

GENERALIZED Φ -EPI MAPS AND TOPOLOGICAL COINCIDENCE PRINCIPLES

DONAL O'REGAN

School of Mathematics, Statistics and Applied Mathematics
National University of Ireland, Galway, Ireland
E-mail: donal.oregan@nuigalway.ie

Abstract. In this paper we present the notion of a Φ -epi map for a general class of maps and we present coincidence and homotopy properties for these maps.

Key Words and Phrases: Epi maps, coincidence, homotopy, normalization.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of a 0-epi map was introduced by Furi, Martelli and Vignoli [4] and extended in a variety of settings in the literature by other authors, see for example [5, 7, 9, 11]. In this paper we present a generalization of Φ -epi maps motivated in part, for example, from continuation theorems of set valued maps which have continuous selections [1, 2]. In particular we present coincidence, homotopy and normalization properties of these Φ -epi maps.

2. Φ -EPI MAPS

Let E be a normal topological vector space and U an open subset of E .

We will consider the classes **A**, **B** and **D** of maps.

Definition 2.1. We say $F \in D(\bar{U}, E)$ (respectively $F \in A(\bar{U}, E)$) if $F : \bar{U} \rightarrow 2^E$ and $F \in \mathbf{D}(\bar{U}, E)$ (respectively $F \in \mathbf{A}(\bar{U}, E)$); here \bar{U} denotes the closure of U in E and 2^E denotes the family of nonempty subsets of E .

Definition 2.2. We say $F \in B(\bar{U}, E)$ if $F : \bar{U} \rightarrow 2^E$ and $F \in \mathbf{B}(\bar{U}, E)$ and there exists a selection $\Psi \in D(\bar{U}, E)$ of F .

Remark 2.3. Note Ψ is a selection of F (in Definition 2.2) if $\Psi(x) \subseteq F(x)$ for $x \in \bar{U}$.

Remark 2.4. We say $F \in D(E, E)$ (respectively $F \in A(E, E)$) if $F : E \rightarrow 2^E$ and $F \in \mathbf{D}(E, E)$ (respectively $F \in \mathbf{A}(E, E)$). We say $F \in B(E, E)$ if $F : E \rightarrow 2^E$ and $F \in \mathbf{B}(E, E)$ and there exists a selection $\Psi \in D(E, E)$ of F .

In this section we fix a $\Phi \in B(\bar{U}, E)$.

Definition 2.5. We say $F \in A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$ if $F \in A(\bar{U}, E)$ with $F(x) \cap \Phi(x) = \emptyset$ for $x \in \partial U$; here ∂U denotes the boundary of U in E .

Definition 2.6. We say $F \in B_{\Phi}(\bar{U}, E)$ if $F \in B(\bar{U}, E)$ and $F(x) \subseteq \Phi(x)$ for $x \in \partial U$.

Definition 2.7. Let $F \in A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$. We say F is Φ -epi if for any map $G \in B_{\Phi}(\bar{U}, E)$ and any selection $\Psi \in D(\bar{U}, E)$ of G there exists $x \in U$ with $F(x) \cap \Psi(x) \neq \emptyset$.

Remark 2.8. In Definition 2.7 note $\emptyset \neq F(x) \cap \Psi(x) \subseteq F(x) \cap G(x)$. We could take $G = \Phi$ in Definition 2.7 (note $\Phi \in B_{\Phi}(\bar{U}, E)$). Then if $F \in A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$ is Φ -epi then for any selection $\phi \in D(\bar{U}, E)$ of Φ there exists $x \in U$ with $F(x) \cap \phi(x) \neq \emptyset$ so $\emptyset \neq F(x) \cap \phi(x) \subseteq F(x) \cap \Phi(x)$.

Remark 2.9. In Definition 2.7 note $\Psi(x) \subseteq G(x) \subseteq \Phi(x)$ for $x \in \partial U$ i.e. $\Psi \in D_{\Phi}(\bar{U}, E)$ (we say $H \in D_{\Phi}(\bar{U}, E)$ if $H \in D(\bar{U}, E)$ and $H(x) \subseteq \Phi(x)$ for $x \in \partial U$).

Remark 2.10. In Definition 2.7 if $G \in B_{\Phi}(\bar{U}, E)$ and if $G \in D(\bar{U}, E)$ then an example of a selection Ψ of G is G itself. In applications we see by appropriately choosing U , E , D and B then automatically there exists a selection Ψ of F in Definition 2.2 (see for example Remark 2.20).

Our first result is a coincidence property for Φ -epi maps.

