Fixed Point Theory, 18(2017), No. 2, 729-740 DOI 10.24193/fpt-ro.2017.2.59 http://www.math.ubbcluj.ro/ \sim nodeacj/sfptcj.html

SATURATED FIBRE CONTRACTION PRINCIPLE

MARCEL-ADRIAN ŞERBAN

Department of Mathematics, Babeş-Bolyai University of Cluj-Napoca M. Kogălniceanu Str., no. 1, 400048 Cluj-Napoca, Romania E-mail: mserban@math.ubbcluj.ro

Abstract. For a triangular operator $A: X \times Y \to X \times Y$, A = (B, C), where $B: X \to X$ and $C: X \times Y \to Y$ we study in which conditions on operators $B: X \to X$ and $C: X \times Y \to Y$ we have that:

(1) the fixed point problem for triangular operator A = (B, C) is well posed

(2) the operator A = (B, C) has the Ostrowski property

(3) the fixed point equation (x, y) = A(x, y) is generalized Ulam-Hyers stable.

Key Words and Phrases: Cauchy lemma, fixed point, fibre contraction principle, well-posedness of the fixed point problem, Ostrowski property, Ulam-Hyers stability, generalized Ulam-Hyers stability.
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25, 65J15, 34A34, 34A12, 45G10.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we shall use the terminologies and notations from [21] and [27]. For the convenience of the reader we shall recall some of them.

Let (X, \rightarrow) be an L-space and $f : X \rightarrow X$ an operator. We denote by $f^0 := 1_X$, $f^1 := f, f^{n+1} := f \circ f^n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ the iterate operators of the operator A. Also:

$$P(X) := \{Y \subseteq X \mid Y \neq \emptyset\}$$
 and $F_f := \{x \in X \mid f(x) = x\}$

By (X, \rightarrow) we will denote an *L*-space. Actually, an L-space is any set endowed with a structure implying a notion of convergence for sequences. For examples of *L*-spaces see Fréchet [10], Blumenthal [7] and I. A. Rus [21].

Let (X, \rightarrow) be an *L*-space.

Definition 1.1. $f: X \to X$ is said to be a weakly Picard operator (briefly WPO) if the sequence $(f^n(x))_{n \in N}$ converges for all $x \in X$ and the limit (which may depend on x) is a fixed point of f. If additionally, $F_f = \{x^*\}$, then f is called a Picard operator (PO).

If $f: X \to X$ is a WPO, then we may define the operator $f^{\infty}: X \to X$ by

$$f^{\infty}(x) := \lim_{n \to \infty} f^n(x).$$

Obviously $f^{\infty}(X) = F_f$. Moreover, if f is a PO and we denote by x^* its unique fixed point, then $f^{\infty}(x) = x^*$, for each $x \in X$.

Let (X, d) be a metric space.

Definition 1.2. (F.S. De Blasi and J. Myjak (see [28] p.42, see also [26]))The fixed point problem for an operator $f: X \to X$ is well posed iff:

- (a) $F_f = \{x^*\};$
- (b) if $x_n \in X$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $d(x_n, f(x_n)) \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$, then $d(x_n, x^*) \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$.

Definition 1.3. An operator $f: X \to X$ has the Ostrowski property iff:

- (a) $F_f = \{x^*\};$
- (b) $x_n \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $d(x_{n+1}, f(x_n)) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ imply that $d(x_n, x^*) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

Some authors refer to the above property as the "limit shadowing property" (see [15] and the references in, [11], [20], [14], [12], [17], [29], ...).

An important result used in the proof of the Ostrowski property, also in the proof of fiber contraction principle, is the Cauchy Lemma. For details and generalizations see [16], [30].

Lemma 1.1. (Cauchy Lemma). Let $a_n, b_n \in \mathbb{R}_+, n \in \mathbb{N}$. We suppose that:

(i) $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k < +\infty;$ (ii) $b_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{n-k} b_k \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Definition 1.4. Let (X, d) be a metric space and $f : X \to X$ such that $F_f = \{x^*\}$. By definition, f is an l-quasicontraction iff $l \in [0; 1]$ and

$$d\left(f\left(x\right), x^*\right) \le ld\left(x, x^*\right), \ \forall x \in X.$$

Theorem 1.1. Let (X, d) be a metric space and $f : X \to X$ be such that $F_f = \{x^*\}$. If the operator f is an l-quasicontraction then f has the Ostrowski property.

Proof. Let $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subset X$ such that $d(x_{n+1},f(x_n))\to 0$ as $n\to+\infty$. Then, we have:

$$d(x_{n+1}, x^*) \leq d(x_{n+1}, f(x_n)) + d(f(x_n), x^*)$$

$$\leq d(x_{n+1}, f(x_n)) + ld(x_n, x^*) \leq \dots$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=0}^n l^j \cdot d(x_{n+1-j}, f(x_{n-j})) + l^n \cdot d(x_0, x^*).$$

Making $n \to \infty$ and applying the Cauchy Lemma 1.1 for $a_n = l^n$ and $b_n = d(x_{n+1}, f(x_n))$ we get the conclusion.

