

SOME STABILITY CONCEPTS FOR ABSTRACT FRACTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH NOT INSTANTANEOUS IMPULSES

SAÏD ABBAS*, MOUFFAK BENCHOHRA**, AHMED ALSAEDI***,1
AND YONG ZHOU****,1

*Laboratory of Mathematics, University of Saïda,
PO Box 138, 20000 Saïda, Algeria
E-mail: abbasmsaid@yahoo.fr

**Laboratory of Mathematics, University of Sidi Bel-Abbes,
P.O. Box 89, Sidi Bel-Abbès 22000, Algeria
E-mail: benchohra@yahoo.com

***Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, King Abdulaziz University
P.O. Box 80203, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia

****Department of Mathematics, Xiangtan University
Hunan 411105, PR China
E-mail: yzhou@xtu.edu.cn

Abstract. In this paper, we investigate some uniqueness and Ulam's type stability concepts for functional abstract fractional differential equations with not instantaneous impulses in Banach spaces.

Key Words and Phrases: abstract fractional differential equation, mild solution, impulse, Ulam-Hyers-Rassias stability, fixed point theorems.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 26A33, 34A37, 34D10, 47H10.

1. INTRODUCTION

The fractional calculus deals with extensions of derivatives and integrals to noninteger orders. It represents a powerful tool in applied mathematics to study a myriad of problems from different fields of science and engineering, with many break-through results found in mathematical physics, finance, hydrology, biophysics, thermodynamics, control theory, statistical mechanics, astrophysics, cosmology and bioengineering. There has been a significant development in ordinary and partial fractional differential equations in recent years; see the monographs of Abbas *et al.* [5], Kilbas *et al.* [15], Miller and Ross [16], the papers of Abbas *et al.* [1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8], Benchohra *et al.* [9], Diethelm [10], Kilbas and Marzan [14], Podlubny [19], Vityuk and Golushkov [22], and the references therein.

¹Corresponding author.

In [13] Hernández and O'Regan initially offered to study a new class of abstract semilinear impulsive differential equations with not instantaneous impulses in a PC -normed Banach space. Meanwhile, in [18, 20, 23, 24] the authors continue to study other new classes of differential equations with not instantaneous impulses. Motivated by the above works, we investigate the uniqueness and Ulam-Hyers-Rassias stability of the following abstract fractional differential equations with not instantaneous impulses of the form

$$\begin{cases} {}^c D_{s_k}^r u(t) = Au(t) + f(t, u(t)); & \text{if } t \in I_k, \quad k = 0, \dots, m, \\ u(t) = g_k(t, u(t)); & \text{if } t \in J_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, m, \\ u(0) = u_0 \in E, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $I_k := (s_k, t_{k+1}]$, $J_k := (t_k, s_k]$, ${}^c D_{s_k}^r$ is the fractional Caputo derivative of order $r \in (0, 1]$, $0 = s_0 < t_1 \leq s_1 \leq t_2 < \dots < s_{m-1} \leq t_m \leq s_m \leq t_{m+1} = a$, $f : I_k \times E \rightarrow E$; $k = 0, \dots, m$, $g_k : J_k \times E \rightarrow E$; $k = 1, \dots, m$ are given continuous functions, E is a (real or complex) separable Banach space and A is the infinitesimal generator of a compact analytic semigroup of uniformly bounded linear operators $\{T(t); t > 0\}$ in E . We present sufficient conditions to obtain some uniqueness of mild solutions and the Ulam-Hyers-Rassias stability for the abstract impulsive problem (1.1). An example is also provided to illustrate the main results.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we introduce notations, definitions, and preliminary facts which are used throughout this paper. Let $J = [0, a]$; $a > 0$, denote $L^1(J)$ the space of Bochner-integrable functions $u : J \rightarrow E$ with the norm

$$\|u\|_{L^1} = \int_0^a \|u(t)\|_E dt,$$

where $\|\cdot\|_E$ denotes a suitable complete norm on E .

As usual, by $AC(J)$ we denote the space of absolutely continuous functions from J into E , and $\mathcal{C} := C(J)$ is the Banach space of all continuous functions from J into E with the norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ defined by $\|u\|_\infty = \sup_{t \in J} \|u(t)\|_E$. Consider the Banach space

$$PC = \left\{ u : J \rightarrow E : u \in C((t_k, t_{k+1}]); \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, m, \text{ and there exist } u(t_k^-) \text{ and } u(t_k^+); \quad k = 1, \dots, m, \text{ with } u(t_k^-) = u(t_k) \right\},$$

with the norm $\|u\|_{PC} = \sup_{t \in J} \|u(t)\|_E$.

Let $r > 0$. For $u \in L^1(J)$, the expression

$$(I_0^r u)(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(r)} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{r-1} u(\tau) d\tau,$$

is called the left-sided mixed Riemann-Liouville integral of order r , where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the (Euler's) Gamma function defined by $\Gamma(\varsigma) = \int_0^\infty t^{\varsigma-1} e^{-t} dt$; $\varsigma > 0$.