Theorem 2.11. Let E be a normal topological vector space, U an open subset of E , $G \in B(\bar{U}, E)$, $F \in A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$ is Φ -epi and suppose

$$\begin{cases} \mu(\cdot)G(\cdot) + (1 - \mu(\cdot))\Phi(\cdot) \in B(\bar{U}, E) \text{ for any} \\ \text{continuous map } \mu : \bar{U} \rightarrow [0, 1] \text{ with } \mu(\partial U) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

For any selection $\Lambda \in D(\bar{U}, E)$ of G and any selection $\phi \in D(\bar{U}, E)$ of Φ assume

$$\begin{cases} K = \{x \in \bar{U} : F(x) \cap [t\Lambda(x) + (1 - t)\phi(x)] \neq \emptyset \text{ for some } t \in [0, 1]\} \\ \text{is closed and } K \text{ does not intersect } \partial U \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \mu(\cdot)\Lambda(\cdot) + (1 - \mu(\cdot))\phi(\cdot) \in D(\bar{U}, E) \text{ for any continuous} \\ \text{map } \mu : \bar{U} \rightarrow [0, 1] \text{ with } \mu(\partial U) = 0 \text{ and } \mu(K) = 1. \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

Then there exists $x \in U$ with $F(x) \cap \Lambda(x) \neq \emptyset$ (so $\emptyset \neq F(x) \cap \Lambda(x) \subseteq F(x) \cap G(x)$).

Proof. Let $\Lambda \in D(\bar{U}, E)$ be any selection of G and $\phi \in D(\bar{U}, E)$ be any selection of Φ and let

$$K = \{x \in \bar{U} : F(x) \cap [t\Lambda(x) + (1 - t)\phi(x)] \neq \emptyset \text{ for some } t \in [0, 1]\}.$$

Note $K \neq \emptyset$ since $F(x) \cap \phi(x) \neq \emptyset$ for some $x \in U$ (note $F \in A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$ is Φ -epi and note Remark 2.8). From (2.2) we note K is closed and $K \cap \partial U = \emptyset$. Then Urysohn's lemma guarantees that there exists a continuous map $\mu : \bar{U} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with $\mu(\partial U) = 0$

and $\mu(K) = 1$. Define the mappings J and Ψ by

$$J(x) = \mu(x)G(x) + (1 - \mu(x))\Phi(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi(x) = \mu(x)\Lambda(x) + (1 - \mu(x))\phi(x).$$

Now (2.1) guarantees that $J \in B(\overline{U}, E)$ and also note for $x \in \partial U$ we have $J(x) = \Phi(x)$, so $J \in B_\Phi(\overline{U}, E)$. Note (2.3) guarantees that $\Psi \in D(\overline{U}, E)$ and Ψ is a selection of J . Now since F is Φ -epi there exists $x \in U$ with $F(x) \cap \Psi(x) \neq \emptyset$. Thus $x \in K$ and as a result $\mu(x) = 1$. Consequently $F(x) \cap \Lambda(x) \neq \emptyset$. \square

Remark 2.12. We can remove the assumption that E is normal in the statement of Theorem 2.11 provided we put conditions on the maps so that K is compact (the existence of the map μ in the proof above is then guaranteed since topological vector spaces are completely regular). Note as well, for example, if the maps F , Λ and ϕ are upper semicontinuous with compact values then K in (2.2) is closed.

Remark 2.13. Let E be a complete metrizable locally convex topological vector space and U an open subset of E . Let $\mathbf{D} = D$ and $\mathbf{B} = B$. We say $Q \in D(\overline{U}, E)$ if $Q : \overline{U} \rightarrow E$ is a continuous "compact" map. We say $R \in B(\overline{U}, E)$ if $R : \overline{U} \rightarrow C(E)$ (here $C(E)$ denotes the family of nonempty closed convex subsets of E) is a lower semicontinuous "compact" map (the existence of a continuous selection Ψ of R is guaranteed from Michael's selection theorem [3, 6, 8] and note Ψ is compact since Ψ is a selection of R and R is compact, so $\Psi \in D(\overline{U}, E)$). Note (2.1) and (2.3) are immediate (from the properties of sums and products of lower semicontinuous maps [3, 6]; we include the map being "compact" here because of the normalization property (see Remark 2.20)). Also (2.2) reduces to showing

$$K = \{x \in \overline{U} : t\Lambda(x) + (1 - t)\phi(x) \in F(x) \text{ for some } t \in [0, 1]\}$$

is closed and does not intersect ∂U ; for example if the map F is upper semicontinuous with closed values then K would be closed.

We now rewrite Theorem 2.11 as a Leray-Schauder type alternative.

