

ON NONHOMOGENEOUS p -LAPLACIAN ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS
INVOLVING A CRITICAL SOBOLEV EXPONENT
AND MULTIPLE HARDY-TYPE TERMS

SOFIANE MESSIRDI and ATIKA MATALLAH

Abstract. In this paper, we consider a class of nonhomogeneous p -Laplacian elliptic equations with a critical Sobolev exponent and multiple Hardy type terms. By the Ekeland variational principle on a Nehari manifold and the mountain pass lemma, we prove the existence of multiple solutions, under sufficient conditions on the data and the considered parameters.

MSC 2010. 35J20, 35J50, 35B33.

Key words. Concentration compactness principle, critical Sobolev exponent, Ekeland’s variational principle, Hardy inequality, Multi-singular potentials, Palais-Smale condition.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we study the existence and the multiplicity of the positive solutions of the quasilinear elliptic problem (\mathcal{P}) :

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\mu_i}{|x - a_i|^p} |u|^{p-2} u = |u|^{p^*-2} u \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad + \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\lambda_i}{|x - a_i|^{p-s_i}} |u|^{p-2} u + f \quad \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where Ω is an open smooth bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^N ($N \geq 3$), $1 < p < N$, $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $a_i \in \Omega$, λ_i and μ_i are nonnegative parameters and s_i are positive constants ($1 \leq i \leq k$); f is a bounded measurable function which is positive in each neighborhood of a_i . Here $p^* = \frac{pN}{N-p}$ denotes the critical Sobolev exponent and $\Delta_p u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$ is the p -Laplacian operator.

Problem (\mathcal{P}) is related to the Hardy inequality [6]:

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^p}{|x - a|^p} dx \leq \frac{1}{\mu} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx, \text{ for all } u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega),$$

The authors thank the referee for his helpful comments and suggestions.

where $a \in \Omega$ and $\bar{\mu} = \left(\frac{N-p}{p}\right)^p$ is the best Hardy constant. We shall work with the space $W = W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, the completion of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm

$$\|u\| := \left(\int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u|^p - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\mu_i}{|x - a_i|^p} |u|^p \right) dx \right)^{1/p},$$

with $1 < p < N$, $\mu_i > 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$ and $\sum_{i=1}^k \mu_i < \bar{\mu}$. In particular, Hardy's inequality shows that this norm is equivalent to the usual norm $(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx)^{1/p}$.

Many research works related to problem (\mathcal{P}) were considered by some authors in recent years. We mention especially the following interesting works:

- Abdellaoui et al. [1] studied the following problem:

$$-\Delta_p u = \frac{\lambda h(x)}{|x|^p} |u|^{q-1} u + g(x) |u|^{p^*-1} u \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where h and g are two bounded measurable functions. They proved existence and nonexistence results for two cases: they first considered the equation with a concave singular term, then they studied the critical case related to the Hardy inequality, providing a description of the behavior of the radial solutions of the limiting problem and obtaining existence and multiplicity results for perturbed problems through variational and topological arguments.

- Haidong Liu proved in [10] the existence of two solutions of the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \mu V(x) |u|^{p-2} u + |u|^{p^*-2} u + \lambda f(x, u) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega, \end{cases}$$

under some sufficient assumptions on V, f, λ and μ , where $V(x)$ is a linear weight and f is a positive function. The case $p = 2$ has been treated by Chen [3], who proved the existence of at least m positive solutions.

- Hsu studied in [7] the existence and multiplicity of positive solutions of the quasilinear elliptic problem:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\mu_i}{|x - a_i|^p} |u|^{p-2} u = |u|^{p^*-2} u + \lambda |u|^{q-2} u & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Using Nehari's manifold and the mountain pass lemma, he proved the existence of two solutions for $1 \leq q < p$ and some assumptions on the parameters μ_i, λ .

REMARK 1.1. The case $p = 2$ in problem (\mathcal{P}) has been treated in [2].

To state our results, we need some notions. Let A_i, B_i ($A_i < B_i$) be the zeroes of the function $g(t) = (p-1)t^p - (N-p)t^{p-1} + \mu_i$, $t \geq 0$ (for $p = 2$ we have $A_i = \sqrt{\bar{\mu}} - \sqrt{\bar{\mu} - \mu_i}$, $B_i = \sqrt{\bar{\mu}} + \sqrt{\bar{\mu} - \mu_i}$), $1 \leq i \leq k$. Let us denote

$$s_i^* = p(1 + B_i) - N,$$

$$\lambda^* := \min_{j=1,\dots,k} \{\lambda_1(s_j)\},$$

where

$$\lambda_1(s_j) := \inf_{u \in W \setminus \{0\}} \left\{ \|u\|^p : \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^p}{|x - a_j|^{p-s_j}} dx = 1 \right\},$$

with $1 < p < N$ and $s_j > 0, 1 \leq j \leq k$.

Now, we consider the following hypotheses:

($\mathcal{H}1$) f is a positive function in each neighborhood of a_i and satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega} f u \, dx < C_p \left(\|u\|^p - \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^p}{|x - a_i|^{p-s_i}} dx \right)^{\frac{p^*-1}{p^*-p}},$$

for all $u \in W$ such that $\int_{\Omega} |u|^{p^*} dx = 1$ and $C_p = \left(\frac{p^*-p}{p-1}\right) \left(\frac{p-1}{p^*-1}\right)^{(p^*-1)/(p^*-p)}$.

