

A HALPERN TYPE ITERATION WITH MULTIPLE ANCHOR POINTS IN COMPLETE GEODESIC SPACES WITH NEGATIVE CURVATURE

YASUNORI KIMURA* AND KAZUYA SASAKI**

*Department of Information Science, Toho University, Miyama
Funabashi, Chiba 274-8510, Japan
E-mail: yasunori@is.sci.toho-u.ac.jp

**Department of Information Science, Toho University, Miyama
Funabashi, Chiba 274-8510, Japan
E-mail: 6519005s@st.toho-u.jp

Abstract. In this paper, we define a new convex combination on a geodesic space with negative curvature, and show that an iterative sequence generated by using that convex combination converges to a common fixed point of mappings minimizing the specific function of that space.

Key Words and Phrases: Fixed point, approximation, geodesic space.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H09, 47H10.

1. INTRODUCTION

Approximation of fixed points has been studied in various spaces such as Hilbert spaces, Banach spaces, complete $CAT(\kappa)$ spaces, and others. Halpern type iteration is one of the method to find a fixed point; see [2, 9, 8]. In 2010, Saejung [6] proved a Halpern type approximation theorem using a single mapping and a single anchor point in a complete $CAT(0)$ space. In 2015, Kimura and Wada [4] showed the following theorem using Halpern type iterative scheme with three mappings and three anchor points in a complete $CAT(0)$ space.

Theorem 1.1 (Kimura and Wada [4]). *Let X be a complete $CAT(0)$ space and R, S, T nonexpansive mappings from X into itself with $F = F(R) \cap F(S) \cap F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\{\alpha_n\} \subset]0, 1[$ such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n| < \infty,$$

and $\{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\} \subset]a, b[\subset]0, 1[$ such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n| < \infty, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n = \beta \in]0, 1[, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\gamma_{n+1} - \gamma_n| < \infty$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n = \gamma \in]0, 1[.$$

Let $u, v, w, x_1 \in X$ and define an iterative sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ by

$$\begin{cases} r_n = \alpha_n u \oplus (1 - \alpha_n) R x_n, \\ s_n = \alpha_n v \oplus (1 - \alpha_n) S x_n, \\ t_n = \alpha_n w \oplus (1 - \alpha_n) T x_n, \\ x_{n+1} = \beta_n r_n \oplus (1 - \beta_n)(\gamma_n s_n \oplus (1 - \gamma_n) t_n) \end{cases}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges to a point $p \in F$, which is a minimizer of the function $g(x) = \beta d(u, x)^2 + (1 - \beta)(\gamma d(v, x)^2 + (1 - \gamma)d(w, x)^2)$ on F .

In this theorem, the function g can be expressed as

$$g(x) = \lambda d(u, x)^2 + \mu d(v, x)^2 + \nu d(w, x)^2 \quad (\lambda, \mu, \nu > 0, \lambda + \mu + \nu = 1).$$

We can consider the function g as a typical function of CAT(0) space, for the following formula is satisfied for any three points x, y, z in a CAT(0) space and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$:

$$d(\alpha x \oplus (1 - \alpha)y, z)^2 \leq \alpha d(x, z)^2 + (1 - \alpha)d(y, z)^2.$$

On the other hand, if X is a CAT(-1) space, the following inequality holds for any $x, y, z \in X$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$:

$$\cosh d(\alpha x \oplus (1 - \alpha)y, z) \leq \alpha \cosh d(x, z) + (1 - \alpha) \cosh d(y, z).$$

So the following function can be regarded as a function specific to the CAT(-1) space:

$$h(x) = \lambda \cosh d(u, x) + \mu \cosh d(v, x) + \nu \cosh d(w, x) \quad (\lambda, \mu, \nu > 0, \lambda + \mu + \nu = 1).$$

In general, we know that all CAT(-1) spaces are also CAT(0) space. Therefore, the sequence generated by the same method converges to the same point also in a CAT(-1) space. That point is a minimizer of the function g , however, it is not a minimizer of the following function characteristic of the CAT(-1) space:

$$h(x) = \beta \cosh d(u, x) + (1 - \beta)(\gamma \cosh d(v, x) + (1 - \gamma) \cosh d(w, x)).$$

We consider this problem to be caused by the relationship between convex combination and the geometric structure of CAT(0) space. In fact, the following formula holds for any three points u, v in a CAT(0) space and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$:

$$\alpha u \oplus (1 - \alpha)v = \operatorname{argmin}_{x \in X} (\alpha d(u, x)^2 + (1 - \alpha)d(v, x)^2).$$

In this paper, we define a new convex combination on a CAT(-1) space in order to resolve that problem, and show that a sequence generated by using that convex combination converges to a minimizer of h in CAT(-1) spaces.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let (X, d) be a metric space. For $x, y \in X$, a mapping $\gamma: [0, l] \rightarrow X$ is called a geodesic joining x and y if γ satisfies $\gamma(0) = x$, $\gamma(l) = y$ and $d(\gamma(s) - \gamma(t)) = |s - t|$ for $s, t \in [0, l]$, where $l = d(x, y)$. X is said to be a geodesic space if for any two points $x, y \in X$, there exists a geodesic joining x and y . Further, if a geodesic exists uniquely for any two points $x, y \in X$, then X is called a uniquely geodesic space. In a uniquely geodesic space, an image of geodesic joining x and y is said to be a geodesic segment and is denoted by $[x, y]$.

Let X be a uniquely geodesic space. For $x, y \in X$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, there exists a unique point $z \in [x, y]$ such that $d(x, z) = (1 - t)d(x, y)$ and $d(y, z) = td(x, y)$. The point z is called a convex combination of x and y , and is denoted by $tx \oplus (1 - t)y$. For three points $x, y, z \in X$, a geodesic triangle $\Delta(x, y, z) \subset X$ is defined as the union of geodesic segments joining each two points.

For $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$, let M_κ be a two-dimensional model space with curvature κ . In particular, M_0 is a two-dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^2 , M_1 is a two-dimensional unit sphere \mathbb{S}^2 , and M_{-1} is a two-dimensional hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^2 . The diameter of M_κ is denoted by D_κ , that is, $D_\kappa = \infty$ for $\kappa \leq 0$ and $D_\kappa = \pi/\sqrt{\kappa}$ otherwise.