Let (X, d) be a metric space, $f: X \to X$ and we consider the fixed point equation

$$x = f(x). \tag{1.1}$$

Definition 1.5. By definition, the fixed point equation (1.1) is Ulam-Hyers stable if there exists a constant $c_f > 0$ such that: for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and each solution $y^* \in X$ of the inequation

$$d(y, f(y)) \le \varepsilon \tag{1.2}$$

there exists a solution x^* of the equation (1.1) such that

$$d(y^*, x^*) \le c_f \varepsilon.$$

Definition 1.6. By definition, the equation (1.1) is generalized Ulam-Hyers stable if there exists $\theta : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ increasing and continuous in 0 with $\theta(0) = 0$ such that: for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and for each solution y^* of (1.2) there exists a solution x^* of (1.1) such that

$$d(y^*, x^*) \le \theta(\varepsilon).$$

Definition 1.7 ([6]). Let (X, d) be a metric space and $f: X \to X$ be an operator so that its fixed point set F_f is nonempty. Let $r: X \to F_f$ be a set retraction. Then, by definition, f satisfies the (ψ, r) retraction-displacement condition $(\psi$ -condition in [9], (ψ, r) -operator in [5], ψ -weakly Picard operator in the case of Picard iterations in [21], the collage condition in [3]) if:

(i) $\psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is increasing, continuous at 0 and $\psi(0) = 0$;

(ii) $d(x, r(x)) \le \psi(d(x, f(x)))$, for every $x \in X$.

Remark 1.1. If $F_f = \{x^*\}$, then the (ψ, r) retraction-displacement condition takes the following form:

(i) $\psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is increasing, continuous at 0 and $\psi(0) = 0$;

(ii) $d(x, x^*) \le \psi(d(x, f(x)))$, for every $x \in X$.

We will call it the (x^*, ψ) retraction-displacement condition.

Remark 1.2. Let (X, d) be a metric space and $f : X \to X$ such that $F_f = \{x^*\}$. If the operator f is an l-quasicontraction then f satisfies (x^*, ψ) retraction-displacement condition with $\psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ given by $\psi(t) = \frac{1}{1-l}t$.

Proof. For all $x \in X$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, x^*) &\leq d(x, f(x)) + d(f(x), x^*) \\ &\leq d(x, f(x)) + l \cdot d(x, x^*). \ \Box \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.2. Let (X, d) be a metric space and $f : X \to X$ such that $F_f = \{x^*\}$. If the operator f satisfies an (x^*, ψ) retraction-displacement condition, then the fixed point problem for f is well-posed.

Proof. Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X$ such that $d(x_n, f(x_n)) \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$. Then, we have:

$$d(x_n, x^*) \le \psi(d(x_n, f(x_n)) \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Theorem 1.3. Let (X, d) be a metric space and $f : X \to X$ such that $F_f = \{x^*\}$. If f satisfies a (x^*, ψ) retraction-displacement condition, then the equation (1.1) is generalized Ulam-Hyers stable. *Proof.* Let $y^* \in X$ be a solution of (1.2). Since f satisfies the (r, ψ) retractiondisplacement condition we have:

$$d(y^*, x^*) \le \psi(d(y^*, f(y^*))) \le \psi(\varepsilon).$$

For more considerations on Ulam stability see I.A. Rus [24].

2. FIBRE CONTRACTION PRINCIPLE

Let (X, d_X) and (Y, d_Y) be two metric spaces. We consider on $X \times Y$ the following metric

$$d_{\infty} : X \times Y \to \mathbb{R}_{+}$$
$$d_{\infty} ((x_{1}, y_{1}), (x_{2}, y_{2})) = \max \{ d_{X} (x_{1}, x_{2}), d_{Y} (y_{1}, y_{2}) \}$$

Let $B: X \to X$ and $C: X \times Y \to Y$ be two operators and the triangular operator $A: X \times Y \to X \times Y$ be defined by

$$A(x, y) := (B(x), C(x, y)).$$

We have the following result:

Theorem 2.1 (Fibre contraction principle). ([31], [18], [19]) We suppose that:

- (i) (Y, d_Y) is a complete metric space;
- (ii) B is a WPO;
- (iii) $C(x, \cdot): Y \to Y$ is α contraction for every $x \in X$;

(iv) $C: X \times Y \to Y$ is continuous.

Then

(a) A is a WPO;

(b) If B is a PO then A is a PO.

For other generalizations of fibre contraction principle see S. Andrász [1], C. Bacoţiu [2], I.A. Rus [16], [18], [19], M.A. Şerban [33], [34].

Following the result of I. A. Rus in [25], saturated contraction principle, the aim of this paper is to give the Fibre contraction principle with a generous conclusions. We have:

Theorem 2.2 (Saturated fibre contraction principle). We suppose that:

- (i) (Y, ρ) is a complete metric space;
- (ii) *B* is a *PO*, $F_B = \{x^*\}$;
- (iii) $C(x, \cdot): Y \to Y$ is α contraction for every $x \in X$;
- (iv) $C(\cdot, y): X \to X$ is L- lipschitz for every $y \in Y$.