Theorem 2.14. *Let E be a normal topological vector space, U an open subset of E , $G \in B(\overline{U}, E)$, $F \in A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$ is Φ -epi and suppose (2.1) holds. For any selection $\Lambda \in D(\overline{U}, E)$ of G and any selection $\phi \in D(\overline{U}, E)$ of Φ assume*

$$K = \{x \in \overline{U} : F(x) \cap [t\Lambda(x) + (1 - t)\phi(x)] \neq \emptyset \text{ for some } t \in [0, 1]\} \text{ is closed} \quad (2.4)$$

and (2.3) holds. Then either

(A1) there exists $x \in \overline{U}$ with $F(x) \cap \Lambda(x) \neq \emptyset$,

or

(A2) there exists $x \in \partial U$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ with $F(x) \cap [\lambda\Lambda(x) + (1 - \lambda)\phi(x)] \neq \emptyset$,

holds.

Proof. Suppose (A2) does not hold and $F(x) \cap \Lambda(x) = \emptyset$ for $x \in \partial U$ (since otherwise (A1) holds). Also note $F(x) \cap \Phi(x) = \emptyset$ for $x \in \partial U$ since $F \in A_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$, so $F(x) \cap \phi(x) = \emptyset$ for $x \in \partial U$ (note $F(x) \cap \phi(x) \subseteq F(x) \cap \Phi(x)$). Thus

$$\text{there exists } x \in \partial U \text{ and } \lambda \in [0, 1] \text{ with } F(x) \cap [\lambda\Lambda(x) + (1 - \lambda)\phi(x)] \neq \emptyset$$

cannot occur, so (2.2) holds. Now Theorem 2.11 guarantees that there exists $x \in U$ with $F(x) \cap \Lambda(x) \neq \emptyset$. □

Our next result is a homotopy type property for Φ -epi maps.

Theorem 2.15. *Let E be a normal topological vector space, U an open subset of E , $F \in A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$ is Φ -epi, H is a map defined on $\bar{U} \times [0, 1]$ with values in E with $H(x, 0) = \{0\}$ for $x \in \partial U$ and $F(\cdot) - H(\cdot, 1) \in A(\bar{U}, E)$. Suppose*

$$\{x \in \bar{U} : F(x) \cap [\Phi(x) + H(x, t)] \neq \emptyset \text{ for some } t \in [0, 1]\} \text{ does not intersect } \partial U. \tag{2.5}$$

Assume there exists a selection h of H such that for any $G \in B_{\Phi}(\bar{U}, E)$ and any selection $\Psi \in D(\bar{U}, E)$ of G we have

$$G(\cdot) + H(\cdot, 0) \in B(\bar{U}, E) \text{ and } \Psi(\cdot) + h(\cdot, 0) \in D(\bar{U}, E) \tag{2.6}$$

$$K = \{x \in \bar{U} : F(x) \cap [\Psi(x) + h(x, t)] \neq \emptyset \text{ for some } t \in [0, 1]\} \text{ is closed} \tag{2.7}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} G(\cdot) + H(\cdot, \mu(\cdot)) \in B(\bar{U}, E) \text{ and } \Psi(\cdot) + h(\cdot, \mu(\cdot)) \in D(\bar{U}, E) \\ \text{for any continuous map } \mu : \bar{U} \rightarrow [0, 1] \text{ with } \mu(\partial U) = 0 \text{ and } \mu(K) = 1. \end{cases} \tag{2.8}$$

Then $F(\cdot) - H(\cdot, 1)$ is Φ -epi.

Proof. Let $G \in B_{\Phi}(\bar{U}, E)$ and let $\Psi \in D(\bar{U}, E)$ be any selection of G . We must show that there exists $x \in U$ with $[F(x) - H(x, 1)] \cap \Psi(x) \neq \emptyset$. Let h, H be as in the statement of Theorem 2.15 and let

$$K = \{x \in \bar{U} : F(x) \cap [\Psi(x) + h(x, t)] \neq \emptyset \text{ for some } t \in [0, 1]\}.$$

