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#### Abstract

In this paper we study the existence of weak solutions $x \in C[I, E]$ for the nonlinear functional integral inclusion $$
x(t) \in a(t)+\int_{0}^{t} F(t, s, g(s, x(m(s)))) d s, t \in I=[0, T]
$$ where $E$ is reflexive Banach space and the set-valued function $F$ satisfy Caratheodory condition. Key Words and Phrases: Set-valued functions, weak solutions, functional integral inclusion, fixed point, Caratheodory condition. 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46Txx, 47Hxx, 39Bxx, 47H30, 47H10.


## 1. Introduction

Let $I=[0, T]$ and let $L^{1}(I)$ be the class of all Lebesgue integrable functions defined on the interval $I$. Let $E$ be a reflexive Banach space with norm $\|$.$\| and dual E^{*}$. Denote $C[I, E]$ the Banach space of strongly continuous functions $x: I \rightarrow E$ with sup-norm.
Consider the functional integral inclusion

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t) \in a(t)+\int_{0}^{t} F(t, s, g(s, x(m(s)))) d s \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F: I \times I \times E \rightarrow P(E)$ is a nonlinear set-valued mapping, and $P(E)$ denote the family of nonempty subsets of the Banach space $E$.
Here we study the existence of weak solutions $x \in C[I, E]$ of the functional integral inclusion (1.1) in the reflexive Banach space $E$.
In the past few years, several papers have been devoted to the study of integral equations by different authors under different conditions on the kernel (see for instance [2]-[4] and [8]-[9] and their references). However very few results are available for integral inclusions (see [1], [6] and [10]).
The fundamental tools used in the proofs of all above mentioned works are essentially fixed point arguments or iterative methods.

In this paper, using a fixed point theorem, we shall be concerned with the existence of weak solutions $x \in C[I, E]$ of the functional integral inclusion (1.1), under the assumption that the set-valued function $F$ satisfy Caratheodory condition.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section we introduce definitions and some auxiliary results which are used throughout this paper.
let $E$ be a Banach space and let $x: I \rightarrow E$. Then
(1) $x($.$) is said to be weakly continuous (measurable) at t_{0} \in I$ if for every $\phi \in E^{*}, \phi(x()$.$) is continuous (measurable) at t_{0}$.
(2) A function $h: E \rightarrow E$ is said to be sequentially continuous if $h$ maps weakly convergent sequence in $E$ to weakly convergent sequence in $E$.

If $x$ is weakly continuous on $I$, then $x$ is strongly measurable and hence weakly measurable (see [5] and [7]). Note that in reflexive Banach spaces weakly measurable functions are Pettis integrable (see [7] and [12] ) if and only if $\phi(x()$.$) is Lebesgue$ integrable on $I$ for every $\phi \in E^{*}$.

Now we state a fixed point theorem and some propositions which will be used in the sequal (see [11]).
Theorem 2.1. (O'Regan fixed point theorem) Let $E$ be a Banach space and let $Q$ be a nonempty, bounded, closed and convex subset of the space $(C[0, T], E)$ and let $A: Q \rightarrow Q$ be a weakly sequentially continuous and assume that $A Q(t)$ is relatively weakly compact in $E$ for each $t \in[0, T]$. Then $A$ has a fixed point in the set $Q$.
Proposition 2.2. A subset of a reflexive Banach space is weakly compact if and only if it is closed in the weak topology and bounded in the norm topology.
Proposition 2.3. Let $E$ be a normed space with $y \neq 0$. Then there exists a $\phi \in E^{*}$ with $\|\phi\|=1$ and $\|y\|=\phi(y)$.
Definition 2.4. ( $L^{1}$-Caratheodory) The multivalued map $F: I \times E \rightarrow P(E)$ is $L^{1}$-Caratheodory if the following conditions hold:
(i) $t \rightarrow F(t, y)$ is measurable for each $y \in E$,
(ii) $y \rightarrow F(t, y)$ is upper semicontinuous for almost all $t \in I$.
(iii) For each $r>0$, there exists $h_{r} \in L^{1}(I, E)$ such that
$\|F(t, y)\|=\sup \{\|f\|: f \in F(t, y)\} \leq h_{r}(t)$, for all $\|y\| \leq r$, and for almost all $t \in I$.
Definition 2.5. Let $S_{F(., x(.))}^{1}=\left\{f \in L^{1}(I, E): f(t) \in F(t, x(t))\right.$ for a.e. $\left.t \in I\right\}$ denote the set of selections of $F$ that belongs to $L^{1}[I, E]$.