Let X be a uniquely geodesic space and let $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$. For a geodesic triangle $\Delta(x, y, z) \subset X$ with $d(x, y) + d(y, z) + d(z, x) < 2D_\kappa$, a comparison triangle $\bar{\Delta}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}) \subset M_\kappa$ is defined by $[\bar{x}, \bar{y}] \cup [\bar{y}, \bar{z}] \cup [\bar{z}, \bar{x}]$, where $\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}$ are points on M_κ which satisfies $d(x, y) = d(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$, $d(y, z) = d(\bar{y}, \bar{z})$, and $d(z, x) = d(\bar{z}, \bar{x})$. X is called a CAT(κ) space if for any two points $p, q \in \Delta(x, y, z)$ and their comparison points $\bar{p}, \bar{q} \in \bar{\Delta}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})$, the inequality $d(p, q) \leq d(\bar{p}, \bar{q})$, which is called a CAT(κ) inequality, is satisfied for any $\Delta(x, y, z) \subset X$ and its comparison triangle $\bar{\Delta}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}) \subset M_\kappa$. It is well known that any CAT(κ) space is also a CAT(κ') space whenever $\kappa < \kappa'$.

Let X be a CAT(-1) space. Then the following inequality always holds for any $x, y, z \in X$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \cosh d(\alpha x \oplus (1 - \alpha)y, z) \sinh d(x, y) \\ & \leq \cosh d(x, z) \sinh(\alpha d(x, y)) + \cosh d(y, z) \sinh((1 - \alpha)d(x, y)). \end{aligned}$$

This inequality is often called the CN-inequality. Moreover, the following inequality is easily obtained by this inequality:

$$\cosh d(\alpha x \oplus (1 - \alpha)y, z) \leq \alpha \cosh d(x, z) + (1 - \alpha) \cosh d(y, z).$$

Let C be a nonempty set. For $f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the set of all minimizers of f is denoted by $\operatorname{argmin}_{x \in C} f(x)$. In this paper, if $\operatorname{argmin}_{x \in C} f(x)$ is a singleton, then the unique elements p is denoted by $p = \operatorname{argmin}_{x \in C} f(x)$.

Let X be a set and C a nonempty subset of X . For $T: C \rightarrow X$, the set of all fixed points of T is denoted by $F(T)$.

Let X be a metric space. An asymptotic center of a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ is defined by $\operatorname{argmin}_{x \in X} (\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x, x_n))$. If the asymptotic center of any subsequences of $\{x_n\}$ is just one point $x \in X$, then $\{x_n\}$ is said to Δ -converge to x , and we denote it by $x_n \overset{\Delta}{\rightarrow} x$. A mapping T from X into itself is said to be Δ -demiclosed if for any sequences $\{x_n\} \subset X$ with $x_n \overset{\Delta}{\rightarrow} x$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, Tx_n) = 0$ implies $x \in F(T)$.

Theorem 2.1 (Kirk and Panyanak [5]). *Let X be a complete CAT(0) space, and $\{x_n\}$ a bounded sequence on X . Then there exists a Δ -convergent subsequence $\{x_{n_i}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$.*

Theorem 2.2 (He, Fang, Lopez and Li [3]). *Let X be a complete CAT(0) space, and $\{x_n\}$ a sequence on X such that $x_n \xrightarrow{\Delta} x \in X$. Then for any $u \in X$,*

$$d(u, x) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(u, x_n).$$

Let X be a CAT(-1) space and T a mapping from X into itself with $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Then T is said to be quasinonexpansive if an inequality $d(Tx, z) \leq d(x, z)$ is satisfied for all $x \in X$ and $z \in F(T)$. We know that the set of all fixed points of quasinonexpansive mapping is closed and convex. Further, T is said to be strongly quasinonexpansive if it is quasinonexpansive and, for any sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ and $z \in F(T)$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\cosh d(x_n, z) - \cosh d(Tx_n, z)) = 0$ implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, Tx_n) = 0$.

Let X be a complete CAT(-1) space and C a nonempty closed convex subset of X . Then there exists a unique point $p_x \in C$ such that $d(x, p_x) = \inf_{y \in C} d(x, y)$ for each $x \in X$. So we can define the metric projection P_C from X onto C by $P_C x = p_x$ for any $x \in X$.

Now, we introduce some properties of hyperbolic functions.

Lemma 2.3. *For any $a \in]-1, 1[$,*

$$\sinh(\tanh^{-1} a) = \frac{a}{\sqrt{1-a^2}},$$

where $\tanh^{-1}:]-1, 1[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an inverse function of the hyperbolic tangent function.

Lemma 2.4. *For any $a, b \in]-1, 1[$,*

$$\tanh^{-1} a - \tanh^{-1} b = \tanh^{-1} \frac{a-b}{1-ab}.$$

The following is an important lemma that forms the basis of the proof of the main result.

Lemma 2.5 (Aoyama, Kimura and Kohsaka [1]; Saejung and Yotkaew [7]). *Let $\{a_n\}$ be a sequence of non-negative real numbers and $\{t_n\}$ a sequence of real numbers. Let $\{\beta_n\}$ be a sequence in $]0, 1[$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n = \infty$. Suppose that*

$$a_{n+1} \leq (1 - \beta_n)a_n + \beta_n t_n$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} (a_{\varphi(i)+1} - a_{\varphi(i)}) \geq 0$ implies $\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} t_{\varphi(i)} \leq 0$ for any $\varphi: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that nondecreasing and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(i) = \infty$, then $a_n \rightarrow 0$.