Consider $t = 0$. Note $G(\cdot) + H(\cdot, 0) \in B_{\Phi}(\bar{U}, E)$ (note (2.6) and also for $x \in \partial U$ we have $G(x) + H(x, 0) = G(x) \subseteq \Phi(x)$ since $G \in B_{\Phi}(\bar{U}, E)$), $\Psi(\cdot) + h(\cdot, 0) \in D(\bar{U}, E)$ is a selection of $G(\cdot) + H(\cdot, 0)$, and since F is Φ -epi we see that there exists $x \in U$ with $F(x) \cap [\Psi(x) + h(x, 0)] \neq \emptyset$, so $K \neq \emptyset$. In addition K is closed (see (2.7)). Also note $K \cap \partial U = \emptyset$ (note if $x \in \partial U$ then $F(x) \cap [\Psi(x) + h(x, t)] \subseteq F(x) \cap [G(x) + H(x, t)] \subseteq F(x) \cap [\Phi(x) + H(x, t)]$ and note (2.5)). Now Urysohn's lemma guarantees that there exists a continuous map $\mu : \bar{U} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with $\mu(\partial U) = 0$ and $\mu(K) = 1$. Define maps J and Λ by

$$J(x) = G(x) + H(x, \mu(x)) \text{ and } \Lambda(x) = \Psi(x) + h(x, \mu(x)).$$

Note from (2.8) that $J \in B_{\Phi}(\bar{U}, E)$ (note if $x \in \partial U$ then $J(x) = G(x) + H(x, \mu(x)) = G(x) \subseteq \Phi(x)$ since $G \in B_{\Phi}(\bar{U}, E)$), and $\Lambda \in D(\bar{U}, E)$ is a selection of J . Now since F is Φ -epi then there exists $x \in U$ with $F(x) \cap \Lambda(x) \neq \emptyset$ i.e. $F(x) \cap [\Psi(x) + h(x, \mu(x))] \neq \emptyset$. Thus $x \in K$ and as a result $\mu(x) = 1$. Consequently $F(x) \cap [\Psi(x) + h(x, 1)] \neq \emptyset$, so $F(x) \cap [\Psi(x) + H(x, 1)] \neq \emptyset$ i.e. $[F(x) - H(x, 1)] \cap \Psi(x) \neq \emptyset$. □

Remark 2.16. We can remove the assumption that E is normal in the statement of Theorem 2.15 provided we put conditions on the maps so that K is compact.

Remark 2.17. Note in Theorem 2.15 we could replace (2.5) with either (here h and Ψ are as described in the statement of Theorem 2.15)

$$\{x \in \bar{U} : F(x) \cap [\Psi(x) + h(x, t)] \neq \emptyset \text{ for some } t \in [0, 1]\} \text{ does not intersect } \partial U$$

or

$\{x \in \bar{U} : F(x) \cap [G(x) + H(x, t)] \neq \emptyset \text{ for some } t \in [0, 1]\}$ does not intersect ∂U .

Next we present three normalization type results.

Theorem 2.18. *Let E be a normal topological vector space, U an open subset of E and $0 \in U$. Suppose*

$$i \in A(\bar{U}, E) \text{ where } i \text{ is the identity map} \tag{2.9}$$

$$x \notin \lambda \Phi(x) \text{ for } x \in \partial U \text{ and } \lambda \in (0, 1] \tag{2.10}$$

and

$$\bar{U} \text{ is a retract of } E \text{ i.e. there exists a retraction } r : E \rightarrow \bar{U}. \tag{2.11}$$

For any $H \in B_\Phi(\bar{U}, E)$ and any selection $\Psi \in D(\bar{U}, E)$ of H assume

$$K = \{x \in \bar{U} : x \in \lambda \Psi(x) \text{ for some } \lambda \in [0, 1]\} \text{ is closed} \tag{2.12}$$

$$\begin{cases} \text{for any continuous map } \eta : E \rightarrow [0, 1] \text{ with } \eta(E \setminus U) = 0 \text{ and} \\ \eta(K) = 1 \text{ the map } J \in D(E, E) \text{ where } J(x) = \eta(x) \Psi(r(x)) \end{cases} \tag{2.13}$$

and

$$\text{any map } \Lambda \in D(E, E) \text{ has a fixed point} \tag{2.14}$$

hold. Then i is Φ -epi.

Proof. Let $H \in B_\Phi(\bar{U}, E)$ and let $\Psi \in D(\bar{U}, E)$ be a selection of H . We must show there exists $x \in U$ with $x \in \Psi(x)$ (i.e. $i(x) \cap \Psi(x) \neq \emptyset$). Let

$$K = \{x \in \bar{U} : x \in \lambda \Psi(x) \text{ for some } \lambda \in [0, 1]\}.$$

Now $K \neq \emptyset$ (note $0 \in U$) and K is closed. Also note $K \subset U$ since if there exists $x \in \partial U$ and some $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ with $x \in \lambda \Psi(x)$ then $x \in \lambda \Phi(x)$ since $\Psi(x) \subseteq H(x) \subseteq \Phi(x)$, a contradiction (see (2.10)). Urysohn's Lemma guarantees that there exists a continuous map $\eta : E \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with $\eta(K) = 1$ and $\eta(E \setminus U) = 0$. Define a map J by $J(x) = \eta(x) \Psi(r(x))$ where r is given in (2.11). Now (2.13) and (2.14) guarantees that there exists $x \in E$ with $x \in \eta(x) \Psi(r(x))$. If $x \in E \setminus U$ then $\eta(x) = 0$, a contradiction since $0 \in U$. Thus $x \in U$ and so $x \in \eta(x) \Psi(x)$. As a result $x \in K$ so $\eta(x) = 1$. Thus $x \in \Psi(x)$. \square

Remark 2.19. We can remove the assumption that E is normal in the statement of Theorem 2.18 provided we put conditions on the maps so that K is compact.