Then:

- (a) A is a PO;
- (b) $F_A = F_{A^n} = \{(x^*, y^*)\}, \text{ where } \{y^*\} = F_{C(x^*, \cdot)};$
- (c) If, in addition, B satisfies the (x^*, ψ_B) retraction-displacement condition then: (c₁) A satisfies the $((x^*, y^*), \psi_A)$ retraction-displacement condition, where

$$\psi_A : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+, \ \psi_A(t) = \max\left\{\psi_B(t), \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \left[t + L\psi_B(t)\right]\right\};$$

- (c_2) the fixed point problem for A is well posed;
- (c_3) the fixed point equation for A is generalized Ulam-Hyers stable;
- (d) If , in addition, B is an l_B -quasicontraction then:
 - (d₁) A is an l_A -quasicontraction in $(X \times Y, \rho_{\infty})$, where

$$\rho_{\infty}\left(\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right), \left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)\right) = \max\left\{r \cdot d_{X}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), d_{Y}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right\}$$
with $r > \frac{L}{1-\alpha}$ and $l_{A} = \max\left\{l_{B}, \frac{L}{r} + \alpha\right\}$;
(d₂) A has the Ostrowski property.

Proof. (a) Let $x_0 \in X$ and $y_0 \in Y$. B is a PO then $F_B = \{x^*\}$ and $B^{\infty}(x) = x^*$ for all $x \in X$. From conditions (i) and (iii) we obtain that the operator $C(x^*, \cdot)$ has a unique fixed point $y^* \in Y$, thus $F_A = \{(x^*, y^*)\}$. We show that

$$A^n(x_0, y_0) \to (x^*, y^*)$$
 as $n \to +\infty$.

It is easy to check that

$$A^n\left(x_0, y_0\right) = \left(x_n, y_n\right)$$

where $x_n = B^n(x_0) \to x^*$ as $n \to \infty$ and $y_n = C(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}), n \in \mathbb{N}$. We have:

$$d_{Y}(y_{n+1}, y^{*}) \leq d_{Y}(C(x_{n}, y_{n}), C(x_{n}, y^{*})) + d_{Y}(C(x_{n}, y^{*}), y^{*})$$

$$\leq \alpha \cdot d_{Y}(y_{n}, y^{*}) + d_{Y}(C(x_{n}, y^{*}), y^{*})$$

$$\leq \alpha^{2} \cdot d_{Y}(y_{n-1}, y^{*}) + \alpha \cdot d_{Y}(C(x_{n-1}, y^{*}), y^{*}) + d_{Y}(C(x_{n}, y^{*}), y^{*})$$

$$\leq \dots \leq$$

$$\leq \alpha^{n+1}d_{Y}(y_{0}, y^{*}) + \alpha^{n}d_{Y}(C(x_{0}, y^{*}), y^{*}) + \dots + d_{Y}(C(x_{n}, y^{*}), y^{*})$$

If we take $b_n = d_Y(C(x_n, y^*), y^*)$, from (iv) we deduce that $b_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, and the conclusion is obtained from Cauchy Lemma 1.1 for $a_n = \alpha^n$ and b_n .

(b) Follows from the fact that A is a PO, $F_A = \{(x^*, y^*)\}$, and any PO has no periodic point with period p > 1.

 (c_1) Let $(x,y) \in X \times Y$. If B is a PO and satisfies the (x^*, ψ_B) retractiondisplacement condition then

$$d_X(x, x^*) \le \psi_B(d_X(x, B(x))), \ \forall x \in X,$$

where $\psi_B : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is increasing, continuous at 0 with $\psi_B(0) = 0$. From (a) we have that A is a PO and $F_A = \{(x^*, y^*)\}$, where $\{y^*\} = F_{C(x^*, \cdot)}$. From (*iii*) and (*iv*) we get

 \mathbf{SO}

$$d_{Y}(y, y^{*}) \leq \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \left[d_{Y}(y, C(x, y)) + L d_{X}(x, x^{*}) \right]$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \left[d_{Y}(y, C(x, y)) + L \psi_{B}(d_{X}(x, B(x))) \right].$$

,

This implies that

$$d_{\infty}((x,y),(x^{*},y^{*})) \leq \max\left\{\psi_{B}(d(x,B(x))),\frac{1}{1-\alpha}[d_{Y}(y,C(x,y)) + L\psi_{B}(d_{X}(x,B(x)))]\right\}$$

$$\leq \psi_{A}(d_{\infty}((x,y),A(x,y))),$$

where $\psi_A : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$

$$\psi_A(t) = \max\left\{\psi_B(t), \frac{1}{1-\alpha}\left[t + L\psi_B(t)\right]\right\}.$$

It is easy to check that $\psi_A : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is increasing, continuous at 0 with $\psi_A(0) = 0$. (c_2) Follows from Theorem 1.2.