($\mathcal{H}2$) We consider $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, $\delta = (N-p)/p$ and $1 \leq l \leq k$ such that $\int_{\Omega} f u_{\varepsilon,i} dx = O(\varepsilon^{\theta} |\ln(\varepsilon)|)$ with $\theta < \min(B_l - \delta, \delta - A_l)$ and $u_{\varepsilon,i} \in W$.

REMARK 1.2. If $g \in L^q(\Omega)$ is a positive function with $q = p^*/(p^* - 1)$ and

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} g^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} < C_p \left[\frac{\lambda^* - \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i}{\lambda^* (p^* - 1)} \right]^{\frac{p(p^*-1)}{p^*-p}} S_{p^*-p}^{\frac{p^*-1}{p^*-p}}$$

then g satisfies ($\mathcal{H}1$). Moreover, if $f(x) = \varepsilon e^{|\ln \varepsilon^2|} g(x)$ for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, then $f \in L^q(\Omega)$ satisfies ($\mathcal{H}1$) and ($\mathcal{H}2$).

The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.3. Assume that $\mu_i \geq 0, \lambda_i \geq 0, s_i > 0, \sum_{i=1}^k \mu_i < \bar{\mu}, \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i < \lambda^*$ and f satisfies ($\mathcal{H}1$) and ($\mathcal{H}2$). Then the problem (\mathcal{P}) has at least $2k$ solutions in W .

This paper is organized as follows. In the forthcoming section we give some notations and preliminary results. By Ekeland's variational principle on a Nehari manifold and the mountain pass lemma, we establish in section 3 the proof of our theorem.

2. PRELIMINARY LEMMAS

We give here some results which play important roles in the sequel of this work.

In what follows, we denote the norms of $L^q(\Omega)$, ($1 \leq q < \infty$) and W^{-1} (the dual of W) by $|u|_q$ and $\|u\|_-$, respectively. $L^p(\Omega, |x - a_i|^s)$ denotes the usual weighted $L^p(\Omega)$ space with the weight $|x - a_i|^s$. C, C_i denote various positive constants whose exact values are not important. By $B_{a_j}^r$ we denote the open ball in Ω with center at a_j and radius $r > 0$.

We define for $\mu_i \in (0, \bar{\mu})$ and $a_i \in \Omega$ the constant:

$$S_{\mu_i}(\Omega) := \inf_{u \in W \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u|^p - \mu_i \frac{|u|^p}{|x - a_i|^p} \right) dx}{|u|_{p^*}^p}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k.$$

From [8], S_{μ_i} is independent of any $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ in the sense that $S_{\mu_i}(\Omega) = S_{\mu_i}(\mathbb{R}^N) = S_{\mu_i}$. In addition, the constant S_{μ_i} is achieved by a family of functions

$$V_{\varepsilon,i}(x) := \varepsilon^{(p-N)/p} U_i \left(\frac{x - a_i}{\varepsilon} \right)$$

where the positive radial function U_i is defined in [1] and $\varepsilon > 0$. Moreover, the function $V_{\varepsilon,i}$ satisfies:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p V_{\varepsilon,i} - \mu_i \frac{|V_{\varepsilon,i}|^{p-1} V_{\varepsilon,i}}{|x - a_i|^p} = |V_{\varepsilon,i}|^{p^*-2} V_{\varepsilon,i} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{a_i\} \\ u \longrightarrow 0 & \text{as } |x| \longrightarrow \infty. \end{cases}$$

Now, we shall give some estimates for the extremal functions $V_{\varepsilon,i}$ which we shall use later. Let $\varphi_i \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ be such that

$$0 \leq \varphi_i(x) \leq 1, \quad \varphi_i(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |x - a_i| \geq 2r \\ 1 & \text{if } |x - a_i| \leq r \end{cases}, \quad \text{and } |\nabla \varphi_i(x)| \leq C,$$

where δ is a small positive number. Take $u_{\varepsilon,i} = \varphi_i(x) V_{\varepsilon,i}(x)$ for i in $\{1, \dots, k\}$.

In what follows, we consider $s_i, \lambda_i > 0$ and $\mu_i \geq 0$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^k \mu_i < \bar{\mu}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i < \lambda^*$.

By [9], we have the following estimates.

LEMMA 2.1. *Assume that $v \in W$ is a positive solution of problem (P) and $1 < p < N$. Then, for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough and $\delta = (N - p)/p$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u_{\varepsilon,i}|^p - \frac{\mu_i}{|x - a_i|^p} |u_{\varepsilon,i}|^p \right) dx &= S_{\mu_i}^{N/p} + \mathcal{O} \left(\varepsilon^{p(B_i - \delta)} \right), \\ \int_{\Omega} |u_{\varepsilon,i}|^{p^*} dx &= S_{\mu_i}^{N/p} - \mathcal{O} \left(\varepsilon^{p^*(B_i - \delta)} \right), \\ \int_{\Omega} |v| |u_{\varepsilon,i}|^{p^*-1} dx &= \mathcal{O} \left(\varepsilon^{(\delta - A_i)} \right), \\ \int_{\Omega} |u_{\varepsilon,i}| |v|^{p^*-1} dx &= \mathcal{O} \left(\varepsilon^{(p^*-1)(\delta - A_i)} \right), \\ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon,i}|^{p-1} |\nabla v| dx &= \begin{cases} \mathcal{O} \left(\varepsilon^{(\delta - A_i)} \right), & A_i + (p-1) B_i > p\delta \\ \mathcal{O} \left(\varepsilon^{(\delta - A_i)} |\ln(\varepsilon)| \right), & A_i + (p-1) B_i = p\delta \\ \mathcal{O} \left(\varepsilon^{(p-1)(B_i - \delta)} \right), & A_i + (p-1) B_i < p\delta, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{p-1} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon,i}| dx = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{(p-1)(\delta-A_i)}), & B_i + (p-1)A_i > p\delta \\ \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{(B_i-\delta)} |\ln(\varepsilon)|), & B_i + (p-1)A_i = p\delta \\ \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{(B_i-\delta)}), & B_i + (p-1)A_i < p\delta, \end{cases}$$

