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## 1. Introduction

Let $C$ and $Q$ be nonempty closed convex subsets of real Hilbert spaces $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$, respectively, and $A: H_{1} \rightarrow H_{2}$ be a bounded linear operator. The split feasibility problem (in short, SFP) is to find a point $x$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \in C \quad \text { and } \quad A x \in Q \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Throughout the paper, we denote by $\Gamma$ the solution set of the split feasibility problem (SFP), that is,

$$
\Gamma=\{x \in C: A x \in Q\}=C \cap A^{-1}(Q)
$$

During the last decade, the split feasibility problems (in short, SFP) are emerged as models of several problems, namely, signal processing, phase retrievals, image reconstruction, intensity-modulated radiation therapy, etc, see, for example, $[1,3,4,5,6,10]$. Several iterative methods have appeared in the literature to compute the approximate solutions of such problems. For a comprehensive bibliography and
survey on split feasibility problems, we refer to [3] and the references therein. Finding the common solution of a split feasibility problem and a fixed point problem is one of the core interests of many researchers, see, for example $[3,7,8,9,11]$ and the references therein. Recently, Ceng et al. [8] introduced a relaxed extragradient method with regularization for finding a common element of the solution set of SFP and the set $\operatorname{Fix}(T)$ of the fixed points of a nonexpansive mapping $T$. Very recently, inspired by the work of Ceng et al. [8] and Xu [19], Deepho and Kumam [11] introduced and analyzed a relaxed extragradient method with regularization for finding a common element of the solution set $\Gamma$ of the split feasibility problem and fixed points set $\operatorname{Fix}(T)$ of an uniformly Lipschitz continuous and asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings in the setting of real Hilbert spaces.
Definition 1.1. Let $K$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H$ and $T: K \rightarrow K$ be a mapping whose fixed points set is denoted by $\operatorname{Fix}(T)$ and $R(T)$ denotes the range of $T$. The mapping $T$ is said to be:
(a) asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive [16] if there exists a sequence $\left\{\nu_{n}\right\} \subset[0, \infty)$ with $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu_{n}=0$ such that
$\left\|T^{n} x-p\right\| \leq\left(1+\nu_{n}\right)\|x-p\|, \quad$ for all $x \in K, p \in \operatorname{Fix}(T)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$;
(b) asymptotically $k$-strict pseudo-contractive mapping [13] with sequence $\left\{\nu_{n}\right\}$ if there exist a constant $k \in[0,1)$ and a sequence $\left\{\nu_{n}\right\} \subset[0, \infty)$ with $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu_{n}=$ 0 such that

$$
\left\|T^{n} x-T^{n} y\right\|^{2} \leq\left(1+\nu_{n}\right)\|x-y\|^{2}+k\left\|x-T^{n} x-\left(y-T^{n} y\right)\right\|^{2}
$$

for all $x, y \in K$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$;
(c) asymptotically $k$-strict pseudo-contractive mapping in the intermediate sense [18] with sequence $\left\{\nu_{n}\right\}$ if there exist a constant $k \in[0,1)$ and a sequence $\left\{\nu_{n}\right\} \subset[0, \infty)$ with $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu_{n}=0$ such that
$\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{x, y \in K}\left(\left\|T^{n} x-T^{n} y\right\|^{2}-\left(1+\nu_{n}\right)\|x-y\|^{2}-k\left\|x-T^{n} x-\left(y-T^{n} y\right)\right\|^{2}\right) \leq 0$.

Throughout this paper, we assume that
$c_{n}:=\max \left\{0, \sup _{x, y \in K}\left(\left\|T^{n} x-T^{n} y\right\|^{2}-\left(1+\nu_{n}\right)\|x-y\|^{2}-k\left\|x-T^{n} x-\left(y-T^{n} y\right)\right\|^{2}\right)\right\}$.
Then, $c_{n} \geq 0$ for all $n \in N, c_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and inequality (1.2) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T^{n} x-T^{n} y\right\|^{2} \leq\left(1+\nu_{n}\right)\|x-y\|^{2}+k\left\|x-T^{n} x-\left(y-T^{n} y\right)\right\|^{2}+c_{n} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in K$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
When $\operatorname{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset$, and $\nu_{n}=0$, then every asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mapping $T$ is asymptotically $k$-strict pseudo-contractive mapping in the intermediate, but the converse is not true. For example, let $K=[0,1]$ and $T: K \rightarrow K$ be a mapping defined by

$$
T x=\frac{x}{2 x+1}, \quad \text { for all } x \in K
$$

Then,

$$
T^{n} x=\frac{x}{2 n x+1}, \quad \text { for all } x \in K, n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

