with two-sided tilting complexes, these are based on constructions of one-sided tilting complexes.

This talk

- We discuss constructions due to T. Okuyama and J. Rickard aimed to lift stable equivalences between symmetric algebras to Rickard equivalences.
- Although they end up with two-sided tilting complexes, these are based on constructions of one-sided tilting complexes.
- In the case of the Morita equivalence, if P is a progenerator of $A\text{-Mod}$, the P becomes an $(A, \text{End}_A(P)^{\text{op}})$ -module.

The problem

This talk

- We discuss constructions due to T. Okuyama and J. Rickard aimed to lift stable equivalences between symmetric algebras to Rickard equivalences.
- Although they end up with two-sided tilting complexes, these are based on constructions of one-sided tilting complexes.
- In the case of the Morita equivalence, if P is a progenerator of $A\text{-Mod}$, the P becomes an $(A, \text{End}_A(P)^{\text{op}})$ -module.
- A difficulty in the case of derived equivalences is that if T is an one-sided tilting complex of A -modules, then $\text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(A)}(T)^{\text{op}}$ acts on T only up to homotopy.

This talk

- We discuss constructions due to T. Okuyama and J. Rickard aimed to lift stable equivalences between symmetric algebras to Rickard equivalences.
- Although they end up with two-sided tilting complexes, these are based on constructions of one-sided tilting complexes.
- In the case of the Morita equivalence, if P is a progenerator of $A\text{-Mod}$, the P becomes an $(A, \text{End}_A(P)^{\text{op}})$ -module.
- A difficulty in the case of derived equivalences is that if T is an one-sided tilting complex of A -modules, then $\text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(A)}(T)^{\text{op}}$ acts on T only up to homotopy.

Denote $A = R_1$ and $B = S_1$. The diagonal subalgebra is

$$\Delta := \Delta(R \otimes_k S^{\text{op}}) = \bigoplus_{g \in G} R_g \otimes_k S_{g^{-1}}.$$

G -graded tilting complexes

Graded endomorphism rings

G -graded tilting complexes

Graded endomorphism rings

A complex $T \in \mathcal{H}(R\text{-Gr})$ is called G -invariant if $T(g) \simeq T$ (in the category $\mathcal{H}(R\text{-Gr})$) for all $g \in G$.

G -graded tilting complexes

Graded endomorphism rings

A complex $T \in \mathcal{H}(R\text{-Gr})$ is called G -invariant if $T(g) \simeq T$ (in the category $\mathcal{H}(R\text{-Gr})$) for all $g \in G$. T is called *weakly G -invariant* if $T(g) \in \text{add}(T)$ for all $g \in G$.

G -graded tilting complexes

Graded endomorphism rings

A complex $T \in \mathcal{H}(R\text{-Gr})$ is called G -invariant if $T(g) \simeq T$ (in the category $\mathcal{H}(R\text{-Gr})$) for all $g \in G$. T is called *weakly G -invariant* if $T(g) \in \text{add}(T)$ for all $g \in G$.

Let $T \in \mathcal{H}(R\text{-Gr})$, $E := \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(R)}(T)^{\text{op}}$.

G -graded tilting complexes

Graded endomorphism rings

A complex $T \in \mathcal{H}(R\text{-Gr})$ is called G -invariant if $T(g) \simeq T$ (in the category $\mathcal{H}(R\text{-Gr})$) for all $g \in G$. T is called *weakly G -invariant* if $T(g) \in \text{add}(T)$ for all $g \in G$.

Let $T \in \mathcal{H}(R\text{-Gr})$, $E := \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(R)}(T)^{\text{op}}$. Assume G is finite. Then:

G -graded tilting complexes

Graded endomorphism rings

A complex $T \in \mathcal{H}(R\text{-Gr})$ is called G -invariant if $T(g) \simeq T$ (in the category $\mathcal{H}(R\text{-Gr})$) for all $g \in G$. T is called *weakly G -invariant* if $T(g) \in \text{add}(T)$ for all $g \in G$.

Let $T \in \mathcal{H}(R\text{-Gr})$, $E := \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(R)}(T)^{\text{op}}$. Assume G is finite. Then:

a) E is a G -graded algebra, $E_g \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}(R\text{-Gr})}(T, T(g))$.

G -graded tilting complexes

Graded endomorphism rings

A complex $T \in \mathcal{H}(R\text{-Gr})$ is called G -invariant if $T(g) \simeq T$ (in the category $\mathcal{H}(R\text{-Gr})$) for all $g \in G$. T is called *weakly G -invariant* if $T(g) \in \text{add}(T)$ for all $g \in G$.

Let $T \in \mathcal{H}(R\text{-Gr})$, $E := \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(R)}(T)^{\text{op}}$. Assume G is finite. Then:

- E is a G -graded algebra, $E_g \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}(R\text{-Gr})}(T, T(g))$.
- E is strongly graded (crossed product) iff T is weakly G -invariant (G -invariant).

G -graded tilting complexes

Graded endomorphism rings

A complex $T \in \mathcal{H}(R\text{-Gr})$ is called G -invariant if $T(g) \simeq T$ (in the category $\mathcal{H}(R\text{-Gr})$) for all $g \in G$. T is called *weakly G -invariant* if $T(g) \in \text{add}(T)$ for all $g \in G$.

Let $T \in \mathcal{H}(R\text{-Gr})$, $E := \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(R)}(T)^{\text{op}}$. Assume G is finite. Then:

- a) E is a G -graded algebra, $E_g \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}(R\text{-Gr})}(T, T(g))$.
- b) E is strongly graded (crossed product) iff T is weakly G -invariant (G -invariant).

Group graded functors

G -graded tilting complexes

Graded endomorphism rings

A complex $T \in \mathcal{H}(R\text{-Gr})$ is called G -invariant if $T(g) \simeq T$ (in the category $\mathcal{H}(R\text{-Gr})$) for all $g \in G$. T is called *weakly G -invariant* if $T(g) \in \text{add}(T)$ for all $g \in G$.

Let $T \in \mathcal{H}(R\text{-Gr})$, $E := \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(R)}(T)^{\text{op}}$. Assume G is finite. Then:

- E is a G -graded algebra, $E_g \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}(R\text{-Gr})}(T, T(g))$.
- E is strongly graded (crossed product) iff T is weakly G -invariant (G -invariant).

Group graded functors

- Consider the conjugation functors $\mathcal{S}_g = (-)(g)$, $g \in G$.

G -graded tilting complexes

Graded endomorphism rings

A complex $T \in \mathcal{H}(R\text{-Gr})$ is called G -invariant if $T(g) \simeq T$ (in the category $\mathcal{H}(R\text{-Gr})$) for all $g \in G$. T is called weakly G -invariant if $T(g) \in \text{add}(T)$ for all $g \in G$.

Let $T \in \mathcal{H}(R\text{-Gr})$, $E := \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(R)}(T)^{\text{op}}$. Assume G is finite. Then:

- E is a G -graded algebra, $E_g \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}(R\text{-Gr})}(T, T(g))$.
- E is strongly graded (crossed product) iff T is weakly G -invariant (G -invariant).

Group graded functors

- Consider the conjugation functors $S_g = (-)(g)$, $g \in G$.
- A functor $F : \mathcal{D}(S\text{-Gr}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(R\text{-Gr})$ is said to be G -graded if $F \circ S_g = S_g \circ F$ for all $g \in G$.

G -graded tilting complexes

Graded endomorphism rings

A complex $T \in \mathcal{H}(R\text{-Gr})$ is called G -invariant if $T(g) \simeq T$ (in the category $\mathcal{H}(R\text{-Gr})$) for all $g \in G$. T is called *weakly G -invariant* if $T(g) \in \text{add}(T)$ for all $g \in G$.

Let $T \in \mathcal{H}(R\text{-Gr})$, $E := \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(R)}(T)^{\text{op}}$. Assume G is finite. Then:

- E is a G -graded algebra, $E_g \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}(R\text{-Gr})}(T, T(g))$.
- E is strongly graded (crossed product) iff T is weakly G -invariant (G -invariant).

Group graded functors

- Consider the conjugation functors $S_g = (-)(g)$, $g \in G$.
- A functor $F : \mathcal{D}(S\text{-Gr}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(R\text{-Gr})$ is said to be G -graded if $F \circ S_g = S_g \circ F$ for all $g \in G$.
- A complex $X = \bigoplus_{g \in G} X_g$ of G -graded (R, S) -bimodules, yields an adjoint pair of G -graded functors:

G-graded tilting complexes

Graded endomorphism rings

A complex $T \in \mathcal{H}(R\text{-Gr})$ is called *G-invariant* if $T(g) \simeq T$ (in the category $\mathcal{H}(R\text{-Gr})$) for all $g \in G$. T is called *weakly G-invariant* if $T(g) \in \text{add}(T)$ for all $g \in G$.

Let $T \in \mathcal{H}(R\text{-Gr})$, $E := \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(R)}(T)^{\text{op}}$. Assume G is finite. Then:

- E is a G -graded algebra, $E_g \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}(R\text{-Gr})}(T, T(g))$.
- E is strongly graded (crossed product) iff T is weakly G -invariant (G -invariant).

Group graded functors

- Consider the conjugation functors $S_g = (-)(g)$, $g \in G$.
- A functor $F : \mathcal{D}(S\text{-Gr}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(R\text{-Gr})$ is said to be *G-graded* if $F \circ S_g = S_g \circ F$ for all $g \in G$.
- A complex $X = \bigoplus_{g \in G} X_g$ of G -graded (R, S) -bimodules, yields an adjoint pair of G -graded functors:

$$X \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}_S - : \mathcal{D}(S\text{-Gr}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(R\text{-Gr}).$$

G-graded tilting complexes

Graded endomorphism rings

A complex $T \in \mathcal{H}(R\text{-Gr})$ is called *G-invariant* if $T(g) \simeq T$ (in the category $\mathcal{H}(R\text{-Gr})$) for all $g \in G$. T is called *weakly G-invariant* if $T(g) \in \text{add}(T)$ for all $g \in G$.

Let $T \in \mathcal{H}(R\text{-Gr})$, $E := \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(R)}(T)^{\text{op}}$. Assume G is finite. Then:

- E is a G -graded algebra, $E_g \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}(R\text{-Gr})}(T, T(g))$.
- E is strongly graded (crossed product) iff T is weakly G -invariant (G -invariant).

Group graded functors

- Consider the conjugation functors $S_g = (-)(g)$, $g \in G$.
- A functor $F : \mathcal{D}(S\text{-Gr}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(R\text{-Gr})$ is said to be *G-graded* if $F \circ S_g = S_g \circ F$ for all $g \in G$.
- A complex $X = \bigoplus_{g \in G} X_g$ of G -graded (R, S) -bimodules, yields an adjoint pair of G -graded functors:

$$X \overset{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes}_S - : \mathcal{D}(S\text{-Gr}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(R\text{-Gr}).$$

$$\mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_R(X, -) : \mathcal{D}(R\text{-Gr}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(S\text{-Gr}).$$

G -graded tilting complexes

Definition

$T \in \mathcal{D}(R\text{-Gr})$ is a G -graded tilting complex over R if

G -graded tilting complexes

Definition

$T \in \mathcal{D}(R\text{-Gr})$ is a G -graded tilting complex over R if

- $T \in R\text{-perf}$.

G -graded tilting complexes

Definition

$T \in \mathcal{D}(R\text{-Gr})$ is a G -graded tilting complex over R if

a) $T \in R\text{-perf}$.

b) $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(R)}(T, T[n]) = 0$ for all $n \neq 0$.

G -graded tilting complexes

Definition

$T \in \mathcal{D}(R\text{-Gr})$ is a G -graded tilting complex over R if

a) $T \in R\text{-perf}$.

b) $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(R)}(T, T[n]) = 0$ for all $n \neq 0$.

c) $\text{add}(T)$ generates $R\text{-perf}$ as a triangulated category.

G -graded tilting complexes

Definition

$T \in \mathcal{D}(R\text{-Gr})$ is a G -graded tilting complex over R if

- a) $T \in R\text{-perf}$.
- b) $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(R)}(T, T[n]) = 0$ for all $n \neq 0$.
- c) $\text{add}(T)$ generates $R\text{-perf}$ as a triangulated category.

Theorem

The following statements are equivalent.

G -graded tilting complexes

Definition

$T \in \mathcal{D}(R\text{-Gr})$ is a G -graded tilting complex over R if

a) $T \in R\text{-perf}$.

b) $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(R)}(T, T[n]) = 0$ for all $n \neq 0$.

c) $\text{add}(T)$ generates $R\text{-perf}$ as a triangulated category.

Theorem

The following statements are equivalent.

(i) *There is a G -graded tilting complex $T \in \mathcal{D}(R\text{-Gr})$ and an isomorphism $S \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{D}(R)}(T)^{\text{op}}$ of G -graded algebras.*

G-graded tilting complexes

Definition

$T \in \mathcal{D}(R\text{-Gr})$ is a *G-graded tilting complex* over R if

- $T \in R\text{-perf}$.
- $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(R)}(T, T[n]) = 0$ for all $n \neq 0$.
- $\text{add}(T)$ generates $R\text{-perf}$ as a triangulated category.

Theorem

The following statements are equivalent.

- There is a G-graded tilting complex $T \in \mathcal{D}(R\text{-Gr})$ and an isomorphism $S \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{D}(R)}(T)^{\text{op}}$ of G-graded algebras.*
- There is a complex X of G-graded (R, S) -bimodules such that the functor*

$$X \otimes_S^{\mathbf{L}} - : \mathcal{D}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(R)$$

is an equivalence.

G-graded tilting complexes

Definition

$T \in \mathcal{D}(R\text{-Gr})$ is a *G-graded tilting complex* over R if

- $T \in R\text{-perf}$.
- $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(R)}(T, T[n]) = 0$ for all $n \neq 0$.
- $\text{add}(T)$ generates $R\text{-perf}$ as a triangulated category.