In particular,

$$(I_0^0 u)(t) = u(t), \quad (I_0^1 u)(t) = \int_0^t u(\tau) d\tau; \text{ for almost all } t \in J.$$

For instance, $I_0^r u$ exists for all $r \in (0, \infty)$, when $u \in L^1(J)$. Note also that when $u \in C(J)$, then $(I_0^r u) \in C(J)$.

Example 2.1. Let $\lambda \in (-1, 0) \cup (0, \infty)$, $r \in (0, \infty)$ and $h(t) = t^\lambda$; $t \in J$. We have $h \in L^1(J)$, and we get

$$(I_0^r h)(t) = \frac{\Gamma(1 + \lambda)}{\Gamma(1 + \lambda + r)} t^{\lambda+r}; \text{ for almost all } t \in J.$$

Definition 2.2. [21] Let $r \in (0, 1]$ and $u \in L^1(J)$. The Caputo fractional-order derivative of order r of u is defined by the expression

$${}^c D_0^r u(t) = (I_0^{1-r} \frac{d}{dt} u)(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-r)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-r} \frac{d}{d\tau} u(\tau) d\tau.$$

Example 2.3. Let $\lambda \in (-1, 0) \cup (0, \infty)$ and $r \in (0, 1]$, then

$${}^c D_0^r t^\lambda = \frac{\Gamma(1 + \lambda)}{\Gamma(1 + \lambda - r)} t^{\lambda-r}; \text{ for almost all } t \in J.$$

Let $a_1 \in [0, a]$, $J_1 = (a_1, a]$, $r > 0$. For $u \in L^1(J_1)$, the expression

$$(I_{a_+}^r u)(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(r)} \int_{a_+}^t (t-\tau)^{r-1} u(\tau) d\tau,$$

is called the left-sided mixed Riemann-Liouville integral of order r of u .

Definition 2.4. [21] For $u \in L^1(J_1)$ where $\frac{d}{dt} u$ is Bochner integrable on J_1 , the Caputo fractional order derivative of order r of u is defined by the expression

$$({}^c D_{a_+}^r u)(t) = (I_{a_+}^{1-r} \frac{d}{dt} u)(t).$$

Now, we consider the Ulam stability for the problem (1.1). Let $\epsilon > 0$, $\Psi \geq 0$ and $\Phi : J \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a continuous function. We consider the following inequalities

$$\begin{cases} \| {}^c D_{s_k}^r u(t) - Au(t) - f(t, u(t)) \|_E \leq \epsilon; & \text{if } t \in I_k, \quad k = 0, \dots, m, \\ \| u(t) - g_k(t, u(t)) \|_E \leq \epsilon; & \text{if } t \in J_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, m. \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

$$\begin{cases} \| {}^c D_{s_k}^r u(t) - Au(t) - f(t, u(t)) \|_E \leq \Phi(t); & \text{if } t \in I_k, \quad k = 0, \dots, m, \\ \| u(t) - g_k(t, u(t)) \|_E \leq \Psi; & \text{if } t \in J_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, m. \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

$$\begin{cases} \| {}^c D_{s_k}^r u(t) - Au(t) - f(t, u(t)) \|_E \leq \epsilon \Phi(t); & \text{if } t \in I_k, \quad k = 0, \dots, m, \\ \| u(t) - g_k(t, u(t)) \|_E \leq \epsilon \Psi; & \text{if } t \in J_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, m. \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

Definition 2.5. [20, 24, 6] Problem (1.1) is Ulam-Hyers stable if there exists a real number $c_{f,g_k} > 0$ such that for each $\epsilon > 0$ and for each solution $u \in PC$ of the inequality (2.1) there exists a solution $v \in PC$ of problem (1.1) with

$$\|u(t) - v(t)\|_E \leq \epsilon c_{f,g_k}; \quad t \in J.$$

Definition 2.6. [20, 24, 6] Problem (1.1) is generalized Ulam-Hyers stable if there exists $c_{f,g_k} \in C([0, \infty), [0, \infty))$ with $c_{f,g_k}(0) = 0$ such that for each $\epsilon > 0$ and for each solution $u \in PC$ of the inequality (2.1) there exists a solution $v \in PC$ of problem (1.1) with

$$\|u(t) - v(t)\|_E \leq c_{f,g_k}(\epsilon); \quad t \in J.$$

Definition 2.7. [20, 24, 6] Problem (1.1) is Ulam-Hyers-Rassias stable with respect to (Φ, Ψ) if there exists a real number $c_{f,g_k,\Phi} > 0$ such that for each $\epsilon > 0$ and for each solution $u \in PC$ of the inequality (2.3) there exists a solution $v \in PC$ of problem (1.1) with

$$\|u(t) - v(t)\|_E \leq \epsilon c_{f,g_k,\Phi}(\Psi + \Phi(t)); \quad t \in J.$$