$\operatorname{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset$ and $T$ is asymptotically $k$-strict pseudo-contractive in intermediate sense but not asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive. The purpose of this paper is to consider and analyze the relaxed extragradient method with regularization proposed in [11] for finding a common element of $\Gamma$ and $\operatorname{Fix}(T)$, where $T$ is an asymptotically $k$ strict pseudo-contractive mapping in intermediate sense. We prove that the sequence generated by the considered algorithm converges weakly to an element of $\operatorname{Fix}(T) \cap \Gamma$. We provide an example to show that our result is applicable, but the result given in [11] is not.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space whose inner product and norm are denoted by $\langle.,$. and $\|$.$\| , respectively. We write x_{n} \rightarrow x$ (respectively, $x_{n} \rightharpoonup x$ ) to indicate that the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly (respectively, weakly) to $x$. Let $K$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $H$. For every $x \in H$, there exists a unique nearest point in $K$, denoted by $P_{K} x$ such that

$$
\left\|x-P_{K} x\right\| \leq\|x-y\|, \quad \text { for all } y \in K
$$

where $P_{K}$ is called the metric projection of $H$ onto $K$. It is well known that $P_{K}$ is a nonexpansive mapping from $H$ onto $K$. In the following proposition, we collect some known and useful properties of a metric projection which will be used in the sequel.
Proposition 2.1. [12] For a given $x \in H$ and $z \in K$, we have
(i) $z=P_{K} x$ if and only if $\langle x-z, y-z\rangle \geq 0$, for all $y \in K$;
(ii) $z=P_{K} x$ if and only if $\|x-z\|^{2} \leq\|x-y\|^{2}-\|y-z\|^{2}$, for all $y \in K$;
(iii) $\left\langle P_{K} x-P_{K} y, x-y\right\rangle \geq\left\|P_{K} x-P_{K} y\right\|^{2}$, for all $y \in H$.

Let $K$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H$ and $F: K \rightarrow H$ be a mapping. The variational inequality problem (VIP) is to find $x \in K$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle F x, y-x\rangle \geq 0, \quad \text { for all } y \in K \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution set of VIP is denoted by $\mathrm{VI}(K, F)$. For further details on variational inequalities, we refer to [2] and the references therein. It is well known that

$$
x \in \mathrm{VI}(K, F) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad x=P_{K}(x-\lambda F x), \quad \text { for all } \lambda>0
$$

A set-valued mapping $T: H \rightarrow 2^{H}$ is called monotone if

$$
\langle x-y, f-g\rangle \geq 0, \quad \text { whenever } f \in T x, g \in T y
$$

It is said to be maximal monotone if, in addition, the graph

$$
G(T)=\{(x, f) \in H \times H: f \in T x\}
$$

of $T$ is not properly contained in the graph of any other monotone operator. It is well known that a monotone mapping $T$ is maximal if and only if, for $(x, f) \in H \times H$,

$$
\langle x-y, f-g\rangle \geq 0 \text { for every }(y, g) \in G(T) \text { implies } f \in T x
$$

Let $F: K \rightarrow H$ be a monotone, that is, $\langle F x-F y, x-y\rangle \geq 0, \quad$ for all $x, y \in K$, and $k$-Lipshitz continuous mapping. Let $N_{K} v$ be the normal cone to $K$ at $v \in K$, that is,

$$
N_{K} v=\{w \in H:\langle v-u, w\rangle \geq 0, \quad \text { for all } u \in K\}
$$

Define

$$
T v=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
F v+N_{K} v, & \text { if } v \in K  \tag{2.5}\\
\emptyset, & \text { if } v \notin K
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then, $T$ is maximal monotone set-valued mapping as proved by Rockafellar [17]. It is well-konwn that if $0 \in T(v)$, then $-F v \in N_{K}(v)$, which is further equivalent to the variational inequality (2.4).

Proposition 2.2. [7] Let $C$ and $Q$ be nonempty closed convex subsets of Hilbert spaces $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$, respectively, and $A: H_{1} \rightarrow H_{2}$ be a bounded linear operator. For given $x^{*} \in H_{1}$, the following statement are equivalent.
(i) $x^{*}$ solves SFP;
(ii) $x^{*}$ solves fixed point equation $P_{C}\left(I-\lambda A^{*}\left(I-P_{Q}\right) A\right) x^{*}=x^{*}$;
(iii) $x^{*}$ solves variational inequality problem (VIP) of finding $x^{*} \in C$ such that

$$
\left\langle\nabla f\left(x^{*}\right), x-x^{*}\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \text { for all } x \in C
$$

where $\nabla f=A^{*}\left(I-P_{Q}\right) A$, and $A^{*}$ is the adjoint of $A$.
We now mention some known results which will be used in the sequel.
Lemma 2.1. Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space. Then, for all $x, y \in H$, we have
(i) $\|x-y\|^{2} \leq\|x\|^{2}+\|y\|^{2}$;
(ii) $\|\lambda x+(1-\lambda) y\|^{2}=\lambda\|x\|^{2}+(1-\lambda)\|y\|^{2}-\lambda(1-\lambda)\|x-y\|^{2}, \quad$ for all $\lambda \in[0,1]$.