Theorem

The following statements are equivalent.

- There is a G-graded tilting complex $T \in \mathcal{D}(R\text{-Gr})$ and an isomorphism $S \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{D}(R)}(T)^{\text{op}}$ of G-graded algebras.*
- There is a complex X of G-graded (R, S) -bimodules such that the functor*

$$X \otimes_S^{\mathbf{L}} - : \mathcal{D}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(R)$$

is an equivalence.

- There are triangle equivalences $F : \mathcal{D}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(R)$ and $F^{\text{gr}} : \mathcal{D}(S\text{-Gr}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(R\text{-Gr})$ such that F^{gr} is a G-graded functor and commutes with the ungrading functor.*

G-graded tilting complexes

(iv) (R and S strongly graded) There are (bounded) complexes X_1 of $\Delta(R \otimes_k S^{\text{op}})$ modules and Y_1 of $\Delta(S \otimes_k R^{\text{op}})$ modules, and isomorphisms

$$X_1 \otimes_{S_1}^{\mathbf{L}} Y_1 \simeq R_1 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{D}^b(\Delta(R \otimes_k R^{\text{op}})),$$

$$Y_1 \otimes_{R_1}^{\mathbf{L}} X_1 \simeq S_1 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{D}^b(\Delta(S \otimes_k S^{\text{op}})).$$

G-graded tilting complexes

(iv) (R and S strongly graded) There are (bounded) complexes X_1 of $\Delta(R \otimes_k S^{\text{op}})$ modules and Y_1 of $\Delta(S \otimes_k R^{\text{op}})$ modules, and isomorphisms

$$X_1 \otimes_{S_1}^{\mathbf{L}} Y_1 \simeq R_1 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{D}^b(\Delta(R \otimes_k R^{\text{op}})),$$

$$Y_1 \otimes_{R_1}^{\mathbf{L}} X_1 \simeq S_1 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{D}^b(\Delta(S \otimes_k S^{\text{op}})).$$

Proposition (Inducing one-sided tilting complexes)

G -graded tilting complexes

(iv) (R and S strongly graded) There are (bounded) complexes X_1 of $\Delta(R \otimes_k S^{\text{op}})$ modules and Y_1 of $\Delta(S \otimes_k R^{\text{op}})$ modules, and isomorphisms

$$X_1 \otimes_{S_1}^{\mathbf{L}} Y_1 \simeq R_1 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{D}^b(\Delta(R \otimes_k R^{\text{op}})),$$

$$Y_1 \otimes_{R_1}^{\mathbf{L}} X_1 \simeq S_1 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{D}^b(\Delta(S \otimes_k S^{\text{op}})).$$

Proposition (Inducing one-sided tilting complexes)

Assume that G is finite and R is strongly graded.

G -graded tilting complexes

(iv) (R and S strongly graded) There are (bounded) complexes X_1 of $\Delta(R \otimes_k S^{\text{op}})$ modules and Y_1 of $\Delta(S \otimes_k R^{\text{op}})$ modules, and isomorphisms

$$X_1 \otimes_{S_1}^{\mathbf{L}} Y_1 \simeq R_1 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{D}^b(\Delta(R \otimes_k R^{\text{op}})),$$

$$Y_1 \otimes_{R_1}^{\mathbf{L}} X_1 \simeq S_1 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{D}^b(\Delta(S \otimes_k S^{\text{op}})).$$

Proposition (Inducing one-sided tilting complexes)

Assume that G is finite and R is strongly graded.
Let T be a G -invariant object of $\mathcal{H}^b(A)$.

G -graded tilting complexes

(iv) (R and S strongly graded) There are (bounded) complexes X_1 of $\Delta(R \otimes_k S^{\text{op}})$ modules and Y_1 of $\Delta(S \otimes_k R^{\text{op}})$ modules, and isomorphisms

$$X_1 \otimes_{S_1}^{\mathbf{L}} Y_1 \simeq R_1 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{D}^b(\Delta(R \otimes_k R^{\text{op}})),$$

$$Y_1 \otimes_{R_1}^{\mathbf{L}} X_1 \simeq S_1 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{D}^b(\Delta(S \otimes_k S^{\text{op}})).$$

Proposition (Inducing one-sided tilting complexes)

Assume that G is finite and R is strongly graded.

Let T be a G -invariant object of $\mathcal{H}^b(A)$.

Denote $\tilde{T} = R \otimes_A T$ and $S = \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(R)}(\tilde{T})^{\text{op}}$.

G -graded tilting complexes

(iv) (R and S strongly graded) There are (bounded) complexes X_1 of $\Delta(R \otimes_k S^{\text{op}})$ modules and Y_1 of $\Delta(S \otimes_k R^{\text{op}})$ modules, and isomorphisms

$$X_1 \otimes_{S_1}^{\mathbf{L}} Y_1 \simeq R_1 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{D}^b(\Delta(R \otimes_k R^{\text{op}})),$$

$$Y_1 \otimes_{R_1}^{\mathbf{L}} X_1 \simeq S_1 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{D}^b(\Delta(S \otimes_k S^{\text{op}})).$$

Proposition (Inducing one-sided tilting complexes)

Assume that G is finite and R is strongly graded.

Let T be a G -invariant object of $\mathcal{H}^b(A)$.

Denote $\tilde{T} = R \otimes_A T$ and $S = \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(R)}(\tilde{T})^{\text{op}}$.

a) T is a tilting complex for A if and only if \tilde{T} is a G -graded tilting complex for R .

G -graded tilting complexes

(iv) (R and S strongly graded) There are (bounded) complexes X_1 of $\Delta(R \otimes_k S^{\text{op}})$ modules and Y_1 of $\Delta(S \otimes_k R^{\text{op}})$ modules, and isomorphisms

$$X_1 \otimes_{S_1}^{\mathbf{L}} Y_1 \simeq R_1 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{D}^b(\Delta(R \otimes_k R^{\text{op}})),$$

$$Y_1 \otimes_{R_1}^{\mathbf{L}} X_1 \simeq S_1 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{D}^b(\Delta(S \otimes_k S^{\text{op}})).$$

Proposition (Inducing one-sided tilting complexes)

Assume that G is finite and R is strongly graded.

Let T be a G -invariant object of $\mathcal{H}^b(A)$.

Denote $\tilde{T} = R \otimes_A T$ and $S = \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(R)}(\tilde{T})^{\text{op}}$.

a) T is a tilting complex for A if and only if \tilde{T} is a G -graded tilting complex for R .

b) If T is a tilting complex for A and R is a finite dimensional symmetric crossed product, then S is a symmetric crossed product of $B := S_1 \simeq \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(A)}(T)^{\text{op}}$ and G .

Stable equivalences and Rickard equivalences

Stable equivalences and Rickard equivalences

G is a p' -group, R and S are G -graded symmetric crossed products over a field k of characteristic p .

Stable equivalences and Rickard equivalences

G is a p' -group, R and S are G -graded symmetric crossed products over a field k of characteristic p .

A and B are connected non-semisimple symmetric algebras.

Stable equivalences and Rickard equivalences

G is a p' -group, R and S are G -graded symmetric crossed products over a field k of characteristic p .

A and B are connected non-semisimple symmetric algebras.

Let T^\bullet be an one-sided tilting complex of G -graded R -module with endomorphism ring $\text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(R)}(T^\bullet)^{\text{op}} \simeq S$.

Stable equivalences and Rickard equivalences

G is a p' -group, R and S are G -graded symmetric crossed products over a field k of characteristic p .

A and B are connected non-semisimple symmetric algebras.

Let T^\bullet be an one-sided tilting complex of G -graded R -module with endomorphism ring $\text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(R)}(T^\bullet)^{\text{op}} \simeq S$.

There is a two-sided tilting complex X^\bullet of G -graded (R, S) -bimodules.

Stable equivalences and Rickard equivalences

G is a p' -group, R and S are G -graded symmetric crossed products over a field k of characteristic p .

A and B are connected non-semisimple symmetric algebras.

Let T^\bullet be an one-sided tilting complex of G -graded R -module with endomorphism ring $\text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(R)}(T^\bullet)^{\text{op}} \simeq S$.

There is a two-sided tilting complex X^\bullet of G -graded (R, S) -bimodules.

Then X_1^\bullet is a complex of Δ -modules, and also a two-sided tilting complex of (R_1, S_1) -bimodules.

Stable equivalences and Rickard equivalences

G is a p' -group, R and S are G -graded symmetric crossed products over a field k of characteristic p .

A and B are connected non-semisimple symmetric algebras.

Let T^\bullet be an one-sided tilting complex of G -graded R -module with endomorphism ring $\text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(R)}(T^\bullet)^{\text{op}} \simeq S$.

There is a two-sided tilting complex X^\bullet of G -graded (R, S) -bimodules.

Then X_1^\bullet is a complex of Δ -modules, and also a two-sided tilting complex of (R_1, S_1) -bimodules.

Let Y_1^\bullet be a projective resolution of X_1^\bullet as Δ -modules.

Stable equivalences and Rickard equivalences

G is a p' -group, R and S are G -graded symmetric crossed products over a field k of characteristic p .

A and B are connected non-semisimple symmetric algebras.

Let T^\bullet be an one-sided tilting complex of G -graded R -module with endomorphism ring $\text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(R)}(T^\bullet)^{\text{op}} \simeq S$.

There is a two-sided tilting complex X^\bullet of G -graded (R, S) -bimodules. Then X_1^\bullet is a complex of Δ -modules, and also a two-sided tilting complex of (R_1, S_1) -bimodules.

Let Y_1^\bullet be a projective resolution of X_1^\bullet as Δ -modules.

It is possible (Rickard), to truncate Y_1^\bullet and obtain a bounded complex

$$Z_1^\bullet := (\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}d^n \rightarrow Y_1^n \rightarrow Y_1^{n+1} \rightarrow \cdots),$$

of Δ -modules quasi-isomorphic to X_1^\bullet , such that:

Stable equivalences and Rickard equivalences

G is a p' -group, R and S are G -graded symmetric crossed products over a field k of characteristic p .

A and B are connected non-semisimple symmetric algebras.

Let T^\bullet be an one-sided tilting complex of G -graded R -module with endomorphism ring $\text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(R)}(T^\bullet)^{\text{op}} \simeq S$.

There is a two-sided tilting complex X^\bullet of G -graded (R, S) -bimodules. Then X_1^\bullet is a complex of Δ -modules, and also a two-sided tilting complex of (R_1, S_1) -bimodules.

Let Y_1^\bullet be a projective resolution of X_1^\bullet as Δ -modules.

It is possible (Rickard), to truncate Y_1^\bullet and obtain a bounded complex

$$Z_1^\bullet := (\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \text{Ker} d^n \rightarrow Y_1^n \rightarrow Y_1^{n+1} \rightarrow \cdots),$$

of Δ -modules quasi-isomorphic to X_1^\bullet , such that:

- all the terms of Z_1^\bullet but $\text{Ker} d^n$ are projective Δ -modules;

Stable equivalences and Rickard equivalences

G is a p' -group, R and S are G -graded symmetric crossed products over a field k of characteristic p .

A and B are connected non-semisimple symmetric algebras.

Let T^\bullet be an one-sided tilting complex of G -graded R -module with endomorphism ring $\text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(R)}(T^\bullet)^{\text{op}} \simeq S$.

There is a two-sided tilting complex X^\bullet of G -graded (R, S) -bimodules. Then X_1^\bullet is a complex of Δ -modules, and also a two-sided tilting complex of (R_1, S_1) -bimodules.

Let Y_1^\bullet be a projective resolution of X_1^\bullet as Δ -modules.

It is possible (Rickard), to truncate Y_1^\bullet and obtain a bounded complex

$$Z_1^\bullet := (\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \text{Ker } d^n \rightarrow Y_1^n \rightarrow Y_1^{n+1} \rightarrow \cdots),$$

of Δ -modules quasi-isomorphic to X_1^\bullet , such that:

- all the terms of Z_1^\bullet but $\text{Ker } d^n$ are projective Δ -modules;
- $\text{Ker } d^n$ is projective as an R_1 -module and as a right S_1 -module.

Stable equivalences and Rickard equivalences

Stable equivalences and Rickard equivalences

Let

$$M_1 := \Omega^n(\text{Ker}d^n), \quad N_1 := \Omega^{-n}(\text{Hom}_{R_1}(\text{Ker}d^n, R_1)),$$
$$M := (R \otimes_k S^{\text{op}}) \otimes_{\Delta} M_1, \quad Z^{\bullet} := (R \otimes_k S^{\text{op}}) \otimes_{\Delta} Z_1^{\bullet}.$$

Stable equivalences and Rickard equivalences

Let

$$M_1 := \Omega^n(\text{Ker}d^n), \quad N_1 := \Omega^{-n}(\text{Hom}_{R_1}(\text{Ker}d^n, R_1)),$$
$$M := (R \otimes_k S^{\text{op}}) \otimes_{\Delta} M_1, \quad Z^{\bullet} := (R \otimes_k S^{\text{op}}) \otimes_{\Delta} Z_1^{\bullet}.$$

Then we have:

a) The functor

$$Z^{\bullet} \otimes_S - : \mathcal{H}^b(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^b(R)$$

is an equivalence, and it is also a graded functor.

The inverse equivalence is induced by the k -dual of Z^{\bullet} .

Stable equivalences and Rickard equivalences

Let

$$M_1 := \Omega^n(\text{Ker}d^n), \quad N_1 := \Omega^{-n}(\text{Hom}_{R_1}(\text{Ker}d^n, R_1)),$$
$$M := (R \otimes_k S^{\text{op}}) \otimes_{\Delta} M_1, \quad Z^{\bullet} := (R \otimes_k S^{\text{op}}) \otimes_{\Delta} Z_1^{\bullet}.$$

Then we have:

a) The functor

$$Z^{\bullet} \otimes_S - : \mathcal{H}^b(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^b(R)$$

is an equivalence, and it is also a graded functor.