## 3. Main Results

Consider now the functional integral inclusion (1.1) under the following assumptions
(1) $t \rightarrow F(t, s, y)$ is measurable for each $y \in E$.
(2) $y \rightarrow F(t, s, y)$ is upper semicontinuous for almost all $(t, s) \in I \times I$.
(3) For each $r_{1}>0$, there exists an integrable function $k(t, s),(t, s) \in I \times I$ such that

$$
\|F(t, s, y)\|=\sup \{\|f\|: f \in F(t, s, y)\} \leq|k(t, s)|
$$

for all $\|y\| \leq r_{1}$ and for almost all $(t, s) \in I \times I$.
(4) The set of all Caratheodory selections $S_{F(t, ., x(.))}^{1}$ is nonempty.
(5) $g(., x)$ is weakly measurable on $I$ for every $x \in E$.
(6) $g$ satisfies the weakly Lipschitz condition

$$
\phi(g(t, x)-g(t, y)) \leq L \phi(x-y)
$$

for every $(t, x),(t, y) \in I \times E$.
(7) $m:[0, T] \rightarrow[0, T], m(t) \leq t$ is continuous function.
(8) $a:[0, T] \rightarrow[0, T]$ is continuous function.
(9) $\int_{0}^{t}|k(t, s)| d s \leq K, \forall t \in I$.

Example 3.1. Let $\bar{S}=\{x \in E:\|x\| \leq 1\}$ and $I=[0, T]$. Consider a multifunction $F: I \times I \times \bar{S} \rightarrow P(E)$ defined by

$$
F(t, s, x)=k(t, s) \bar{S}, t, s \in I=[0, T] .
$$

Then $F$ is $L^{1}$-Caratheodory. In fact, for the norm in the Banach space we have

$$
\|F(t, s, x)\|=\sup \{\|f\|: f \in F(t, s, x)\} \leq|k(t, s)|
$$

for almost all $(t, s) \in I \times I$.
Remark 3.2. From the assumptions (1)-(4), there exists $f \in S_{F}$ such that

$$
\|f(t, s, y)\| \leq|k(t, s)|
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=a(t)+\int_{0}^{t} f(t, s, g(s, x(m(s))) d s, t \in[0, T] \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then the solution of the functional integral equation (3.1), if it exists, is a solution of the functional integral inclusion (1.1).

Now, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(t)=g(t, x(m(t))), t \in[0, T], \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then from (3.1) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=a(t)+\int_{0}^{t} f(t, s, y(s)) d s, t \in[0, T] \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the functional integral equation (3.1) is equivalent to the coupled system (3.2) and (3.3).
Consider now the coupled system (3.2) and (3.3).
Definition 3.3. By a weak solution of the coupled system (3.2) and (3.3) we mean the ordered pair of functions $(x, y), x, y \in C[I, E]$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\phi(x(t))=a(t)+\int_{0}^{t} \phi(f(t, s, y(s))) d s, t \in[0, T] \\
\phi(y(t))=\phi(g(s, x(m(s)))), t \in[0, T]
\end{gathered}
$$

for all $\phi \in E^{*}$.
For the existence of weak solutions $x, y \in C[I, E]$ of the coupled system (3.2) and (3.3) we have the following theorem

Theorem 3.4. Let the assumptions (1)-(9) be satisfied. Then the coupled system (3.2) and (3.3) has a weak solution $x, y \in C[I, E]$.

Proof. Let $X$ be the class of all ordered pair $U=(x, y), x, y \in C[I, E]$, with norm

$$
\|(x, y)\|=\|x\|+\|y\|
$$

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
U(t) & =(x(t), y(t)) \\
& =\left(a(t)+\int_{0}^{t} f(t, s, y(s)) d s, g(t, x(m(t)))\right), t \in[0, T]
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $A$ be any operator defined by

$$
A U(t)=A(x(t), y(t))=\left(A_{1} y(t), A_{2} x(t)\right)
$$

where

$$
A_{1} y(t)=a(t)+\int_{0}^{t} f(t, s, y(s)) d s, t \in[0, T]
$$

and

$$
A_{2} x(t)=g(t, x(m(t))), t \in[0, T] .
$$

Define the set $Q_{r}$ by

$$
Q_{r}=\left\{U=(x, y) \in X: x, y \in C[I, E],\|y\| \leq r_{1},\|x\| \leq r_{2}, r=r_{1}+r_{2}\right\} .
$$