Definition 2.8. [20, 24, 6] Problem (1.1) is generalized Ulam-Hyers-Rassias stable with respect to (Φ, Ψ) if there exists a real number $c_{f,g_k,\Phi} > 0$ such that for each solution $u \in PC$ of the inequality (2.2) there exists a solution $v \in PC$ of problem (1.1) with $\|u(t) - v(t)\|_E \leq c_{f,g_k,\Phi}(\Psi + \Phi(t)); \quad t \in J.$

Remark 2.9. It is clear that: (i) Definition 2.5 \Rightarrow Definition 2.6, (ii) Definition 2.7 \Rightarrow Definition 2.8, (iii) Definition 2.7 for $\Phi(\cdot) = \Psi = 1 \Rightarrow$ Definition 2.5.

Remark 2.10. A function $u \in PC$ is a solution of the inequality (2.2) if and only if there exist a function $G \in PC$ and a sequence $G_k; k = 1, \dots, m$ in E (which depend on u) such that

- (i) $\|G(t)\|_E \leq \Phi(t)$ and $\|G_k\|_E \leq \Psi; k = 1, \dots, m,$
- (ii) ${}^c D_{s_k}^r u(t) = Au(t) + f(t, u(t)) + G(t);$ if $t \in I_k, k = 0, \dots, m,$
- (iii) $u(t) = g_k(t, u(t)) + G_k; \quad$ if $t \in J_k, k = 1, \dots, m,$

One can have similar remarks for the inequalities (2.1) and (2.3). So, the Ulam stabilities of the impulsive fractional differential equations are some special types of data dependence of the solutions of impulsive fractional differential equations.

In the sequel we will make use of the following Gronwall's lemma.

Lemma 2.11. (Gronwall lemma) [12] Let $v : J \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a real function and $\omega(\cdot)$ be a nonnegative, locally integrable function on J . If there are constants $c > 0$ and $0 < r < 1$ such that

$$v(t) \leq \omega(t) + c \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{-r} v(\tau) d\tau,$$

then there exists a constant $\delta = \delta(r)$ such that for every $t \in J$, we have

$$v(t) \leq \omega(t) + \delta c \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{-r} \omega(\tau) d\tau.$$

3. UNIQUENESS AND ULAM STABILITIES RESULTS

In this section, we discuss the uniqueness of mild solutions and we present conditions for the Ulam stability for the problem (1.1). Following [11, 25], we will introduce now the definition of mild solution to (1.1).

Definition 3.1. A function $u : J \rightarrow E$ is said to be a mild solution of (1.1) if u satisfies

$$\begin{cases} u(t) = S_r(t)u_0 + \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{r-1} T_r(t-\tau) f(\tau, u(\tau)) d\tau; & \text{if } t \in [0, t_1], \\ u(t) = S_r(t-s_k) g_k(s_k, u(s_k)) \\ + \int_{s_k}^t (t-\tau)^{r-1} T_r(t-\tau) f(\tau, u(\tau)) d\tau; & \text{if } t \in I_k, k = 1, \dots, m, \\ u(t) = g_k(t, u(t)); & \text{if } t \in J_k, k = 1, \dots, m, \\ u(0) = u_0, \end{cases}$$

where

$$S_r(t) = \int_0^\infty \xi_r(\theta) T(t^r \theta) d\theta, \quad T_r(t) = r \int_0^\infty \theta \xi_r(\theta) T(t^r \theta) d\theta,$$

$$\xi_r(\theta) = \frac{1}{r} \theta^{-1-\frac{1}{r}} \bar{w}_r(\theta^{-\frac{1}{r}}) \geq 0,$$

and

$$\bar{w}_r(\theta) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} \theta^{-nr-1} \frac{\Gamma(nr+1)}{n!} \sin(nr\pi); \quad \theta \in (0, \infty).$$

ξ_r is a probability density function on $(0, \infty)$, that is $\int_0^\infty \xi_r(\theta) d\theta = 1$.

Remark 3.2. It is not difficult to verify that for $v \in [0, 1]$,

$$\int_0^\infty \theta^v \xi_r(\theta) d\theta = \int_0^\infty \theta^{-rv} \bar{w}_r(\theta) d\theta = \frac{\Gamma(1+v)}{\Gamma(1+rv)}.$$

Lemma 3.3. [25] For any $t \geq 0$, the operators $S_r(t)$ and $T_r(t)$ have the following properties:

- (a) For any fixed $t \geq 0$, S_r and T_r are linear and bounded operators, i.e., for any $u \in E$,

$$\|S_r(t)u\|_E \leq M \|u\|_E, \quad \|T_r(t)u\|_E \leq \frac{M}{\Gamma(r)} \|u\|_E.$$

- (b) $\{S_r(t); t \geq 0\}$ and $\{T_r(t); t \geq 0\}$ are strongly continuous.
 (c) For every $t \geq 0$, $S_r(t)$ and $T_r(t)$ are also compact operators.