The inverse equivalence is induced by the k -dual of Z^{\bullet} .

The complex Z^{\bullet} is called a *Rickard tilting complex* or a split endomorphism tilting complex.

Stable equivalences and Rickard equivalences

Let

$$M_1 := \Omega^n(\text{Ker}d^n), \quad N_1 := \Omega^{-n}(\text{Hom}_{R_1}(\text{Ker}d^n, R_1)),$$
$$M := (R \otimes_k S^{\text{op}}) \otimes_{\Delta} M_1, \quad Z^{\bullet} := (R \otimes_k S^{\text{op}}) \otimes_{\Delta} Z_1^{\bullet}.$$

Then we have:

a) The functor

$$Z^{\bullet} \otimes_S - : \mathcal{H}^b(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^b(R)$$

is an equivalence, and it is also a graded functor.

The inverse equivalence is induced by the k -dual of Z^{\bullet} .

The complex Z^{\bullet} is called a *Rickard tilting complex* or a split endomorphism tilting complex.

b) M is a Δ -module, $N_1 \simeq M_1^{\vee}$ as $\Delta(S \otimes_k R^{\text{op}})$ -modules, and M_1 and N_1 induce a stable Morita equivalence between R_1 and S_1 .

Stable equivalences and Rickard equivalences

Let

$$M_1 := \Omega^n(\text{Ker}d^n), \quad N_1 := \Omega^{-n}(\text{Hom}_{R_1}(\text{Ker}d^n, R_1)),$$
$$M := (R \otimes_k S^{\text{op}}) \otimes_{\Delta} M_1, \quad Z^{\bullet} := (R \otimes_k S^{\text{op}}) \otimes_{\Delta} Z_1^{\bullet}.$$

Then we have:

a) The functor

$$Z^{\bullet} \otimes_S - : \mathcal{H}^b(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^b(R)$$

is an equivalence, and it is also a graded functor.

The inverse equivalence is induced by the k -dual of Z^{\bullet} .

The complex Z^{\bullet} is called a *Rickard tilting complex* or a split endomorphism tilting complex.

b) M is a Δ -module, $N_1 \simeq M_1^{\vee}$ as $\Delta(S \otimes_k R^{\text{op}})$ -modules, and M_1 and N_1 induce a stable Morita equivalence between R_1 and S_1 .

c) It follows that M and its k -dual N induce a graded stable Morita equivalence between R and S .

Stable equivalences and Rickard equivalences

Definition (Rouquier)

The complex C of G -graded exact (R, S) -bimodules induces a G -graded stable equivalence between R and S if

$$C \otimes_S C^\vee \simeq R \oplus Z, \quad C^\vee \otimes_R C \simeq S \oplus W$$

in the bounded homotopy category of f. gen. G -graded bimodules, where Z and W are complexes of projective bimodules.

Stable equivalences and Rickard equivalences

Definition (Rouquier)

The complex C of G -graded exact (R, S) -bimodules induces a G -graded stable equivalence between R and S if

$$C \otimes_S C^\vee \simeq R \oplus Z, \quad C^\vee \otimes_R C \simeq S \oplus W$$

in the bounded homotopy category of f. gen. G -graded bimodules, where Z and W are complexes of projective bimodules.

Proposition

Let C and D be bounded complexes of G -graded (R, S) -bimodules such that C induces a stable equivalence, D induces a derived equivalence, and the stable equivalence between A and B induced by D_1 agrees on each simple module, up to isomorphism, with that induced by C_1 .

Stable equivalences and Rickard equivalences

Definition (Rouquier)

The complex C of G -graded exact (R, S) -bimodules induces a G -graded stable equivalence between R and S if

$$C \otimes_S C^\vee \simeq R \oplus Z, \quad C^\vee \otimes_R C \simeq S \oplus W$$

in the bounded homotopy category of f. gen. G -graded bimodules, where Z and W are complexes of projective bimodules.

Proposition

Let C and D be bounded complexes of G -graded (R, S) -bimodules such that C induces a stable equivalence, D induces a derived equivalence, and the stable equivalence between A and B induced by D_1 agrees on each simple module, up to isomorphism, with that induced by C_1 .

Then there is a bounded complex X of finitely generated G -graded (R, S) -bimodules such that:

Stable equivalences and Rickard equivalences

Definition (Rouquier)

The complex C of G -graded exact (R, S) -bimodules induces a G -graded stable equivalence between R and S if

$$C \otimes_S C^\vee \simeq R \oplus Z, \quad C^\vee \otimes_R C \simeq S \oplus W$$

in the bounded homotopy category of f. gen. G -graded bimodules, where Z and W are complexes of projective bimodules.

Proposition

Let C and D be bounded complexes of G -graded (R, S) -bimodules such that C induces a stable equivalence, D induces a derived equivalence, and the stable equivalence between A and B induced by D_1 agrees on each simple module, up to isomorphism, with that induced by C_1 .

Then there is a bounded complex X of finitely generated G -graded (R, S) -bimodules such that:

- 1) $X = C \oplus P$, where P is a complex of G -graded projective bimodules;

Stable equivalences and Rickard equivalences

Definition (Rouquier)

The complex C of G -graded exact (R, S) -bimodules induces a G -graded stable equivalence between R and S if

$$C \otimes_S C^\vee \simeq R \oplus Z, \quad C^\vee \otimes_R C \simeq S \oplus W$$

in the bounded homotopy category of f. gen. G -graded bimodules, where Z and W are complexes of projective bimodules.

Proposition

Let C and D be bounded complexes of G -graded (R, S) -bimodules such that C induces a stable equivalence, D induces a derived equivalence, and the stable equivalence between A and B induced by D_1 agrees on each simple module, up to isomorphism, with that induced by C_1 .

Then there is a bounded complex X of finitely generated G -graded (R, S) -bimodules such that:

- 1) $X = C \oplus P$, where P is a complex of G -graded projective bimodules;*
- 2) X induces a G -graded Rickard equivalence between R and S ;*

Stable equivalences and Rickard equivalences

Definition (Rouquier)

The complex C of G -graded exact (R, S) -bimodules induces a G -graded stable equivalence between R and S if

$$C \otimes_S C^\vee \simeq R \oplus Z, \quad C^\vee \otimes_R C \simeq S \oplus W$$

in the bounded homotopy category of f. gen. G -graded bimodules, where Z and W are complexes of projective bimodules.

Proposition

Let C and D be bounded complexes of G -graded (R, S) -bimodules such that C induces a stable equivalence, D induces a derived equivalence, and the stable equivalence between A and B induced by D_1 agrees on each simple module, up to isomorphism, with that induced by C_1 .

Then there is a bounded complex X of finitely generated G -graded (R, S) -bimodules such that:

- 1) $X = C \oplus P$, where P is a complex of G -graded projective bimodules;*
- 2) X induces a G -graded Rickard equivalence between R and S ;*
- 3) In the derived category of G -graded (R, S) -bimodules, X is isomorphic to the composition between D and a G -graded Morita autoequivalence of R .*

On Okuyama's tilting complexes

On Okuyama's tilting complexes

$S_i, i \in I$ are the simple A -modules, P_i is a projective cover of S_i .

On Okuyama's tilting complexes

$S_i, i \in I$ are the simple A -modules, P_i is a projective cover of S_i .

I becomes a G -set via the action of G on simple A -modules.

For a subset I_0 of I let

$$P^\bullet(I_0) = \bigoplus_{i \in I} P_i^\bullet = (\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow P^{-1} \xrightarrow{\delta_0} P^0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots),$$

where, $\delta_0 = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \delta_i$, and for $i \in I_0$

$$P_i^\bullet = (\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow R_i \xrightarrow{\delta_i} P_i \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots),$$

with R_i in degree -1 , P_i in degree 0 , and $\delta_i : R_i \rightarrow P_i$ is a minimal right

$\bigoplus_{i \in I_0} P_i$ -approximation of P_i , and for $i \notin I_0$,

$$P_i^\bullet = (\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow P_i \xrightarrow{\delta_i} 0 \rightarrow \cdots), \text{ with } P_i \text{ in degree } -1.$$

On Okuyama's tilting complexes

$S_i, i \in I$ are the simple A -modules, P_i is a projective cover of S_i .

I becomes a G -set via the action of G on simple A -modules.

For a subset I_0 of I let

$$P^\bullet(I_0) = \bigoplus_{i \in I} P_i^\bullet = (\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow P^{-1} \xrightarrow{\delta_0} P^0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots),$$

where, $\delta_0 = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \delta_i$, and for $i \in I_0$

$$P_i^\bullet = (\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow R_i \xrightarrow{\delta_i} P_i \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots),$$

with R_i in degree -1 , P_i in degree 0 , and $\delta_i : R_i \rightarrow P_i$ is a minimal right

$\bigoplus_{i \in I_0} P_i$ -approximation of P_i , and for $i \notin I_0$,

$$P_i^\bullet = (\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow P_i \xrightarrow{\delta_i} 0 \rightarrow \cdots), \text{ with } P_i \text{ in degree } -1.$$

Let $C := \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(A)}(P^\bullet(I_0))^{\text{op}}$ and $E := \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(R)}(R \otimes_A P^\bullet(I_0))^{\text{op}}$.

On Okuyama's tilting complexes

$S_i, i \in I$ are the simple A -modules, P_i is a projective cover of S_i .
 I becomes a G -set via the action of G on simple A -modules.

For a subset I_0 of I let

$$P^\bullet(I_0) = \bigoplus_{i \in I} P_i^\bullet = (\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow P^{-1} \xrightarrow{\delta_0} P^0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots),$$

where, $\delta_0 = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \delta_i$, and for $i \in I_0$

$$P_i^\bullet = (\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow R_i \xrightarrow{\delta_i} P_i \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots),$$

with R_i in degree -1 , P_i in degree 0 , and $\delta_i : R_i \rightarrow P_i$ is a minimal right $\bigoplus_{i \in I_0} P_i$ -approximation of P_i , and for $i \notin I_0$,

$$P_i^\bullet = (\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow P_i \xrightarrow{\delta_i} 0 \rightarrow \cdots), \text{ with } P_i \text{ in degree } -1.$$

Let $C := \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(A)}(P^\bullet(I_0))^{\text{op}}$ and $E := \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(R)}(R \otimes_A P^\bullet(I_0))^{\text{op}}$.

For $i \in I$, let \hat{P}_i be the indecomposable projective C -module corresponding to the indecomposable direct summand P_i^\bullet of $P^\bullet(I_0)$, so $\hat{S}_i = \hat{P}_i / \text{rad } \hat{P}_i$ is a simple C -module.

On Okuyama's tilting complexes

$S_i, i \in I$ are the simple A -modules, P_i is a projective cover of S_i .
 I becomes a G -set via the action of G on simple A -modules.

For a subset I_0 of I let

$$P^\bullet(I_0) = \bigoplus_{i \in I} P_i^\bullet = (\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow P^{-1} \xrightarrow{\delta_0} P^0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots),$$

where, $\delta_0 = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \delta_i$, and for $i \in I_0$

$$P_i^\bullet = (\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow R_i \xrightarrow{\delta_i} P_i \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots),$$

with R_i in degree -1 , P_i in degree 0 , and $\delta_i : R_i \rightarrow P_i$ is a minimal right $\bigoplus_{i \in I_0} P_i$ -approximation of P_i , and for $i \notin I_0$,

$$P_i^\bullet = (\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow P_i \xrightarrow{\delta_i} 0 \rightarrow \cdots), \text{ with } P_i \text{ in degree } -1.$$

Let $C := \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(A)}(P^\bullet(I_0))^{\text{op}}$ and $E := \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(R)}(R \otimes_A P^\bullet(I_0))^{\text{op}}$.

For $i \in I$, let \hat{P}_i be the indecomposable projective C -module corresponding to the indecomposable direct summand P_i^\bullet of $P^\bullet(I_0)$, so $\hat{S}_i = \hat{P}_i / \text{rad } \hat{P}_i$ is a simple C -module.

Proposition

a) $P^\bullet(I_0)$ is a tilting complex for A .

On Okuyama's tilting complexes

$S_i, i \in I$ are the simple A -modules, P_i is a projective cover of S_i .
 I becomes a G -set via the action of G on simple A -modules.

For a subset I_0 of I let

$$P^\bullet(I_0) = \bigoplus_{i \in I} P_i^\bullet = (\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow P^{-1} \xrightarrow{\delta_0} P^0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots),$$

where, $\delta_0 = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \delta_i$, and for $i \in I_0$

$$P_i^\bullet = (\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow R_i \xrightarrow{\delta_i} P_i \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots),$$

with R_i in degree -1 , P_i in degree 0 , and $\delta_i : R_i \rightarrow P_i$ is a minimal right $\bigoplus_{i \in I_0} P_i$ -approximation of P_i , and for $i \notin I_0$,

$$P_i^\bullet = (\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow P_i \xrightarrow{\delta_i} 0 \rightarrow \cdots), \text{ with } P_i \text{ in degree } -1.$$

Let $C := \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(A)}(P^\bullet(I_0))^{\text{op}}$ and $E := \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(R)}(R \otimes_A P^\bullet(I_0))^{\text{op}}$.

For $i \in I$, let \hat{P}_i be the indecomposable projective C -module corresponding to the indecomposable direct summand P_i^\bullet of $P^\bullet(I_0)$, so $\hat{S}_i = \hat{P}_i / \text{rad } \hat{P}_i$ is a simple C -module.

Proposition

- $P^\bullet(I_0)$ is a tilting complex for A .
- If I_0 is a G -subset of I , then E is a crossed product of C and G .