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_{\varepsilon,i}|^{p-1} |v|}{|x-a_i|^p} dx = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{(\delta-A_i)}), & (p-1)B_i + A_i > p\delta \\ \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{(p-1)(B_i-\delta)} |\ln(\varepsilon)|), & (p-1)B_i + A_i = p\delta \\ \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{(p-1)(B_i-\delta)}), & (p-1)B_i + A_i < p\delta, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|v|^{p-1} |u_{\varepsilon,i}|}{|x-a_i|^p} dx = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{(p-1)(\delta-A_i)}), & B_i + (p-1)A_i > p\delta \\ \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{B_i-\delta} |\ln(\varepsilon)|), & B_i + (p-1)A_i = p\delta \\ \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{(B_i-\delta)}), & B_i + (p-1)A_i < p\delta. \end{cases}$$

Let

$$I(u) := \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u|^p - \sum_{i=1}^k \mu_i \frac{|u|^p}{|x-a_i|^p} - \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \frac{|u|^p}{|x-a_i|^{p-s_i}} \right) dx,$$

$$S^* := \inf_{u \in W \setminus \{0\}} \left\{ (I(u))^{1/p}; |u|_{p^*} = 1 \right\}.$$

From the fact that $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i < \lambda^*$, we have $S^* > 0$.

The energy functional associated to (\mathcal{P}) is given by the following expression:

$$J(u) := \frac{1}{p} I(u) - \frac{1}{p^*} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p^*} dx - \int_{\Omega} f u dx.$$

We see that J is well defined in W and belongs to $C^1(W, \mathbb{R})$.

It is known that a weak solution $u \in W$ of (\mathcal{P}) corresponds to a critical point of J which is given by:

$$\langle J'(u), \varphi \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \varphi - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\mu_i |u|^{p-2}}{|x-a_i|^p} u \varphi - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\lambda_i |u|^{p-2}}{|x-a_i|^{p-s_i}} u \varphi \right) dx$$

$$- \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p^*-2} u \varphi dx - \int_{\Omega} f \varphi dx = 0, \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in W.$$

More standard elliptic regularity argument imply that a weak solution $u \in W$ is indeed in $C^2(\Omega \setminus \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\}) \cap C^1(\bar{\Omega} \setminus \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\})$ and we can say that u satisfies (\mathcal{P}) in the classical sense.

DEFINITION 2.2. A functional $J \in C^1(W, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies the *Palais-Smale condition at level c* , $((PS)_c)$ for short, if any sequence $(u_n) \subset W$ such that

$$J(u_n) \rightarrow c \quad \text{and} \quad J'(u_n) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } W^{-1} \text{ (dual of } W),$$

contains a strongly convergent subsequence.

As J is not bounded below on W , it is useful to consider it on the Nehari manifold:

$$\mathcal{N} = \{u \in W \setminus \{0\} : \langle J'(u), u \rangle = 0\}.$$

It is natural to split \mathcal{N} into three subsets:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}^+ &= \{u \in \mathcal{N} : \langle J''(u), u \rangle > 0\}, \\ \mathcal{N}^- &= \{u \in \mathcal{N} : \langle J''(u), u \rangle < 0\}, \\ \mathcal{N}^0 &= \{u \in \mathcal{N} : \langle J''(u), u \rangle = 0\}, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \langle J''(u), u \rangle &= pI(u) - p^* |u|_{p^*}^{p^*} - \int_{\Omega} f u \, dx \\ &= (p-1)I(u) - (p^*-1)|u|_{p^*}^{p^*} \\ &= (p-p^*)I(u) + (p^*-1) \int_{\Omega} f u \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2.3. *Let f satisfy condition $(\mathcal{H}1)$. Then, for any $u \in W \setminus \{0\}$ there exists a unique $t^+ = t^+(u) > 0$ such that $t^+u \in \mathcal{N}^-$ and*

$$t^+ > \left(\frac{(p-1)I(u)}{(p^*-1)|u|_{p^*}^{p^*}} \right)^{(p^*-1)/(p^*-p)} := t_{\max}(u) = t_{\max}$$

and $J(t^+u) = \max_{t \geq t_{\max}} J(tu)$. Moreover, if $\int_{\Omega} f u \, dx > 0$, then there exists a unique $t^- = t^-(u) > 0$ such that $t^-u \in \mathcal{N}^+$, $t^- < t_{\max}$ and $J(t^-u) = \inf_{0 \leq t \leq t_{\max}} J(tu)$.