- (c_3) Follows from Theorem 1.3. (d_1) Let $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ and $r > \frac{L}{1-\alpha}$. If B is an l_B -quasicontraction then

$$r \cdot d_X \left(B \left(x \right), x^* \right) \le l_B \cdot r \cdot d_X \left(x, x^* \right) \\ \le l_B \cdot \rho_\infty \left(\left(x, y \right), \left(x^*, y^* \right) \right), \ \forall \left(x, y \right) \in X \times Y,$$

 $r > \frac{L}{1-\alpha} \Longleftrightarrow \frac{L}{r} + \alpha < 1$ and from (iii) and (iv) we have

$$d_Y \left(C \left(x, y \right), y^* \right) \leq \frac{L}{r} \cdot r \cdot d_X \left(x, x^* \right) + \alpha \cdot d_Y \left(y, y^* \right)$$
$$\leq \left(\frac{L}{r} + \alpha \right) \rho_{\infty} \left(\left(x, y \right), \left(x^*, y^* \right) \right), \ \forall \left(x, y \right) \in X \times Y$$

 \mathbf{SO}

$$\rho_{\infty}\left(A\left(x,y\right),\left(x^{*},y^{*}\right)\right) = \max\left\{r \cdot d_{X}\left(B\left(x\right),x^{*}\right),d_{Y}\left(C\left(x,y\right),y^{*}\right)\right\} \\
\leq \max\left\{l_{B},\frac{L}{r}+\alpha\right\}\cdot\rho_{\infty}\left(\left(x,y\right),\left(x^{*},y^{*}\right)\right), \ \forall \left(x,y\right) \in X \times Y.$$

 (d_2) Follows from Theorem 1.1 and from the fact that d_∞ and ρ_∞ are metric equivalent.

3. Applications

3.1. System of integral equation. In what follow we apply fibre contraction principle to study the following system of integral equations:

$$\begin{cases} x(t) = \int_{a}^{t} K(t, s, x(s)) \, ds + k(t), & t \in [a; b] \\ y(t) = \int_{a}^{b} P(t, s, x(s)) \, ds + \int_{a}^{t} Q(t, s, x(s), y(s)) \, ds + h(t), & t \in [a; b] \end{cases}$$
(3.1)

The system (3.1) is equivalent with the following fixed point problem:

$$(x,y) = A(x,y), \qquad (3.2)$$

where

$$A(x, y)(t) = (B(x)(t), C(x, y)(t)), \qquad (3.3)$$

$$B(x)(t) = \int_{a}^{t} K(t, s, x(s)) ds + k(t),$$

$$D(t) = \int_{a}^{b} P(t, s, x(s)) ds + \int_{a}^{t} Q(t, s, x(s), y(s)) ds + h(t).$$

 $C\left(x,y\right)(t)=\int\limits_{a}P\left(t,s,x\left(s\right)\right)ds+\int\limits_{a}Q\left(t,s,x\right)$ In addition, we consider the following hypothesis:

- (H1) K, P, $Q \in C([a; b] \times [a; b] \times \mathbb{R})$ and $k, h \in C[a; b];$
- (H2) there exists $L_K > 0$ such that

$$|K(t, s, u_1) - K(t, s, u_2)| \le L_K \cdot |u_1 - u_2|$$

for all $t, s \in [a; b]$ and $u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{R}$;

(H3) there exists $L_Q > 0$, such that

$$|Q(t, s, u, v_1) - Q(t, s, u, v_2)| \le L_Q \cdot |v_1 - v_2|,$$

for all $t, s \in [a; b]$ and $u, v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}$;

(H3)' there exist $L_P > 0$, $l_Q > 0$, $L_Q > 0$, such that

$$|P(t, s, u_1) - P(t, s, u_2)| \le L_P \cdot |u_1 - u_2|,$$

$$|Q(t, s, u_1, v_1) - Q(t, s, u_2, v_2)| \le l_Q \cdot |u_1 - u_2| + L_{H_i} \cdot |v_1 - v_2|,$$

for all
$$t, s \in [a; b]$$
 and $u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}, i = 1, 2$.

We have:

Theorem 3.1.1. If conditions (H1) - (H3) hold then the system (3.1) has a unique solution $(x^*, y^*) \in C([a; b], \mathbb{R}^2)$.

Proof. Let X = Y := C[a; b] and Y = C[a; b]. We consider on X the Bielecki norm

$$\|x\|_{\tau} = \max_{t \in [a;b]} \left(\left| x\left(t\right) \cdot e^{-\tau\left(t-a\right)} \right| \right), \ \tau > 0.$$

From the (H1) we have that A, defined by (3.3), satisfies $A: X \times X \to X \times X$. From (H2) we have that

$$\|B(x_1) - B(x_2)\|_{\tau} \le \frac{L_K}{\tau} \|x_1 - x_2\|_{\tau}, \ \forall x_1, x_2 \in X$$

Using condition (H3) we get

$$\|C(x, y_1) - C(x, y_2)\|_{\tau} \le \frac{L_Q}{\tau} \|y_1 - y_2\|_{\tau}$$

for all $x, y_1, y_2 \in X$. For a suitable choice of $\tau > \max\{L_K, L_Q\}$ we have that $B : X \to X$ is an α_B -contraction, with $\alpha_B = \frac{L_K}{\tau}$, $C(x, \cdot) : X \to X$ is an α -contraction, with $\alpha = \frac{L_Q}{\tau}$, for all $x \in X$. From fibre contraction principle, Theorem 2.1, we have that A is PO and $F_A = \{(x^*, y^*)\}$.