3. MAIN RESULT

In this section, we prove a Halpern type approximation theorem with multiple anchor points of strongly quasinonexpansive mappings. To prove the main result, we define a new convex combination and introduce some lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\{s_n\}, \{t_n\}, \{u_n\}$ be sequences of non-positive real numbers. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = 0$ whenever $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (s_n + t_n + u_n) = 0$.*

Lemma 3.2. *Let X be a $\text{CAT}(-1)$ space. For $u_1, u_2, u_3 \in X$ and $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3 \in [0, 1]$ with $\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3 = 1$, define a function $g: X \rightarrow [1, \infty[$ by*

$$g(x) = \beta_1 \cosh d(u_1, x) + \beta_2 \cosh d(u_2, x) + \beta_3 \cosh d(u_3, x)$$

for all $x \in X$. Then for any $x, y \in X$,

$$g\left(\frac{1}{2}x \oplus \frac{1}{2}y\right) \cosh \frac{d(x, y)}{2} \leq \frac{g(x) + g(y)}{2}.$$

Proof. Let x, y be elements of X . It is obvious if $x = y$. Otherwise, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g\left(\frac{1}{2}x \oplus \frac{1}{2}y\right) \sinh d(x, y) &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \beta_i \cosh d\left(u_i, \frac{1}{2}x \oplus \frac{1}{2}y\right) \sinh d(x, y) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^3 \beta_i (\cosh d(u_i, x) + \cosh d(u_i, y)) \sinh \frac{d(x, y)}{2} \\ &= (g(x) + g(y)) \sinh \frac{d(x, y)}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sinh d(x, y) = 2 \sinh \frac{d(x, y)}{2} \cosh \frac{d(x, y)}{2}$, we obtain the desired result. □

Lemma 3.3. *Let X be a complete $\text{CAT}(-1)$ space and C a nonempty closed convex subset of X . For $u_1, u_2, u_3 \in X$ and $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3 \in [0, 1]$ with $\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3 = 1$, define a function $g: X \rightarrow [1, \infty[$ by*

$$g(x) = \beta_1 \cosh d(u_1, x) + \beta_2 \cosh d(u_2, x) + \beta_3 \cosh d(u_3, x)$$

for all $x \in X$. Then g has a unique minimizer on C .

Proof. Put $L = \inf_{x \in C} g(x)$ and take a sequence $\{z_n\} \subset C$ with $L \leq g(z_n) \leq L + 1/n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(z_n) = L$.

We show that $\{z_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence on C . Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m \geq n$. From Lemma 3.2, we get

$$g\left(\frac{1}{2}z_m \oplus \frac{1}{2}z_n\right) \cosh \frac{d(z_m, z_n)}{2} \leq \frac{g(z_m) + g(z_n)}{2}.$$

Since $1 \leq L \leq g(\frac{1}{2}z_m \oplus \frac{1}{2}z_n)$, we have

$$\cosh \frac{d(z_m, z_n)}{2} \leq \frac{g(z_m) + g(z_n)}{2g(\frac{1}{2}z_m \oplus \frac{1}{2}z_n)} \leq \frac{g(z_m) + g(z_n)}{2L} \leq \frac{L + 1/n}{L} \rightarrow 1 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Therefore $\{z_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence on C . From completeness of X and closedness of C , there exists $z \in C$ such that $z_n \rightarrow z$ and hence $g(z) = L = \inf_{x \in C} g(x)$. So z is a minimizer of g on C .

Next, we prove its uniqueness. Let $z, z' \in C$ satisfying $g(z) = g(z') = L$. From Lemma 3.2, we have

$$L \cosh \frac{d(z, z')}{2} \leq g\left(\frac{1}{2}z \oplus \frac{1}{2}z'\right) \cosh \frac{d(z, z')}{2} \leq \frac{g(z) + g(z')}{2} = L.$$

Since $L \geq 1$, we get $\cosh \frac{d(z, z')}{2} \leq 1$ and hence $z = z'$. Therefore we get the conclusion. \square

Lemma 3.4. *Let X be a uniquely geodesic space. Then for $u, v \in X$ with $u \neq v$ and $\beta \in [0, 1]$,*

$$\sigma u \oplus (1 - \sigma)v = \operatorname{argmin}_{x \in [u, v]} (\beta \cosh d(u, x) + (1 - \beta) \cosh d(v, x))$$

if and only if

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{d(u, v)} \tanh^{-1} \frac{\beta \sinh d(u, v)}{1 - \beta + \beta \cosh d(u, v)}.$$

Proof. It is obvious if $\beta = 0$ or $\beta = 1$. For $u, v \in X$ with $u \neq v$ and $\beta \in]0, 1[$, put $d = d(u, v)$,

$$A = \operatorname{argmin}_{x \in [u, v]} (\beta \cosh d(u, x) + (1 - \beta) \cosh d(v, x)),$$

$$B = \operatorname{argmin}_{0 \leq t \leq 1} (\beta \cosh((1 - t)d) + (1 - \beta) \cosh td), \text{ and}$$

$$C = \operatorname{argmin}_{0 \leq t \leq d} (\beta \cosh(d - t) + (1 - \beta) \cosh t).$$

Then sets A, B and C consist of one point, respectively. We also have

$$A = \{tu \oplus (1 - t)v \mid t \in B\} \text{ and}$$

$$B = \operatorname{argmin}_{0 \leq t \leq 1} (\beta \cosh(d - td) + (1 - \beta) \cosh td) = \left\{ \frac{1}{d}t \mid t \in C \right\}.$$

Define a function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow]1, \infty[$ by $f(t) = \beta \cosh(d - t) + (1 - \beta) \cosh t$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then f is infinitely differentiable and $f'(0) < 0$, $f'(d) > 0$ and $f''(t) > 0$ for all $t \in [0, d]$. So there exists a unique real number $t \in]0, d[$ such that $f'(t) = 0$, that is, there exists a unique minimizer $t \in]0, d[$ of f and it satisfies $f'(t) = 0$. Then we have $f'(t) = 0$ if and only if

$$t = \tanh^{-1} \frac{\beta \sinh d}{1 - \beta + \beta \cosh d}.$$

Thus we get

$$C = \left\{ \tanh^{-1} \frac{\beta \sinh d}{1 - \beta + \beta \cosh d} \right\} \text{ and } B = \left\{ \frac{1}{d} \tanh^{-1} \frac{\beta \sinh d}{1 - \beta + \beta \cosh d} \right\}.$$

So putting

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{d} \tanh^{-1} \frac{\beta \sinh d}{1 - \beta + \beta \cosh d},$$

we get $A = \{\sigma u \oplus (1 - \sigma)v\}$, that is,

$$\sigma u \oplus (1 - \sigma)v = \operatorname{argmin}_{x \in [u, v]} (\beta \cosh d(u, x) + (1 - \beta) \cosh d(v, x)). \quad \square$$