Proof. The lemma can be proved in the same way as in [13]. \square

LEMMA 2.4. *Let $f \neq 0$ satisfy condition $(\mathcal{H}1)$. Then $\mathcal{N}^0 = \emptyset$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{N}^0 \neq \emptyset$. Then, for $u \in \mathcal{N}^0$, we have:

$$(p-1)I(u) = (p^*-1)|u|_{p^*}^{p^*},$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad 0 &= I(u) - |u|_{p^*}^{p^*} - \int_{\Omega} f u \, dx \\ &= (p^*-p)|u|_{p^*}^{p^*} - (p-1) \int_{\Omega} f u \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

From $(\mathcal{H}1)$ and (1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< C_p (I(u))^{(p^*-1)/(p^*-p)} - \int_{\Omega} f u \, dx \\ &= (p^*-p)|u|_{p^*}^{p^*} \left[\left(\frac{(p-1)I(u)}{(p^*-1)|u|_{p^*}^{p^*}} \right)^{(p^*-1)/(p^*-p)} - 1 \right] = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which yields to a contradiction. \square

Define, for $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$,

$$\beta_i(u) := \frac{\int_{\Omega} \psi_i(x) |\nabla u|^p dx}{|\nabla u|_p^p}, \text{ where } \psi_i(x) = \min \{\rho, |x - a_i|\} \text{ and } \rho > 0.$$

Take $r_0 = \frac{\rho}{3}$ with $\rho < \frac{1}{4} \min_{i \neq j} |a_i - a_j|$ and let

$$\mathcal{N}_i^+ = \{u \in \mathcal{N}^+ : \beta_i(u) \leq r_0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{N}_i^- = \{u \in \mathcal{N}^- : \beta_i(u) \leq r_0\}.$$

Denote

$$m_i^+ := \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_i^+} J(u) \quad \text{and} \quad m_i^- := \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_i^-} J(u).$$

LEMMA 2.5 ([3]). *Let $\rho > 0$ and r_0 defined as above. If $\beta_i(u) \leq r_0$, then*

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx \geq 3 \int_{\Omega \setminus B_i^{\rho}} |\nabla u|^p dx.$$

3. MAIN RESULT

From now on, we consider j fixed in $\{1, \dots, k\}$.

3.1. EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS IN \mathcal{N}^+

Using Ekeland's variational principle we prove the existence of k solutions in \mathcal{N}^+ .

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let f be a bounded measurable function, locally positive in each neighborhood of a_i , satisfying $(\mathcal{H}1)$. Then $m_i^+ = \inf_{v \in \mathcal{N}_i^+} J(v)$ is achieved at a point $u_i \in \mathcal{N}_i^+$ which is a critical point and even a local minimum for J .*

Proof. We start by showing that J is bounded below in \mathcal{N} . Indeed, for $u \in \mathcal{N}^+$, we have

$$\frac{p-1}{p^*-1} I(u) > |u|_{p^*}^{p^*}.$$

Since $u \in \mathcal{N}$, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} J(u) &= \frac{1}{p} I(u) - \frac{1}{p^*} |u|_{p^*}^{p^*} - \int_{\Omega} f u dx \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) I(u) - \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) \int_{\Omega} f u dx \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) I(u) - \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) \|f\|_- \|u\| \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1-p}{pp^*} \right) \frac{(p^*-1)^{p/(p-1)}}{(p^*-p)^{1/(p-1)}} \|f\|_-^{p/(p-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$m_j^+ \geq m_0 \geq \left(\frac{1-p}{pp^*} \right) \frac{(p^*-1)^{p/(p-1)}}{(p^*-p)^{1/(p-1)}} \|f\|_-^{p/(p-1)}, \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, k,$$

where $m_0 = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}} J(u)$.

We claim that $m_j^+ < 0$. In fact, we know that $\int_{B_\varepsilon^j} f u_{\varepsilon,j} > 0$ for all ε smaller than a certain $\varepsilon_1 > 0$.

Set $0 < t_{\varepsilon,j}^- < t_{\varepsilon,j,\max}^-$, given by Lemma 2.3, such that $t_{\varepsilon,j}^- u_{\varepsilon,j} \in \mathcal{N}^+$. Since $\beta_j(t_{\varepsilon,j}^- u_{\varepsilon,j})$ tends to 0, as ε goes to 0, it follows that there exists an ε_2 such that $\beta_j(t_{\varepsilon,j}^- u_{\varepsilon,j}) \leq r_0$ for $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_2 < \varepsilon_1$. Then $t_{\varepsilon,j}^- u_{\varepsilon,j} \in \mathcal{N}_j^+$, whence

$$\begin{aligned} J(t_{\varepsilon,j}^- u_{\varepsilon,j}) &= \frac{(t_{\varepsilon,j}^-)^p}{p} I(u_{\varepsilon,j}) - \frac{(t_{\varepsilon,j}^-)^{p^*}}{p^*} |u_{\varepsilon,j}|_{p^*}^{p^*} - t_{\varepsilon,j}^- \int_{\Omega} f u_{\varepsilon,j} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{p} - 1 \right) (t_{\varepsilon,j}^-)^p I(u_{\varepsilon,j}) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) (t_{\varepsilon,j}^-)^{p^*} |u_{\varepsilon,j}|_{p^*}^{p^*} \\ &< \frac{(1-p)(p^*-p)}{pp^*} (t_{\varepsilon,j}^-)^p I(u_{\varepsilon,j}) < 0, \end{aligned}$$

and thus $-\infty < m_0 \leq m_j^+ < 0$.