Theorem 3.1.2. If conditions (H1), (H2) and (H3)' hold then:

- (a) the equation (3.2), is well posed;
- (b) the equation (3.2), is Ulam-Hyers stable;
- (c) the operator A, defined by (3.3), has the Ostrowski property.

Proof. (a) – (c) From (H1) – (H2) we have B is an α_B -contraction, with $\alpha_B = \frac{L_K}{\tau}$, then B is l_B -quasicontraction, with $l_B = \frac{1}{1-\alpha_B}$. Using condition (H3)' we get

$$|C(x_1, y_1)(t) - C(x_2, y_2)(t)| \le \left(L_P(b-a) + \frac{l_Q}{\tau}\right) ||x_1 - x_2||_{\tau} e^{\tau(t-a)} + \frac{L_Q}{\tau} ||y_1 - y_2||_{\tau} e^{\tau(t-a)}$$
so

$$\|C(x_1, y_1) - C(x_2, y_2)\|_{\tau} \le \left(L_P(b-a) + \frac{l_Q}{\tau}\right) \|x_1 - x_2\|_{\tau} + \frac{L_Q}{\tau} \|y_1 - y_2\|_{\tau}$$

Choosing $\tau > \max\{L_K, L_Q\}$ we have that $C(x, \cdot) : X \to X$ is an α -contraction, with $\alpha = \frac{L_Q}{\tau}$ and $C(\cdot, y) : X \to X$ is *L*-lipschitz with $L = \left(L_P(b-a) + \frac{l_Q}{\tau}\right)$. The conclusion follows from the saturated fibre contraction principle, Theorem 2.2.

3.2. Differentiability of nonlocal initial value problem solution with respect to a parameter. We consider the following nonlocal initial value problem for the first order differential equation

$$\begin{cases} x'(t) = f(t, x(t), \lambda), t \in [0; 1] \\ x(0) + \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_k x(t_k) = 0, \end{cases}$$
(3.4)

where $\lambda \in J$, $J \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ a closed interval, t_k are given points with $0 \le t_1 \le t_2 \le ... \le$ $t_m < 1$ and a_k are real numbers with $1 + \sum_{k=1}^m a_k \neq 0$.

We consider the following hypothesis:

- (H1) $f \in C([0;1] \times \mathbb{R} \times J);$
- (H2) there exist $l_1 > 0$, $l_2 > 0$ such that

$$|f(t, u_1, \lambda) - f(t, u_2, \lambda)| \le \begin{cases} l_1 |u_1 - u_2|, & t \in [0; t_m] \\ l_2 |u_1 - u_2|, & t \in [t_m; 1] \end{cases}$$

for all $t \in [0; 1]$, $u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda \in J$;

- (H3) $f \in C^1([0;1] \times \mathbb{R} \times J);$ (H4) $\left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}(t,u,\lambda) \right| \leq l_1$, for all $(t,u,\lambda) \in [0;t_m] \times \mathbb{R} \times J$ and $\left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}(t,u,\lambda) \right| \leq l_2$, for all $(t,u,\lambda) \in [t_m;1] \times \mathbb{R} \times J;$

(H5)
$$l_1 \cdot t_m \cdot \left(1 + |a| \cdot \sum_{k=1}^m |a_k|\right) < 1$$
, where $a = \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^m a_k\right)^{-1}$

Theorem 3.2.1. If conditions (H1), (H2) and (H5) hold then the problem (3.4) has a unique solution $x^* \in C([0;1] \times J)$.

Proof. Let $X = (C([0;1] \times J), \|\cdot\|)$ where

$$||x|| = \max\{||x||_{\infty}, ||x||_{\tau}\}, \qquad (3.5)$$

;

$$\|x\|_{\infty} = \max_{(t,\lambda)\in[0;t_m]\times J} |x(t,\lambda)| \text{ and } \|x\|_{\tau} = \max_{(t,\lambda)\in[t_m;1]\times J} |x(t,\lambda)| e^{-\tau(x-t_m)}.$$

Following the technique from [8] and [13], the problem (3.4) is equivalent with the following fixed point problem

$$x(t,\lambda) = B(x)(t,\lambda), \qquad (3.6)$$

where $B:X\to X$

$$B(x)(t,\lambda) = -a\sum_{k=1}^{m} a_k \int_0^{t_k} f(s, x(s,\lambda), \lambda) \, ds + \int_0^t f(s, x(s,\lambda), \lambda) \, ds.$$
(3.7)

