Fixed Point Theory, Volume 5, No. 2, 2004, 303-308 http://www.math.ubbcluj.ro/~nodeacj/sfptcj.htm

DATA DEPENDENCE OF FIXED POINTS FOR MEIR-KEELER TYPE OPERATORS

GABRIELA PETRUŞEL

Department of Applied Mathematics Babeş-Bolyai University Cluj-Napoca Kogălniceanu 1, 400084 Cluj-Napoca, Romania E-mail: gabip@math.ubbcluj.ro

Abstract. The purpose of this note is to present data dependence results for the fixed points of Meir-Keeler type operators.

Key Words and Phrases: Fixed point, Meir-Keeler operator, data dependence.2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

1. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, the standard notations and terminologies in nonlinear analysis are used. For the convenience of the reader we recall some of them.

Let (X, d) be a metric space and $f: X \to X$ be an operator. Then $f^0 := 1_X$, $f^1 := f, \ldots, f^{n+1} = f \circ f^n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ denote the iterate operators of f. A sequence of successive approximations of f starting from $x \in X$ is a sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of elements of X with $x_0 = x$, $x_{n+1} = f(x_n)$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By $F_f := \{x \in X \mid x = f(x)\}$ we will denote the fixed point set of the operator f.

Also we will use the following symbols:

 $P(X) = \{Y \subset X | Y \text{ is nonempty}\}, P_{cl}(X) := \{Y \in P(X) | Y \text{ is closed}\}.$

If $T: X \to P(X)$ is a multivalued operator, then $F_T := \{x \in X | x \in T(x)\}$ denotes the fixed point set of the T.

This paper was presented at International Conference on Nonlinear Operators, Differential Equations and Applications held in Cluj-Napoca (Romania) from August 24 to August 27, 2004.

The Pompeiu-Hausdorff generalized functional will be denoted by H. Also, the generalized functional δ , is used in the main section of the paper, i. e. $\delta: P(X) \times P(X) \to \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{+\infty\}, \ \delta(A, B) = \sup\{d(a, b) | a \in A, b \in B\}.$

It is well-known that $(P_{b,cl}(X), H)$ is a complete metric space provided (X, d) is a complete metric space.

For more details and basic results concerning the above notions see for example [3], [7] among others.

By definition, $f: X \to X$ is a Meir-Keeler operator if it satisfies the condition:

for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\delta > 0$ such that $\varepsilon \le d(x, y) < \varepsilon + \delta \Rightarrow d(f(x), f(y)) < \varepsilon$.

Meir-Keeler operators were introduced in order to generalize the well-known Banach contraction principle. In fact Meir and Keeler [6] proved the following result.

Theorem 1.1. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $f : X \to X$ be a Meir-Keeler operator. Then $Fixf = \{x^*\}$ and for each $x \in X$ the sequence $(f^n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of successive approximations of f starting from x converges to the unique fixed point.

For more details about generalizations of contractive-type conditions see Kirk [4] or Rus [9].

A function $\varphi : [0, \infty[\to [0, \infty[$ is said to be an L-function if $\varphi(0) = 0$, $\varphi(s) > 0$ for all s > 0, and for every s > 0 there exists u > s such that:

$$\varphi(t) \leq s \text{ for } t \in [s, u].$$

Recall also the concept of modulus of uniform continuity. If (X, d) is a metric space and $f : X \to X$ is an operator then, by definition, the modulus of uniform continuity of f is defined by:

 $\delta(\varepsilon) := \sup\{\lambda : d(x,y) < \lambda \ \Rightarrow d(f(x),f(y)) < \varepsilon\}, \text{ for } \varepsilon > 0 \text{ and } \delta(0) = 0.$

In [5] T.C. Lim proved the following characterization theorem:

Theorem 1.2. (Lim [5]) Let X be a metric space. Let $f : X \to X$ and let $\delta(\varepsilon)$ be its modulus of uniform continuity. Then the following assertions are equivalent:

(i) f is a Meir-Keeler operator

(ii) $\delta(\varepsilon) > \varepsilon$, for each $\varepsilon > 0$

304

(iii) there exists a nondecreasing and right continuous L-function φ such that $d(f(x), f(y)) < \varphi(d(x, y))$ for each $x, y \in X$, with $x \neq y$.

Remark 1.3. From the proof of the above theorem, it follows that the mapping φ can be represented as follows: $\varphi(t) := \sup\{s : \frac{s+\delta(s)}{2} \le t\}$, where $\delta(s)$ is the modulus of uniform continuity of f.