Ekeland's variational principle gives us a minimizing sequence $(u_{j,n})_n \subset \mathcal{N}_j^+$ with the following properties:

- (i) $J(u_{j,n}) < m_j^+ + \frac{1}{n}$
- (ii) $J(w) \geq J(u_{j,n}) - \frac{1}{n} |\nabla(w - u_{j,n})|_p$, for all $w \in \mathcal{N}_j^+$.

By taking n large, we have for some $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_2)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} J(u_{j,n}) &= \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) I(u_{j,n}) - \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) \int_{\Omega} f u_{j,n} \, dx \\ &< m_j^+ + \frac{1}{n} \leq \frac{(1-p)(p^*-p)}{pp^*} (t_{\varepsilon,j}^-)^p I(u_{\varepsilon,j}). \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\int_{\Omega} f u_{j,n} \, dx \geq \frac{(p-1)(p^*-p)}{p(p^*-1)} (t_{\varepsilon,j}^-)^p I(u_{\varepsilon,j}) > 0.$$

Consequently, $u_{j,n} \neq 0$ and we get

$$\frac{(p-1)(p^*-p)}{p(p^*-1)} (t_{\varepsilon,j}^-)^p I(u_{\varepsilon,j}) \leq \|u_{j,n}\| \leq \frac{p^*-1}{p(p^*-p)} \|f\|_-.$$

Thus there exists a subsequence labeled $(u_{j,n})_n$ such that $u_{j,n} \rightharpoonup u_j$ weakly in W , when n goes to $+\infty$. Using an argument similar to one from [13], we can conclude that $\|J'(u_{j,n})\|_-$ tends to 0, as n goes to $+\infty$.

We deduce that

$$(2) \quad \langle J'(u_j), \varphi \rangle = 0, \text{ for all } \varphi \in W,$$

i.e. u_j is a weak solution of (\mathcal{P}) .

In particular, $u_j \in \mathcal{N}$ and we have

$$\int_{\Omega} f u_j dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} f u_{j,n} dx \geq \frac{(p-1)(p^*-p)}{p(p^*-1)} \left(t_{\varepsilon,j}^-\right)^p I(u_{\varepsilon,j}) > 0.$$

Thus $u_j \neq 0$. Also, from Lemma 2.4 and (1) it follows that necessarily $u_j \in \mathcal{N}^+$.

By the fact that $\beta_j(u_j) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_j(u_{j,n}) \leq r_0$, then $u_j \in \mathcal{N}_j^+$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} m_j^+ &\leq J(u_j) = \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*}\right) I(u_j) - \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^*}\right) \int_{\Omega} f u_j dx \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} J(u_{j,n}) = m_j^+. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, similarly to [13], we conclude that u_j is a local minimizer for J .

Then $u_{j,n} \rightarrow u_j$ strongly in W and $J(u_j) = m_j^+ = \inf_{v \in \mathcal{N}_j^+} I(v)$. By Lemma

2.3, we deduce the existence of k solutions to problem (\mathcal{P}) . \square

3.2. EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS IN $\mathcal{N}^=$

In this subsection, we shall find the range of c when J verifies condition $(PS)_c$.

LEMMA 3.2. *If $c < \frac{1}{N} S_{\mu_1}^{N/p}$, where $S_{\mu_1}^{N/p} = \min\{S_{\mu_1}^{N/p}, \dots, S_{\mu_k}^{N/p}, S_{\lambda, \tilde{\mu}}^{N/p}\}$, then J satisfies condition $(PS)_c$.*

Proof. Let (u_n) be a $(PS)_c$ sequence for J with $c < \frac{1}{N} S_{\mu_1}^{N/p}$. We know that (u_n) is bounded in W and there exists a subsequence of (u_n) (still denoted by (u_n)) and $u \in W$ such that:

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &\rightharpoonup u \text{ weakly in } W, \\ u_n &\rightharpoonup u \text{ weakly in } L^p(\Omega, |x - a_i|^{-p}), \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq k \text{ and in } L^{p^*}(\Omega), \\ u_n &\rightarrow u \text{ strongly in } L^p(\Omega, |x - a_i|^{s_i - p}), \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq k, \\ u_n &\rightarrow u \text{ strongly in } L^q(\Omega), \text{ for } 1 \leq q < p^* \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} f u_n \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} f u.$$

Using a standard argument, we deduce that u is a weak solution of problem (\mathcal{P}) . By the Concentration-Compactness Principle [11, 12], there exist a subsequence, still denoted by (u_n) , an at most countable set \mathfrak{S} , $(x_j)_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} \subset$

$\Omega \setminus \bigcup_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} \{a_j\}$, and sets of nonnegative numbers $\tilde{\mu}_{x_j}, \tilde{\nu}_{x_j}$ for $j \in \mathfrak{S}$; $\tilde{\mu}_{a_i}, \tilde{\gamma}_{a_i}, \tilde{\nu}_{a_i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla u_n|^p &\rightharpoonup d\tilde{\mu} \geq \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} \tilde{\mu}_{x_j} \delta_{x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^k \tilde{\mu}_{a_i} \delta_{a_i} \\ \frac{|u_n|^p}{|x - a_i|^p} &\rightharpoonup d\tilde{\gamma} = \tilde{\gamma}_{a_i} \delta_{a_i} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|u_n|^{p^*} \rightharpoonup d\tilde{\nu} = \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} \tilde{\nu}_{x_j} \delta_{x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^k \tilde{\nu}_{a_i} \delta_{a_i}$$

where δ_x is the Dirac mass at x .

