

# Again on gcd's

Grigore Călugăreanu

November 3, 2023

## 1 Introduction

When discussing the commutative domain  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$ , *all* Ring Theory texts mention that **this is not UFD** (unique factorization domain) because of

$$3 \cdot 2 = (1 + i\sqrt{5})(1 - i\sqrt{5})$$

which are two decompositions not associated in divisibility.

Only *some* of these mention that **this is not GCD** (greatest common divisors exist), the customarily example being the pair  $(6, 2(1 + i\sqrt{5}))$  which is proved **not** having a gcd (using the so-called "norm" of elements in  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$ :  $N(a + bi\sqrt{5}) = a^2 + 5b^2$ . See Example 4 below).

Merely *none* of these mention that **the "well-known" property**

$$a \mid bc, \gcd(a, b) = 1 \implies a \mid c$$

**fails.**

Indeed, as above, 3 (or 2) divides  $(1 + i\sqrt{5})(1 - i\sqrt{5})$ ,  $\gcd(3, 1 \pm i\sqrt{5}) = 1$  but  $3 \nmid 1 \pm i\sqrt{5}$ .

However, if a domain is GCD then the above property holds.

**Lemma 1** (i)  $d_1 \mid a, b$  implies  $d_1 \mid \gcd(a, b)$ .

(ii)  $r \gcd(a, b) = \gcd(ra, rb)$  for every  $r$ , if both gcd's exist.

(iii)  $a \mid bc, \gcd(a, b) = 1 \implies a \mid c$ .

**Proof.** (i) The definition of the gcd.

(ii) Let  $d = \gcd(a, b)$  and  $d_1 = \gcd(ra, rb)$ . Then  $rd$  divides both  $ra$  and  $rb$ . So it divides  $d_1$ . Write  $d_1 = rds$ . ■

Write  $a = da_1$ ,  $b = db_1$ , and write  $ra = d_1x$ ,  $rb = d_1y$ . Then  $d_1a_1 = rds_1 = ras = d_1xs$  and  $d_1b_1 = rdsb_1 = rbs = d_1ys$ .

So  $a_1 = xs$ ,  $b_1 = ys$ . Since  $\gcd(a_1, b_1) = 1$ ,  $s = 1$ . So  $d_1 = rd$ .

**Proof.** (iii) In fact, if both gcd's exist,  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$  implies  $\gcd(ac, bc) = c \gcd(a, b) = c$ . As  $a$  is a common divisor of  $ac$  and  $bc$ ,  $a$  divides  $\gcd(ac, bc)$ . That is,  $a$  divides  $c$ . ■

By cancellation, it is easy to prove a converse for (ii):  $\gcd(ar, br) = r$  implies  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ .

From [2].

**Proposition 2** *Let  $D$  be an integral domain and  $a, b \in D$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

1.  $a, b$  have an lcm,
2. for any  $r \in D$ ,  $ra, rb$  have a gcd.

**Proof.** For arbitrary  $x, y \in D$ , denote  $LCM(x, y)$  and  $GCD(x, y)$  the sets of all lcm's and all gcd's of  $x$  and  $y$ , respectively.

**1  $\Rightarrow$  2.** Let  $c \in LCM(a, b)$ . Then  $c = ax = by$ , for some  $x, y \in D$ . For any  $r \in D$ , since  $rab$  is a multiple of  $a$  and  $b$ , there is a  $d \in D$  such that  $rab = cd$ . We claim that  $d \in GCD(ra, rb)$ . There are two steps: showing that  $d$  is a common divisor of  $ra$  and  $rb$ , and that any common divisor of  $ra$  and  $rb$  is a divisor of  $d$ .

1. Since  $c = ax$ , the equation  $rab = cd = axd$  reduces to  $rb = xd$ , so  $d$  divides  $rb$ . Similarly,  $ra = yd$ , so  $d$  is a common divisor of  $ra$  and  $rb$ .

2. Next, let  $t$  be any common divisor of  $ra$  and  $rb$ , say  $ra = ut$  and  $rb = vt$  for some  $u, v \in D$ . Then  $uvt = rav = rbu$ , so that  $z := av = bu$  is a multiple of both  $a$  and  $b$ , and hence is a multiple of  $c$ , say  $z = cw$  for some  $w \in D$ . Then the equation  $axw = cw = z = av$  reduces to  $xw = v$ . Multiplying both sides by  $t$  gives  $xwt = vt$ . Since  $vt = rb = xd$ , we have  $xd = xwt$ , or  $d = wt$ , so that  $d$  is a multiple of  $t$ . As a result,  $d \in GCD(ra, rb)$ .