Remark 2.20. We first recall the PK maps from the literature. Let Z and W be subsets of topological vector spaces Y_1 and Y_2 and F a multifunction. We say $F \in PK(Z, W)$ if W is convex and there exists a map $S : Z \rightarrow W$ with

$$Z = \cup \{int S^{-1}(w) : w \in W\}, \text{ } co(S(x)) \subseteq F(x)$$

for $x \in Z$ and $S(x) \neq \emptyset$ for each $x \in Z$; here $S^{-1}(w) = \{z : w \in S(z)\}$.

Let E be a locally convex topological vector space, U an open convex subset of E , $0 \in U$ and \bar{U} paracompact. Let $\mathbf{D} = D$ and $\mathbf{B} = B$. We say $Q \in D(\bar{U}, E)$ if $Q : \bar{U} \rightarrow E$ is a continuous compact map. We say $R \in B(\bar{U}, E)$ if $R \in PK(\bar{U}, E)$ and R is a compact map (the existence of a continuous selection Ψ of R is guaranteed from

[10, Theorem 1.3] and note Ψ is compact since Ψ is a selection of R and R is compact, so $\Psi \in D(\bar{U}, E)$. Note (2.11), (2.12), (2.13) and (2.14) (Schauder-Tychonoff fixed point theorem) hold. We note that a "compact" map above could be replaced by a more general "compactness type" map; see [1, 2].

Theorem 2.21. *Let E be a locally convex topological vector space, U an open convex subset of E , $0 \in U$ and suppose (2.9) and (2.10) hold. Let $r : E \rightarrow \bar{U}$ be given by*

$$r(x) = \frac{x}{\max\{1, \mu(x)\}} \quad \text{for } x \in E,$$

where μ is the Minkowski functional on \bar{U} (i.e. $\mu(x) = \inf\{\alpha > 0 : x \in \alpha \bar{U}\}$), and for any $H \in B_{\Phi}(\bar{U}, E)$ and any selection $\Psi \in D(\bar{U}, E)$ of H assume

$$r \Psi \in D(\bar{U}, \bar{U}) \quad (2.15)$$

and

$$\text{any map } \Lambda \in D(\bar{U}, \bar{U}) \text{ has a fixed point} \quad (2.16)$$

hold. Then i is Φ -epi.

Proof. Let $H \in B_{\Phi}(\bar{U}, E)$ and let $\Psi \in D(\bar{U}, E)$ be a selection of H . Let $\Lambda = r \Psi$. Now (2.15) and (2.16) guarantee that there exists $x \in \bar{U}$ with $x \in r \Psi(x)$. Then $x = r(y)$ where $y \in \Psi(x)$; here $x \in \bar{U} = U \cup \partial U$. If we show

$$x \in U \quad \text{and} \quad r(y) = y \quad (2.17)$$

then $x = y$ so $x \in \Psi(x)$ and we are finished. It remains to show (2.17). Let $x \in \partial U$. Then $\mu(x) = 1$ so

$$1 = \mu(x) = \mu(r(y)) = \frac{\mu(y)}{\max\{1, \mu(y)\}},$$

so $\mu(y) \geq 1$. Thus $x = r(y) = \frac{y}{\mu(y)}$ so with $\lambda = \frac{1}{\mu(y)}$ we have $x \in \lambda \Psi(x)$, so $x \in \lambda \Phi(x)$ since $x \in \partial U$ and $\Psi(x) \subseteq H(x) \subseteq \Phi(x)$, and this contradicts (2.10). Thus $x \in U$. Then $\mu(x) < 1$ so

$$1 > \mu(x) = \mu(r(y)) = \frac{\mu(y)}{\max\{1, \mu(y)\}},$$

and as a result $\mu(y) < 1$. Thus $r(y) = y$, so (2.17) holds. \square

Theorem 2.22. *Let E be a topological space, U an open subset of E and suppose (2.9) and (2.14) hold. In addition assume*

$$\Phi \in B(E, E) \quad \text{and there is no } z \in E \setminus U \text{ with } z \in \Phi(z). \quad (2.18)$$

For any $H \in B_{\Phi}(\bar{U}, E)$ and any selection $\Psi \in D(\bar{U}, E)$ of H assume

$$J \in D(E, E) \quad \text{where} \quad J(x) = \begin{cases} \Psi(x), & x \in U \\ \Phi(x), & x \in E \setminus U. \end{cases} \quad (2.19)$$

Then i is Φ -epi.