Lemma 2.2. [18, Demiclosedness Principle] Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H$ and $T: C \rightarrow C$ be a continuous asymptotically $k$-strict pseudo-contractive mapping in the intermediate sense. Then, $I-T$ is demiclosed at zero in the sense that if $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence in $C$ such that $x_{n} \rightharpoonup x \in C$ and $\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-T^{m} x_{n}\right\|=0$, then $(I-T) x=0$.

Sahu et al.[18] extended Lemma 2.2 for uniformly continuous mappings and established the following result.
Lemma 2.3. [18, Lemma 2.7] Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H$ and $T: C \rightarrow C$ be a uniformly continuous asymptotically $k$-strict pseudo-contractive mapping in the intermediate sense with sequence $\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\}$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in $C$ such that $\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ and $\left\|x_{n}-T^{n} x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then, $\left\|x_{n}-T x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Lemma 2.4. [14, Lemma 1] Let $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty},\left\{b_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\left\{\delta_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be the sequences of nonnegative real numbers satisfying the inequality

$$
a_{n+1} \leq\left(1+\delta_{n}\right) a_{n}+b_{n}, \quad \text { for all } n \geq 1
$$

If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_{n}<\infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n}<\infty$, then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}$ exists. In particular, if $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ has a subsequence which converges strongly to zero, then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}=0$.

## 3. An Algorithm and a Convergence Result

Very recently, Deepho and Kumam [11] proposed the following algorithm for finding the common element of the solution set $\Gamma$ of the split feasibility problem and set Fix $(T)$ of all fixed points of an asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive and Lipschitz continuous mapping in a real Hilbert space.

Algorithm 3.1. Initialization: Take arbitrary $x_{0} \in H_{1}$.
First Step: For a given current $x_{n} \in H_{1}$, compute

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{n}=P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{n} \nabla f_{\alpha_{n}}\right)\left(x_{n}\right)  \tag{3.6}\\
& x_{n+1}=\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) x_{n}+\beta_{n} T^{n}\left(y_{n}\right), \quad \text { for all } n \geq 0
\end{align*}
$$

where $\nabla f_{\alpha_{n}}=\nabla f+\alpha_{n} I=A^{*}\left(I-P_{Q}\right) A+\alpha_{n} I$, where $I$ is an identity map and three sequences of parameters $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\},\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}$ satisfies the following conditions:
(i) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}<\infty$;
(ii) $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\} \subset[a, b]$ for some $a, b \in\left(0, \frac{1}{\|A\|^{2}}\right)$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{n+1}-\lambda_{n}\right|<\infty$;
(iii) $\left\{\beta_{n}\right\} \subset[c, d]$ for some $c, d \in(0,1)$.

Second Step: Update $n:=n+1$.
We establish the following weak convergence result for Algorithm 3.1, where $T$ : $C \rightarrow C$ is an uniformly continuous and asymptotically $k$-strict pseudo-contractive mapping in intermediate sense.

Theorem 3.1. Let $C$ be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H_{1}$ and $T: C \rightarrow C$ be an uniformly continuous and asymptotically $k$-strict pseudocontractive mapping in intermediate sense with sequence $\left\{\nu_{n}\right\}$ such that $\operatorname{Fix}(T) \cap \Gamma \neq \emptyset$ and $R(T)=C$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ be the sequences in $C$ generated by Algorithm 3.1. Assume that the sequences of parameters $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\},\left\{\beta_{n}\right\},\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\nu_{n}\right\}$ satisfy the following conditions:
(i) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}<\infty$;
(ii) $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\} \subset[a, b]$ for some $a, b \in\left(0, \frac{1}{\|A\|^{2}}\right)$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}<\infty$;
(iii) $\left\{\beta_{n}\right\} \subset[d, e]$ for some $d, e \in(0,1), 0<\beta_{n}<1-k<1$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_{n} c_{n}<\infty$, where $c_{n}$ is defined by (1.3);
(vi) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \nu_{n}<\infty$;
(v) $\left\{\nabla f_{\alpha_{n}}\left(x_{n}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a bounded sequence.

Then, both the sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ converge weakly to an element $x^{*} \in \operatorname{Fix}(T) \cap$ $\Gamma$.