Lemma 3.4. *If $u \in PC$ is a solution of the inequality (2.2) then u is a solution of the following integral inequalities*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \|u(t) - S_r(t)u_0 - \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{r-1} T_r(t-\tau) f(\tau, u(\tau)) d\tau\|_E \\ \leq MI_0^r \Phi(t); \quad \text{if } t \in [0, t_1], \\ \|u(t) - S_r(t-s_k)g_k(s_k, u(s_k)) - \int_{s_k}^t (t-\tau)^{r-1} T_r(t-\tau) f(\tau, u(\tau)) d\tau\|_E \\ \leq MI_{s_k}^r \Phi(t); \quad \text{if } t \in I_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, m, \\ \|u(t) - g_k(t, u(t))\|_E \leq \Psi; \quad \text{if } t \in J_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, m. \end{array} \right. \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. By Remark 2.10 we have that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} {}^c D_{\theta_k}^r u(t) = Au(t) + f(t, u(t)) + G(t); \quad \text{if } t \in I_k, \quad k = 0, \dots, m, \\ u(t) = g_k(t, u(t)) + G_k; \quad \text{if } t \in J_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, m. \end{array} \right.$$

Then

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u(t) = S_r(t)u_0 + \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{r-1} T_r(t-\tau) (f(\tau, u(\tau)) + G(\tau)) d\tau; \quad \text{if } t \in [0, t_1], \\ u(t) = S_r(t-s_k)g_k(s_k, u(s_k)) \\ + \int_{s_k}^t (t-\tau)^{r-1} T_r(t-\tau) (f(\tau, u(\tau)) + G(\tau)) d\tau; \quad \text{if } t \in I_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, m, \\ u(t) = g_k(t, u(t)) + G_k; \quad \text{if } t \in J_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, m. \end{array} \right.$$

Thus, it follows that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \|u(t) - S_r(t)u_0 - \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{r-1} T_r(t-\tau) f(\tau, u(\tau)) d\tau\|_E \\ = \left\| \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{r-1} T_r(t-\tau) G(\tau) d\tau \right\|_E \\ \leq \frac{M}{\Gamma(r)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{r-1} \|G(\tau)\|_E d\tau \\ \leq \frac{M}{\Gamma(r)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{r-1} \Phi(\tau) d\tau; \quad \text{if } t \in [0, t_1], \\ \|u(t) - S_r(t-s_k)g_k(s_k, u(s_k)) - \int_{s_k}^t (t-\tau)^{r-1} T_r(t-\tau) f(\tau, u(\tau)) d\tau\|_E \\ = \left\| \int_{s_k}^t (t-\tau)^{r-1} T_r(t-\tau) G(\tau) d\tau \right\|_E \\ \leq \frac{M}{\Gamma(r)} \int_{s_k}^t (t-\tau)^{r-1} \|G(\tau)\|_E d\tau \\ \leq \frac{M}{\Gamma(r)} \int_{s_k}^t (t-\tau)^{r-1} \Phi(\tau) d\tau; \quad \text{if } t \in I_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, m, \\ \|u(t) - g_k(t, u(t))\|_E = \|G_k\|_E \leq \Psi; \quad \text{if } t \in J_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, m. \end{array} \right.$$

Hence, we obtain (3.1).

Remark 3.5. We have similar results for the solutions of (2.1) and (2.3).

Theorem 3.6. *Assume that the following hypotheses hold:*

(H_1) *The semigroup $T(t)$ is compact for $t > 0$,*

(H₂) For each $t \in J$, the function $f(t, \cdot) : E \rightarrow E$ is continuous and for each $v \in E$, the function $f(\cdot, v) : J \rightarrow E$ is strongly measurable,

(H₃) There exists a constant $l_f > 0$ such that

$$\|f(t, u) - f(t, \bar{u})\|_E \leq l_f \|u - \bar{u}\|_E, \text{ for each } t \in J, \text{ and each } u, \bar{u} \in E,$$

(H₄) There exist constants $l_{g_k} > 0$; $k = 1, \dots, m$, such that

$$\|g_k(t, u) - g_k(t, \bar{u})\|_E \leq l_{g_k} \|u - \bar{u}\|_E,$$

for each $t \in J_k$, and each $u, \bar{u} \in E$, $k = 1, \dots, m$.

If

$$\ell := Ml_g + \frac{Ml_f a^r}{\Gamma(r)} < 1, \quad (3.2)$$

where $l_g = \max_{k=1, \dots, m} l_{g_k}$, then the problem (1.1) has a unique mild solution on J .

Furthermore, if the following hypothesis

(H₅) There exists $\lambda_\Phi > 0$ such that for each $t \in J$, we have

$$I_{s_k}^r \Phi(t) \leq \lambda_\Phi \Phi(t); \quad k = 0, \dots, m,$$

holds, then the problem (1.1) is generalized Ulam-Hyers-Rassias stable.