Proof. Let $H \in B_{\Phi}(\bar{U}, E)$ and let $\Psi \in D(\bar{U}, E)$ be a selection of H . Let

$$J(x) = \begin{cases} \Psi(x), & x \in U \\ \Phi(x), & x \in E \setminus U. \end{cases}$$

Now (2.14) and (2.19) guarantee that there exists $x \in E$ with $x \in J(x)$. If $x \in E \setminus U$ then $x \in \Phi(x)$, which contradicts (2.19). Thus $x \in U$ so $x \in \Psi(x)$. \square

We now show that the ideas in this section can be applied to other natural situations. Let E be a normal topological vector space, Y a topological vector space, and U an open subset of E . Also let $L : \text{dom } L \subseteq E \rightarrow Y$ be a linear (not necessarily continuous) single valued map; here $\text{dom } L$ is a vector subspace of E . Finally $T : E \rightarrow Y$ will be a linear single valued map with $L + T : \text{dom } L \rightarrow Y$ a bijection; for convenience we say $T \in H_L(E, Y)$.

Definition 2.23. We say $F \in D(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$ (respectively $F \in A(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$) if $F : \bar{U} \rightarrow 2^Y$ with $(L + T)^{-1}(F + T) \in D(\bar{U}, E)$ (respectively $(L + T)^{-1}(F + T) \in A(\bar{U}, E)$).

Definition 2.24. We say $F \in B(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$ if $F : \bar{U} \rightarrow 2^Y$ with $(L + T)^{-1}(F + T) \in B(\bar{U}, E)$ and there exists a selection $\Psi \in D(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$ of F .

For the remainder of this section we fix a $\Phi \in B(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$.

Definition 2.25. We say $F \in A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$ if $F \in A(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$ with

$$(L + T)^{-1}(F + T)(x) \cap (L + T)^{-1}(\Phi + T)(x) = \emptyset$$

for $x \in \partial U$.

Definition 2.26. We say $F \in B_{\Phi}(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$ if $F \in B(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$ and

$$(L + T)^{-1}(F + T)(x) \subseteq (L + T)^{-1}(\Phi + T)(x)$$

for $x \in \partial U$.

Definition 2.27. Let $F \in A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$. We say F is (L, T) Φ -epi if for any map $G \in B_{\Phi}(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$ and any selection $\Psi \in D(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$ of G there exists $x \in U$ with $(L + T)^{-1}(F + T)(x) \cap (L + T)^{-1}(\Psi + T)(x) \neq \emptyset$.

Remark 2.28. If $F \in A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$ is (L, T) Φ -epi then for any selection $\phi \in D(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$ of Φ (note $\Phi \in B(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$) there exists $x \in U$ with

$$(L + T)^{-1}(F + T)(x) \cap (L + T)^{-1}(\phi + T)(x) \neq \emptyset.$$

Theorem 2.29. Let E be a normal topological vector space, Y a topological vector space, U an open subset of E , $L : \text{dom } L \subseteq E \rightarrow Y$ a linear single valued map and $T \in H_L(E, Y)$. Suppose $G \in B(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$, $F \in A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$ is (L, T) Φ -epi, and suppose

$$\begin{cases} \mu(\cdot)G(\cdot) + (1 - \mu(\cdot))\Phi(\cdot) \in B(\bar{U}, Y; L, T) \text{ for any} \\ \text{continuous map } \mu : \bar{U} \rightarrow [0, 1] \text{ with } \mu(\partial U) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.20)$$

For any selection $\Lambda \in D(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$ of G and any selection $\phi \in D(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$ of Φ assume

$$\begin{cases} K = \{x \in \bar{U} : (L + T)^{-1}(F + T)(x) \cap (L + T)^{-1}[t\Lambda(x) + (1 - t)\phi(x) + T(x)] \neq \emptyset \\ \text{for some } t \in [0, 1]\} \text{ is closed and } K \text{ does not intersect } \partial U \end{cases} \quad (2.21)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \mu(\cdot)\Lambda(\cdot) + (1 - \mu(\cdot))\phi(\cdot) \in D(\bar{U}, Y; L, T) \text{ for any continuous} \\ \text{map } \mu : \bar{U} \rightarrow [0, 1] \text{ with } \mu(\partial U) = 0 \text{ and } \mu(K) = 1. \end{cases} \quad (2.22)$$