Lemma 3.5. *Let X be a uniquely geodesic space. Then for $u, v \in X$ with $u \neq v$ and $\beta \in [0, 1]$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{argmin}_{x \in [u, v]} (\beta \cosh d(u, x) + (1 - \beta) \cosh d(v, x)) \\ &= \operatorname{argmin}_{x \in X} (\beta \cosh d(u, x) + (1 - \beta) \cosh d(v, x)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $u, v \in X$ with $u \neq v$ and $\beta \in [0, 1]$, and define a function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $f(x) = \beta \cosh d(u, x) + (1 - \beta) \cosh d(v, x)$ for all $x \in X$. Put $z = \operatorname{argmin}_{x \in [u, v]} f(x)$ and let $w \in X$. Further, put

$$t = \frac{d(v, w)}{d(u, w) + d(v, w)} \text{ and } z' = tu \oplus (1 - t)v \in [u, v].$$

Then we get $f(z) \leq f(z')$. Moreover, we obtain

$$d(u, w) = (1 - t)(d(u, w) + d(v, w)) \geq (1 - t)d(u, v) = d(u, z').$$

Similarly, we also have $d(v, w) \geq d(v, z')$. Therefore we get $f(z') \leq f(w)$ and hence

$$f(z) = \min_{w \in X} f(w). \quad \square$$

Using Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.5, we define a new convex combination.

Definition 3.6. Let X be a uniquely geodesic space. For $u, v \in X$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, we define a (-1) -convex combination of u and v by

$$\alpha u \overset{-1}{\oplus} (1 - \alpha)v \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \operatorname{argmin}_{x \in X} (\alpha \cosh d(u, x) + (1 - \alpha) \cosh d(v, x)).$$

From Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.5, it can be expressed by $\alpha u \overset{-1}{\oplus} (1 - \alpha)v = \sigma u \oplus (1 - \sigma)v$, where

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{d(u, v)} \tanh^{-1} \frac{\alpha \sinh d(u, v)}{1 - \alpha + \alpha \cosh d(u, v)}$$

whenever $u \neq v$.

Lemma 3.7. *For any $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ and $d > 0$,*

$$\frac{1}{d} \tanh^{-1} \frac{\alpha \sinh d}{1 - \alpha + \alpha \cosh d} + \frac{1}{d} \tanh^{-1} \frac{(1 - \alpha) \sinh d}{\alpha + (1 - \alpha) \cosh d} = 1.$$

Proof. It is obvious if $\alpha = 0$ or $\alpha = 1$. We consider the case where $\alpha \in]0, 1[$. Let $\alpha \in]0, 1[$ and $d > 0$. From Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \frac{1}{d} \tanh^{-1} \frac{\alpha \sinh d}{1 - \alpha + \alpha \cosh d} &= \frac{1}{d} \left(\tanh^{-1} \frac{\sinh d}{\cosh d} - \tanh^{-1} \frac{\alpha \sinh d}{1 - \alpha + \alpha \cosh d} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{d} \tanh^{-1} \frac{\frac{\sinh d}{\cosh d} - \frac{\alpha \sinh d}{1 - \alpha + \alpha \cosh d}}{1 - \frac{\sinh d}{\cosh d} \cdot \frac{\alpha \sinh d}{1 - \alpha + \alpha \cosh d}} \\ &= \frac{1}{d} \tanh^{-1} \frac{(1 - \alpha) \sinh d}{\alpha + (1 - \alpha) \cosh d}. \end{aligned}$$

So we get the desired result. □

Lemma 3.8. *Let X be a CAT(-1) space and $x, y, z \in X$, $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. Then*

$$\cosh d(\alpha x \oplus^{-1} (1 - \alpha)y, z) \leq \frac{\alpha \cosh d(x, z) + (1 - \alpha) \cosh d(y, z)}{\sqrt{\alpha^2 + 2\alpha(1 - \alpha) \cosh d(x, y) + (1 - \alpha)^2}}.$$

Proof. Let $x, y, z \in X$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. It is obvious if $x = y$. Suppose that $x \neq y$ and put

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{d(x, y)} \tanh^{-1} \frac{\alpha \sinh d(x, y)}{1 - \alpha + \alpha \cosh d(x, y)}.$$

From Lemma 3.4, Lemma 3.7 and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \cosh d(\alpha x \oplus^{-1} (1 - \alpha)y, z) \sinh d(x, y) \\ &= \cosh d(\sigma x \oplus (1 - \sigma)y, z) \sinh d(x, y) \\ &\leq \cosh d(x, z) \sinh(\sigma d(x, y)) + \cosh d(y, z) \sinh((1 - \sigma)d(x, y)) \\ &= \cosh d(x, z) \sinh \left(\tanh^{-1} \frac{\alpha \sinh d(x, y)}{1 - \alpha + \alpha \cosh d(x, y)} \right) \\ &\quad + \cosh d(y, z) \sinh \left(\tanh^{-1} \frac{(1 - \alpha) \sinh d(x, y)}{\alpha + (1 - \alpha) \cosh d(x, y)} \right) \\ &= \cosh d(x, z) \cdot \frac{\alpha \sinh d(x, y)}{\sqrt{\alpha^2 + 2\alpha(1 - \alpha) \cosh d(x, y) + (1 - \alpha)^2}} \\ &\quad + \cosh d(y, z) \cdot \frac{(1 - \alpha) \sinh d(x, y)}{\sqrt{\alpha^2 + 2\alpha(1 - \alpha) \cosh d(x, y) + (1 - \alpha)^2}} \\ &= \frac{\alpha \cosh d(x, z) + (1 - \alpha) \cosh d(y, z)}{\sqrt{\alpha^2 + 2\alpha(1 - \alpha) \cosh d(x, y) + (1 - \alpha)^2}} \cdot \sinh d(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\cosh d(\alpha x \oplus^{-1} (1 - \alpha)y, z) \leq \frac{\alpha \cosh d(x, z) + (1 - \alpha) \cosh d(y, z)}{\sqrt{\alpha^2 + 2\alpha(1 - \alpha) \cosh d(x, y) + (1 - \alpha)^2}}.$$

Thus we get the desired result. \square

Corollary 3.9. *Let X be a CAT(-1) space and $x, y, z \in X$, $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. Then*

$$\cosh d(\alpha x \oplus^{-1} (1 - \alpha)y, z) \leq \alpha \cosh d(x, z) + (1 - \alpha) \cosh d(y, z).$$