Proof. Consider the operator $N : PC \rightarrow PC$ defined by

$$\begin{cases} (Nu)(t) = S_r(t)u_0 + \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{r-1} T_r(t-\tau) f(\tau, u(\tau)) d\tau; & \text{if } t \in [0, t_1], \\ (Nu)(t) = S_r(t-s_k) g_k(s_k, u(s_k)) \\ + \int_{s_k}^t (t-\tau)^{r-1} T_r(t-\tau) f(\tau, u(\tau)) d\tau; & \text{if } t \in I_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, m, \\ (Nu)(t) = g_k(t, u(t)); & \text{if } t \in J_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, m. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, the fixed points of the operator N are solution of the problem (1.1). We shall use the Banach contraction principle to prove that N has a fixed point. N is a contraction. Let $u, v \in PC$, then, for each $t \in J$, we have

$$\begin{cases} \|(Nu)(t) - (Nv)(t)\|_E \leq \left\| \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{r-1} T_r(t-\tau) \right. \\ \left. \times [f(\tau, u(\tau)) - f(\tau, v(\tau))] d\tau \right\|_E; & \text{if } t \in [0, t_1], \\ \|(Nu)(t) - (Nv)(t)\|_E \leq \|S_r(t-s_k)(g_k(s_k, u(s_k)) - g_k(s_k, v(s_k)))\|_E \\ + \left\| \int_{s_k}^t (t-\tau)^{r-1} T_r(t-\tau) [f(\tau, u(\tau)) - f(\tau, v(\tau))] d\tau \right\|_E; & \text{if } t \in I_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, m, \\ \|(Nu)(t) - (Nv)(t)\|_E = \|g_k(t, u(t)) - g_k(t, v(t))\|_E; & \text{if } t \in J_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, m. \end{cases}$$

Thus, we get

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \|(Nu)(t) - (Nv)(t)\|_E \leq \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{r-1} T_r(t-\tau) l_f \|T_r(t-\tau)(u(\tau) - v(\tau))\|_E d\tau; \\ \leq \frac{Ml_f a^r}{\Gamma(r)} \|u - v\|_{PC}; \text{ if } t \in [0, t_1], \\ \|(Nu)t - (Nv)t\|_E \leq l_g \|S_r(t - s_k)(u(t) - v(t))\|_E \\ + \int_{s_k}^t (t-\tau)^{r-1} l_f \|T_r(t-\tau)(u(\tau) - v(\tau))\|_E d\tau \\ \leq \left(Ml_g + \frac{Ml_f a^r}{\Gamma(r)} \right) \|u - v\|_{PC}; \text{ if } t \in I_k, k = 1, \dots, m, \\ \|(Nu)(t) - (Nv)(t)\|_E \leq l_g \|u - v\|_{PC}; \text{ if } t \in J_k, k = 1, \dots, m. \end{array} \right.$$

Hence $\|N(u) - N(v)\|_{PC} \leq \ell \|u - v\|_{PC}$ and by (3.2), we conclude that N is a contraction. As a consequence of Banach fixed point theorem, we deduce that N has a unique fixed point v which is the unique mild solution defined on J of the problem (1.1). Then we have

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} v(t) = S_r(t)u_0 + \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{r-1} T_r(t-\tau) f(\tau, v(\tau)) d\tau; \text{ if } t \in [0, t_1], \\ v(t) = S_r(t - s_k) g_k(s_k, v(s_k)) \\ + \int_{s_k}^t (t-\tau)^{r-1} T_r(t-\tau) f(\tau, v(\tau)) d\tau; \text{ if } t \in I_k, k = 1, \dots, m, \\ v(t) = g_k(t, v(t)); \text{ if } t \in J_k, k = 1, \dots, m. \end{array} \right.$$

Let $u \in PC$ be a solution of the inequality (2.2). By Lemma 3.4 and (H_5) , for each $t \in J$, we get

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \|u(t) - S_r(t)u_0 - \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{r-1} T_r(t-\tau) f(\tau, u(\tau)) d\tau\|_E \\ \leq M\lambda_\Phi \Phi(t); \text{ if } t \in [0, t_1], \\ \|u(t) - S_r(t - s_k) g_k(s_k, u(s_k)) - \int_{s_k}^t (t-\tau)^{r-1} T_r(t-\tau) f(\tau, u(\tau)) d\tau\|_E \\ \leq M\lambda_\Phi \Phi(t); \text{ if } t \in I_k, k = 1, \dots, m, \\ \|u(t) - g_k(t, u(t))\|_E \leq \Psi; \text{ if } t \in J_k, k = 1, \dots, m. \end{array} \right.$$