On Okuyama's tilting complexes

$S_i, i \in I$ are the simple A -modules, P_i is a projective cover of S_i .
 I becomes a G -set via the action of G on simple A -modules.

For a subset I_0 of I let

$$P^\bullet(I_0) = \bigoplus_{i \in I} P_i^\bullet = (\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow P^{-1} \xrightarrow{\delta_0} P^0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots),$$

where, $\delta_0 = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \delta_i$, and for $i \in I_0$

$$P_i^\bullet = (\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow R_i \xrightarrow{\delta_i} P_i \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots),$$

with R_i in degree -1 , P_i in degree 0 , and $\delta_i : R_i \rightarrow P_i$ is a minimal right $\bigoplus_{i \in I_0} P_i$ -approximation of P_i , and for $i \notin I_0$,

$$P_i^\bullet = (\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow P_i \xrightarrow{\delta_i} 0 \rightarrow \cdots), \text{ with } P_i \text{ in degree } -1.$$

Let $C := \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(A)}(P^\bullet(I_0))^{\text{op}}$ and $E := \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(R)}(R \otimes_A P^\bullet(I_0))^{\text{op}}$.

For $i \in I$, let \hat{P}_i be the indecomposable projective C -module corresponding to the indecomposable direct summand P_i^\bullet of $P^\bullet(I_0)$, so $\hat{S}_i = \hat{P}_i / \text{rad } \hat{P}_i$ is a simple C -module.

Proposition

- $P^\bullet(I_0)$ is a tilting complex for A .
- If I_0 is a G -subset of I , then E is a crossed product of C and G .
- There is an isomorphism $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}\hat{S}_i \simeq \mathfrak{g}\hat{S}_i$ of C -modules.

On Okuyama's tilting complexes

Assume that the (A, B) -bimodule M induces a stable Morita equivalence between A and B .

On Okuyama's tilting complexes

Assume that the (A, B) -bimodule M induces a stable Morita equivalence between A and B . Consider the complex of (A, B) -bimodules

$$P^\bullet(M, I_0) = (\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow P \xrightarrow{\delta} M \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots),$$

with P in degree -1 and M in degree 0 , where $\delta : P \rightarrow M$ is a right minimal $((\bigoplus_{i \in I} P_i) \otimes_k B^{\text{op}})$ -approximation of M .

On Okuyama's tilting complexes

Assume that the (A, B) -bimodule M induces a stable Morita equivalence between A and B . Consider the complex of (A, B) -bimodules

$$P^\bullet(M, I_0) = (\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow P \xrightarrow{\delta} M \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots),$$

with P in degree -1 and M in degree 0 , where $\delta : P \rightarrow M$ is a right minimal $((\bigoplus_{i \in I} P_i) \otimes_k B^{\text{op}})$ -approximation of M .

$$A^{(1)} := \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(A)}(P^\bullet(M, I_0))^{\text{op}}, \quad R^{(1)} := \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(R)}(R \otimes_A P^\bullet(M, I_0))^{\text{op}}.$$

On Okuyama's tilting complexes

Assume that the (A, B) -bimodule M induces a stable Morita equivalence between A and B . Consider the complex of (A, B) -bimodules

$$P^\bullet(M, I_0) = (\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow P \xrightarrow{\delta} M \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots),$$

with P in degree -1 and M in degree 0 , where $\delta : P \rightarrow M$ is a right minimal $((\bigoplus_{i \in I} P_i) \otimes_k B^{\text{op}})$ -approximation of M .

$$A^{(1)} := \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(A)}(P^\bullet(M, I_0))^{\text{op}}, \quad R^{(1)} := \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(R)}(R \otimes_A P^\bullet(M, I_0))^{\text{op}}.$$

Regarded as a complex of A -modules, $P^\bullet(M, I_0)$ is a direct sum of complexes isomorphic to P_i^\bullet , $i \in I$.

On Okuyama's tilting complexes

Assume that the (A, B) -bimodule M induces a stable Morita equivalence between A and B . Consider the complex of (A, B) -bimodules

$$P^\bullet(M, I_0) = (\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow P \xrightarrow{\delta} M \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots),$$

with P in degree -1 and M in degree 0 , where $\delta : P \rightarrow M$ is a right minimal $((\bigoplus_{i \in I} P_i) \otimes_k B^{\text{op}})$ -approximation of M .

$$A^{(1)} := \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(A)}(P^\bullet(M, I_0))^{\text{op}}, \quad R^{(1)} := \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(R)}(R \otimes_A P^\bullet(M, I_0))^{\text{op}}.$$

Regarded as a complex of A -modules, $P^\bullet(M, I_0)$ is a direct sum of complexes isomorphic to P_i^\bullet , $i \in I$.

Let $S_i^{(1)}$ be a simple $A^{(1)}$ -modules corresponding to an indecomposable summand isomorphic to P_i^\bullet of ${}_A P^\bullet(M, I_0)$.

On Okuyama's tilting complexes

Assume that the (A, B) -bimodule M induces a stable Morita equivalence between A and B . Consider the complex of (A, B) -bimodules

$$P^\bullet(M, l_0) = (\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow P \xrightarrow{\delta} M \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots),$$

with P in degree -1 and M in degree 0 , where $\delta : P \rightarrow M$ is a right minimal $((\bigoplus_{i \in I} P_i) \otimes_k B^{\text{op}})$ -approximation of M .

$$A^{(1)} := \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(A)}(P^\bullet(M, l_0))^{\text{op}}, \quad R^{(1)} := \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(R)}(R \otimes_A P^\bullet(M, l_0))^{\text{op}}.$$

Regarded as a complex of A -modules, $P^\bullet(M, l_0)$ is a direct sum of complexes isomorphic to P_i^\bullet , $i \in I$.

Let $S_i^{(1)}$ be a simple $A^{(1)}$ -modules corresponding to an indecomposable summand isomorphic to P_i^\bullet of ${}_A P^\bullet(M, l_0)$.

Proposition

Assume that l_0 is a G -subset of I , and M is a $\Delta(R \otimes_k S^{\text{op}})$ -module.

On Okuyama's tilting complexes

Assume that the (A, B) -bimodule M induces a stable Morita equivalence between A and B . Consider the complex of (A, B) -bimodules

$$P^\bullet(M, l_0) = (\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow P \xrightarrow{\delta} M \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots),$$

with P in degree -1 and M in degree 0 , where $\delta : P \rightarrow M$ is a right minimal $((\bigoplus_{i \in I} P_i) \otimes_k B^{\text{op}})$ -approximation of M .

$$A^{(1)} := \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(A)}(P^\bullet(M, l_0))^{\text{op}}, \quad R^{(1)} := \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(R)}(R \otimes_A P^\bullet(M, l_0))^{\text{op}}.$$

Regarded as a complex of A -modules, $P^\bullet(M, l_0)$ is a direct sum of complexes isomorphic to P_i^\bullet , $i \in I$.

Let $S_i^{(1)}$ be a simple $A^{(1)}$ -modules corresponding to an indecomposable summand isomorphic to P_i^\bullet of ${}_A P^\bullet(M, l_0)$.

Proposition

Assume that l_0 is a G -subset of I , and M is a $\Delta(R \otimes_k S^{\text{op}})$ -module.

- 1 $R^{(1)}$ is a G -graded crossed product, graded Morita equivalent to E .

On Okuyama's tilting complexes

Assume that the (A, B) -bimodule M induces a stable Morita equivalence between A and B . Consider the complex of (A, B) -bimodules

$$P^\bullet(M, l_0) = (\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow P \xrightarrow{\delta} M \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots),$$

with P in degree -1 and M in degree 0 , where $\delta : P \rightarrow M$ is a right minimal $((\bigoplus_{i \in I} P_i) \otimes_k B^{\text{op}})$ -approximation of M .

$$A^{(1)} := \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(A)}(P^\bullet(M, l_0))^{\text{op}}, \quad R^{(1)} := \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(R)}(R \otimes_A P^\bullet(M, l_0))^{\text{op}}.$$

Regarded as a complex of A -modules, $P^\bullet(M, l_0)$ is a direct sum of complexes isomorphic to P_i^\bullet , $i \in I$.

Let $S_i^{(1)}$ be a simple $A^{(1)}$ -modules corresponding to an indecomposable summand isomorphic to P_i^\bullet of ${}_A P^\bullet(M, l_0)$.

Proposition

Assume that l_0 is a G -subset of I , and M is a $\Delta(R \otimes_k S^{\text{op}})$ -module.

- 1 $R^{(1)}$ is a G -graded crossed product, graded Morita equivalent to E .
- 2 There is a G -graded algebra map $S \rightarrow R^{(1)}$, and the $(S, R^{(1)})$ -bimodule $R^{(1)}$ induces a graded stable equivalence of Morita type between S and $R^{(1)}$.

On Okuyama's tilting complexes

Assume that the (A, B) -bimodule M induces a stable Morita equivalence between A and B . Consider the complex of (A, B) -bimodules

$$P^\bullet(M, l_0) = (\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow P \xrightarrow{\delta} M \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots),$$

with P in degree -1 and M in degree 0 , where $\delta : P \rightarrow M$ is a right minimal $((\bigoplus_{i \in I} P_i) \otimes_k B^{\text{op}})$ -approximation of M .

$$A^{(1)} := \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(A)}(P^\bullet(M, l_0))^{\text{op}}, \quad R^{(1)} := \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(R)}(R \otimes_A P^\bullet(M, l_0))^{\text{op}}.$$

Regarded as a complex of A -modules, $P^\bullet(M, l_0)$ is a direct sum of complexes isomorphic to P_i^\bullet , $i \in I$.

Let $S_i^{(1)}$ be a simple $A^{(1)}$ -modules corresponding to an indecomposable summand isomorphic to P_i^\bullet of ${}_A P^\bullet(M, l_0)$.

Proposition

Assume that l_0 is a G -subset of I , and M is a $\Delta(R \otimes_k S^{\text{op}})$ -module.

- 1 $R^{(1)}$ is a G -graded crossed product, graded Morita equivalent to E .
- 2 There is a G -graded algebra map $S \rightarrow R^{(1)}$, and the $(S, R^{(1)})$ -bimodule $R^{(1)}$ induces a graded stable equivalence of Morita type between S and $R^{(1)}$.
- 3 There is an isomorphism of B -modules ${}_B S_{g_i}^{(1)} \simeq {}^g S_i^{(1)}$.

On Okuyama's tilting complexes

Graded version of Okuyama's method

On Okuyama's tilting complexes

Graded version of Okuyama's method

Let $\{T_i \mid i \in I\}$ be a set of representatives for the isomorphism classes of simple B -modules.

On Okuyama's tilting complexes

Graded version of Okuyama's method

Let $\{T_i \mid i \in I\}$ be a set of representatives for the isomorphism classes of simple B -modules.

Consider the A -modules $X_i = M \otimes_B T_i$, $i \in I$.

On Okuyama's tilting complexes

Graded version of Okuyama's method

Let $\{T_i \mid i \in I\}$ be a set of representatives for the isomorphism classes of simple B -modules.

Consider the A -modules $X_i = M \otimes_B T_i$, $i \in I$.

If all X_i are simple A -modules, then by a theorem of Linckelmann, A and B are Morita equivalent, so if M is a Δ -module, then R and S are graded Morita equivalent.

On Okuyama's tilting complexes

Graded version of Okuyama's method

Let $\{T_i \mid i \in I\}$ be a set of representatives for the isomorphism classes of simple B -modules.

Consider the A -modules $X_i = M \otimes_B T_i$, $i \in I$.

If all X_i are simple A -modules, then by a theorem of Linckelmann, A and B are Morita equivalent, so if M is a Δ -module, then R and S are graded Morita equivalent.

Otherwise, choose a subset I_0 of I and replace A by $A^{(1)} = \text{End}_A(P^\bullet(M, I_0))^{\text{op}}$ (which is Morita equivalent to $C = \text{End}_A(P^\bullet(I_0))^{\text{op}}$) and M by a $(B, A^{(1)})$ -bimodule $M^{(1)}$ inducing a stable equivalence between B and $A^{(1)}$.

On Okuyama's tilting complexes

Graded version of Okuyama's method

Let $\{T_i \mid i \in I\}$ be a set of representatives for the isomorphism classes of simple B -modules.

Consider the A -modules $X_i = M \otimes_B T_i$, $i \in I$.

If all X_i are simple A -modules, then by a theorem of Linckelmann, A and B are Morita equivalent, so if M is a Δ -module, then R and S are graded Morita equivalent.

Otherwise, choose a subset I_0 of I and replace A by $A^{(1)} = \text{End}_A(P^\bullet(M, I_0))^{\text{op}}$ (which is Morita equivalent to $C = \text{End}_A(P^\bullet(I_0))^{\text{op}}$) and M by a $(B, A^{(1)})$ -bimodule $M^{(1)}$ inducing a stable equivalence between B and $A^{(1)}$.

If I_0 is a G -subset of I , then we have that $R^{(1)}$ is G -graded derived equivalent to R , and $M^{(1)}$ is a $\Delta(S \otimes_k R^{(1)\text{op}})$ -module.

On Okuyama's tilting complexes

Graded version of Okuyama's method

Let $\{T_i \mid i \in I\}$ be a set of representatives for the isomorphism classes of simple B -modules.

Consider the A -modules $X_i = M \otimes_B T_i$, $i \in I$.

If all X_i are simple A -modules, then by a theorem of Linckelmann, A and B are Morita equivalent, so if M is a Δ -module, then R and S are graded Morita equivalent.

Otherwise, choose a subset I_0 of I and replace A by $A^{(1)} = \text{End}_A(P^\bullet(M, I_0))^{\text{op}}$ (which is Morita equivalent to $C = \text{End}_A(P^\bullet(I_0))^{\text{op}}$) and M by a $(B, A^{(1)})$ -bimodule $M^{(1)}$ inducing a stable equivalence between B and $A^{(1)}$.