For the multivalued case, the following notion was introduced by Reich [8]. By definition, $F: X \to P_{cl}(X)$ is called a multivalued Meir-Keeler operator if

for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\delta > 0$ such that $\varepsilon \le d(x, y) < \varepsilon + \delta \Rightarrow H(F(x), F(y)) < \varepsilon$.

Lim also proved that the above characterization of Meir-Keeler operators remains true in the multivalued case (Theorem 2 in [5]).

In the main section of the paper, we will need the notion of L-space in the sense of Fréchet.

Let X be a nonempty set and $s(X) := \{(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} | x_n \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$

Let $c(X) \subset s(X)$ a subset of s(X) and $Lim : c(X) \to X$ an operator. By definition the triple (X, c(X), Lim) is called an L-space if the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) If $x_n = x$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in c(X)$ and $Lim(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = x$.

(ii) If $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in c(X)$ and $Lim(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} = x$, then for all subsequences, $(x_{n_i})_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$, of $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ we have that $(x_{n_i})_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \in c(X)$ and $Lim(x_{n_i})_{i\in\mathbb{N}} = x$.

By definition an element of c(X) is a convergent sequence and $x := Lim(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is the limit of this sequence and we write $x_n \to x$ as $n \to \infty$.

In what follows we will denote an L-space by (X, \rightarrow) .

Actually, an L-space is any set endowed with a structure implying a notion of convergence for sequences. For example, Hausdorff topological spaces, metric spaces, generalized metric spaces (in Perov's sense: $d(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^m_+$, in Luxemburg-Jung's sense: $d(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{+\infty\}, d(x, y) \in K, K$ a cone in an ordered Banach space, $d(x, y) \in E$, E an ordered linear space with a notion of linear convergence, etc.), gauge spaces, 2-metric spaces, D-R-spaces, probabilistic metric spaces, syntopogenous spaces, are such L-spaces. For more details see Fréchet [2], Blumenthal [1].

GABRIELA PETRUŞEL

2. Main results

Definition 2.1. Let (X, \to) be an L-space. Then, $f : X \to X$ is called a weakly Picard operator if the sequence $(f^n(x))_{n \in N}$ converges for all $x \in X$ and the limit (which may depend on x) is a fixed point of f. If the fixed point is unique, then f is said to be a Picard operator.

Example 2.2. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $f : X \to X$ an acontraction, *i. e.* $a \in]0, 1[$ and $d(f(x), f(y)) \leq a \cdot d(x, y)$, for each $x, y \in X$. Then the operator f is Picard. (Banach-Caccioppoli)

Example 2.3. Let (X, d) be a complete generalized metric space $(d(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^m_+)$ and $A \in M_{mm}(\mathbb{R}_+)$, such that, $A^n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. If $f: X \to X$ is an A-contraction, i. e., $d(f(x), f(y)) \leq Ad(x, y)$, for all $x, y \in X$, then it is Picard operator. (Perov)

Example 2.4. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $f : X \to X$ be a Meir-Keeler type operator, i. e. for each $\eta > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $x, y \in X, \eta \leq d(x, y) < \eta + \delta$ we have $d(f(x), f(y)) < \eta$. Then f is a Picard operator. (Meir-Keeler)

In [10] the basic theory of Picard operators is presented. Some data dependence results for several classes of singlevalued and multivalued (weakly) Picard operators are proved in A. Petruşel [7], Rus [10], I. A. Rus and S. Mureşan [11], Rus, A. Petruşel and A. Sîntămărian [13] and Sîntămărian [14]. The data dependence of the fixed points for singlevalued and multivalued Meir-Keeler type operators was announced as an open problem in I. A. Rus, A. Petruşel and G. Petruşel [12]. A partial answer to this question is the main purpose of this note.

We start the main section of the paper by presenting a data dependence result for a singlevalued Meir-Keeler operator.

Theorem 2.5. Let (X,d) be a complete metric space and $f_i : X \to X$ be Meir-Keeler operators, for $i \in \{1,2\}$. Denote by φ the mapping associated with f_1 from Lim's theorem. Assume that:

(a) $t - \varphi(t) \to +\infty$, as $t \to +\infty$.

(b) there exists $\eta > 0$ such that $d(f_1(x), f_2(x)) \leq \eta$, for all $x \in X$.

Then $d(x_1^*, x_2^*) \leq t_\eta$, where $t_\eta := \sup\{t > 0 | t - \varphi \leq \eta\}$ and x_i^* denotes the unique fixed point of f_i , for $i \in \{1, 2\}$.