Proof. Since $\sqrt{\alpha^2 + 2\alpha(1 - \alpha) \cosh d(x, y) + (1 - \alpha)^2} \geq 1$, Lemma 3.8 implies the conclusion. \square

Lemma 3.10. *Let X be a CAT(-1) space. Then for $u, y, z \in X$ and $\alpha \in]0, 1[$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \cosh d(\alpha u \oplus^{-1} (1 - \alpha)y, z) - 1 \leq (1 - \beta)(\cosh d(y, z) - 1) \\ & + \beta \left(\frac{\left((1 - \alpha + \sqrt{\alpha^2 + 2\alpha(1 - \alpha) \cosh d(u, y) + (1 - \alpha)^2}) \cosh d(u, z) \right)}{\alpha + 2(1 - \alpha) \cosh d(u, y)} - 1 \right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\beta = 1 - \frac{1 - \alpha}{\sqrt{\alpha^2 + 2\alpha(1 - \alpha) \cosh d(u, y) + (1 - \alpha)^2}}.$$

Proof. It is obvious if $u = y$. Otherwise, from Lemma 3.8, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \cosh d(\alpha u \oplus^{-1} (1 - \alpha)y, z) - 1 \\ & \leq \frac{\alpha \cosh d(u, z)}{\sqrt{\alpha^2 + 2\alpha(1 - \alpha) \cosh d(u, y) + (1 - \alpha)^2}} + (1 - \beta) \cosh d(y, z) - 1 \\ & = (1 - \beta)(\cosh d(y, z) - 1) + \beta \left(\frac{\alpha \cosh d(u, z)}{\beta \sqrt{\alpha^2 + 2\alpha(1 - \alpha) \cosh d(u, y) + (1 - \alpha)^2}} - 1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\alpha \cosh d(u, z)}{\beta \sqrt{\alpha^2 + 2\alpha(1 - \alpha) \cosh d(u, y) + (1 - \alpha)^2}} \\ & = \frac{\alpha \cosh d(u, z)}{\sqrt{\alpha^2 + 2\alpha(1 - \alpha) \cosh d(u, y) + (1 - \alpha)^2} - (1 - \alpha)} \\ & = \frac{\left(1 - \alpha + \sqrt{\alpha^2 + 2\alpha(1 - \alpha) \cosh d(u, y) + (1 - \alpha)^2}\right) \cosh d(u, z)}{\alpha + 2(1 - \alpha) \cosh d(u, y)}, \end{aligned}$$

we get the conclusion. □

Lemma 3.11. *Let $\{\alpha_n\}$ be a sequence on $]0, 1[$ such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0,$$

and $\{s_n\}, \{t_n\}$ sequences on $[0, \infty[$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = d_1 \in [0, \infty[, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = d_2 \in [0, \infty[.$$

Define sequences $\{\sigma_n\}, \{\tau_n\} \subset]0, 1[$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_n &= 1 - \frac{1 - \alpha_n}{\sqrt{\alpha_n^2 + 2\alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) \cosh s_n + (1 - \alpha_n)^2}}, \\ \tau_n &= 1 - \frac{1 - \alpha_n}{\sqrt{\alpha_n^2 + 2\alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) \cosh t_n + (1 - \alpha_n)^2}} \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, respectively. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tau_n}{\sigma_n} = \frac{\cosh d_2}{\cosh d_1}.$$

Proof. Put

$$\begin{aligned} p_n &= \sqrt{\alpha_n^2 + 2\alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) \cosh s_n + (1 - \alpha_n)^2}, \\ q_n &= \sqrt{\alpha_n^2 + 2\alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) \cosh t_n + (1 - \alpha_n)^2} \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have

$$\frac{\tau_n}{\sigma_n} = \frac{1 - \frac{1 - \alpha_n}{\sqrt{\alpha_n^2 + 2\alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) \cosh t_n + (1 - \alpha_n)^2}}}{1 - \frac{1 - \alpha_n}{\sqrt{\alpha_n^2 + 2\alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) \cosh s_n + (1 - \alpha_n)^2}}} = \frac{\alpha_n + 2(1 - \alpha_n) \cosh t_n}{q_n(q_n + 1 - \alpha_n)} \cdot \frac{\alpha_n + 2(1 - \alpha_n) \cosh s_n}{p_n(p_n + 1 - \alpha_n)}.$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_n = 1$, we get the conclusion. □

Now, we show the main result.

Theorem 3.12. *Let X be a complete CAT(-1) space and R, S, T strongly quasicon-
expansive and Δ -demiclosed mappings from X into itself with*

$$F = F(R) \cap F(S) \cap F(T) \neq \emptyset.$$

Let $\{\alpha_n\} \subset]0, 1[$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0 \text{ and } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty,$$

and $\{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\} \subset]0, 1[$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n = \beta \in]0, 1[, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n = \gamma \in]0, 1[.$$

Let $u, v, w, x_1 \in X$ and define a iterative sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ by

$$\begin{cases} r_n = \alpha_n u \oplus^{-1} (1 - \alpha_n) R x_n, \\ s_n = \alpha_n v \oplus^{-1} (1 - \alpha_n) S x_n, \\ t_n = \alpha_n w \oplus^{-1} (1 - \alpha_n) T x_n, \\ x_{n+1} = \beta_n r_n \oplus^{-1} (1 - \beta_n) (\gamma_n s_n \oplus^{-1} (1 - \gamma_n) t_n) \end{cases}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges to a point $p \in F$, which is a mini-
mizer of the function $g(x) = \beta \cosh d(u, x) + (1 - \beta)(\gamma \cosh d(v, x) + (1 - \gamma) \cosh d(w, x))$
on F .

Proof. Since F is a closed convex subset of X and from Lemma 3.3, the existence and
uniqueness of the elements of the set

$$\operatorname{argmin}_{x \in F} (\beta \cosh d(u, x) + (1 - \beta)(\gamma \cosh d(v, x) + (1 - \gamma) \cosh d(w, x)))$$

are guaranteed.