By the Sobolev-Hardy inequalities, we get

$$(3) \quad \tilde{\mu}_{a_i} - \mu_i \tilde{\gamma}_{a_i} \geq S_{\mu_i} \tilde{\nu}_{a_i}^{p/p^*}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k.$$

CLAIM 3.3. Either $\tilde{\nu}_{x_j} = 0$ or $\tilde{\nu}_{x_j} \geq S_0^{N/p}$, for any $j \in \mathfrak{S}$ and either $\tilde{\nu}_{a_i} = 0$ or $\tilde{\nu}_{a_i} \geq S_{\mu_i}^{N/p}$, for all $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Proof of Claim 3.3. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be small enough such that $a_i \notin B_{x_j}^\varepsilon$, for all $1 \leq j \leq k$, and $B_{x_i}^\varepsilon \cap B_{x_j}^\varepsilon = \emptyset$, for $i \neq j$, and $i, j \in \mathfrak{S}$.

Let ϕ_ε^j be a smooth cut-off function centered at x_j such that:

$$0 \leq \phi_\varepsilon^j \leq 1, \quad \phi_\varepsilon^j = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } |x - x_j| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\ 0, & \text{if } |x - x_j| > \varepsilon \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla \phi_\varepsilon^j| \leq \frac{4}{\varepsilon}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^p \phi_\varepsilon^j &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} \phi_\varepsilon^j d\tilde{\mu} \geq \tilde{\mu}_{x_j}, \\ \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_n|^p}{|x - a_i|^p} \phi_\varepsilon^j &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} \phi_\varepsilon^j d\tilde{\gamma} = 0, \\ \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{p^*} \phi_\varepsilon^j &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} \phi_\varepsilon^j d\tilde{\nu} = \tilde{\nu}_{x_j}, \\ \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n \nabla \phi_\varepsilon^j &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and thus we have

$$0 = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle J'(u_n), u_n \phi_\varepsilon^j \rangle \geq \tilde{\mu}_{x_j} - \tilde{\nu}_{x_j}.$$

By the Sobolev-Hardy inequalities, we get

$$S_0 \tilde{\nu}_{x_i}^{p/p^*} \leq \tilde{\mu}_{x_j},$$

hence we deduce that

$$\tilde{\nu}_{x_j} = 0 \text{ or } \tilde{\nu}_{x_j} \geq S_0^{N/p}.$$

Consider the possibility of concentration at points a_i , with $1 \leq i \leq k$. For $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough such that $x_j \notin B_{a_j}^\varepsilon$, for all $j \in \mathfrak{S}$, and $B_{a_i}^\varepsilon \cap B_{a_j}^\varepsilon = \emptyset$, for $i \neq j$ and $1 \leq i, j \leq k$.

Let ψ_ε^i be a smooth cut-off function centered at x_i such that

$$0 \leq \psi_\varepsilon^i \leq 1, \quad \psi_\varepsilon^i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |x - x_i| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\ 0 & \text{if } |x - x_i| > \varepsilon \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla \psi_\varepsilon^i| \leq \frac{4}{\varepsilon},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^p \psi_\varepsilon^i &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} \psi_\varepsilon^i d\tilde{\mu} \geq \tilde{\mu}_{a_i}, \\ \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{p^*} \psi_\varepsilon^i &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} \psi_\varepsilon^i d\tilde{\nu} = \tilde{\nu}_{a_i}, \\ \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_n|^p}{|x - a_i|^p} \psi_\varepsilon^i &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} \psi_\varepsilon^i d\tilde{\gamma} = \tilde{\gamma}_{a_i}, \\ \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_n|^p}{|x - a_j|^p} \psi_\varepsilon^i &= 0, \quad \text{for } j \neq i, \\ \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n \nabla \psi_\varepsilon^i &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and thus we have

$$(4) \quad 0 = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle J'(u_n), u_n \psi_\varepsilon^i \rangle \geq \tilde{\mu}_{a_i} - \mu_i \tilde{\gamma}_{a_i} - \tilde{\nu}_{a_i}.$$

From (4) and (5) we deduce that

$$S_{\mu_i} \tilde{\nu}_{a_i}^{p/p^*} \leq \tilde{\nu}_{a_i}$$

and then either $\tilde{\nu}_{a_i} = 0$ or $\tilde{\nu}_{a_i} \geq S_{\mu_i}^{N/p}$, for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. \square

Consequently, from the above argument and (3), we conclude that:

$$\begin{aligned} c &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(J(u_n) - \frac{1}{2} \langle J'(u_n), u_n \rangle \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{p^*} \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \left(\sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} \tilde{\nu}_{x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^k \tilde{\nu}_{a_i} \right). \end{aligned}$$