Then there exists $x \in U$ with $(L + T)^{-1}(F + T)(x) \cap (L + T)^{-1}(\Lambda + T)(x) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Let $\Lambda \in D(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$ be any selection of G and $\phi \in D(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$ be any selection of Φ and let K be as in (2.21). Now $K \neq \emptyset$ (see Remark 2.28) is closed and $K \cap \partial U = \emptyset$ so there exists a continuous map $\mu : \bar{U} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with $\mu(\partial U) = 0$ and $\mu(K) = 1$. Define the mappings J and Ψ by

$$J(x) = \mu(x)G(x) + (1 - \mu(x))\Phi(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi(x) = \mu(x)\Lambda(x) + (1 - \mu(x))\phi(x)$$

Now $J \in B_{\Phi}(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$ (note if $x \in \partial U$ then since $\mu(x) = 0$ we have $(L + T)^{-1}(J + T)(x) = (L + T)^{-1}(\Phi + T)(x)$) and $\Psi \in D(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$ is a selection of J . Now since F is (L, T) - Φ -epi there exists $x \in U$ with $(L + T)^{-1}(F + T)(x) \cap (L + T)^{-1}(\Psi + T)(x) \neq \emptyset$. Thus $x \in K$ so $\mu(x) = 1$, and as a result

$$(L + T)^{-1}(F + T)(x) \cap (L + T)^{-1}(\Lambda + T)(x) \neq \emptyset. \quad \square$$

Theorem 2.30. *Let E be a normal topological vector space, Y a topological vector space, U an open subset of E , $L : \text{dom } L \subseteq E \rightarrow Y$ a linear single valued map, $T \in H_L(E, Y)$, $F \in A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$ is (L, T) - Φ -epi, H is a map defined on $\bar{U} \times [0, 1]$ with values in Y with $(L + T)^{-1}H(x, 0) = \{0\}$ for $x \in \partial U$, $F(\cdot) - H(\cdot, 1) \in A(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$ and assume*

$$\begin{cases} \{x \in \bar{U} : (L + T)^{-1}(F + T)(x) \cap (L + T)^{-1}[\Phi(x) + H(x, t) + T(x)] \neq \emptyset \\ \text{for some } t \in [0, 1]\} \text{ does not intersect } \partial U. \end{cases} \quad (2.23)$$

Assume there exists a selection h of H such that for any $G \in B_{\Phi}(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$ and any selection $\Psi \in D(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$ of G we have

$$G(\cdot) + H(\cdot, 0) \in B(\bar{U}, Y; L, T) \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi(\cdot) + h(\cdot, 0) \in D(\bar{U}, Y; L, T) \quad (2.24)$$

$$\begin{cases} K = \{x \in \bar{U} : (L + T)^{-1}(F + T)(x) \cap (L + T)^{-1}[\Psi(x) + h(x, t) + T(x)] \neq \emptyset \\ \text{for some } t \in [0, 1]\} \text{ is closed} \end{cases} \quad (2.25)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} G(\cdot) + H(\cdot, \mu(\cdot)) \in B(\bar{U}, Y; L, T) \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi(\cdot) + h(\cdot, \mu(\cdot)) \in D(\bar{U}, Y; L, T) \\ \text{for any continuous map } \mu : \bar{U} \rightarrow [0, 1] \text{ with } \mu(\partial U) = 0 \text{ and } \mu(K) = 1. \end{cases} \quad (2.26)$$

Then $F(\cdot) - H(\cdot, 1)$ is (L, T) - Φ -epi.

Proof. Let $G \in B_{\Phi}(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$ and let $\Psi \in D(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$ be any selection of G . Let h, H be as in the statement of Theorem 2.30 and let K be as in (2.25). Consider $t = 0$. Now $G(\cdot) + H(\cdot, 0) \in B_{\Phi}(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$ (note for $x \in \partial U$ we have

$$(L + T)^{-1}[G(x) + H(x, 0) + T(x)] = (L + T)^{-1}(G + T)(x) \subseteq (L + T)^{-1}(\Phi + T)(x)$$

since $G \in B_{\Phi}(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$, $\Psi(\cdot) + h(\cdot, 0) \in D(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$ is a selection of $G(\cdot) + H(\cdot, 0)$, and since F is (L, T) Φ -epi we see there exists $x \in U$ with

$$(L + T)^{-1}(F + T)(x) \cap (L + T)^{-1}[\Psi(x) + h(x, 0) + T(x)] \neq \emptyset,$$