Thus

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \|u(t) - v(t)\|_E \leq M\lambda_\Phi \Phi(t) + \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{r-1} T_r(t-\tau) \\ \quad \times [f(\tau, u(\tau)) - f(\tau, v(\tau))] d\tau \|_E; \text{ if } t \in [0, t_1], \\ \|u(t) - v(t)\|_E \leq \lambda_\Phi \Phi(t) + M\|g_k(s_k, u(s_k)) - g_k(s_k, v(s_k))\|_E \\ \quad + \int_{s_k}^t (t-\tau)^{r_1-1} \|T_r(t-\tau)(f(\tau, u(\tau)) - f(\tau, v(\tau)))\|_E d\tau; \\ \text{if } t \in I_k, k = 1, \dots, m, \\ \|u(t) - v(t)\|_E \leq \Psi + \|g_k(t, u(t)) - g_k(t, v(t))\|_E; \text{ if } t \in J_k, k = 1, \dots, m. \end{array} \right.$$

Hence

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \|u(t) - v(t)\|_E \leq \lambda_\Phi \Phi(t) \\ \quad + \frac{Ml_f}{\Gamma(r)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{r-1} \|u(\tau) - v(\tau)\|_E d\tau; \text{ if } t \in [0, t_1] \times [0, b], \\ \|u(t) - v(t)\|_E \leq M\lambda_\Phi \Phi(t) + Ml_g \|u(t) - v(t)\|_E \\ \quad + \frac{Ml_f}{\Gamma(r)} \int_{s_k}^t (t-\tau)^{r_1-1} \|u(\tau) - v(\tau)\|_E d\tau; \text{ if } t \in I_k, k = 1, \dots, m, \\ \|u(t) - v(t)\|_E \leq \Psi + l_g \|u(t) - v(t)\|_E; \text{ if } t \in J_k, k = 1, \dots, m. \end{array} \right.$$

For each $t \in [0, t_1]$, we have

$$\|u(t) - v(t)\|_E \leq M\lambda_\Phi \Phi(t) + \frac{Ml_f}{\Gamma(r)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{r-1} \|u(\tau) - v(\tau)\|_E d\tau.$$

From Lemma 2.11, there exists a constant $\delta_1 := \delta_1(r)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t) - v(t)\|_E &\leq M\lambda_\Phi (\Phi(t) + Ml_f \delta_1 I_0^r \Phi(t)) \\ &\leq M\lambda_\Phi (1 + Ml_f \delta_1 \lambda_\Phi) \Phi(t) \\ &:= c_{1,f,g_k,\Phi} \Phi(t). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for each $t \in [0, t_1] \times [0, b]$, we get

$$\|u(t) - v(t)\|_E \leq c_{1,f,g_k,\Phi} (\Psi + \Phi(t)).$$

Now, for each $t \in I_k, k = 1, \dots, m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t) - v(t)\|_E &\leq M\lambda_\Phi \Phi(t) + Ml_g \|u(t) - v(t)\|_E \\ &\quad + \frac{Ml_f}{\Gamma(r)} \int_{s_k}^t (t-\tau)^{r-1} \|u(\tau) - v(\tau)\|_E d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t) - v(t)\|_E &\leq \frac{M\lambda_\Phi}{1 - Ml_g} \Phi(t) \\ &\quad + \frac{Ml_f}{(1 - Ml_g)\Gamma(r)} \int_{s_k}^t (t-\tau)^{r-1} \|u(\tau) - v(\tau)\|_E d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Again, from Lemma 2.11, there exists a constant $\delta_2 := \delta_2(r)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t) - v(t)\|_E &\leq \frac{M\lambda_\Phi}{1 - Ml_g} \left(\Phi(t) + \frac{Ml_f\delta_2}{1 - Ml_g} I_{s_k}^r \Phi(t) \right) \\ &\leq \frac{M\lambda_\Phi}{1 - Ml_g} \left(1 + \frac{Ml_f\delta_2\lambda_\Phi}{1 - Ml_g} \right) \Phi(t) \\ &:= c_{2,f,g_k,\Phi} \Phi(t). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for each $t \in I_k$, $k = 1, \dots, m$, we get

$$\|u(t) - v(t)\|_E \leq c_{2,f,g_k,\Phi} (\Psi + \Phi(t)).$$

Now, for each $t \in J_k$, $k = 1, \dots, m$, we have

$$\|u(t) - v(t)\|_E \leq \Psi + l_g \|u(t) - v(t)\|_E.$$

This gives,

$$\|u(t) - v(t)\|_E \leq \frac{\Psi}{1 - l_g} := c_{3,f,g_k,\Phi} \Psi.$$

Thus, for each $t \in J_k$, $k = 1, \dots, m$, we get

$$\|u(t) - v(t)\|_E \leq c_{3,f,g_k,\Phi} (\Psi + \Phi(t)).$$

Set $c_{f,g_k,\Phi} := \max_{i \in \{1,2,3\}} c_{i,f,g_k,\Phi}$. Hence, for each $t \in J$, we obtain

$$\|u(t) - v(t)\|_E \leq c_{f,g_k,\Phi} (\Psi + \Phi(t)).$$

Consequently, problem (1.1) is generalized Ulam-Hyers-Rassias stable.