If $\tilde{\nu}_{a_i} = \tilde{\nu}_{x_j} = 0$, for all $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}, j \in \mathfrak{S}$, then $c = 0$, which contradicts the assumption that $c > 0$. On the other hand, if there exists an $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that $\tilde{\nu}_{a_i} \neq 0$ or there exists an $j \in \mathfrak{S}$ with $\tilde{\nu}_{x_j} \neq 0$, then we infer that

$$c \geq \frac{1}{N} S_{\mu_i}^{N/p} = c^*.$$

Therefore J satisfies the $(PS)_c$ condition for $c < c^*$. \square

LEMMA 3.4. *Under conditions $(\mathcal{H}1)$, $(\mathcal{H}2)$ and $0 < s_i \leq s_i^*$, there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that, for $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$, we have*

$$\sup_{t>0} I(u_j + tu_{\varepsilon,l}) < m_j^+ + \frac{1}{N} S_{\mu}^{N/p}.$$

Proof. Set $g(t) := J(u_j + tu_{\varepsilon,l})$. Then $g(0) = J(u_j) < m_j^+ + \frac{1}{N} S_{\mu}^{N/p}$ and, by the continuity of g , there exists $t_0 > 0$ small enough such that $g(t) < m_j^+ + \frac{1}{N} S_{\mu}^{N/p}$, for all $t \in (0, t_0)$. On the other hand, it is easy to see that $g(t) \rightarrow -\infty$, as $t \rightarrow +\infty$, that is, there exists $t_1 > 0$ large enough such that $g(t) < m_j^+ + \frac{1}{N} S_{\mu}^{N/p}$, for all $t \geq t_1$. So, we only need to show that $\sup_{t_0 \leq t \leq t_1} g(t) < m_j^+ + \frac{1}{N} S_{\mu}^{N/p}$.

From the following elementary inequality satisfied, for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|\alpha + \beta|^q - |\alpha|^q - |\beta|^q - q\alpha\beta \left(|\alpha|^{q-2} |\beta|^{q-2} \right) \leq C \left(\beta |\alpha|^{q-1} + \alpha |\beta|^{q-2} \right),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t_0 \leq t \leq t_1} g(t) &= \sup_{t_0 \leq t \leq t_1} J(u_j + tu_{\varepsilon,l}) \\ &\leq J(u_j) + \sup_{t \geq 0} J(tu_{\varepsilon,l}) \\ &+ C_1 \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u_j|^{p-1} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon,l}| + |\nabla u_{\varepsilon,l}|^{p-1} |\nabla u_j| \right) dx \\ &+ C_2 \sum_{i=1}^k \mu_i \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{|u_j|^{p-1} |u_{\varepsilon,l}|}{|x - a_i|^p} + \frac{|u_{\varepsilon,l}|^{p-1} |u_j|}{|x - a_i|^p} \right) dx \\ &+ C_3 \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{|u_j|^{p-1} |u_{\varepsilon,l}|}{|x - a_i|^{p-\alpha_i}} + \frac{|u_{\varepsilon,l}|^{p-1} |u_j|}{|x - a_i|^{p-\alpha_i}} \right) dx \\ &+ C_4 \int_{\Omega} \left(|u_j| |u_{\varepsilon,l}|^{p^*-1} + |u_{\varepsilon,l}| |u_j|^{p^*-1} \right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

By $(\mathcal{H}2)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t_0 \leq t \leq t_1} J(tu_{\varepsilon,l}) &= \sup_{t>0} \left(\frac{t^p}{p} I(u_{\varepsilon,l}) - \frac{t^{p^*}}{p^*} \int_{\Omega} |u_{\varepsilon,l}|^{p^*} dx - t \int_{\Omega} f u_{\varepsilon,l} dx \right) \\ &\leq \sup_{t>0} \left(\frac{t^p}{p} \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u_{\varepsilon,l}|^p - \sum_{i=1}^k \mu_i \frac{|u_{\varepsilon,l}|^p}{|x - a_i|^p} \right) dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{t^{p^*}}{p^*} \int_{\Omega} |u_{\varepsilon,l}|^{p^*} dx \right) - t_1 \int_{\Omega} f u_{\varepsilon,l} dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N} S_{\mu}^{N/p} + \mathcal{O} \left(\varepsilon^{p(B_l - \delta)} \right) - \mathcal{O} \left(\varepsilon^{\theta} |\ln(\varepsilon)| \right). \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 2.1 and the fact that $\theta < \min(B_l - \delta, \delta - A_l)$, it follows that

$$\sup_{t_0 \leq t \leq t_1} g(t) < m_j + \frac{1}{N} S_{\mu_l}^{N/p}.$$

□

The mountain pass lemma gives us a value that is below the threshold $m_j^+ + \frac{1}{N} S_{\mu_l}^{N/2}$, which we shall compare with the value $m_j^- = \inf_{\mathcal{N}_j^-} I$.

Take $u_{\varepsilon,j} \in W$ such that $|\nabla u_{\varepsilon,j}|_2 = 1$. Then, by Lemma 2.2, we can find a unique $t_{\varepsilon,j}^+(u_{\varepsilon,j}) > 0$ such that $t_{\varepsilon,j}^+ u_{\varepsilon,j} \in \mathcal{N}^-$. We may use an argument similar to that in the previous subsection to find $t_{\varepsilon,j}^+ u_{\varepsilon,j} \in \mathcal{N}_j^-$, for ε small enough and $I(t_{\varepsilon,j}^+ u_{\varepsilon,j}) = \max_{t \geq t_{\varepsilon,j}^+, \max} I(tu_{\varepsilon,j})$. The uniqueness of $t_{\varepsilon,j}^+$ implies that $t_{\varepsilon,j}^+(u)$ is a continuous function of u .