Actually, the operator B appears as sum of two integral operators, one of Fredholm, whose values depend only on the restrictions of functions to $[0; t_m]$ and second of a Volterra type depending on the restrictions of functions to $[t_m; 1]$

$$B = B_F + B_V,$$

where

$$B_{F}(x)(t,\lambda) = \begin{cases} -a\sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{k} \int_{0}^{t_{k}} f(s, x(s,\lambda), \lambda) ds + \int_{0}^{t} f(s, x(s,\lambda), \lambda) ds, t \in [0; t_{m}] \\ -a\sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{k} \int_{0}^{t_{k}} f(s, x(s,\lambda), \lambda) ds + \int_{0}^{t_{m}} f(s, x(s,\lambda), \lambda) ds, t \in [t_{m}; 1] \end{cases}$$
(3.8)

and

$$B_{V}(x)(t,\lambda) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \in [0;t_{m}] \\ \int_{t_{m}}^{t} f(s,x(s,\lambda),\lambda) ds, & t \in [t_{m};1] \end{cases}$$
(3.9)

For $t \in [0; t_m]$, we have

$$\begin{split} |B(x_{1})(t,\lambda) - B(x_{2})(t,\lambda)| &= |B_{F}(x_{1})(t,\lambda) - B_{F}(x_{2})(t,\lambda)| \\ \leq & |a| \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{m} |a_{k}| \int_{0}^{t_{k}} |f(s,x_{1}(s,\lambda),\lambda) - f(s,x_{2}(s,\lambda),\lambda)| \, ds \\ & + \int_{0}^{t} |f(s,x_{1}(s,\lambda),\lambda) - f(s,x_{2}(s,\lambda),\lambda)| \, ds \\ \leq & \left(1 + |a| \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{m} |a_{k}|\right) \int_{0}^{t_{m}} |f(s,x_{1}(s,\lambda),\lambda) - f(s,x_{2}(s,\lambda),\lambda)| \, ds \\ \leq & l_{1} \cdot t_{m} \cdot \left(1 + |a| \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{m} |a_{k}|\right) ||x_{1} - x_{2}||_{\infty} \,, \end{split}$$

therefore

$$\|B(x_1) - B(x_2)\|_{\infty} \le l_1 \cdot \left(1 + |a| \cdot \sum_{k=1}^m |a_k|\right) \|x_1 - x_2\|_{\infty}.$$
 (3.10)

For $t \in [t_m; 1]$, we have

$$|B(x_{1})(t,\lambda) - B(x_{2})(t,\lambda)| \le |a| \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{m} |a_{k}| \int_{0}^{t_{k}} |f(s,x_{1}(s,\lambda),\lambda) - f(s,x_{2}(s,\lambda),\lambda)| \, ds$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{t_{m}} |f(s, x_{1}(s, \lambda), \lambda) - f(s, x_{2}(s, \lambda), \lambda)| ds + \int_{t_{m}}^{t} |f(s, x_{1}(s, \lambda), \lambda) - f(s, x_{2}(s, \lambda), \lambda)| ds \leq l_{1} \cdot t_{m} \cdot \left(1 + |a| \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{m} |a_{k}|\right) ||x_{1} - x_{2}||_{\infty} + \frac{l_{2}}{\tau} ||x_{1} - x_{2}||_{\tau} e^{\tau(t - t_{m})},$$

therefore

$$\|B(x_1) - B(x_2)\|_{\tau} \le l_1 \cdot t_m \cdot \left(1 + |a| \cdot \sum_{k=1}^m |a_k|\right) \|x_1 - x_2\|_{\infty} + \frac{l_2}{\tau} \|x_1 - x_2\|_{\tau}.$$
 (3.11)

From (3.10) and (3.11) we get tat $||B(x_1) - B(x_2)|| \le \alpha_B ||x_1 - x_2||$, with $\alpha_B = l_1 \cdot t_m \cdot \left(1 + |a| \cdot \sum_{k=1}^m |a_k|\right) + \frac{l_2}{\tau}$. According to (H5), we can choose $\tau > 0$ large enough such that $\alpha_B < 1$. Hence B is an α_B -contraction, so we obtain the conclusion.

Theorem 3.2.2. If conditions(H1), (H3) - (H5) hold then the problem (3.4) has a unique solution $x^* \in C^1([0;1] \times J)$.

Proof. Let $X = (C([0;1] \times J), \|\cdot\|)$ with the norm defined by (3.5). Condition (H4) implies (H2), thus from Theorem 3.2.1 we have B, defined by (3.7), is an α_B -contraction and $F_B = \{x^*\}$. It is clear that if $f(\cdot, u, \lambda) \in C^1[0;1]$ for all $(u, \lambda) \in \mathbb{R} \times J$ then $x^*(\cdot, \lambda) \in C^1[0;1]$ for all $\lambda \in J$.