Let $U=(x, y) \in Q_{r}$ be an arbitrary ordered pair, then from proposition 2.3 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|A_{1} y(t)\right\| & =\phi\left(A_{1} y(t)\right) \\
& =\phi(a(t))+\int_{0}^{t} \phi(f(t, s, y(s))) d s \\
& =\|a\|+\int_{0}^{t}\|f(t, s, y(s))\| d s \\
& \leq\|a\|+\int_{0}^{t}|k(t, s)| d s \\
& \leq\|a\|+K .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\left\|A_{1} y(t)\right\| \leq\|a\|+K=r_{1}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|A_{2} x(t)\right\| & =\phi\left(A_{2} x(t)\right) \\
& =\phi(g(t, x(m(t)))) \\
& =L \phi(x)+\sup \phi(g(t, 0)) \\
& \leq L\|x\|+M,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $M=\sup \phi(g(t, 0))$.
Then

$$
\left\|A_{2} x(t)\right\| \leq L r_{2}+M=r_{2}, \text { where } r_{2}=\frac{M}{1-L}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|A U(t)\| & =\left\|A_{1} y(t)\right\|+\left\|A_{2} x(t)\right\| \\
& \leq\|a\|+K+L r_{2}+M \\
& =r .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\|A U\| \leq r
$$

Hence, $A U \in Q_{r}$, which proves that $A Q_{r} \subset Q_{r}$, i.e. $A: Q_{r} \rightarrow Q_{r}$, and the class of functions $\left\{A Q_{r}\right\}$ is uniformly bounded.
Now $Q_{r}$ is nonempty, closed, convex and uniformly bounded.
As a consequence of proposition 2.2 , then $\left\{A Q_{r}\right\}$ is relatively weakly compact.
Now, we shall prove that $A: X \rightarrow X$.
Let $t_{1}, t_{2} \in I, t_{1}<t_{2}$ (without loss of generality assume that $\left\|A U\left(t_{2}\right)-A U\left(t_{1}\right)\right\| \neq 0$ ), then

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{1} y\left(t_{2}\right)-A_{1} y\left(t_{1}\right) & =\left(a\left(t_{2}\right)-a\left(t_{1}\right)\right)+\int_{0}^{t_{2}} f\left(t_{2}, s, y(s)\right) d s-\int_{0}^{t_{1}} f\left(t_{1}, s, y(s)\right) d s \\
& =\left(a\left(t_{2}\right)-a\left(t_{1}\right)\right)+\int_{0}^{t_{1}} f\left(t_{2}, s, y(s)\right) d s+\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} f\left(t_{2}, s, y(s)\right) d s \\
& -\int_{0}^{t_{1}} f\left(t_{1}, s, y(s)\right) d s \\
& =\left(a\left(t_{2}\right)-a\left(t_{1}\right)\right)+\int_{0}^{t_{1}}\left(f\left(t_{2}, s, y(s)\right)-f\left(t_{1}, s, y(s)\right)\right) d s \\
& +\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} f\left(t_{2}, s, y(s)\right) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore as a consequence of proposition 2.3, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|A_{1} y\left(t_{2}\right)-A_{1} y\left(t_{1}\right)\right\| & =\phi\left(A_{1} y\left(t_{2}\right)-A_{1} y\left(t_{1}\right)\right) \\
& =\phi\left(a\left(t_{2}\right)-a\left(t_{1}\right)\right)+\int_{0}^{t_{1}} \phi\left(f\left(t_{2}, s, y(s)\right)-f\left(t_{1}, s, y(s)\right)\right) d s \\
& +\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \phi\left(f\left(t_{2}, s, y(s)\right)\right) d s \\
& =\left\|a\left(t_{2}\right)-a\left(t_{1}\right)\right\|+\int_{0}^{t_{1}}\left\|f\left(t_{2}, s, y(s)\right)-f\left(t_{1}, s, y(s)\right)\right\| d s \\
& +\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left\|f\left(t_{2}, s, y(s)\right)\right\| d s \\
& \leq\left\|a\left(t_{2}\right)-a\left(t_{1}\right)\right\|+\int_{0}^{t_{1}}\left\|f\left(t_{2}, s, y(s)\right)-f\left(t_{1}, s, y(s)\right)\right\| d s \\
& +\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}|k(t, s)| d s
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|A_{2} x\left(t_{2}\right)-A_{2} x\left(t_{1}\right)\right\| & =\phi\left(A_{2} x\left(t_{2}\right)-A_{2} x\left(t_{1}\right)\right) \\
& =\phi\left(g\left(t_{2}, x\left(m\left(t_{2}\right)\right)\right)-g\left(t_{1}, x\left(m\left(t_{1}\right)\right)\right)\right) \\
& \leq \phi\left(g\left(t_{2}, x\left(m\left(t_{2}\right)\right)\right)-g\left(t_{2}, x\left(m\left(t_{1}\right)\right)\right)\right) \\
& +\phi\left(g\left(t_{2}, x\left(m\left(t_{1}\right)\right)\right)-g\left(t_{1}, x\left(m\left(t_{1}\right)\right)\right)\right) \\
& \leq L \phi\left(x\left(m\left(t_{2}\right)\right)-x\left(m\left(t_{1}\right)\right)\right) \\
& +\phi\left(g\left(t_{2}, x\left(m\left(t_{1}\right)\right)\right)-g\left(t_{1}, x\left(m\left(t_{1}\right)\right)\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|A U\left(t_{2}\right)-A U\left(t_{1}\right)\right\| & =\left\|\left(A_{1} y\left(t_{2}\right), A_{2} x\left(t_{2}\right)\right)-\left(A_{1} y\left(t_{1}\right), A_{2} x\left(t_{1}\right)\right)\right\| \\
& =\left\|\left(\left(A_{1} y\left(t_{2}\right)-A_{1} y\left(t_{1}\right)\right),\left(A_{2} x\left(t_{2}\right)-A_{2} x\left(t_{1}\right)\right)\right)\right\| \\
& =\left\|A_{1} y\left(t_{2}\right)-A_{1} y\left(t_{1}\right)\right\|+\left\|A_{2} x\left(t_{2}\right)-A_{2} x\left(t_{1}\right)\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves that $A: X \rightarrow X$.
Finally, we prove that $A$ is weakly sequentially continuous.
Let $\left\{U_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in $Q_{r}$ converges weakly to $U \forall t \in I$, then we have the two sequences $\left\{y_{n}\right\},\left\{x_{n}\right\}$, such that $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $y$ and $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges weakly to $x$, i.e. $y_{n} \rightarrow y, x_{n} \rightharpoonup x, \forall t \in I$.
Since $g(t, x(m(t)))$ is weakly Lipschitz in $x$, i.e. weakly continuous in $x$, then $g\left(t, x_{n}(m(t))\right)$ converges weakly to $g(t, x(m(t)))$.
Thus $\phi\left(g\left(t, x_{n}(m(t))\right)\right)$ converges strongly to $\phi(g(t, x(m(t))))$, and $\phi\left(f\left(t, s, y_{n}(s)\right)\right)$ converges strongly to $\phi(f(t, s, y(s)))$.
Also,