so $K \neq \emptyset$. In addition K is closed and note $K \cap \partial U = \emptyset$ (note if $x \in \partial U$ then $(L + T)^{-1}(F + T)(x) \cap (L + T)^{-1}[\Psi(x) + h(x, t) + T(x)] \subseteq (L + T)^{-1}(F + T)(x) \cap (L + T)^{-1}[G(x) + H(x, t) + T(x)] \subseteq (L + T)^{-1}(F + T)(x) \cap (L + T)^{-1}[\Phi(x) + H(x, t) + T(x)]$, and note (2.23)). Then there exists a continuous map $\mu : \bar{U} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with $\mu(\partial U) = 0$ and $\mu(K) = 1$. Define maps J and Λ by

$$J(x) = G(x) + H(x, \mu(x)) \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda(x) = \Psi(x) + h(x, \mu(x)).$$

Note from (2.26) that $J \in B_{\Phi}(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$ (note if $x \in \partial U$ then

$$\begin{aligned} (L + T)^{-1}(J + T)(x) &= (L + T)^{-1}[G(x) + H(x, 0) + T(x)] \\ &= (L + T)^{-1}(G + T)(x) \subseteq (L + T)^{-1}(\Phi + T)(x) \end{aligned}$$

since $G \in B_{\Phi}(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$) and $\Lambda \in D(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$ is a selection of J . Now since F is (L, T) Φ -epi there exists $x \in U$ with $(L + T)^{-1}(F + T)(x) \cap (L + T)^{-1}(\Lambda + T)(x) \neq \emptyset$ i.e. $(L + T)^{-1}(F + T)(x) \cap (L + T)^{-1}(\Psi(x) + h(x, \mu(x)) + T(x)) \neq \emptyset$. Thus $x \in K$ so $\mu(x) = 1$ and as a result

$$(L + T)^{-1}(F + T)(x) \cap (L + T)^{-1}(\Psi(x) + h(x, 1) + T(x)) \neq \emptyset. \quad \square$$

Remark 2.31. We can remove the assumption that E is normal in the statement of Theorem 2.29 and Theorem 2.30 provided we put conditions on the maps so that K is compact.

Remark 2.32. Note in Theorem 2.30 we could replace (2.23) with either (here Ψ and h are as described in the statement of Theorem 2.30)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \{x \in \bar{U} : (L + T)^{-1}(F + T)(x) \cap (L + T)^{-1}[\Psi(x) + h(x, t) + T(x)] \neq \emptyset \\ \text{for some } t \in [0, 1]\} \text{ does not intersect } \partial U \end{array} \right.$$

or

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \{x \in \bar{U} : (L + T)^{-1}(F + T)(x) \cap (L + T)^{-1}[G(x) + H(x, t) + T(x)] \neq \emptyset \\ \text{for some } t \in [0, 1]\} \text{ does not intersect } \partial U. \end{array} \right.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] R.P. Agarwal, D. O'Regan, *Continuation methods for closed, weakly closed, DKT and WDKT maps*, Computers Math. with Appl., **38**(1999), 81-88.
- [2] R.P. Agarwal, D. O'Regan, *An essential map theory for U_c^c and PK maps*, Topological Meth. Nonlinear Anal., **21**(2003), 375-386.
- [3] C.D. Aliprantis, K.C. Border, *Infinite Dimensional Analysis*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1994.
- [4] M. Furi, M. Martelli, A. Vignoli, *On the solvability of nonlinear operator equations in normed spaces*, Ann. Math. Pura Appl., **124**(1980), 321-343.
- [5] G. Gabor, L. Gorniewicz, M. Slosarski, *Generalized topological essentiality and coincidence points of multivalued maps*, Set-Valued Anal., **17**(2009), 1-19.
- [6] L. Gorniewicz, *Topological Fixed Point Theory of Multivalued Mappings* (second edition), Springer, Dordrecht, 2006.
- [7] L. Gorniewicz, M. Slosarski, *Topological essentiality and differential inclusions*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., **45**(1992), 177-193.

- [8] E. Michael, *A selection theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **17**(1966), 1404-1406.
- [9] D. O'Regan, *Coincidence methods based on essential and 0-epi maps*, Acta Applicandae Math., **54**(1998), 319-330.
- [10] D. O'Regan, *Fixed point theorems for the B^k -admissible maps of Park*, Applicable Anal., **79**(2001), 173-185.
- [11] D. O'Regan, *Coincidence points for multivalued maps based on Φ -epi and Φ -essential maps*, Dynamic Systems and Appl., **24**(2015), 143-154.

Received: November 28, 2016; Accepted: January 30, 2017.