Let

$$p = \operatorname{argmin}_{x \in F} (\beta \cosh d(u, x) + (1 - \beta)(\gamma \cosh d(v, x) + (1 - \gamma) \cosh d(w, x)))$$

and put

$$\begin{aligned}
a_n &= \cosh d(x_n, p) - 1, \\
b_n^R &= \frac{\left(1 - \alpha_n + \sqrt{\alpha_n^2 + 2\alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) \cosh d(u, Rx_n) + (1 - \alpha_n)^2}\right) \cosh d(u, p)}{\alpha_n + 2(1 - \alpha_n) \cosh d(u, Rx_n)} - 1, \\
b_n^S &= \frac{\left(1 - \alpha_n + \sqrt{\alpha_n^2 + 2\alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) \cosh d(v, Sx_n) + (1 - \alpha_n)^2}\right) \cosh d(v, p)}{\alpha_n + 2(1 - \alpha_n) \cosh d(v, Sx_n)} - 1, \\
b_n^T &= \frac{\left(1 - \alpha_n + \sqrt{\alpha_n^2 + 2\alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) \cosh d(w, Tx_n) + (1 - \alpha_n)^2}\right) \cosh d(w, p)}{\alpha_n + 2(1 - \alpha_n) \cosh d(w, Tx_n)} - 1, \\
\gamma_n^R &= 1 - \frac{1 - \alpha_n}{\sqrt{\alpha_n^2 + 2\alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) \cosh d(u, Rx_n) + (1 - \alpha_n)^2}}, \\
\gamma_n^S &= 1 - \frac{1 - \alpha_n}{\sqrt{\alpha_n^2 + 2\alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) \cosh d(v, Sx_n) + (1 - \alpha_n)^2}}, \\
\gamma_n^T &= 1 - \frac{1 - \alpha_n}{\sqrt{\alpha_n^2 + 2\alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) \cosh d(w, Tx_n) + (1 - \alpha_n)^2}}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, put

$$\beta_n^R = \beta_n, \quad \beta_n^S = (1 - \beta_n)\gamma_n, \quad \beta_n^T = (1 - \beta_n)(1 - \gamma_n)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and put

$$\beta^R = \beta, \quad \beta^S = (1 - \beta)\gamma, \quad \beta^T = (1 - \beta)(1 - \gamma).$$

Then $\{\gamma_n^R\}$, $\{\gamma_n^S\}$ and $\{\gamma_n^T\}$ are sequences on $]0, 1[$. From Lemmas 3.8 and 3.10, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{n+1} &\leq \beta_n \cosh d(r_n, p) + (1 - \beta_n) \cosh d(\gamma_n s_n \oplus (1 - \gamma_n)t_n, p) - 1 \\
&\leq \beta_n^R \cosh d(r_n, p) + \beta_n^S \cosh d(s_n, p) + \beta_n^T \cosh d(t_n, p) - 1 \\
&= \beta_n^R (\cosh d(r_n, p) - 1) + \beta_n^S (\cosh d(s_n, p) - 1) + \beta_n^T (\cosh d(t_n, p) - 1) \\
&\leq \beta_n^R ((1 - \gamma_n^R)(\cosh d(Rx_n, p) - 1) + \gamma_n^R b_n^R) \\
&\quad + \beta_n^S ((1 - \gamma_n^S)(\cosh d(Sx_n, p) - 1) + \gamma_n^S b_n^S) \\
&\quad + \beta_n^T ((1 - \gamma_n^T)(\cosh d(Tx_n, p) - 1) + \gamma_n^T b_n^T) \\
&\leq (\beta_n^R(1 - \gamma_n^R) + \beta_n^S(1 - \gamma_n^S) + \beta_n^T(1 - \gamma_n^T)) a_n + \beta_n^R \gamma_n^R b_n^R + \beta_n^S \gamma_n^S b_n^S + \beta_n^T \gamma_n^T b_n^T \\
&= (1 - (\beta_n^R \gamma_n^R + \beta_n^S \gamma_n^S + \beta_n^T \gamma_n^T)) a_n \\
&\quad + (\beta_n^R \gamma_n^R + \beta_n^S \gamma_n^S + \beta_n^T \gamma_n^T) \cdot \frac{\beta_n^R \gamma_n^R b_n^R + \beta_n^S \gamma_n^S b_n^S + \beta_n^T \gamma_n^T b_n^T}{\beta_n^R \gamma_n^R + \beta_n^S \gamma_n^S + \beta_n^T \gamma_n^T}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now we show that the following conditions hold:

$$(i) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\beta_n^R \gamma_n^R + \beta_n^S \gamma_n^S + \beta_n^T \gamma_n^T) = \infty,$$

(ii) for any $\varphi: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ satisfying that φ is nondecreasing and

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(i) = \infty, \quad \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} (a_{\varphi(i)+1} - a_{\varphi(i)}) \geq 0$$

implies

$$\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\beta_{\varphi(i)}^R \gamma_{\varphi(i)}^R b_{\varphi(i)}^R + \beta_{\varphi(i)}^S \gamma_{\varphi(i)}^S b_{\varphi(i)}^S + \beta_{\varphi(i)}^T \gamma_{\varphi(i)}^T b_{\varphi(i)}^T}{\beta_{\varphi(i)}^R \gamma_{\varphi(i)}^R + \beta_{\varphi(i)}^S \gamma_{\varphi(i)}^S + \beta_{\varphi(i)}^T \gamma_{\varphi(i)}^T} \leq 0.$$

First, we show (i). Since

$$\sqrt{\alpha_n^2 + 2\alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) \cosh d(u, Rx_n) + (1 - \alpha_n)^2} \geq 1,$$

we have $\gamma_n^R \geq \alpha_n$. Similarly, we also obtain $\gamma_n^S \geq \alpha_n$ and $\gamma_n^T \geq \alpha_n$. So we get

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\beta_n^R \gamma_n^R + \beta_n^S \gamma_n^S + \beta_n^T \gamma_n^T) \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\beta_n^R \alpha_n + \beta_n^S \alpha_n + \beta_n^T \alpha_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty.$$