Proof. Let $p \in \operatorname{Fix}(T) \cap \Gamma$ be arbitrarily chosen. Then, we have $T(p)=p \in C$ and $A p \in Q$. Therefore, $P_{C}(p)=p$ and $P_{Q}(A p)=A p$. Since $P_{C}$ is nonexpansive, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|^{2} & =\left\|P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{n} \nabla f_{\alpha_{n}}\right)\left(x_{n}\right)-P_{C}(p)\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|\left(x_{n}-p\right)-\lambda_{n} \nabla f_{\alpha_{n}}\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\lambda_{n}^{2}\left\|\nabla f_{\alpha_{n}}\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|^{2} \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $y_{n} \in C$ and $T^{n} y_{n} \in C$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|y_{n}-T^{n} y_{n}\right\|^{2} & =\left\|P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{n} \nabla f_{\alpha_{n}}\right)\left(x_{n}\right)-P_{C}\left(T^{n} y_{n}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|\left(x_{n}-T^{n} y_{n}\right)-\lambda_{n} \nabla f_{\alpha_{n}}\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-T^{n} y_{n}\right\|^{2}+\lambda_{n}^{2}\left\|\nabla f_{\alpha_{n}}\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|^{2} . \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

By asymptotically $k$-strict pseudo-contractiveness in intermediate sense with sequence $\left\{\nu_{n}\right\}$ of $T$, Lemma 2.1 (ii) and inequalities (3.7) and (3.8), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2} & =\left\|\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left(x_{n}-p\right)+\beta_{n}\left(T^{n} y_{n}-p\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\beta_{n}\left\|T^{n} y_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\beta_{n}\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|T^{n} y_{n}-x_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\beta_{n}\left\{\left(1+\nu_{n}\right)\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+k\left\|T^{n} y_{n}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}+c_{n}\right\} \\
& -\beta_{n}\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|T^{n} y_{n}-x_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\beta_{n}\left(1+\nu_{n}\right)\left\{\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\lambda_{n}^{2}\left\|\nabla f_{\alpha_{n}}\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|^{2}\right\} \\
& +\beta_{n} k\left\|x_{n}-T^{n} y_{n}\right\|^{2}+\beta_{n} k \lambda_{n}^{2}\left\|\nabla f_{\alpha_{n}}\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& +\beta_{n} c_{n}-\beta_{n}\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|T^{n} y_{n}-x_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left(1+\beta_{n} \nu_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\beta_{n} c_{n}-\beta_{n}\left(1-\beta_{n}-k\right)\left\|x_{n}-T^{n} y\right\|^{2} \\
& +\beta_{n} \lambda_{n}^{2}\left\|\nabla f_{\alpha_{n}}\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|^{2}+\beta_{n} \nu_{n} \lambda_{n}^{2}\left\|\nabla f_{\alpha_{n}}\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|^{2}+k \beta_{n} \lambda_{n}^{2}\left\|\nabla f_{\alpha_{n}}\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left(1+\beta_{n} \nu_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\beta_{n}\left(1-\beta_{n}-k\right)\left\|x_{n}-T^{n} y\right\|^{2} \\
& +\beta_{n}\left\{c_{n}+\left(1+\nu_{n}\right) \lambda_{n}^{2}\left\|\nabla f_{\alpha_{n}}\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|^{2}+k \lambda_{n}^{2}\left\|\nabla f_{\alpha_{n}}\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|^{2}\right\} \\
& \leq\left(1+\beta_{n} \nu_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\beta_{n}\left(1-\beta_{n}-k\right)\left\|x_{n}-T^{n} y\right\|^{2}  \tag{3.9}\\
& +\beta_{n}\left\{c_{n}+\left(1+\nu_{n}\right) \lambda_{n}^{2} M+k \lambda_{n}^{2} M\right\} \\
& \leq\left(1+\nu_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\beta_{n}\left\{c_{n}+\left(1+\nu_{n}\right) \lambda_{n}^{2} M+k \lambda_{n}^{2} M\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, we have

$$
\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2} \leq\left(1+\nu_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+b_{n}
$$

where $b_{n}=\beta_{n}\left\{c_{n}+\left(1+\nu_{n}\right) \lambda_{n}^{2} M+\lambda_{n}^{2} M\right\}$. Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \nu_{n}<\infty, 0<\beta_{n}<1$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_{n} c_{n}<\infty, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}<\infty, 0 \leq k<1$ and $M$ is a constant, we conclude that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n}<\infty$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.4 , we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| \quad \text { exists. }
$$

Also, from (3.7), we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|y_{n}-p\right\| \quad \text { exists. }
$$