If I_0 is a G -subset of I , then we have that $R^{(1)}$ is G -graded derived equivalent to R , and $M^{(1)}$ is a $\Delta(S \otimes_k R^{(1)\text{op}})$ -module.

This procedure continues until a stage t when simple B -modules will correspond to simple $A^{(t)}$ -modules.

On Okuyama's tilting complexes

Graded version of Okuyama's method

Let $\{T_i \mid i \in I\}$ be a set of representatives for the isomorphism classes of simple B -modules.

Consider the A -modules $X_i = M \otimes_B T_i$, $i \in I$.

If all X_i are simple A -modules, then by a theorem of Linckelmann, A and B are Morita equivalent, so if M is a Δ -module, then R and S are graded Morita equivalent.

Otherwise, choose a subset I_0 of I and replace A by $A^{(1)} = \text{End}_A(P^\bullet(M, I_0))^{\text{op}}$ (which is Morita equivalent to $C = \text{End}_A(P^\bullet(I_0))^{\text{op}}$) and M by a $(B, A^{(1)})$ -bimodule $M^{(1)}$ inducing a stable equivalence between B and $A^{(1)}$.

If I_0 is a G -subset of I , then we have that $R^{(1)}$ is G -graded derived equivalent to R , and $M^{(1)}$ is a $\Delta(S \otimes_k R^{(1)\text{op}})$ -module.

This procedure continues until a stage t when simple B -modules will correspond to simple $A^{(t)}$ -modules.

The point is that the G -invariance of a set I_s of simple $A^{(s)}$ -modules can be established from the knowledge of the action of G on the simple A -modules.

On Okuyama's tilting complexes

Another method

On Okuyama's tilting complexes

Another method

I is a G -set via the action on the set $\{T_i \mid i \in I\}$ of simple B -modules.

On Okuyama's tilting complexes

Another method

I is a G -set via the action on the set $\{T_i \mid i \in I\}$ of simple B -modules.
Let $\tau_i : Q_i \rightarrow T_i$ and $\pi_i : P_i \rightarrow M \otimes_B T_i$ be projective covers.

On Okuyama's tilting complexes

Another method

I is a G -set via the action on the set $\{T_i \mid i \in I\}$ of simple B -modules.

Let $\tau_i : Q_i \rightarrow T_i$ and $\pi_i : P_i \rightarrow M \otimes_B T_i$ be projective covers.

A projective cover of M has the form $\bigoplus_{i \in I} \delta_i : \bigoplus_{i \in I} P_i \otimes_k Q_i^* \rightarrow M$.

On Okuyama's tilting complexes

Another method

I is a G -set via the action on the set $\{T_i \mid i \in I\}$ of simple B -modules.

Let $\tau_i : Q_i \rightarrow T_i$ and $\pi_i : P_i \rightarrow M \otimes_B T_i$ be projective covers.

A projective cover of M has the form $\bigoplus_{i \in I} \delta_i : \bigoplus_{i \in I} P_i \otimes_k Q_i^* \rightarrow M$.

Let I_0 be a subset of I , $P = P(I_0) = \bigoplus_{i \in I_0} P_i \otimes_k Q_i^*$, and let

$\delta = \delta(I_0) = \bigoplus_{i \in I_0} \delta_i : P \rightarrow M$.

On Okuyama's tilting complexes

Another method

I is a G -set via the action on the set $\{T_i \mid i \in I\}$ of simple B -modules.

Let $\tau_i : Q_i \rightarrow T_i$ and $\pi_i : P_i \rightarrow M \otimes_B T_i$ be projective covers.

A projective cover of M has the form $\bigoplus_{i \in I} \delta_i : \bigoplus_{i \in I} P_i \otimes_k Q_i^* \rightarrow M$.

Let I_0 be a subset of I , $P = P(I_0) = \bigoplus_{i \in I_0} P_i \otimes_k Q_i^*$, and let

$\delta = \delta(I_0) = \bigoplus_{i \in I_0} \delta_i : P \rightarrow M$.

Let ${}_A M_B^\bullet = M^\bullet(I_0) = (\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow P \xrightarrow{\delta} M \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots)$, with M in degree 0. ${}_A M^\bullet$ is a tilting complex iff a certain condition is satisfied.

On Okuyama's tilting complexes

Another method

I is a G -set via the action on the set $\{T_i \mid i \in I\}$ of simple B -modules.

Let $\tau_i : Q_i \rightarrow T_i$ and $\pi_i : P_i \rightarrow M \otimes_B T_i$ be projective covers.

A projective cover of M has the form $\bigoplus_{i \in I} \delta_i : \bigoplus_{i \in I} P_i \otimes_k Q_i^* \rightarrow M$.

Let I_0 be a subset of I , $P = P(I_0) = \bigoplus_{i \in I_0} P_i \otimes_k Q_i^*$, and let

$\delta = \delta(I_0) = \bigoplus_{i \in I_0} \delta_i : P \rightarrow M$.

Let ${}_A M_B^\bullet = M^\bullet(I_0) = (\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow P \xrightarrow{\delta} M \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots)$, with M in degree 0. ${}_A M^\bullet$ is a tilting complex iff a certain condition is satisfied.

Denote $C = \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(A)}(M^\bullet(I_0))^{\text{op}}$ and $E = \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(R)}(R \otimes_A M^\bullet(I_0))^{\text{op}}$.

On Okuyama's tilting complexes

Another method

I is a G -set via the action on the set $\{T_i \mid i \in I\}$ of simple B -modules.

Let $\tau_i : Q_i \rightarrow T_i$ and $\pi_i : P_i \rightarrow M \otimes_B T_i$ be projective covers.

A projective cover of M has the form $\bigoplus_{i \in I} \delta_i : \bigoplus_{i \in I} P_i \otimes_k Q_i^* \rightarrow M$.

Let I_0 be a subset of I , $P = P(I_0) = \bigoplus_{i \in I_0} P_i \otimes_k Q_i^*$, and let

$\delta = \delta(I_0) = \bigoplus_{i \in I_0} \delta_i : P \rightarrow M$.

Let ${}_A M_B^\bullet = M^\bullet(I_0) = (\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow P \xrightarrow{\delta} M \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots)$, with M in degree 0. ${}_A M^\bullet$ is a tilting complex iff a certain condition is satisfied.

Denote $C = \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(A)}(M^\bullet(I_0))^{\text{op}}$ and $E = \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(R)}(R \otimes_A M^\bullet(I_0))^{\text{op}}$.

Proposition

Assume that ${}_A M^\bullet$ tilting complex, M Δ -module, I_0 G -subset of I . Then

On Okuyama's tilting complexes

Another method

I is a G -set via the action on the set $\{T_i \mid i \in I\}$ of simple B -modules.

Let $\tau_i : Q_i \rightarrow T_i$ and $\pi_i : P_i \rightarrow M \otimes_B T_i$ be projective covers.

A projective cover of M has the form $\bigoplus_{i \in I} \delta_i : \bigoplus_{i \in I} P_i \otimes_k Q_i^* \rightarrow M$.

Let I_0 be a subset of I , $P = P(I_0) = \bigoplus_{i \in I_0} P_i \otimes_k Q_i^*$, and let

$\delta = \delta(I_0) = \bigoplus_{i \in I_0} \delta_i : P \rightarrow M$.

Let ${}_A M_B^\bullet = M^\bullet(I_0) = (\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow P \xrightarrow{\delta} M \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots)$, with M in degree 0. ${}_A M^\bullet$ is a tilting complex iff a certain condition is satisfied.

Denote $C = \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(A)}(M^\bullet(I_0))^{\text{op}}$ and $E = \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(R)}(R \otimes_A M^\bullet(I_0))^{\text{op}}$.

Proposition

Assume that ${}_A M^\bullet$ tilting complex, M Δ -module, I_0 G -subset of I . Then

- 1 M^\bullet extends to a complex of Δ -modules.

On Okuyama's tilting complexes

Another method

I is a G -set via the action on the set $\{T_i \mid i \in I\}$ of simple B -modules.

Let $\tau_i : Q_i \rightarrow T_i$ and $\pi_i : P_i \rightarrow M \otimes_B T_i$ be projective covers.

A projective cover of M has the form $\bigoplus_{i \in I} \delta_i : \bigoplus_{i \in I} P_i \otimes_k Q_i^* \rightarrow M$.

Let I_0 be a subset of I , $P = P(I_0) = \bigoplus_{i \in I_0} P_i \otimes_k Q_i^*$, and let

$\delta = \delta(I_0) = \bigoplus_{i \in I_0} \delta_i : P \rightarrow M$.

Let ${}_A M_B^\bullet = M^\bullet(I_0) = (\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow P \xrightarrow{\delta} M \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots)$, with M in degree 0. ${}_A M^\bullet$ is a tilting complex iff a certain condition is satisfied.

Denote $C = \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(A)}(M^\bullet(I_0))^{\text{op}}$ and $E = \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(R)}(R \otimes_A M^\bullet(I_0))^{\text{op}}$.

Proposition

Assume that ${}_A M^\bullet$ tilting complex, M Δ -module, I_0 G -subset of I . Then

- 1 M^\bullet extends to a complex of Δ -modules.
- 2 E is a crossed product, and there is a G -graded stable Morita equivalence between E and S .

On Okuyama's tilting complexes

Another method

I is a G -set via the action on the set $\{T_i \mid i \in I\}$ of simple B -modules.

Let $\tau_i : Q_i \rightarrow T_i$ and $\pi_i : P_i \rightarrow M \otimes_B T_i$ be projective covers.

A projective cover of M has the form $\bigoplus_{i \in I} \delta_i : \bigoplus_{i \in I} P_i \otimes_k Q_i^* \rightarrow M$.

Let I_0 be a subset of I , $P = P(I_0) = \bigoplus_{i \in I_0} P_i \otimes_k Q_i^*$, and let

$\delta = \delta(I_0) = \bigoplus_{i \in I_0} \delta_i : P \rightarrow M$.

Let ${}_A M_B^\bullet = M^\bullet(I_0) = (\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow P \xrightarrow{\delta} M \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots)$, with M in degree 0. ${}_A M^\bullet$ is a tilting complex iff a certain condition is satisfied.

Denote $C = \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(A)}(M^\bullet(I_0))^{\text{op}}$ and $E = \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(R)}(R \otimes_A M^\bullet(I_0))^{\text{op}}$.

Proposition

Assume that ${}_A M^\bullet$ tilting complex, M Δ -module, I_0 G -subset of I . Then

- 1 M^\bullet extends to a complex of Δ -modules.
- 2 E is a crossed product, and there is a G -graded stable Morita equivalence between E and S .
- 3 There is a complex $N^\bullet = N^\bullet(I_0)$ of $\Delta(R \otimes_k E^{\text{op}})$ -modules such that ${}_A N_C^\bullet$ is a tilting complex, and N^\bullet is homotopy equivalent to M^\bullet as complexes of Δ -modules.

Extending Rickard's construction

k is algebraically closed, A is finite-dimensional.

Extending Rickard's construction

k is algebraically closed, A is finite-dimensional.

Under a derived equivalence, the objects $X_i \in \mathcal{D}^b(A\text{-mod})$ corresponding to simple B -modules satisfy:

Extending Rickard's construction

k is algebraically closed, A is finite-dimensional.

Under a derived equivalence, the objects $X_i \in \mathcal{D}^b(A\text{-mod})$ corresponding to simple B -modules satisfy:

(a) $\text{Hom}(X_i, X_j[m]) = 0$ for $m < 0$.

Extending Rickard's construction

k is algebraically closed, A is finite-dimensional.

Under a derived equivalence, the objects $X_i \in \mathcal{D}^b(A\text{-mod})$ corresponding to simple B -modules satisfy:

- (a) $\text{Hom}(X_i, X_j[m]) = 0$ for $m < 0$.
- (b) $\text{Hom}(X_i, X_j) = k$ if $i = j$ and 0 otherwise.

Extending Rickard's construction

k is algebraically closed, A is finite-dimensional.

Under a derived equivalence, the objects $X_i \in \mathcal{D}^b(A\text{-mod})$ corresponding to simple B -modules satisfy:

- (a) $\text{Hom}(X_i, X_j[m]) = 0$ for $m < 0$.
- (b) $\text{Hom}(X_i, X_j) = k$ if $i = j$ and 0 otherwise.
- (c) $X_i, i \in I$ generate $\mathcal{D}^b(A\text{-mod})$ as a triangulated category.

Extending Rickard's construction

k is algebraically closed, A is finite-dimensional.

Under a derived equivalence, the objects $X_i \in \mathcal{D}^b(A\text{-mod})$ corresponding to simple B -modules satisfy:

- (a) $\text{Hom}(X_i, X_j[m]) = 0$ for $m < 0$.
- (b) $\text{Hom}(X_i, X_j) = k$ if $i = j$ and 0 otherwise.
- (c) $X_i, i \in I$ generate $\mathcal{D}^b(A\text{-mod})$ as a triangulated category.

Theorem

Let I be a finite G -set, and let $X_i \in \mathcal{D}^b(A\text{-mod}), i \in I$, be objects satisfying (a), (b), (c).

Extending Rickard's construction

k is algebraically closed, A is finite-dimensional.

Under a derived equivalence, the objects $X_i \in \mathcal{D}^b(A\text{-mod})$ corresponding to simple B -modules satisfy:

- (a) $\text{Hom}(X_i, X_j[m]) = 0$ for $m < 0$.
- (b) $\text{Hom}(X_i, X_j) = k$ if $i = j$ and 0 otherwise.
- (c) $X_i, i \in I$ generate $\mathcal{D}^b(A\text{-mod})$ as a triangulated category.