Next, we consider (ii). We show boundedness of $\{x_n\}$. By Corollary 3.9, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \cosh d(x_{n+1}, p) &\leq \beta_n^R \cosh d(r_n, p) + \beta_n^S \cosh d(s_n, p) + \beta_n^T \cosh d(t_n, p) \\ &\leq \beta_n^R (\alpha_n \cosh d(u, p) + (1 - \alpha_n) \cosh d(Rx_n, p)) \\ &\quad + \beta_n^S (\alpha_n \cosh d(v, p) + (1 - \alpha_n) \cosh d(Sx_n, p)) \\ &\quad + \beta_n^T (\alpha_n \cosh d(w, p) + (1 - \alpha_n) \cosh d(Tx_n, p)) \\ &\leq \beta_n^R \alpha_n \cosh d(u, p) + \beta_n^S \alpha_n \cosh d(v, p) + \beta_n^T \alpha_n \cosh d(w, p) \\ &\quad + (\beta_n^R (1 - \alpha_n) + \beta_n^S (1 - \alpha_n) + \beta_n^T (1 - \alpha_n)) \cosh d(x_n, p) \\ &\leq \max\{\cosh d(u, p), \cosh d(v, p), \cosh d(w, p), \cosh d(x_n, p)\} \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So we have

$$d(x_n, p) \leq \max\{d(u, p), d(v, p), d(w, p), d(x_1, p)\}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and hence $\{x_n\}$ is bounded.

Let $\varphi: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a nondecreasing function with

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(i) = \infty,$$

and put $n_i = \varphi(i)$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that

$$\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} (a_{n_{i+1}} - a_{n_i}) \geq 0,$$

then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} (a_{n_i+1} - a_{n_i}) \\
 &= \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} (\cosh d(x_{n_i+1}, p) - \cosh d(x_{n_i}, p)) \\
 &\leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} (\beta_{n_i}^R \cosh d(r_{n_i}, p) + \beta_{n_i}^S \cosh d(s_{n_i}, p) + \beta_{n_i}^T \cosh d(t_{n_i}, p) \\
 &\quad - \cosh d(x_{n_i}, p)) \\
 &\leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} (\beta_{n_i}^R (\alpha_{n_i} \cosh d(u, p) + (1 - \alpha_{n_i}) \cosh d(Rx_{n_i}, p)) \\
 &\quad + \beta_{n_i}^S (\alpha_{n_i} \cosh d(v, p) + (1 - \alpha_{n_i}) \cosh d(Sx_{n_i}, p)) \\
 &\quad + \beta_{n_i}^T (\alpha_{n_i} \cosh d(w, p) + (1 - \alpha_{n_i}) \cosh d(Tx_{n_i}, p)) - \cosh d(x_{n_i}, p)) \\
 &= \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} (\beta^R (\cosh d(Rx_{n_i}, p) - \cosh d(x_{n_i}, p)) \\
 &\quad + \beta^S (\cosh d(Sx_{n_i}, p) - \cosh d(x_{n_i}, p)) \\
 &\quad + \beta^T (\cosh d(Tx_{n_i}, p) - \cosh d(x_{n_i}, p))) \\
 &\leq \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} (\beta^R (\cosh d(Rx_{n_i}, p) - \cosh d(x_{n_i}, p)) \\
 &\quad + \beta^S (\cosh d(Sx_{n_i}, p) - \cosh d(x_{n_i}, p)) \\
 &\quad + \beta^T (\cosh d(Tx_{n_i}, p) - \cosh d(x_{n_i}, p))) \\
 &\leq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (\beta^R (\cosh d(Rx_{n_i}, p) - \cosh d(x_{n_i}, p)) + \beta^S (\cosh d(Sx_{n_i}, p) - \cosh d(x_{n_i}, p)) \\
 + \beta^T (\cosh d(Tx_{n_i}, p) - \cosh d(x_{n_i}, p))) = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (\cosh d(Rx_{n_i}, p) - \cosh d(x_{n_i}, p)) &= 0, \\
 \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (\cosh d(Sx_{n_i}, p) - \cosh d(x_{n_i}, p)) &= 0, \\
 \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (\cosh d(Tx_{n_i}, p) - \cosh d(x_{n_i}, p)) &= 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since R, S, T are strongly quasicontractive, we obtain

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n_i}, Rx_{n_i}) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n_i}, Sx_{n_i}) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n_i}, Tx_{n_i}) = 0. \tag{1}$$

Take a subsequence $\{x_{n_{i_j}}\}$ of $\{x_{n_i}\}$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\beta_{n_i}^R \gamma_{n_i}^R b_{n_i}^R + \beta_{n_i}^S \gamma_{n_i}^S b_{n_i}^S + \beta_{n_i}^T \gamma_{n_i}^T b_{n_i}^T}{\beta_{n_i}^R \gamma_{n_i}^R + \beta_{n_i}^S \gamma_{n_i}^S + \beta_{n_i}^T \gamma_{n_i}^T} \\
 &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\beta_{n_{i_j}}^R \gamma_{n_{i_j}}^R b_{n_{i_j}}^R + \beta_{n_{i_j}}^S \gamma_{n_{i_j}}^S b_{n_{i_j}}^S + \beta_{n_{i_j}}^T \gamma_{n_{i_j}}^T b_{n_{i_j}}^T}{\beta_{n_{i_j}}^R \gamma_{n_{i_j}}^R + \beta_{n_{i_j}}^S \gamma_{n_{i_j}}^S + \beta_{n_{i_j}}^T \gamma_{n_{i_j}}^T}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, take a subsequence $\{z_r\}$ of $\{x_{n_{i_j}}\}$ with

$$\liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} d(u, x_{n_{i_j}}) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} d(u, z_r)$$

and a subsequence $\{z_{r_s}\}$ of $\{z_r\}$ satisfying

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} d(v, z_r) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} d(v, z_{r_s}).$$

Furthermore, take a subsequence $\{z_{r_{s_t}}\}$ of $\{z_{r_s}\}$ such that

$$\liminf_{s \rightarrow \infty} d(w, z_{r_s}) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} d(w, z_{r_{s_t}}),$$

and a subsequence $\{v_k\}$ of $\{z_{r_{s_t}}\}$ which satisfies $v_k \xrightarrow{\Delta} z \in X$. Then from the formula (1), we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(v_k, Rv_k) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(v_k, Sv_k) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(v_k, Tv_k) = 0$$

and hence $z \in F$. Further, since

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(u, v_k) &= \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} d(u, x_{n_{i_j}}) \leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} (d(u, Rx_{n_{i_j}}) + d(Rx_{n_{i_j}}, x_{n_{i_j}})) \\ &= \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} d(u, Rx_{n_{i_j}}) \\ &\leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(u, Rv_k) \\ &\leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(u, Rv_k) \\ &\leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} (d(u, v_k) + d(v_k, Rv_k)) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(u, v_k), \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(u, v_k) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(u, Rv_k).$$