4. AN EXAMPLE

As an application of our results, we present the fractional differential equations with not instantaneous impulses of the form

$$\begin{cases} D_{0,t}^r z(t, x) = \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial x^2}(t, x) + Q(t, z(t, x)); & t \in [0, 1] \cup (2, 3], & x \in [0, \pi], \\ z(t, x) = g(t, z(t, x)); & t \in (1, 2], & x \in [0, \pi], \\ z(t, 0) = z(t, \pi) = 0; & t \in [0, 1] \cup (2, 3], \\ z(0, x) = \phi(x); & x \in [0, \pi], \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

where $D_{0,t}^r := \frac{\partial^r}{\partial t^r}$ is the Caputo fractional partial derivative of order $r \in (0, 1]$ with respect to t . It is defined by the expression

$${}^c D_{0,t}^r z(t, x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-r)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} z(\tau, x) d\tau,$$

$Q : ([0, 1] \cup (2, 3]) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g : (1, 2] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are given by

$$Q(t, z(t, x)) = \frac{1}{(1 + 110e^t)(1 + |z(t, x)|)}; \quad t \in ([0, 1] \cup (2, 3]) \times [0, 1], \quad x \in [0, \pi],$$

$$g(t, z(t, x)) = \frac{1}{1 + 110e^{t+x}} \ln(1 + t^2 + |z(t, x)|); \quad t \in (1, 2] \times [0, 1], \quad x \in [0, \pi],$$

and $\phi : [0, \pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function.

Let $E = L^2([0, \pi], \mathbb{R})$ and define $A : D(A) \subset E \rightarrow E$ by $Aw = w''$ with domain $D(A) = \{w \in E : w, w' \text{ are absolutely continuous, } w'' \in E, w(0) = w(\pi) = 0\}$.

It is well known that A is the infinitesimal generator of an analytic semigroup on E (see [17]). Then

$$Aw = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2 \langle w, e_n \rangle e_n; w \in D(A),$$

where

$$e_n(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sin(nx); x \in [0, \pi], n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Clearly A generates a compact semigroup $T(t); t > 0$ given by

$$T(t)w = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-n^2 t} \langle w, e_n \rangle e_n; w \in E.$$

Hence the assumptions (H_1) and (H_2) are satisfied.

For $x \in [0, \pi]$, set $u(t)(x) = z(t, x); t \in [0, 3], u_0(x) = z(0, x) = \phi(x)$,

$$Au(t)(x) = \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial x^2}(t, x); t \in [0, 1] \cup (2, 3],$$

$$f(t, u(t))(x) = Q(t, z(t, x)); t \in [0, 1] \cup (2, 3],$$

and

$$g(t, u(t))(x) = g(t, z(t, x)); t \in (1, 2].$$

Thus, under the above definitions of ϕ, A, f and g , the system (4.1) can be represented by the functional abstract problem (1.1).

For each $z, \bar{z} \in E, t \in [0, 1] \cup (2, 3]$ and $x \in [0, \pi]$, we have

$$|f(t, z(t))(x) - f(t, \bar{z}(t))(x)| \leq \frac{1}{111} |z(t, x) - \bar{z}(t, x)|,$$

then, we obtain

$$\|f(t, z) - f(t, \bar{z})\|_E \leq \frac{1}{111} \|z - \bar{z}\|_E.$$

Also, for each $z, \bar{z} \in E, t \in (1, 2]$ and $x \in [0, \pi]$, we can easily get

$$\|g(t, z) - g(t, \bar{z})\|_E \leq \frac{1}{111} \|z - \bar{z}\|_E.$$

Thus the conditions (H_3) and (H_4) are satisfied with $l_f = l_g = \frac{1}{111}$. We shall show that condition (3.2) holds with $a = 3$ and $M = 1$. Indeed, for each $r \in (0, 1]$ we get

$$\ell = Ml_g + \frac{Ml_f a^r}{\Gamma(r)} = \frac{1}{111} + \frac{3^r}{111\Gamma(r)} < \frac{7}{111} < 1.$$

Hence, we ensure the existence of unique mild solution defined on $[0, 3]$ for the problem (4.1). Finally, the hypothesis (H_5) is satisfied with $\Phi(t) = t$ and $\lambda_\Phi = \frac{3^r}{\Gamma(2+r)}$. Indeed, for each $(t, x) \in [0, 3] \times [0, 1]$ we get

$$(I_0^r \Phi)(t) = \frac{\Gamma(2)t^{1+r}}{\Gamma(2+r)} \leq \frac{3^r t}{\Gamma(2+r)} = \lambda_\Phi \Phi(t).$$

Consequently, Theorem 3.6 implies that the problem (4.1) is generalized Ulam-Hyers-Rassias stable.