Thus, from (3.9), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta_{n}\left(1-\beta_{n}-k\right)\left\|T^{n} y_{n}-x_{n}\right\|^{2} & \leq\left(1+\beta_{n} \nu_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2} \\
& +\beta_{n}\left\{c_{n}+\left(1+\nu_{n}\right) \lambda_{n}^{2} M+k \lambda_{n}^{2} M\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $T$ is asymptotically $k$-strict pseudo-contractive mapping in the intermediate with sequence $\left\{\nu_{n}\right\}$, then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu_{n}=0$, and by the conditions (ii) and (iii), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|T^{n} y_{n}-x_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using condition (ii), (3.10) and (3.8), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|T^{n} y_{n}-y_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Algorithm 3.1 and (3.10), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{n}\left\|T^{n} y_{n}-x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $y_{n}=P_{C}\left(x_{n}-\lambda_{n} \nabla f_{\alpha_{n}}\left(x_{n}\right)\right)$ and by Proposition 2.1 (ii), as in [11], we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \leq \| x_{n}- & p\left\|^{2}-\right\| x_{n}-y_{n}\left\|^{2}+2 \lambda_{n} \alpha_{n}\right\| p\| \| p-x_{n} \| \\
& +2 \lambda_{n}\left\|\nabla f_{\alpha_{n}}\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|+2 \lambda_{n}\left\|\nabla f_{\alpha_{n}}\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| . \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Consequently, by asymptotically $k$-strict pseudo-contractiveness in intermediate sense with sequence $\left\{\nu_{n}\right\}$ of $T$, utilizing Lemma 2.1 (ii) and inequality (3.13), we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2} & =\left\|\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left(x_{n}-p\right)+\beta_{n}\left(T^{n} y_{n}-p\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\beta_{n}\left\|T^{n} y_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\beta_{n}\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|T^{n} y_{n}-x_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\beta_{n}\left\{\left(1+\nu_{n}\right)\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+k\left\|y_{n}-T^{n} y_{n}\right\|+c_{n}\right\} \\
& -\beta_{n}\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|T^{n} y_{n}-x_{n}\right\|^{2} . \\
& \leq\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\beta_{n}\left(1+\nu_{n}\right)\left\{\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}\right. \\
& +2 \lambda_{n} \alpha_{n}\|p\|\left\|p-x_{n}\right\|+2 \lambda_{n}\left\|\nabla f_{\alpha_{n}}\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|\left\|y_{n}-p\right\| \\
& \left.+2 \lambda_{n}\left\|\nabla f_{\alpha_{n}}\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|\right\}+\beta_{n} k\left\|y_{n}-T^{n} y_{n}\right\|^{2}+\beta_{n} c_{n} \\
& -\beta_{n}\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|T^{n} y_{n}-x_{n}\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking limits both the sides and using the conditions (i)-(iv) and equation (3.10), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Algorithm 3.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|y_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\| & =\left\|P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{n+1} \nabla f_{\alpha_{n+1}}\right)\left(x_{n+1}\right)-P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{n} \nabla f_{\alpha_{n}}\right)\left(x_{n}\right)\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|+\lambda_{n+1}\left\|\nabla f_{\alpha_{n+1}}\left(x_{n+1}\right)\right\|^{2}+\lambda_{n}\left\|\nabla f_{\alpha_{n}}\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking limits both the sides and using condition (ii) and (3.12), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|y_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left\|y_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0,\left\|T^{n} y_{n}-y_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $T$ is uniformly continuous, we obtain from Lemma 2.3 that $\left\|T y_{n}-y_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a bounded sequence, there exists a subsequence $\left\{x_{n_{i}}\right\}$ of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ that converges weakly to some $x^{*}$. In fact, $x_{n} \rightharpoonup x^{*}$.

Indeed, let $\left\{x_{n_{j}}\right\}$ be another subsequence of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ such that $x_{n_{j}} \rightharpoonup \bar{x}$. Assume $x^{*} \neq \bar{x}$. From Opial condition [15], we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\| & =\liminf _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{i}}-x^{*}\right\|<\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{i}}-\bar{x}\right\| \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-\bar{x}\right\|=\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{j}}-\bar{x}\right\| \\
& <\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{j}}-x^{*}\right\|=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

This contradict to our assumption $x^{*} \neq \bar{x}$. Hence, $x_{n_{j}} \rightharpoonup x^{*}$. This shows that every subsequence of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges weakly to $x^{*}$. This implies that $x_{n} \rightharpoonup x^{*}$, and for all $f \in H$, we have $f\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow f\left(x^{*}\right)$. Next we show that $y_{n} \rightharpoonup x^{*}$. For all $f \in H$, we consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|f\left(y_{n}\right)-f\left(x^{*}\right)\right\| & =\left\|f\left(y_{n}\right)-f\left(x_{n}\right)+f\left(x_{n}\right)-f\left(x^{*}\right)\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|f\left(y_{n}\right)-f\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|+\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-f\left(x^{*}\right)\right\| \\
& \leq\|f\|\left\|y_{n}-x_{n}\right\|+\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-f\left(x^{*}\right)\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