Similarly, we also obtain

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(v, v_k) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(v, Sv_k) \text{ and } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(w, v_k) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(w, Tv_k).$$

By Theorem 2.2, we have the following formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(u, Rv_k) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(u, v_k) \geq d(u, z), \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(v, Sv_k) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(v, v_k) \geq d(v, z), \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(w, Tv_k) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(w, v_k) \geq d(w, z) \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\beta^R \cosh d(u, Rv_k) + \beta^S \cosh d(v, Sv_k) + \beta^T \cosh d(w, Tv_k)) \\ &\geq \beta^R \cosh d(u, z) + \beta^S \cosh d(v, z) + \beta^T \cosh d(w, z) \\ &\geq \beta^R \cosh d(u, p) + \beta^S \cosh d(v, p) + \beta^T \cosh d(w, p). \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$d_1 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(u, Rv_k), \quad d_2 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(v, Sv_k), \quad d_3 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(w, Tv_k)$$

and put $m_k = n_{i_j r_s t_k}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then from Lemma 3.11, we obtain

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\gamma_{m_k}^S}{\gamma_{m_k}^R} = \frac{\cosh d_2}{\cosh d_1}, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\gamma_{m_k}^T}{\gamma_{m_k}^R} = \frac{\cosh d_3}{\cosh d_1}.$$

Put

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^R &= \frac{\beta^R \cosh d_1}{\beta^R \cosh d_1 + \beta^S \cosh d_2 + \beta^T \cosh d_3}, \\ \mu^S &= \frac{\beta^S \cosh d_2}{\beta^R \cosh d_1 + \beta^S \cosh d_2 + \beta^T \cosh d_3}, \\ \mu^T &= \frac{\beta^T \cosh d_3}{\beta^R \cosh d_1 + \beta^S \cosh d_2 + \beta^T \cosh d_3}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\beta_{n_i}^R \gamma_{n_i}^R b_{n_i}^R + \beta_{n_i}^S \gamma_{n_i}^S b_{n_i}^S + \beta_{n_i}^T \gamma_{n_i}^T b_{n_i}^T}{\beta_{n_i}^R \gamma_{n_i}^R + \beta_{n_i}^S \gamma_{n_i}^S + \beta_{n_i}^T \gamma_{n_i}^T} \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\beta_{m_k}^R \gamma_{m_k}^R b_{m_k}^R + \beta_{m_k}^S \gamma_{m_k}^S b_{m_k}^S + \beta_{m_k}^T \gamma_{m_k}^T b_{m_k}^T}{\beta_{m_k}^R \gamma_{m_k}^R + \beta_{m_k}^S \gamma_{m_k}^S + \beta_{m_k}^T \gamma_{m_k}^T} \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\beta^R b_{m_k}^R + \beta^S \cdot \frac{\cosh d_2}{\cosh d_1} \cdot b_{m_k}^S + \beta^T \cdot \frac{\cosh d_3}{\cosh d_1} \cdot b_{m_k}^T}{\beta^R + \beta^S \cdot \frac{\cosh d_2}{\cosh d_1} + \beta^T \cdot \frac{\cosh d_3}{\cosh d_1}} \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\mu^R b_{m_k}^R + \mu^S b_{m_k}^S + \mu^T b_{m_k}^T) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\mu^R \left(\frac{\cosh d(u, p)}{\cosh d(u, Rv_k)} - 1 \right) + \mu^S \left(\frac{\cosh d(v, p)}{\cosh d(v, Sv_k)} - 1 \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \mu^T \left(\frac{\cosh d(w, p)}{\cosh d(w, Tv_k)} - 1 \right) \right) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\mu^R \cdot \frac{\cosh d(u, p) - \cosh d(u, Rv_k)}{\cosh d_1} + \mu^S \cdot \frac{\cosh d(v, p) - \cosh d(v, Sv_k)}{\cosh d_2} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \mu^T \cdot \frac{\cosh d(w, p) - \cosh d(w, Tv_k)}{\cosh d_3} \right) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\beta^R \cosh d(u, p) + \beta^S \cosh d(v, p) + \beta^T \cosh d(w, p)}{\beta^R \cosh d_1 + \beta^S \cosh d_2 + \beta^T \cosh d_3} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{\beta^R \cosh d(u, Rv_k) + \beta^S \cosh d(v, Sv_k) + \beta^T \cosh d(w, Tv_k)}{\beta^R \cosh d_1 + \beta^S \cosh d_2 + \beta^T \cosh d_3} \right) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have (ii). Hence, using Lemma 2.5, we obtain the desired result. □

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Aoyama, Y. Kimura, F. Kohsaka, *Strong convergence theorems for strongly relatively non-expansive sequences and applications*, J. Nonlinear Anal. Optim., Theory and Applications, **3**(2012), 67-77.
- [2] B. Halpern, *Fixed points of nonexpansive maps*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **73**(1967), 957-961.

- [3] J.S. He, D.H. Fang, G. Lopez, C. Li, *Mann's algorithm for nonexpansive mappings in $CAT(\kappa)$ spaces*, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **75**(2012), 445-452.
- [4] Y. Kimura, H. Wada, *Halpern type iteration with multiple anchor points in a Hadamard space*, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, **2015**(2015), 11 pages.
- [5] W.A. Kirk, B. Panyanak, *A concept of convergence in geodesic spaces*, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **68**(2008), no. 12, 3689-3696.
- [6] S. Saejung, *Halpern's iteration in $CAT(0)$ spaces*, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, **2010**(2010), 13 pages.
- [7] S. Saejung, P. Yotkaew, *Approximation of zeros of inverse strongly monotone operators in Banach spaces*, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **75**(2012), 742-750.
- [8] N. Shioji, W. Takahashi, *Strong convergence of approximated sequences for nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **125**(1997), 3641-3645.
- [9] R. Wittmann, *Approximation of fixed points of nonexpansive mappings*, *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, **58**(1992), 486-491.

Received: September 15, 2019; Accepted: November 23, 2019.