Theorem

Let I be a finite G -set, and let $X_i \in \mathcal{D}^b(A\text{-mod}), i \in I$, be objects satisfying (a), (b), (c). Assume that X_i satisfy the additional condition (d) $R_g \otimes_A X_i \simeq X_{gi}$ in $\mathcal{D}^b(A\text{-mod})$, for all $i \in I$ and $g \in G$.

Extending Rickard's construction

k is algebraically closed, A is finite-dimensional.

Under a derived equivalence, the objects $X_i \in \mathcal{D}^b(A\text{-mod})$ corresponding to simple B -modules satisfy:

- (a) $\text{Hom}(X_i, X_j[m]) = 0$ for $m < 0$.
- (b) $\text{Hom}(X_i, X_j) = k$ if $i = j$ and 0 otherwise.
- (c) $X_i, i \in I$ generate $\mathcal{D}^b(A\text{-mod})$ as a triangulated category.

Theorem

Let I be a finite G -set, and let $X_i \in \mathcal{D}^b(A\text{-mod}), i \in I$, be objects satisfying (a), (b), (c). Assume that X_i satisfy the additional condition (d) $R_g \otimes_A X_i \simeq X_{g \cdot i}$ in $\mathcal{D}^b(A\text{-mod})$, for all $i \in I$ and $g \in G$.

Then there is another symmetric crossed product R' of A' and G , and a G -graded derived equivalence between R and R' , whose restriction to A sends $X_i, i \in I$, to the simple A' -modules.

Extending Rickard's construction

k is algebraically closed, A is finite-dimensional.

Under a derived equivalence, the objects $X_i \in \mathcal{D}^b(A\text{-mod})$ corresponding to simple B -modules satisfy:

- (a) $\text{Hom}(X_i, X_j[m]) = 0$ for $m < 0$.
- (b) $\text{Hom}(X_i, X_j) = k$ if $i = j$ and 0 otherwise.
- (c) $X_i, i \in I$ generate $\mathcal{D}^b(A\text{-mod})$ as a triangulated category.

Theorem

Let I be a finite G -set, and let $X_i \in \mathcal{D}^b(A\text{-mod}), i \in I$, be objects satisfying (a), (b), (c). Assume that X_i satisfy the additional condition (d) $R_g \otimes_A X_i \simeq X_{g_i}$ in $\mathcal{D}^b(A\text{-mod})$, for all $i \in I$ and $g \in G$.

Then there is another symmetric crossed product R' of A' and G , and a G -graded derived equivalence between R and R' , whose restriction to A sends $X_i, i \in I$, to the simple A' -modules.

Corollary

Let ${}_R M_S$ be inducing a G -graded Morita stable equivalence.

Extending Rickard's construction

k is algebraically closed, A is finite-dimensional.

Under a derived equivalence, the objects $X_i \in \mathcal{D}^b(A\text{-mod})$ corresponding to simple B -modules satisfy:

- (a) $\text{Hom}(X_i, X_j[m]) = 0$ for $m < 0$.
- (b) $\text{Hom}(X_i, X_j) = k$ if $i = j$ and 0 otherwise.
- (c) $X_i, i \in I$ generate $\mathcal{D}^b(A\text{-mod})$ as a triangulated category.

Theorem

Let I be a finite G -set, and let $X_i \in \mathcal{D}^b(A\text{-mod}), i \in I$, be objects satisfying (a), (b), (c). Assume that X_i satisfy the additional condition (d) $R_g \otimes_A X_i \simeq X_{g \cdot i}$ in $\mathcal{D}^b(A\text{-mod})$, for all $i \in I$ and $g \in G$.

Then there is another symmetric crossed product R' of A' and G , and a G -graded derived equivalence between R and R' , whose restriction to A sends $X_i, i \in I$, to the simple A' -modules.

Corollary

Let ${}_R M_S$ be inducing a G -graded Morita stable equivalence.

If in addition X_i is stably isomorphic to $M_1 \otimes_B T_i$, for all $i \in I$, then there is a G -graded derived equivalence between R and S .

Splendid stable and derived equivalences

Let $S = kHb$, $B = kKb$, $R = kN_H(D)c$, $A = N_K(D)$, $H' = N_H(D)$, $K' = N_K(D)$, and assume that $G = H/K$ is a p' -group.

Splendid stable and derived equivalences

Let $S = kHb$, $B = kKb$, $R = kN_H(D)c$, $A = N_K(D)$, $H' = N_H(D)$, $K' = N_K(D)$, and assume that $G = H/K$ is a p' -group.

${}_R C_S^\bullet$ is *splendid*, if the indecomposable summands of C^i are $\delta(D)$ -projective p -permutation $k(H' \times H)$ -modules.

Splendid stable and derived equivalences

Let $S = kHb$, $B = kKb$, $R = kN_H(D)c$, $A = N_K(D)$, $H' = N_H(D)$, $K' = N_K(D)$, and assume that $G = H/K$ is a p' -group.

${}_R C_S^\bullet$ is *splendid*, if the indecomposable summands of C^i are $\delta(D)$ -projective p -permutation $k(H' \times H)$ -modules.

Corollary

Assume that C induces a G -graded splendid stable equivalence, and X_i is stably isomorphic to $C_1 \otimes_B T_i$ for all $i \in I$.

Splendid stable and derived equivalences

Let $S = kHb$, $B = kKb$, $R = kN_H(D)c$, $A = N_K(D)$, $H' = N_H(D)$, $K' = N_{K'}(D)$, and assume that $G = H/K$ is a p' -group.

${}_R C_S^\bullet$ is *splendid*, if the indecomposable summands of C^i are $\delta(D)$ -projective p -permutation $k(H' \times H)$ -modules.

Corollary

Assume that C induces a G -graded splendid stable equivalence, and X_i is stably isomorphic to $C_1 \otimes_B T_i$ for all $i \in I$.

Then there is a complex X of G -graded (R, S) -bimodules such that:

Splendid stable and derived equivalences

Let $S = kHb$, $B = kKb$, $R = kN_H(D)c$, $A = N_K(D)$, $H' = N_H(D)$, $K' = N_K(D)$, and assume that $G = H/K$ is a p' -group.

${}_R C_S^\bullet$ is *splendid*, if the indecomposable summands of C^i are $\delta(D)$ -projective p -permutation $k(H' \times H)$ -modules.

Corollary

Assume that C induces a G -graded splendid stable equivalence, and X_i is stably isomorphic to $C_1 \otimes_B T_i$ for all $i \in I$.

Then there is a complex X of G -graded (R, S) -bimodules such that:

1) The image of X_1 in $\Delta\text{-stmod} \simeq \mathcal{D}^b(\Delta\text{-mod})/\mathcal{H}^b(\Delta\text{-proj})$ is C_1 ;

Splendid stable and derived equivalences

Let $S = kHb$, $B = kKb$, $R = kN_H(D)c$, $A = N_K(D)$, $H' = N_H(D)$, $K' = N_K(D)$, and assume that $G = H/K$ is a p' -group.

${}_R C_S^\bullet$ is *splendid*, if the indecomposable summands of C^i are $\delta(D)$ -projective p -permutation $k(H' \times H)$ -modules.

Corollary

Assume that C induces a G -graded splendid stable equivalence, and X_i is stably isomorphic to $C_1 \otimes_B T_i$ for all $i \in I$.

Then there is a complex X of G -graded (R, S) -bimodules such that:

- 1) The image of X_1 in $\Delta\text{-stmod} \simeq \mathcal{D}^b(\Delta\text{-mod})/\mathcal{H}^b(\Delta\text{-proj})$ is C_1 ;
- 2) X induces a splendid derived equivalence between R and S ;

Splendid stable and derived equivalences

Let $S = kHb$, $B = kKb$, $R = kN_H(D)c$, $A = N_K(D)$, $H' = N_H(D)$, $K' = N_K(D)$, and assume that $G = H/K$ is a p' -group.

${}_R C_S^\bullet$ is *splendid*, if the indecomposable summands of C^i are $\delta(D)$ -projective p -permutation $k(H' \times H)$ -modules.

Corollary

Assume that C induces a G -graded splendid stable equivalence, and X_i is stably isomorphic to $C_1 \otimes_B T_i$ for all $i \in I$.

Then there is a complex X of G -graded (R, S) -bimodules such that:

- 1) The image of X_1 in $\Delta\text{-stmod} \simeq \mathcal{D}^b(\Delta\text{-mod})/\mathcal{H}^b(\Delta\text{-proj})$ is C_1 ;*
- 2) X induces a splendid derived equivalence between R and S ;*
- 3) $X_1 \otimes_B T_i \simeq X_i$ in $\mathcal{D}^b(A\text{-mod})$, for all $i \in I$.*

Splendid stable and derived equivalences

Let $S = kHb$, $B = kKb$, $R = kN_H(D)c$, $A = N_K(D)$, $H' = N_H(D)$, $K' = N_K(D)$, and assume that $G = H/K$ is a p' -group.

${}_R C_S^\bullet$ is *splendid*, if the indecomposable summands of C^i are $\delta(D)$ -projective p -permutation $k(H' \times H)$ -modules.

Corollary

Assume that C induces a G -graded splendid stable equivalence, and X_i is stably isomorphic to $C_1 \otimes_B T_i$ for all $i \in I$.

Then there is a complex X of G -graded (R, S) -bimodules such that:

- 1) The image of X_1 in $\Delta\text{-stmod} \simeq \mathcal{D}^b(\Delta\text{-mod})/\mathcal{H}^b(\Delta\text{-proj})$ is C_1 ;
- 2) X induces a splendid derived equivalence between R and S ;
- 3) $X_1 \otimes_B T_i \simeq X_i$ in $\mathcal{D}^b(A\text{-mod})$, for all $i \in I$.

Example

a) T.I. situation: take $M_1 := {}_A A_B$ and $M = {}_R R_S$.

Splendid stable and derived equivalences

Let $S = kHb$, $B = kKb$, $R = kN_H(D)c$, $A = N_K(D)$, $H' = N_H(D)$, $K' = N_K(D)$, and assume that $G = H/K$ is a p' -group.

${}_R C_S^\bullet$ is *splendid*, if the indecomposable summands of C^i are $\delta(D)$ -projective p -permutation $k(H' \times H)$ -modules.

Corollary

Assume that C induces a G -graded splendid stable equivalence, and X_i is stably isomorphic to $C_1 \otimes_B T_i$ for all $i \in I$.

Then there is a complex X of G -graded (R, S) -bimodules such that:

- 1) The image of X_1 in $\Delta\text{-stmod} \simeq \mathcal{D}^b(\Delta\text{-mod})/\mathcal{H}^b(\Delta\text{-proj})$ is C_1 ;
- 2) X induces a splendid derived equivalence between R and S ;
- 3) $X_1 \otimes_B T_i \simeq X_i$ in $\mathcal{D}^b(A\text{-mod})$, for all $i \in I$.

Example

a) T.I. situation: take $M_1 := {}_A A_B$ and $M = {}_R R_S$.

b) Let D elementary abelian of order p^2 , b the principal block of $\mathcal{O}K$.

Then there is a splendid complex of (A, B) -bimodules inducing a stable equivalence (Rouquier).

Splendid stable and derived equivalences

Let $S = kHb$, $B = kKb$, $R = kN_H(D)c$, $A = N_K(D)$, $H' = N_H(D)$, $K' = N_K(D)$, and assume that $G = H/K$ is a p' -group.

${}_R C_S^\bullet$ is *splendid*, if the indecomposable summands of C^i are $\delta(D)$ -projective p -permutation $k(H' \times H)$ -modules.

Corollary

Assume that C induces a G -graded splendid stable equivalence, and X_i is stably isomorphic to $C_1 \otimes_B T_i$ for all $i \in I$.

Then there is a complex X of G -graded (R, S) -bimodules such that:

- 1) The image of X_1 in $\Delta\text{-stmod} \simeq \mathcal{D}^b(\Delta\text{-mod})/\mathcal{H}^b(\Delta\text{-proj})$ is C_1 ;
- 2) X induces a splendid derived equivalence between R and S ;
- 3) $X_1 \otimes_B T_i \simeq X_i$ in $\mathcal{D}^b(A\text{-mod})$, for all $i \in I$.

Example

a) T.I. situation: take $M_1 := {}_A A_B$ and $M = {}_R R_S$.

b) Let D elementary abelian of order p^2 , b the principal block of $\mathcal{O}K$. Then there is a splendid complex of (A, B) -bimodules inducing a stable equivalence (Rouquier). This applies to the examples considered by M. Holloway (5-blocks of $2.J_2$, $U_3(4)$ and $Sp_4(4)$), and Y. Usami and N. Yoshida (principal 5-blocks of $G_2(2^n)$, $5 \mid 2^n + 1$, $25 \nmid 2^n + 1$).

Algebras graded by a cyclic group

Algebras graded by a cyclic group

$C_n = \langle \sigma \rangle$ the cyclic group of order n , $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{O}, k)$ be a p -modular system, $p \nmid n$, \mathcal{K} contains a primitive n -th root ϵ of unity.

Algebras graded by a cyclic group

$C_n = \langle \sigma \rangle$ the cyclic group of order n , $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{O}, k)$ be a p -modular system, $p \nmid n$, \mathcal{K} contains a primitive n -th root ϵ of unity. The group $\hat{C}_n := \text{Hom}(C_n, \mathcal{K}^\times)$ is isomorphic to C_n .

Algebras graded by a cyclic group

$C_n = \langle \sigma \rangle$ the cyclic group of order n , $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{O}, k)$ be a p -modular system, $p \nmid n$, \mathcal{K} contains a primitive n -th root ϵ of unity. The group $\hat{C}_n := \text{Hom}(C_n, \mathcal{K}^\times)$ is isomorphic to C_n . $\hat{C}_n = \langle \hat{\sigma} \rangle$, where $\hat{\sigma}(\sigma) = \epsilon$.