$$
\|f(t, s, y)\| \leq|k(t, s)| .
$$

Applying Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem for Pettis integral, then we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi\left(A_{1} y_{n}(t)\right) & =\phi\left(a(t)+\int_{0}^{t} f\left(t, s, y_{n}(s)\right)\right) \\
& =\phi(a(t))+\int_{0}^{t} \phi\left(f\left(t, s, y_{n}(s)\right)\right) \\
& \rightarrow \phi(a(t))+\int_{0}^{t} \phi(f(t, s, y(s))) \\
& \rightarrow\|a(t)\|+\int_{0}^{t}\|f(t, s, y(s))\|, \forall \phi \in E^{*}, t \in I
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e. $\phi\left(A_{1} y_{n}(t)\right) \rightarrow \phi\left(A_{1} y(t)\right)$, and then

$$
\left\|A_{1} y_{n}(t)\right\| \rightarrow\left\|A_{1} y(t)\right\| .
$$

Also

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi\left(A_{2} x_{n}(t)\right) & =\phi\left(g\left(t, x_{n}(m(t))\right)\right) \\
& \rightarrow \phi(g(t, x(m(t)))) \\
& \rightarrow\|g(t, x(m(t)))\|, \forall \phi \in E^{*}, t \in I
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e. $\phi\left(A_{2} x_{n}(t)\right) \rightarrow \phi\left(A_{2} x(t)\right)$, and then

$$
\left\|A_{2} x_{n}(t)\right\| \rightarrow\left\|A_{2} x(t)\right\| .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|A U_{n}(t)\right\| & =\left\|A\left(x_{n}(t), y_{n}(t)\right)\right\| \\
& =\left\|\left(A_{1} y_{n}(t), A_{2} x_{n}(t)\right)\right\| \\
& =\left\|A_{1} y_{n}(t)\right\|+\left\|A_{2} x_{n}(t)\right\| \\
& \rightarrow\left\|A_{1} y(t)\right\|+\left\|A_{2} x(t)\right\| \\
& \rightarrow\left\|\left(A_{1} y(t), A_{2} x(t)\right)\right\| \\
& \rightarrow\|A U(t)\|
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $A$ is weakly sequentially continuous (i.e. $A U_{n}(t) \rightarrow A U(t), \forall t \in I$ weakly). Since all conditions of O'Regan theorem are satisfied, then the operator $A$ has at least one fixed point $U \in Q_{r}$ and then the coupled system (3.2) and (3.3) has at least one weak solution $(x, y) \in X$, then there exists at least one weak solution $x \in C[I, E]$ of the functional integral equation (3.2).

Consequently, there exists at least one weak solution $x \in C[I, E]$ of the functional integral inclusion (1.1).
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