**2  $\Rightarrow$  1.** Suppose  $k \in GCD(a, b)$ . Write  $ki = a$ ,  $kj = b$  for some  $i, j \in D$ . Set  $l = kij$ , so that  $ab = kl$ . We want to show that  $l \in LCM(a, b)$ . First, notice that  $l = aj = bi$ , so that  $a \mid l$  and  $b \mid l$ . Now, suppose  $a \mid t$  and  $b \mid t$ , we want to show that  $l \mid t$  as well. Write  $t = ax = by$ . Then  $ta = aby$  and  $tb = abx$ , so that  $ab \mid ta$  and  $ab \mid tb$ . Since  $GCD(ta, tb) \neq \emptyset$ , we have  $tk \in GCD(ta, tb)$ , implying  $ab \mid tk$ . In other words  $tk = abz$  for some  $z \in D$ . As a result,  $tk = abz = klz$ , or  $t = lz$ . In other words,  $l \mid t$ , as desired. ■

**Corollary 3** *Let  $D$  be an integral domain. Then  $D$  is a lcm domain iff it is a gcd domain.*

Moreover, [Bill Dubuque] (to avoid introducing several new letters, formally fractions are used)

**Theorem 4**  $\gcd(a, b) = ab/\text{lcm}(a, b)$  if  $\text{lcm}(a, b)$  exists.

**Proof.**  $d \mid a, b \iff a, b \mid \frac{ab}{d} \iff [a, b] \mid \frac{ab}{d} \iff d \mid \frac{ab}{[a, b]}$ . ■

**Examples.** 1)  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$  implies  $\gcd(ac, bc) = c$ , fails.

A counterexample appears already above:  $\gcd(3, 1 \pm i\sqrt{5}) = 1$  but  $\gcd(2 \cdot 3, 2(1 \pm i\sqrt{5}))$  (not only is not 2 but) **does not exist**.

2) In  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-3}]$  consider  $a = 2$ ,  $b = 1 - i\sqrt{3}$ . We have  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$  but  $\gcd(2a, 2b) = \gcd(4, 2 - 2i\sqrt{3})$  doesn't exist, so  $l := \text{lcm}(a, b)$  doesn't exist (by the equivalence in the previous section). More explicitly, if the lcm  $l$  existed then

$2, b \mid 4, 2b \Rightarrow l \mid 4, 2b \Rightarrow \frac{l}{2} \mid 2, b \Rightarrow \frac{l}{2} = 1 \Rightarrow l = 2 \Rightarrow b \mid 2 \Rightarrow b \mid a$ , a contradiction.

3)  $\gcd(3, 1 \pm i\sqrt{5}) = 1$ .

As  $N(3) = 9$ ,  $N(1 \pm i\sqrt{5}) = 6$  if  $d$  is a common divisor, then  $N(d) \mid \gcd(9, 6) = 3$  so  $N(d) \in \{1, 3\}$ . The equation  $a^2 + 5b^2 = 3$  has no solution.

4)  $\gcd(2 \cdot 3, 2(1 \pm i\sqrt{5}))$  does not exist.

Note that both 2 and  $1 \pm i\sqrt{5}$  are divisors of 6. Hence, if  $\delta = \gcd(2 \cdot 3, 2(1 \pm i\sqrt{5}))$  exists then  $N(2) = 4$  and  $N(1 \pm i\sqrt{5}) = 6$  would divide  $N(\delta)$ . Consequently,  $\text{lcm}(4, 6) = 12$  would divide  $N(\delta)$ .

On the other hand, since  $\delta \mid 6, 2(1 \pm i\sqrt{5})$  it follows that  $N(\delta) \mid 36, 24$  and so  $N(\delta) \mid \gcd(36, 24) = 12$ .

Therefore  $N(\delta) = 12$ . Finally,  $\delta$  does not exist as the equation  $a^2 + 5b^2 = 12$  has no (integer) solutions.

5)  $\gcd(8, 6 + 2i\sqrt{5})$  does not exist

Since  $\gcd(4, 3 + i\sqrt{5}) = 1$ , cancellation by 2 in  $8 \cdot (-7) = (6 + 2i\sqrt{5})(-6 + 2i\sqrt{5})$  gives  $4 \cdot (-7) = (3 + i\sqrt{5})(-6 + 2i\sqrt{5})$ .

If the gcd above exists, it should follow that 4 divides  $-6 + 2i\sqrt{5}$ . Since  $N(4) = 16$ ,  $N(-6 + 2i\sqrt{5}) = 56$  we derive  $16 \mid 56$ , a contradiction.

## References

- [1] Bill Dubuque <https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/235139>
- [2] C. Woo <https://planetmath.org/anintegralsdomainislcmmiffitisgcd> (2013).