Proof. Using Lim's characterization theorem, we have successively: $d(x_1^*, x_2^*) = d(f_1(x_1^*), f_2(x_2^*)) \leq d(f_1(x_1^*), f_1(x_2^*)) + d(f_1(x_2^*), f_2(x_2^*)) < \varphi(d(x_1^*, x_2^*)) + \eta$. Then $d(x_1^*, x_2^*) \leq t_\eta$. \Box

For the multivalued case we have:

Theorem 2.6. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T_i : X \to P_{cl}(X)$ be multivalued Meir-Keeler operators, for $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Denote by φ_i $(i \in \{1, 2\})$ the corresponding mapping from Lim's characterization result.

Suppose that:

ii)
$$\sum_{k=1} \varphi_i^k(t) < +\infty$$
, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$.
i) there exists $\eta_1, \eta_2 > 0$ such that

$$\delta(x, T_2(x)) \leq \eta_1$$
, for all $x \in F_{T_1}$

and

$$\delta(y, T_1(y)) \leq \eta_2$$
, for all $y \in F_{T_2}$.

Then $H(F_{T_1}, F_{T_2}) \leq \eta + \max\{s_1(\eta + \frac{1}{u}), s_2(\eta + \frac{1}{u})\}, \text{ where } \eta := \max\{\eta_1, \eta_2\}$ and $s_i(t)$ denotes the sum of the series $\varphi_i^k(t)$.

Proof. The conclusion follows from the multivalued version of Lim's characterization theorem for a multivalued Meir-Keeler operator and a slightly modified version of Theorem 2 from Sîntămărian [14]. \Box

Appendix. For the convenience of the reader, we recall here the modified version of Theorem 2 in Sîntămărian [14].

Theorem 2. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T_i : X \to P_{cl}(X)$ be a φ_i -contraction, for $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Assume $\varphi_i : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is strictly increasing and $\sum_{k=1}^n \varphi^k(t) < +\infty$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and for $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Suppose that:

i) there exists $\eta_1, \eta_2 > 0$ such that

$$\delta(x, T_2(x)) \leq \eta_1$$
, for all $x \in F_{T_1}$

and

$$\delta(y, T_1(y)) \leq \eta_2$$
, for all $y \in F_{T_2}$.

Then

a) F_{T_1} and F_{T_2} are nonempty and closed

b) $H(F_{T_1}, F_{T_2}) \leq \eta + \max\{s_1(\eta + \frac{1}{u}), s_2(\eta + \frac{1}{u})\}, \text{ where } \eta := \max\{\eta_1, \eta_2\}$ and $s_i(t)$ denotes the sum of the series $\varphi_i^k(t)$.

References

- L. M. Blumenthal, Theory and applications of distance geometry, Oxford University Press, 1953.
- [2] M. Fréchet, Les espaces abstraits, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1928.
- [3] S. Hu, N. S. Papageorgiou, Handbook of multivalued analysis, Vol. I and II, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1997 and 1999.
- [4] W. A. Kirk, Contraction mappings and extensions, in *Handbook of Metric Fixed Point Theory* (W. A. Kirk and B. Sims, eds.), Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2001, 1-34.
- [5] T.-C. Lim, On characterizations of Meir-Keeler contractive maps, Nonlinear Anal., 46(2001), 113-120.
- [6] A. Meir, E. Keeler, A theorem on contraction mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 28(1969), 326-329.
- [7] A. Petruşel, Multivalued weakly Picard operators and applications, *Scientiae Mathe-maticae Japonicae*, 59(2004), 169-202.
- [8] S. Reich, Fixed point of contractive functions, Boll. U. M. I., 5(1972), 26-42.
- [9] I. A. Rus, Generalized contractions and applications, Cluj Univ. Press, 2001.
- [10] I. A. Rus, Picard operators and applications, *Scientia Mathematicae Japonicae*, 58(2003), 191-219.
- [11] I. A. Rus, S. Mureşan, Data dependence of the fixed points set of some weakly Picard operators, Tiberiu Popoviciu Seminar, Cluj-Napoca, 2000, 201-207.
- [12] I. A. Rus, A. Petruşel, G. Petruşel, Fixed Point Theory 1950-2000 : Romanian Contributions, House of the Book of Science, Cluj-Napoca, 2002.
- [13] I. A. Rus, A. Petruşel and A. Sîntămărian, Data dependence of the fixed point set of some multivalued weakly Picard operators, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 52(2003), 1947-1959.
- [14] A. Sîntămărian, A theorem on data dependence of the fixed point set for multivalued mappings, Seminar on Fixed Point Theory, 3(1998), 35-40.