From (3.14), we conclude that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|f\left(y_{n}\right)-f\left(x^{*}\right)\right\|=0$, for all $f \in H$. Hence, $y_{n} \rightharpoonup x^{*}$. Note that $T$ is uniformly continuous and $\left\|T y_{n}-y_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$, we see that $\left\|y_{n}-T^{m} y_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, by Lemma 2.2 , we obtain that $x^{*} \in \operatorname{Fix}(T)$. Now we show that $x^{*} \in \Gamma$. Let

$$
S w_{1}= \begin{cases}\lambda_{n} \nabla f w_{1}+N_{C} w_{1}, & \text { if } w_{1} \in C  \tag{3.16}\\ \emptyset, & \text { if } w_{1} \notin C\end{cases}
$$

where $N_{C} w_{1}=\left\{z \in H_{1}:\left\langle w_{1}-u, z\right\rangle \geq 0\right.$ for all $\left.u \in C\right\}$. To show that $x^{*} \in \Gamma$, it is sufficient to show that $0 \in S x^{*}$. Let $\left(w_{1}, z\right) \in G(S)$, we have $z \in S w_{1}=\lambda_{n} \nabla f w_{1}+$ $N_{C} w_{1}$, and hence, $z-\lambda_{n} \nabla f w_{1} \in N_{C} w_{1}$. So, we have $\left\langle w_{1}-u, z-\lambda_{n} \nabla f w_{1}\right\rangle \geq 0$ for all $u \in C$. Since $w_{1} \in C$, from Algorithm 3.1, we have $y_{n}=P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{n} \nabla f \alpha_{n}\right) x_{n}$, and then from Proposition 2.2 (i), we have

$$
\left\langle x_{n}-\lambda_{n} \nabla f \alpha_{n} x_{n}-y_{n}, y_{n}-w_{1}\right\rangle \geq 0
$$

and

$$
\left\langle w_{1}-y_{n}, y_{n}-x_{n}+\lambda_{n} \nabla f \alpha_{n} x_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0
$$

Since $z-\lambda_{n} \nabla f w_{1} \in N_{C} w_{1}$ and $y_{n_{i}} \in C$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle w_{1}-y_{n_{i}}, z\right\rangle & \geq\left\langle w_{1}-y_{n_{i}}, \lambda_{n_{i}} \nabla f w_{1}\right\rangle \\
& \geq\left\langle w_{1}-y_{n_{i}}, \lambda_{n_{i}} \nabla f w_{1}\right\rangle-\left\langle w_{1}-y_{n_{i}}, y_{n_{i}}-x_{n_{i}}+\lambda_{n_{i}} \nabla f \alpha_{n_{i}} x_{n_{i}}\right\rangle \\
& \geq\left\langle w_{1}-y_{n_{i}}, \lambda_{n_{i}} \nabla f w_{1}\right\rangle-\left\langle w_{1}-y_{n_{i}}, y_{n_{i}}-x_{n_{i}}+\lambda_{n_{i}} \nabla f x_{n_{i}}\right\rangle \\
& -\lambda_{n_{i}} \alpha_{n_{i}}\left\langle w_{1}-y_{n_{i}}, x_{n_{i}}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle w_{1}-y_{n_{i}}, \lambda_{n_{i}} \nabla f w_{1}-\lambda_{n_{i}} \nabla f y_{n_{i}}\right\rangle \\
& +\left\langle w_{1}-y_{n_{i}}, \lambda_{n_{i}} \nabla f y_{n_{i}}-\lambda_{n_{i}} \nabla f x_{n_{i}}\right\rangle \\
& -\left\langle w_{1}-y_{n_{i}}, y_{n_{i}}-x_{n_{i}}\right\rangle-\lambda_{n_{i}} \alpha_{n_{i}}\left\langle w_{1}-y_{n_{i}}, x_{n_{i}}\right\rangle \\
& \geq\left\langle w_{1}-y_{n_{i}}, \lambda_{n_{i}} \nabla f y_{n_{i}}-\lambda_{n_{i}} \nabla f x_{n_{i}}\right\rangle-\left\langle w_{1}-y_{n_{i}}, y_{n_{i}}-x_{n_{i}}\right\rangle \\
& -\lambda_{n_{i}} \alpha_{n_{i}}\left\langle w_{1}-y_{n_{i}}, x_{n_{i}}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking limit as $i \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$
\left\langle w_{1}-x^{*}, z\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \text { asi } \rightarrow \infty
$$

Since $\left\langle w_{1}-x^{*}, z-0\right\rangle \geq 0$ for every $\left(w_{1}, z\right) \in G(S)$, therefore the maximality of $S$ implies that $0 \in S x^{*}$. Thus, we have $x^{*} \in \mathrm{VI}(C, \nabla f)$. Finally, Proposition 2.2 implies that $x^{*} \in \Gamma$.