If we formally derivate the fixed point equation (3.6) with respect to λ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial x}{\partial \lambda} \left(t, \lambda \right) &= -a \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{k} \int_{0}^{t_{k}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} \left(s, x \left(s, \lambda \right), \lambda \right) \frac{\partial x}{\partial \lambda} \left(t, \lambda \right) ds - a \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{k} \int_{0}^{t_{k}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda} \left(s, x \left(s, \lambda \right), \lambda \right) ds \\ &+ \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} \left(s, x \left(s, \lambda \right), \lambda \right) \frac{\partial x}{\partial \lambda} \left(t, \lambda \right) ds + \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda} \left(s, x \left(s, \lambda \right), \lambda \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

This suggest us to consider the operator $C: X \times X \to X$ with $(x, y) \longmapsto C(x, y)$, where

$$C(x,y)(t,\lambda) = -a\sum_{k=1}^{m} a_k \int_0^{t_k} \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} (s, x(s,\lambda), \lambda) y(t,\lambda) \, ds - a\sum_{k=1}^{m} a_k \int_0^{t_k} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda} (s, x(s,\lambda), \lambda) \, ds \\ + \int_0^t \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} (s, x(s,\lambda), \lambda) y(t,\lambda) \, ds + \int_0^t \frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda} (s, x(s,\lambda), \lambda) \, ds.$$

The operator C appears as a sum of two operators $C = C_F + C_V$, one of Fredholm type

$$C_{F}(x,y)(t,\lambda) = \begin{cases} -a\sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{k} \int_{0}^{t_{k}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} \left(s, x\left(s,\lambda\right),\lambda\right) y\left(t,\lambda\right) ds - a\sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{k} \int_{0}^{t_{k}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda} \left(s, x\left(s,\lambda\right),\lambda\right) ds + \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda} \left(s, x\left(s,\lambda\right),\lambda\right) ds, \quad t \in [0;t_{m}] \\ -a\sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{k} \int_{0}^{t_{k}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} \left(s, x\left(s,\lambda\right),\lambda\right) y\left(t,\lambda\right) ds - a\sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{k} \int_{0}^{t_{k}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda} \left(s, x\left(s,\lambda\right),\lambda\right) ds + \int_{0}^{t_{m}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda} \left(s, x\left(s,\lambda\right),\lambda\right) ds, \quad t \in [0;t_{m}] \\ + \int_{0}^{t_{m}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} \left(s, x\left(s,\lambda\right),\lambda\right) y\left(t,\lambda\right) ds + \int_{0}^{t_{m}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda} \left(s, x\left(s,\lambda\right),\lambda\right) ds, \quad t \in [t_{m};1] \end{cases}$$

and second of Volterra type

$$C_{V}(x,y)(t,\lambda) = \begin{cases} 0, \ t \in [0;t_{m}] \\ \int_{t_{m}}^{t} \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}(s,x(s,\lambda),\lambda) y(t,\lambda) \, ds + \int_{t_{m}}^{t} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda}(s,x(s,\lambda),\lambda) \, ds, \ t \in [t_{m};1] \end{cases}$$

In the same manner as for B we get that $\|C(x, y_1) - C(x, y_2)\| \leq \alpha_C \|y_1 - y_2\|$, for all $x, y_1, y_2 \in X$, where $\alpha_C = \alpha_B = l_1 \cdot t_m \cdot \left(1 + |a| \cdot \sum_{k=1}^m |a_k|\right) + \frac{l_2}{\tau}$. Thus $C(x, \cdot) : X \to X$ is an α_C -contraction, for all $x \in X$. By Theorem 2.1, we get that the operator $A : X \times X \to X \times X$

$$A(x, y) = (B(x), C(x, y))$$
(3.12)

is a PO with $F_A = \{(x^*, y^*)\}$ and the sequence (x_n, y_n) , given by

$$x_{n+1} = B(x_n), y_{n+1} = C(x_n, y_n)$$

converge uniformly to (x^*, y^*) for any starting point $(x_0, y_0) \in X \times X$.

If we take $(x_0, y_0) \in X \times X$ such that $y_0 = \frac{\partial x_0}{\partial \lambda}$ then we prove by induction that $y_n = \frac{\partial x_n}{\partial \lambda}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus,

$$x_n \xrightarrow{unif} x^*$$
 and $\frac{\partial x_n}{\partial \lambda} \xrightarrow{unif} y^*$ as $n \to +\infty$.

From the above convergences it follows that there exists $\frac{\partial x^*}{\partial \lambda}$ and $\frac{\partial x^*}{\partial \lambda} = y^*$. \Box

References

- S. Andrász, Fibre φ-contraction on generalized metric spaces and applications, Mathematica (Cluj), 45(68)(2003), no. 1, 3-8.
- [2] C. Bacoțiu, Fibre Picard operators on generalized metric spaces, Sem. on Fixed Point Theory Cluj-Napoca, 1(2000), 5-8.
- [3] M.F. Barnsley, V. Ervin, D. Hardin, J. Lancaster, Solution of an inverse problem for fractals and other sets, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA, 83(1986), 1975-1976.
- [4] V. Berinde, Error estimates in the φ-contractions, Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math., 35(1990), no. 2, 86-89.
- [5] V. Berinde, M. Păcurar, I.A. Rus, From a Dieudonné theorem concerning the Cauchy problem to an open problem in the theory of weakly Picard operators, Carpathian J. Math., 30(2014), no. 3, 283-292.