Algebras graded by a cyclic group

$C_n = \langle \sigma \rangle$ the cyclic group of order n , $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{O}, k)$ be a p -modular system, $p \nmid n$, \mathcal{K} contains a primitive n -th root ϵ of unity. The group $\hat{C}_n := \text{Hom}(C_n, \mathcal{K}^\times)$ is isomorphic to C_n . $\hat{C}_n = \langle \hat{\sigma} \rangle$, where $\hat{\sigma}(\sigma) = \epsilon$.

Let $R = \bigoplus_{g \in C_n} R_g$ be a C_n -graded \mathcal{O} -algebra.

Algebras graded by a cyclic group

$C_n = \langle \sigma \rangle$ the cyclic group of order n , $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{O}, k)$ be a p -modular system, $p \nmid n$, \mathcal{K} contains a primitive n -th root ϵ of unity. The group $\hat{C}_n := \text{Hom}(C_n, \mathcal{K}^\times)$ is isomorphic to C_n . $\hat{C}_n = \langle \hat{\sigma} \rangle$, where $\hat{\sigma}(\sigma) = \epsilon$.

Let $R = \bigoplus_{g \in C_n} R_g$ be a C_n -graded \mathcal{O} -algebra.

\hat{C}_n acts on R by $\hat{\rho}r_g = \hat{\rho}(g)r_g$. $R_{\sigma^j} = \{r \in R \mid \hat{\sigma}r = \epsilon^j r\}$, for $j = 0, \dots, n-1$.

Algebras graded by a cyclic group

$C_n = \langle \sigma \rangle$ the cyclic group of order n , $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{O}, k)$ be a p -modular system, $p \nmid n$, \mathcal{K} contains a primitive n -th root ϵ of unity. The group $\hat{C}_n := \text{Hom}(C_n, \mathcal{K}^\times)$ is isomorphic to C_n . $\hat{C}_n = \langle \hat{\sigma} \rangle$, where $\hat{\sigma}(\sigma) = \epsilon$.

Let $R = \bigoplus_{g \in C_n} R_g$ be a C_n -graded \mathcal{O} -algebra.

\hat{C}_n acts on R by $\hat{\rho}r_g = \hat{\rho}(g)r_g$. $R_{\sigma^j} = \{r \in R \mid \hat{\sigma}r = \epsilon^j r\}$, for $j = 0, \dots, n-1$. Let $R * \hat{C}_n := \{r\hat{\rho} \mid r \in R, \hat{\rho} \in \hat{C}_n\}$.

Algebras graded by a cyclic group

$C_n = \langle \sigma \rangle$ the cyclic group of order n , $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{O}, k)$ be a p -modular system, $p \nmid n$, \mathcal{K} contains a primitive n -th root ϵ of unity. The group $\hat{C}_n := \text{Hom}(C_n, \mathcal{K}^\times)$ is isomorphic to C_n . $\hat{C}_n = \langle \hat{\sigma} \rangle$, where $\hat{\sigma}(\sigma) = \epsilon$.

Let $R = \bigoplus_{g \in C_n} R_g$ be a C_n -graded \mathcal{O} -algebra.

\hat{C}_n acts on R by $\hat{\rho}r_g = \hat{\rho}(g)r_g$. $R_{\sigma^j} = \{r \in R \mid \hat{\sigma}r = \epsilon^j r\}$, for $j = 0, \dots, n-1$. Let $R * \hat{C}_n := \{r\hat{\rho} \mid r \in R, \hat{\rho} \in \hat{C}_n\}$.

Proposition

*The category $R\text{-Gr}$ of C_n -graded R -modules is isomorphic to $R * \hat{C}_n\text{-Mod}$.*

Algebras graded by a cyclic group

$C_n = \langle \sigma \rangle$ the cyclic group of order n , $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{O}, k)$ be a p -modular system, $p \nmid n$, \mathcal{K} contains a primitive n -th root ϵ of unity. The group $\hat{C}_n := \text{Hom}(C_n, \mathcal{K}^\times)$ is isomorphic to C_n . $\hat{C}_n = \langle \hat{\sigma} \rangle$, where $\hat{\sigma}(\sigma) = \epsilon$.

Let $R = \bigoplus_{g \in C_n} R_g$ be a C_n -graded \mathcal{O} -algebra.

\hat{C}_n acts on R by $\hat{\rho}r_g = \hat{\rho}(g)r_g$. $R_{\sigma^j} = \{r \in R \mid \hat{\sigma}r = \epsilon^j r\}$, for $j = 0, \dots, n-1$. Let $R * \hat{C}_n := \{r\hat{\rho} \mid r \in R, \hat{\rho} \in \hat{C}_n\}$.

Proposition

*The category $R\text{-Gr}$ of C_n -graded R -modules is isomorphic to $R * \hat{C}_n\text{-Mod}$.*

Let R and S be two C_n -graded \mathcal{O} -algebras. Then \hat{C}_n acts on $R \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} S^{\text{op}}$ diagonally, by $\hat{\rho}(r \otimes s) = \hat{\rho}r \otimes \hat{\rho}^{-1}s$.

Algebras graded by a cyclic group

$C_n = \langle \sigma \rangle$ the cyclic group of order n , $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{O}, k)$ be a p -modular system, $p \nmid n$, \mathcal{K} contains a primitive n -th root ϵ of unity. The group $\hat{C}_n := \text{Hom}(C_n, \mathcal{K}^\times)$ is isomorphic to C_n . $\hat{C}_n = \langle \hat{\sigma} \rangle$, where $\hat{\sigma}(\sigma) = \epsilon$.

Let $R = \bigoplus_{g \in C_n} R_g$ be a C_n -graded \mathcal{O} -algebra.

\hat{C}_n acts on R by $\hat{\rho} r_g = \hat{\rho}(g) r_g$. $R_{\sigma^j} = \{r \in R \mid \hat{\sigma} r = \epsilon^j r\}$, for $j = 0, \dots, n-1$. Let $R * \hat{C}_n := \{r \hat{\rho} \mid r \in R, \hat{\rho} \in \hat{C}_n\}$.

Proposition

*The category $R\text{-Gr}$ of C_n -graded R -modules is isomorphic to $R * \hat{C}_n\text{-Mod}$.*

Let R and S be two C_n -graded \mathcal{O} -algebras. Then \hat{C}_n acts on $R \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} S^{\text{op}}$ diagonally, by $\hat{\rho}(r \otimes s) = \hat{\rho} r \otimes \hat{\rho}^{-1} s$.

*The category $R\text{-Gr-}S$ of C_n -graded (R, S) -bimodules is isomorphic to $(R \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} S^{\text{op}}) * \hat{C}_n\text{-Mod}$.*

Algebras graded by a cyclic group

$C_n = \langle \sigma \rangle$ the cyclic group of order n , $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{O}, k)$ be a p -modular system, $p \nmid n$, \mathcal{K} contains a primitive n -th root ϵ of unity. The group $\hat{C}_n := \text{Hom}(C_n, \mathcal{K}^\times)$ is isomorphic to C_n . $\hat{C}_n = \langle \hat{\sigma} \rangle$, where $\hat{\sigma}(\sigma) = \epsilon$.

Let $R = \bigoplus_{g \in C_n} R_g$ be a C_n -graded \mathcal{O} -algebra.

\hat{C}_n acts on R by $\hat{\rho} r_g = \hat{\rho}(g) r_g$. $R_{\sigma^j} = \{r \in R \mid \hat{\sigma} r = \epsilon^j r\}$, for $j = 0, \dots, n-1$. Let $R * \hat{C}_n := \{r \hat{\rho} \mid r \in R, \hat{\rho} \in \hat{C}_n\}$.

Proposition

*The category $R\text{-Gr}$ of C_n -graded R -modules is isomorphic to $R * \hat{C}_n\text{-Mod}$.*

Let R and S be two C_n -graded \mathcal{O} -algebras. Then \hat{C}_n acts on $R \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} S^{\text{op}}$ diagonally, by $\hat{\rho}(r \otimes s) = \hat{\rho} r \otimes \hat{\rho}^{-1} s$.

*The category $R\text{-Gr-}S$ of C_n -graded (R, S) -bimodules is isomorphic to $(R \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} S^{\text{op}}) * \hat{C}_n\text{-Mod}$.*

If M is an (R, S) -bimodule and $\hat{\rho} \in \hat{C}_n$, then the $\hat{\rho}$ -th conjugate $\hat{\rho} M$ of M is defined by $\hat{\rho} M = (R \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} S^{\text{op}}) \hat{\rho} \otimes_{R \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} S^{\text{op}}} M$.

A descent theorem

Let $G^+ \trianglelefteq G$, with $G/G^+ \simeq C_n$.

A descent theorem

Let $G^+ \trianglelefteq G$, with $G/G^+ \simeq C_n$. Let b be a block of $\mathcal{O}G$ with defect group $D \leq G^+$, $H = N_G(D)$, $H^+ = N_{G^+}(D)$.

A descent theorem

Let $G^+ \trianglelefteq G$, with $G/G^+ \simeq C_n$. Let b be a block of $\mathcal{O}G$ with defect group $D \leq G^+$, $H = N_G(D)$, $H^+ = N_{G^+}(D)$. Let $c \in \mathcal{O}H$ be the Brauer correspondent of b .

A descent theorem

Let $G^+ \trianglelefteq G$, with $G/G^+ \simeq C_n$. Let b be a block of $\mathcal{O}G$ with defect group $D \leq G^+$, $H = N_G(D)$, $H^+ = N_{G^+}(D)$. Let $c \in \mathcal{O}H$ be the Brauer correspondent of b . If e is a block of $\mathcal{O}G^+$ covered by b , then the Brauer correspondent $f \in \mathcal{O}H^+$ of e is covered by c (Harris-Knörr).

A descent theorem

Let $G^+ \trianglelefteq G$, with $G/G^+ \simeq C_n$. Let b be a block of $\mathcal{O}G$ with defect group $D \leq G^+$, $H = N_G(D)$, $H^+ = N_{G^+}(D)$. Let $c \in \mathcal{O}H$ be the Brauer correspondent of b . If e is a block of $\mathcal{O}G^+$ covered by b , then the Brauer correspondent $f \in \mathcal{O}H^+$ of e is covered by c (Harris-Knörr). \hat{C}_n acts on the blocks of $\mathcal{O}G$ and $\mathcal{O}H$. The Brauer correspondent of $\hat{\rho}b$ is $\hat{\rho}c$.

A descent theorem

Let $G^+ \trianglelefteq G$, with $G/G^+ \simeq C_n$. Let b be a block of $\mathcal{O}G$ with defect group $D \leq G^+$, $H = N_G(D)$, $H^+ = N_{G^+}(D)$. Let $c \in \mathcal{O}H$ be the Brauer correspondent of b . If e is a block of $\mathcal{O}G^+$ covered by b , then the Brauer correspondent $f \in \mathcal{O}H^+$ of e is covered by c (Harris-Knörr). \hat{C}_n acts on the blocks of $\mathcal{O}G$ and $\mathcal{O}H$. The Brauer correspondent of $\hat{\rho}b$ is $\hat{\rho}c$. C_n acts by conjugation of the blocks of $\mathcal{O}G^+$ and $\mathcal{O}H^+$. The Brauer correspondent of ${}^g e$ is ${}^g f$.

A descent theorem

Let $G^+ \trianglelefteq G$, with $G/G^+ \simeq C_n$. Let b be a block of $\mathcal{O}G$ with defect group $D \leq G^+$, $H = N_G(D)$, $H^+ = N_{G^+}(D)$. Let $c \in \mathcal{O}H$ be the Brauer correspondent of b . If e is a block of $\mathcal{O}G^+$ covered by b , then the Brauer correspondent $f \in \mathcal{O}H^+$ of e is covered by c (Harris-Knörr). \hat{C}_n acts on the blocks of $\mathcal{O}G$ and $\mathcal{O}H$. The Brauer correspondent of $\hat{\rho}b$ is $\hat{\rho}c$. C_n acts by conjugation of the blocks of $\mathcal{O}G^+$ and $\mathcal{O}H^+$. The Brauer correspondent of ${}^g e$ is ${}^g f$.

Consider the central idempotent $b^+ = \sum_{\hat{\rho} \in [\hat{C}_n / \hat{C}_{n,b}]} \hat{\rho}b = \sum_{g \in [C_n / C_{n,e}]} {}^g e$ of $\mathcal{O}G^+$.

A descent theorem

Let $G^+ \trianglelefteq G$, with $G/G^+ \simeq C_n$. Let b be a block of $\mathcal{O}G$ with defect group $D \leq G^+$, $H = N_G(D)$, $H^+ = N_{G^+}(D)$. Let $c \in \mathcal{O}H$ be the Brauer correspondent of b . If e is a block of $\mathcal{O}G^+$ covered by b , then the Brauer correspondent $f \in \mathcal{O}H^+$ of e is covered by c (Harris-Knörr). \hat{C}_n acts on the blocks of $\mathcal{O}G$ and $\mathcal{O}H$. The Brauer correspondent of $\hat{\rho}b$ is $\hat{\rho}c$. C_n acts by conjugation of the blocks of $\mathcal{O}G^+$ and $\mathcal{O}H^+$. The Brauer correspondent of ${}^g e$ is ${}^g f$.

Consider the central idempotent $b^+ = \sum_{\hat{\rho} \in [\hat{C}_n / \hat{C}_{n,b}]} \hat{\rho}b = \sum_{g \in [C_n / C_{n,e}]} {}^g e$ of $\mathcal{O}G^+$. Let c^+ be the similarly defined central idempotent of $\mathcal{O}H^+$.