## 4. A Numerical Example

To illustrate Algorithm 3.1 and Theorem 3.1, we present the following example.
Example 4.1. Let $C=Q=[0,1]$ be a closed convex subset of $\mathbb{R}$ and $T: C \rightarrow C$ be defined by

$$
T x=\frac{x}{2 x+1}, \quad \text { for all } x \in C
$$

Then, $T$ is asymptotically k-strict pseudo-contractive mapping in intermediate sense, and

$$
T^{n} x=\frac{x}{2 x n+1}, \text { for all } n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

Let $A(x)=2 x$, for all $x \in C$, be a bounded linear operator. Let $\alpha_{n}=\frac{1}{n^{2}}, \beta_{n}=\frac{1}{9 n}$ and $\lambda_{n}=\frac{1}{9 n^{2}}$ be the sequences of parameters. Now, we show that $T$ is asymptotically $k$-strict pseudo-contractive mapping in intermediate sense with sequence $\left\{\nu_{n}\right\}$.

By the definition of asymptotically $k$-strict pseudo-contractive mapping in intermediate sense with sequence $\left\{\nu_{n}\right\}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|T^{n} x-T^{n} y\right|^{2} \leq\left(1+\nu_{n}\right)|x-y|^{2}+k\left|\left(x-T^{n} x\right)-\left(y-T^{n} y\right)\right|^{2}+c_{n} \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{\nu_{n}\right\} \subseteq[0, \infty)$ with $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu_{n}=0, k \in[0,1)$. If we take $1+\nu_{n}=k_{n}$, then $k_{n} \geq 1$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} k_{n}=1$, and thus (4.17) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|T^{n} x-T^{n} y\right|^{2} \leq k_{n}|x-y|^{2}+k\left|\left(x-T^{n} x\right)-\left(y-T^{n} y\right)\right|^{2}+c_{n} \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
c_{n}=\max \left\{0, \sup _{x, y \in[0,1]}\left\{\left|T^{n} x-T^{n} y\right|^{2}-k_{n}|x-y|^{2}-k\left|\left(x-T^{n} x\right)-\left(y-T^{n} y\right)\right|^{2}\right\}\right\}
$$

Indeed

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|T^{n} x-T^{n} y\right|^{2} & =\left|\frac{x}{2 n x+1}-\frac{y}{2 n y+1}\right|^{2} \\
& =\frac{|2 n x y+x-2 n x y-y|^{2}}{(2 n x+1)^{2}(2 n y+1)^{2}}  \tag{4.19}\\
& =\frac{|x-y|^{2}}{(2 n x+1)^{2}(2 n y+1)^{2}} .
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
k\left|\left(x-T^{n} x\right)-\left(y-T^{n} y\right)\right|^{2} & =k\left|\left(x-\frac{x}{2 n x+1}\right)-\left(y-\frac{y}{2 n y+1}\right)\right|^{2} \\
& =k \frac{\left|2 n x^{2}-2 n y^{2}\right|^{2}}{(2 n x+1)^{2}(2 n y+1)^{2}} \\
& =\frac{k 4 n^{2}|x-y|^{2}|x+y|^{2}}{(2 n x+1)^{2}(2 n y+1)^{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{16 n^{2} k|x-y|^{2}}{(2 n x+1)^{2}(2 n y+1)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& k_{n}|x-y|^{2}+k\left|\left(x-T^{n} x\right)-\left(y-T^{n} y\right)\right|^{2}+c_{n} \\
& =k_{n}|x-y|^{2}+\frac{16 n^{2} k|x-y|^{2}}{(2 n x+1)^{2}(2 n y+1)^{2}} \\
& =\left\{k_{n}+\frac{16 n^{2} k}{(2 n x+1)^{2}(2 n y+1)^{2}}\right\}|x-y|^{2}  \tag{4.20}\\
& =\left\{(2 n x+1)^{2}(2 n y+1)^{2} k_{n}+16 n^{2} k\right\} \frac{|x-y|^{2}}{(2 n x+1)^{2}(2 n y+1)^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

For all $x \in[0,1]$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(2 n x+1)^{2}(2 n y+1)^{2} \geq 1 & \Leftrightarrow(2 n x+1)^{2}(2 n y+1)^{2} k_{n} \geq 1 \\
& \Leftrightarrow(2 n x+1)^{2}(2 n y+1)^{2} k_{n}+16 n^{2} k \geq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(2 n x+1)^{2}(2 n y+1)^{2} k_{n}+16 n^{2} k \geq 1 \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