Set

$$U_1 = \left\{ v \in W : \|v\| < t^+ \left(\frac{v}{\|v\|} \right) \right\} \cup \{0\}$$

and

$$U_2 = \left\{ v \in W : \|v\| > t^+ \left(\frac{v}{\|v\|} \right) \right\}.$$

We remark that $W \setminus \mathcal{N}_j^- = U_1 \cup U_2$ and $\mathcal{N}_j^+ \subset U_1$. In particular, $u_j \in U_1$.

We claim that, for t_j carefully chosen and $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, we have $\widehat{u}_j = u_j + t_j u_{\varepsilon,j} \in U_2$ (using the same argument as in [13]).

Set

$$\mathcal{L}_j = \{h : [0, 1] \longrightarrow W : h \text{ is continuous with } h(0) = u_j, h(1) = \widehat{u}_j\}.$$

LEMMA 3.5. *For a suitable choice of $t_j > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$c_j^* = \inf_{h \in \mathcal{L}_j} \max_{t \in [0, 1]} I(h(t))$$

defines a critical value for I and $c_j^ \geq m_j^-$.*

Proof. Clearly $h : [0, 1] \longrightarrow W$ given by $h(t) = u_j + tt_j u_{\varepsilon,j}$ belongs to \mathcal{L}_j . Thus $I(h(t)) < m_j^+ + \frac{1}{N} S_{\mu_l}^{N/p}$ and hence $c_j^* < m_j^+ + \frac{1}{N} S_{\mu_l}^{N/p}$. Also, since the range of any $h \in \mathcal{L}_j$ intersects \mathcal{N}_j^- , we obtain $c_j^* \geq m_j^- = \inf_{\mathcal{N}_j^-} I$. The lemma follows by applying the mountain pass lemma. □

PROPOSITION 3.6. *Suppose that f verifies conditions $(\mathcal{H}1)$ and $(\mathcal{H}2)$. Then I has a minimizer $u_j \in \mathcal{N}_j^-$ such that $m_j^- = I(u_j)$. Moreover, u_j is a solution of problem (\mathcal{P}) .*

Proof. There exists a minimizing sequence $(v_{j,n}) \subset \mathcal{N}_j^-$ such that $I(v_{j,n}) \rightarrow m_j^-$ and $I'(v_{j,n}) \rightarrow 0$ in W .

By Lemma 3.5, we have $m_j^- < m_j^+ + \frac{1}{N} S_{\mu_i}^{N/p}$. Using Lemma 3.4, we deduce that $v_{j,n}$ converges strongly to u_j in W . Thus $u_j \in \mathcal{N}_j^-$ and $m_j^- = I(u_j)$.

Then $I'(u_j) = 0$, and thus u_j is a solution of the problem (\mathcal{P}) . We conclude that (\mathcal{P}) admits also k solutions in \mathcal{N}^- . \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3. By Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 3.6, we conclude that problem (\mathcal{P}) admits at least $2k$ distinct solutions in W . \square

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Abdellaoui, V. Felli and I. Peral, *Existence and nonexistence for quasilinear equations involving the p -Laplacian*, Boll. Unione Mat. Ital., **9-B** (2006), 445–484.
- [2] M. Boucekif and S. Messirdi, *On nonhomogeneous elliptic equations with critical Sobolev exponent and prescribed singularities*, Taiwanese J. Math., **20** (2016), 431–447.
- [3] J. Chen, *Multiple positive solutions for a semilinear equation with prescribed singularity*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **305** (2005), 140–157.
- [4] I. Ekeland, *On the variational principle*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **17** (1974), 324–353.
- [5] P. Han, *Quasilinear elliptic problems with critical exponents and Hardy terms*, Nonlinear Anal., **61** (2005), 735–758.
- [6] G.H. Hardy, J.E. Littlewood and G. Polya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press, 1988.
- [7] T.-S. Hsu, *Multiple positive solutions for quasilinear elliptic problems involving concave-convex nonlinearities and multiple Hardy-type terms*, Acta Math. Sci., **33** (2013), 1314–1328.
- [8] E. Jannelli, *The role played by space dimension in elliptic critical problems*, J. Differential Equations, **156** (1999), 407–426.
- [9] D. Kang, *On the singular critical quasilinear problems in \mathbb{R}^N* , Nonlinear Anal., **69** (2008), 3577–3590.
- [10] H. Liu, *Multiple positive solutions for a quasilinear elliptic equation involving singular potential and critical Sobolev exponent*, Nonlinear Anal., **71** (2009), 1684–1690.
- [11] P.L. Lions, *The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations, the limit case (I)*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam., **1** (1985), 145–201.
- [12] P.L. Lions, *The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations, the limit case (II)*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam., **1** (1985), 45–121.
- [13] G. Tarantello, *On nonhomogeneous elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponent*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, **9** (1992), 281–309.

Received April 2, 2018

Accepted October 16, 2018

University of Mostaganem

Faculty of Exact Sciences

Department of Mathematics and Informatics

Laboratory of Fundamental and Applicable

Mathematics of Oran (LMFAO)

Mostaganem, Algeria

E-mail: messirdi.sofiane@hotmail.fr

Ecole Supérieure de Management de Tlemcen

Algeria

E-mail: atika_matallah@yahoo.fr