MARCEL-ADRIAN ŞERBAN

- [6] V. Berinde, A. Petruşel, I.A. Rus, M.A. Şerban, The retraction-displacement condition in the theory of fixed point equation with a convergent iterative algorithm, In: T. M. Rassias and V. Gupta (eds.), Math. Anal., Approx, Th. and their Appl., Springer, 111(2016), 75-106.
- [7] L.M. Blumenthal, Theory and Applications of Distance Geometry, Oxford Univ. Press, 1953.
- [8] A. Boucherif, R. Precup, On the nonlocal initial value problem for the first order differential equation, Fixed Point Theory, 4(2003), no. 2, 205-212.
- [9] A. Chiş-Novac, R. Precup, I.A. Rus, Data dependence of fixed points for nonself generalized contractions, Fixed Point Theory, 10(2009), 73-87.
- [10] M. Fréchet, Les espaces abstraits, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1928.
- [11] V. Glăvan, V. Guţu, Shadowing in parametrized IFS, Fixed Point Theory, 7(2006), 263-274.
- [12] J. Jachymski, An extension of Ostrowski's theorem on the round-off stability of iterations, Aequa. Math., 53(1997), no. 3, 242-253.
- [13] O. Nica, R. Precup, On the nonlocal initial value problem for first order differential systems, Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math., 56(2011), no. 3, 113-125.
- [14] J.M. Ortega, W.C. Rheinboldt, Iterative Solutions of Nonlinear Equation in Several Variables, Academic Press, New York, 1970.
- [15] S. Yu. Pilyugin, Shadowing in Dynamical Systems, Springer, Berlin, 1999.
- [16] I.A. Rus, A fibre generalized contraction theorem and applications, Mathematica (Cluj), 41(1999), no. 1, 85-90.
- [17] I.A. Rus, An abstract point of view on iterative approximation of fixed point equations, Fixed Point Theory, 13(2012), no. 1, 179-192.
- [18] I.A. Rus, Fibre Picard operators and applications, Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math., 44(1999), 89-98.
- [19] I.A. Rus, Fibre Picard operators on generalized metric spaces and applications, Scripta Sci. Math., 1(1999), 326-334.
- [20] I.A. Rus, Metric space with fixed point property with respect to contractions, Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math., 51(2006), no. 3, 115-121.
- [21] I.A. Rus, Picard operators and applications, Sci. Math. Jpn., 58(2003), 191-219.
- [22] I.A. Rus, Picard operators and well-posedness of fixed point problems, Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math., 52(2007), no. 3, 147-150.
- [23] I.A. Rus, Relevant classes of weakly Picard operators, An. Univ. Vest Timiş. Ser. Mat.-Inform., 54(2016), no. 3, 3-19.
- [24] I.A. Rus, Results and problems in Ulam stability of operatorial equations and inclusions, In: T.M. Rassias (ed.), Handbook of Functional Eq. Stability Theory, Springer, 2014, 323-352.
- [25] I.A. Rus, Some variants of contraction principle, generalizations and applications, Stud. Univ. Babes-Bolyai Math., 61(2016), no. 3, 343-358.
- [26] I.A. Rus, The generalized retraction methods in fixed point theory for nonself operators, Fixed Point Theory, 15(2014), 559-578.
- [27] I.A. Rus, Weakly Picard operators and applications, Seminar on Fixed Point Theory, Cluj-Napoca, 2(2001), 41-58.
- [28] I.A. Rus, A. Petruşel, G. Petruşel, Fixed Point Theory, Cluj University Press, 2008.
- [29] I.A. Rus, M.A. Şerban, Basic problems of the metric fixed point theory and the relevance of a metric fixed point theorem, Carpathian J. Math., 29(2013), no. 2, 239-258.
- [30] I.A. Rus, M.A. Şerban, Some generalizations of a Cauchy Lemma and Applications, Topics in Math., Computer Sci. Phil. (St. Cobzaş-Ed.), Cluj Univ. Press, 2008, 173-181.
- [31] J. Sotomayor, Smooth dependence of solutions of differential equations on initial data: a simple proof, Bol. Soc. Bras. Mat., 4(1973), 55-59.
- [32] M.A. Şerban, Fibre contraction theorem in generalized metric spaces, Automat. Comput. Appl. Math., 16(2007), no. 1, 139–144.
- [33] M.A. Şerban, Fibre φ -contractions, Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math., 44(1999), no. 3, 99-108.
- [34] M.A. Şerban, Fixed Point Theory for Operators on Cartesian Product (in Romanian), Cluj University Press, Cluj-Napoca, 2002.

Received: December 8, 2015; Accepted: November 10, 2016.