By combining (4.19) and (4.19), and using (4.21), we have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|T^{n} x-T^{n} y\right|^{2}-k_{n}|x-y|^{2}-k\left|\left(x-T^{n} x\right)-\left(y-T^{n} y\right)\right|^{2} \\
& =\frac{|x-y|^{2}}{(2 n x+1)^{2}(2 n y+1)^{2}}-\left\{(2 n x+1)^{2}(2 n y+1)^{2} k_{n}+16 n^{2} k\right\} \frac{|x-y|^{2}}{(2 n x+1)^{2}(2 n y+1)^{2}} \\
& =\left(1-\left\{(2 n x+1)^{2}(2 n y+1)^{2} k_{n}+16 n^{2} k\right\}\right) \frac{|x-y|^{2}}{(2 n x+1)^{2}(2 n y+1)^{2}} \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that

$$
\sup _{x \in[0,1]}\left\{\left|T^{n} x-T^{n} y\right|^{2}-k_{n}|x-y|^{2}-k\left|\left(x-T^{n} x\right)-\left(y-T^{n} y\right)\right|^{2}\right\}=0 .
$$

So from the definition of $c_{n}$, we have $c_{n}=0$. Also from (4.21), we have,

$$
\frac{|x-y|^{2}}{(2 n x+1)^{2}(2 n y+1)^{2}} \leq\left\{(2 n x+1)^{2}(2 n y+1)^{2} k_{n}+16 n^{2} k\right\} \frac{|x-y|^{2}}{(2 n x+1)^{2}(2 n y+1)^{2}}
$$

Thus,

$$
\left|T^{n} x-T^{n} y\right|^{2} \leq k_{n}|x-y|^{2}+k\left|\left(x-T^{n} x\right)-\left(y-T^{n} y\right)\right|^{2}+c_{n}
$$

This implies that $T$ is asymptotically $k$-strict pseudo-contractive mapping in intermediate sense with sequence $\left\{k_{n}\right\}$. The sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ generated by Algorithm 3.1 starting with $x_{1}=1$ converges to $0 \in \operatorname{Fix}(T) \cap \Gamma$.

Next, we show that $T$ is not asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive. By the definition of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|T^{n} x-p\right| \leq k_{n}|x-p|, \quad \text { for all } x \in[0,1] \text { and all } p \in \operatorname{Fix}(T) \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{n} \geq 1$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} k_{n}=1$. For all $x \in[0,1]$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
x<2 n x+1 & \Rightarrow \frac{x}{2 n x+1}<1 \\
& \Rightarrow\left|\frac{x}{2 n x+1}\right|<1, \quad \text { for all } x \in[0,1] \\
& \Rightarrow\left|T^{n} x\right|<1 \\
& \Rightarrow\left|T^{n} x\right| \nless k_{n}|x| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $0 \in \operatorname{Fix}(T)$, we have $\left|T^{n} x-0\right| \nless k_{n}|x-0|$, that is, (4.22) does not hold. Hence, $T$ is not asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive.

Remark 4.1. Since $T$ is not asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive, [11, Theorem 3.1] is not applicable in this case.

Now we show the convergence of the Algorithm 3.1 with the help of Matlab Programming.

We did the computation in Matlab R2010 and got the solution 0.
Convergence Table

| No. of Iterations (n) | $y_{n}$ | $x_{n}$ | No. of Iterations (n) | $y_{n}$ | $x_{n}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | .8889 | 1.0000 | 18 | .0083 | .0093 |
| 2 | .8217 | .9244 | 19 | .0062 | .0070 |
| 3 | .7005 | .7881 | 20 | .0046 | .0052 |
| 4 | .5535 | .6226 | 21 | .0034 | .0038 |
| 5 | .4113 | .4627 | 22 | .0025 | .0028 |
| 6 | .2942 | .3310 | 23 | .0018 | .0020 |
| 7 | .2078 | .2338 | 24 | .0013 | .0014 |
| 8 | .1477 | .1661 | 25 | .0009 | .0010 |
| 9 | .1065 | .1198 | 26 | .0006 | .0007 |
| 10 | .0780 | .0878 | 27 | .0004 | .0005 |
| 11 | .0580 | .0652 | 28 | .0003 | .0003 |
| 12 | .0436 | .0490 | 29 | .0002 | .0002 |
| 13 | .0330 | .0371 | 30 | .0001 | .0001 |
| 14 | .0251 | .0282 | 31 | .0001 | .0001 |
| 15 | .0191 | .0215 | 32 | .0000 | .0000 |
| 16 | .0145 | .0163 | 33 | .0000 | .0000 |
| 17 | .0110 | .0124 | 34 | .0000 | .0000 |
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