A descent theorem

Let $G^+ \trianglelefteq G$, with $G/G^+ \simeq C_n$. Let b be a block of $\mathcal{O}G$ with defect group $D \leq G^+$, $H = N_G(D)$, $H^+ = N_{G^+}(D)$. Let $c \in \mathcal{O}H$ be the Brauer correspondent of b . If e is a block of $\mathcal{O}G^+$ covered by b , then the Brauer correspondent $f \in \mathcal{O}H^+$ of e is covered by c (Harris-Knörr). \hat{C}_n acts on the blocks of $\mathcal{O}G$ and $\mathcal{O}H$. The Brauer correspondent of $\hat{\rho}b$ is $\hat{\rho}c$. C_n acts by conjugation of the blocks of $\mathcal{O}G^+$ and $\mathcal{O}H^+$. The Brauer correspondent of ${}^g e$ is ${}^g f$.

Consider the central idempotent $b^+ = \sum_{\hat{\rho} \in [\hat{C}_n / \hat{C}_{n,b}]} \hat{\rho}b = \sum_{g \in [C_n / C_{n,e}]} {}^g e$ of $\mathcal{O}G^+$. Let c^+ be the similarly defined central idempotent of $\mathcal{O}H^+$. Consider the strongly C_n -graded algebras $R = b^+ \mathcal{O}G = \mathcal{O}G e \mathcal{O}G$ and $S = c^+ \mathcal{O}H = \mathcal{O}H e \mathcal{O}H$.

A descent theorem

Let $G^+ \trianglelefteq G$, with $G/G^+ \simeq C_n$. Let b be a block of $\mathcal{O}G$ with defect group $D \leq G^+$, $H = N_G(D)$, $H^+ = N_{G^+}(D)$. Let $c \in \mathcal{O}H$ be the Brauer correspondent of b . If e is a block of $\mathcal{O}G^+$ covered by b , then the Brauer correspondent $f \in \mathcal{O}H^+$ of e is covered by c (Harris-Knörr). \hat{C}_n acts on the blocks of $\mathcal{O}G$ and $\mathcal{O}H$. The Brauer correspondent of $\hat{\rho}b$ is $\hat{\rho}c$. C_n acts by conjugation of the blocks of $\mathcal{O}G^+$ and $\mathcal{O}H^+$. The Brauer correspondent of ${}^g e$ is ${}^g f$.

Consider the central idempotent $b^+ = \sum_{\hat{\rho} \in [\hat{C}_n / \hat{C}_{n,b}]} \hat{\rho}b = \sum_{g \in [C_n / C_{n,e}]} {}^g e$ of $\mathcal{O}G^+$. Let c^+ be the similarly defined central idempotent of $\mathcal{O}H^+$. Consider the strongly C_n -graded algebras $R = b^+ \mathcal{O}G = \mathcal{O}G e \mathcal{O}G$ and $S = c^+ \mathcal{O}H = \mathcal{O}H e \mathcal{O}H$. R is Morita equivalent to $e \mathcal{O}G e$ and S is Morita equivalent to $f \mathcal{O}H f$.

A descent theorem

Let $G^+ \trianglelefteq G$, with $G/G^+ \simeq C_n$. Let b be a block of $\mathcal{O}G$ with defect group $D \leq G^+$, $H = N_G(D)$, $H^+ = N_{G^+}(D)$. Let $c \in \mathcal{O}H$ be the Brauer correspondent of b . If e is a block of $\mathcal{O}G^+$ covered by b , then the Brauer correspondent $f \in \mathcal{O}H^+$ of e is covered by c (Harris-Knörr). \hat{C}_n acts on the blocks of $\mathcal{O}G$ and $\mathcal{O}H$. The Brauer correspondent of $\hat{\rho}b$ is $\hat{\rho}c$. C_n acts by conjugation of the blocks of $\mathcal{O}G^+$ and $\mathcal{O}H^+$. The Brauer correspondent of ${}^g e$ is ${}^g f$.

Consider the central idempotent $b^+ = \sum_{\hat{\rho} \in [\hat{C}_n / \hat{C}_{n,b}]} \hat{\rho}b = \sum_{g \in [C_n / C_{n,e}]} {}^g e$ of $\mathcal{O}G^+$. Let c^+ be the similarly defined central idempotent of $\mathcal{O}H^+$. Consider the strongly C_n -graded algebras $R = b^+ \mathcal{O}G = \mathcal{O}G e \mathcal{O}G$ and $S = c^+ \mathcal{O}H = \mathcal{O}H e \mathcal{O}H$. R is Morita equivalent to $e \mathcal{O}G e$ and S is Morita equivalent to $f \mathcal{O}H f$.

Theorem

Let X be a complex of $(b\mathcal{O}G, c\mathcal{O}H)$ -bimodules inducing a Rickard equivalence between $b\mathcal{O}G$ and $c\mathcal{O}H$, and consider the complex $Y = \bigoplus_{\hat{\rho} \in [\hat{C}_n / \hat{C}_{n,b}]} \hat{\rho}X$ of (R, S) -bimodules.

A descent theorem

Let $G^+ \trianglelefteq G$, with $G/G^+ \simeq C_n$. Let b be a block of $\mathcal{O}G$ with defect group $D \leq G^+$, $H = N_G(D)$, $H^+ = N_{G^+}(D)$. Let $c \in \mathcal{O}H$ be the Brauer correspondent of b . If e is a block of $\mathcal{O}G^+$ covered by b , then the Brauer correspondent $f \in \mathcal{O}H^+$ of e is covered by c (Harris-Knörr). \hat{C}_n acts on the blocks of $\mathcal{O}G$ and $\mathcal{O}H$. The Brauer correspondent of $\hat{\rho}b$ is $\hat{\rho}c$. C_n acts by conjugation of the blocks of $\mathcal{O}G^+$ and $\mathcal{O}H^+$. The Brauer correspondent of ${}^g e$ is ${}^g f$.

Consider the central idempotent $b^+ = \sum_{\hat{\rho} \in [\hat{C}_n / \hat{C}_{n,b}]} \hat{\rho}b = \sum_{g \in [C_n / C_{n,e}]} {}^g e$ of $\mathcal{O}G^+$. Let c^+ be the similarly defined central idempotent of $\mathcal{O}H^+$. Consider the strongly C_n -graded algebras $R = b^+ \mathcal{O}G = \mathcal{O}G e \mathcal{O}G$ and $S = c^+ \mathcal{O}H = \mathcal{O}H e \mathcal{O}H$. R is Morita equivalent to $e \mathcal{O}G e$ and S is Morita equivalent to $f \mathcal{O}H f$.

Theorem

Let X be a complex of $(b\mathcal{O}G, c\mathcal{O}H)$ -bimodules inducing a Rickard equivalence between $b\mathcal{O}G$ and $c\mathcal{O}H$, and consider the complex

$Y = \bigoplus_{\hat{\rho} \in [\hat{C}_n / \hat{C}_{n,b}]} \hat{\rho}X$ of (R, S) -bimodules.

If $\hat{\rho}Y \simeq Y$ as complexes of (R, S) -bimodules for all $\hat{\rho} \in \hat{C}_n$, then the block algebras $e\mathcal{O}G^+$ and $f\mathcal{O}H^+$ are Rickard equivalent.

Blocks of symmetric and alternating groups

Blocks of symmetric and alternating groups

We only need to consider the case $p > 2$.

Blocks of symmetric and alternating groups

We only need to consider the case $p > 2$. Indeed, if $p = 2$, then $D \simeq C_2 \times C_2$. In this case Broué's conjecture holds (Rouquier).

Blocks of symmetric and alternating groups

We only need to consider the case $p > 2$. Indeed, if $p = 2$, then $D \simeq C_2 \times C_2$. In this case Broué's conjecture holds (Rouquier).

Theorem

Let $p > 2$, $G = S_n$, $G^+ = A_n$, $\tilde{G} = \text{Aut}(G^+)$, b^+ a block of $\mathcal{O}G^+$ with nontrivial abelian defect group D , $H^+ = N_{G^+}(D)$, and $c^+ \in \mathcal{O}H^+$ the Brauer correspondent of b^+ .

Blocks of symmetric and alternating groups

We only need to consider the case $p > 2$. Indeed, if $p = 2$, then $D \simeq C_2 \times C_2$. In this case Broué's conjecture holds (Rouquier).

Theorem

Let $p > 2$, $G = S_n$, $G^+ = A_n$, $\tilde{G} = \text{Aut}(G^+)$, b^+ a block of $\mathcal{O}G^+$ with nontrivial abelian defect group D , $H^+ = N_{G^+}(D)$, and $c^+ \in \mathcal{O}H^+$ the Brauer correspondent of b^+ .

Then there exists a splendid tilting complex of \tilde{G}/G^+ -graded $(b^+ \mathcal{O}\tilde{G}, c^+ \mathcal{O}\tilde{H})$ -bimodules.

Blocks of symmetric and alternating groups

We only need to consider the case $p > 2$. Indeed, if $p = 2$, then $D \simeq C_2 \times C_2$. In this case Broué's conjecture holds (Rouquier).

Theorem

Let $p > 2$, $G = S_n$, $G^+ = A_n$, $\tilde{G} = \text{Aut}(G^+)$, b^+ a block of $\mathcal{O}G^+$ with nontrivial abelian defect group D , $H^+ = N_{G^+}(D)$, and $c^+ \in \mathcal{O}H^+$ the Brauer correspondent of b^+ .

Then there exists a splendid tilting complex of \tilde{G}/G^+ -graded $(b^+ \mathcal{O}\tilde{G}, c^+ \mathcal{O}\tilde{H})$ -bimodules.

We use that the conjecture is known to hold for the symmetric group S_n by the work of J. Rickard, J. Chuang, R. Kessar and R. Rouquier, and we show how to “go down” to A_n , by using the above techniques.

Blocks of symmetric and alternating groups

We only need to consider the case $p > 2$. Indeed, if $p = 2$, then $D \simeq C_2 \times C_2$. In this case Broué's conjecture holds (Rouquier).

Theorem

Let $p > 2$, $G = S_n$, $G^+ = A_n$, $\tilde{G} = \text{Aut}(G^+)$, b^+ a block of $\mathcal{O}G^+$ with nontrivial abelian defect group D , $H^+ = N_{G^+}(D)$, and $c^+ \in \mathcal{O}H^+$ the Brauer correspondent of b^+ .

Then there exists a splendid tilting complex of \tilde{G}/G^+ -graded $(b^+\mathcal{O}\tilde{G}, c^+\mathcal{O}\tilde{H})$ -bimodules.

We use that the conjecture is known to hold for the symmetric group S_n by the work of J. Rickard, J. Chuang, R. Kessar and R. Rouquier, and we show how to “go down” to A_n , by using the above techniques.

Related results:

Blocks of symmetric and alternating groups

We only need to consider the case $p > 2$. Indeed, if $p = 2$, then $D \simeq C_2 \times C_2$. In this case Broué's conjecture holds (Rouquier).

Theorem

Let $p > 2$, $G = S_n$, $G^+ = A_n$, $\tilde{G} = \text{Aut}(G^+)$, b^+ a block of $\mathcal{O}G^+$ with nontrivial abelian defect group D , $H^+ = N_{G^+}(D)$, and $c^+ \in \mathcal{O}H^+$ the Brauer correspondent of b^+ .

Then there exists a splendid tilting complex of \tilde{G}/G^+ -graded $(b^+ \mathcal{O}\tilde{G}, c^+ \mathcal{O}\tilde{H})$ -bimodules.

We use that the conjecture is known to hold for the symmetric group S_n by the work of J. Rickard, J. Chuang, R. Kessar and R. Rouquier, and we show how to “go down” to A_n , by using the above techniques.

Related results:

- P. Fong and M. Harris, verified the weaker “isotypy form” of the conjecture for A_n , by using Rouquier's result on S_n .

Blocks of symmetric and alternating groups

We only need to consider the case $p > 2$. Indeed, if $p = 2$, then $D \simeq C_2 \times C_2$. In this case Broué's conjecture holds (Rouquier).

Theorem

Let $p > 2$, $G = S_n$, $G^+ = A_n$, $\tilde{G} = \text{Aut}(G^+)$, b^+ a block of $\mathcal{O}G^+$ with nontrivial abelian defect group D , $H^+ = N_{G^+}(D)$, and $c^+ \in \mathcal{O}H^+$ the Brauer correspondent of b^+ .









Then there exists a splendid tilting complex of \tilde{G}/G^+ -graded $(b^+ \mathcal{O}\tilde{G}, c^+ \mathcal{O}\tilde{H})$ -bimodules.

We use that the conjecture is known to hold for the symmetric group S_n by the work of J. Rickard, J. Chuang, R. Kessar and R. Rouquier, and we show how to “go down” to A_n , by using the above techniques.

Related results:

- P. Fong and M. Harris, verified the weaker “isotypy form” of the conjecture for A_n , by using Rouquier's result on S_n .
- A similar procedure was developed by E. Dade leading to the verification of his Invariant Projective Conjecture for A_n .

References

-  Holloway, M., *Broué's conjecture for the Hall-Janko group and its double cover*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **86** (2003), 109–130.
-  Marcus, A., *Tilting complexes for group graded algebras*, J. Group Theory **6** (2003), 175–193.
-  Marcus, A., *Broué's abelian defect group conjecture for alternating groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **132** (2004), No. 1, 7–14.
-  Marcus, A., *Tilting complexes for group graded algebras II*, Osaka J. Math. **42** (2005), 453–462.
-  Okuyama, T., *Remarks on splendid tilting complexes*, RIMS Kokyuroku, Kyoto Univ. **1149** (2000), 53–59.
-  Okuyama, T., *Derived equivalence in $SL(2, q)$* , preprint, 2000.
-  Rickard, J., *Equivalences of derived categories for symmetric algebras*, J. Algebra **257** (2002), 460–481.
-  Rouquier, R., *Block theory via stable and Rickard equivalences*. Modular representation theory of finite groups (Charlottesville, VA, 1998), de Gruyter, Berlin 2001, 101–146.