Acknowledgement. The third author's work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of P.R. China (11271309), the Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education (20114301110001) and Hunan Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (12JJ2001).

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Abbas, M. Benchohra, *Fractional order partial hyperbolic differential equations involving Caputo's derivative*, Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math., **57**(2012), no. 3, 469-479.
- [2] S. Abbas, M. Benchohra, *Ulam-Hyers stability for the Darboux problem for partial fractional differential and integro-differential equations via Picard operators*, Results. Math., **65**(2014), no. 1-2, 67-79.
- [3] S. Abbas, M. Benchohra, L. Gorniewicz, *Existence theory for impulsive partial hyperbolic functional differential equations involving the Caputo fractional derivative*, Sci. Math. Jpn., online 2010, 271-282.
- [4] S. Abbas, M. Benchohra, J. Henderson, *Asymptotic attractive nonlinear fractional order Riemann-Liouville integral equations in Banach algebras*, Nonlinear Studies, **20**(2013), no. 1, 1-10.
- [5] S. Abbas, M. Benchohra, G.M. N'Guérékata, *Topics in Fractional Differential Equations*, Developments in Mathematics, 27, Springer, New York, 2012.
- [6] S. Abbas, M. Benchohra, J.J. Nieto, *Ulam stabilities for impulsive partial fractional differential equations*, Acta Univ. Palacki. Olomuc, **53** (2014), 5-17.
- [7] S. Abbas, M. Benchohra, A.N. Vityuk, *On fractional order derivatives and Darboux problem for implicit differential equations*, Frac. Calc. Appl. Anal., **15**(2012), no. 2, 168-182.
- [8] S. Abbas, M. Benchohra, Y. Zhou, *Darboux problem for fractional order neutral functional partial hyperbolic differential equations*, Int. J. Dyn. Syst. Differ. Eq., **2**(2009), no. 3-4, 301-312.
- [9] M. Benchohra, J.R. Graef, S. Hamani, *Existence results for boundary value problems of nonlinear fractional differential equations with integral conditions*, Appl. Anal., **87**(2008), no. 7, 851-863.
- [10] K. Diethelm, N.J. Ford, *Analysis of fractional differential equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **265**(2002), 229-248.
- [11] M.M. El-Borai, *Some probability densities and fundamental solutions of fractional evolution equations*, Chaos Solitons & Fractals, **14**(2002), 433-440.
- [12] D. Henry, *Geometric Theory of Semilinear Parabolic Partial Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1989.
- [13] E. Hernández, D. O'Regan, *On a new class of abstract impulsive differential equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **141**(2013), 1641-1649.
- [14] A.A. Kilbas, S.A. Marzan, *Nonlinear differential equations with the Caputo fractional derivative in the space of continuously differentiable functions*, Differential Eq., **41**(2005), 84-89.
- [15] A.A. Kilbas, H.M. Srivastava, J.J. Trujillo, *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*, Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam, 2006.
- [16] K.S. Miller, B. Ross, *An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Differential Equations*, John Wiley, New York, 1993.

- [17] A. Pazy, *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [18] M. Pierri, D. O'Regan, V. Rohnik, *Existence of solutions for semi-linear abstract differential equations with not instantaneous impulses*, Appl. Math. Comput., **219**(2013), 6743-6749.
- [19] I. Podlubny, I. Petraš, B.M. Vinagre, P. O'Leary, L. Dorčák, *Analogue realizations of fractional-order controllers. fractional order calculus and its applications*, Nonlinear Dynam., **29**(2002), 281-296.
- [20] I.A. Rus, *Ulam stabilities of ordinary differential equations in a Banach space*, Carpathian J. Math., **26**(2010), 103-107.
- [21] S.G. Samko, A.A. Kilbas, O.I. Marichev, *Fractional Integrals and Derivatives. Theory and Applications*, Gordon and Breach, Yverdon, 1993.
- [22] A.N. Vityuk, A.V. Golushkov, *Existence of solutions of systems of partial differential equations of fractional order*, Nonlinear Oscil., **7**(2004), no. 3, 318-325.
- [23] J. Wang, M. Fečkan, Y. Zhou, *Ulam's type stability of impulsive ordinary differential equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **395**(2012), 258-264.
- [24] J. Wang, Y. Zhang, *Existence and stability of solutions to nonlinear impulsive differential equations in β -normed spaces*, Electron. J. Differential Eq., **2014**(2014), no. 83, 1-10.
- [25] Y. Zhou, F. Jiao, *Nonlocal Cauchy problem for fractional evolution equations*, Nonlinear Anal., Real World Appl., **11**(2010), 4465-4475.
- [26] Y. Zhou, *Basic Theory of Fractional Differential Equations*, World Scientific, Singapore, 2014.

Received: April 24, 2014; Accepted: July 17, 2014.

