# SOME PROPERTIES OF CERTAIN CLASSES OF MEROMORPHICALLY *p*-VALENT FUNCTIONS INVOLVING EXTENDED MULTIPLIER TRANSFORMATIONS

#### R.M. EL-ASHWAH and M.K. AOUF

**Abstract.** The authors investigate interesting properties of certain subclasses of meromorphically multivalent functions which are defined by means of extended multiplier transformations.

MSC 2010. 34C40.

**Key words.** Analytic functions, meromorphic functions, multiplier transformations.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\sum_{p}$  be the class of functions of the form

(1) 
$$f(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k-p} z^{k-p} \quad (p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}),$$

which are analytic and *p*-valent on the punctured unit disc  $U^* = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < |z| < 1\} = U \setminus \{0\}$ . For a function  $f \in \sum_p$  given by (1) and a function  $g \in \sum_p$  given by

(2) 
$$g(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k-p} z^{k-p} \quad (p \in \mathbb{N}),$$

one introduces the Hadamard product (or convolution) of f and g as the function f \* g defined by

(3) 
$$(f * g)(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k-p} b_{k-p} z^{k-p} = (g * f)(z).$$

We define now a linear operator  $I_p^m(\lambda, \ell)$  (where  $\lambda \ge 0, \ \ell > 0, \ m \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \ \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \cdots\}$ ) which acts as described below on a function  $f \in \sum_p$  given by (1)

(4) 
$$I_p^m(\lambda,\ell)f(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\lambda k + \ell}{\ell}\right]^m a_{k-p} z^{k-p}.$$

We can write (4) also as

$$I_p^m(\lambda,\ell)f(z) = (\Phi_{\lambda,\ell}^{p,m} * f)(z),$$

where

(5) 
$$\Phi_{\lambda,\ell}^{p,m}(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\lambda k + \ell}{\ell}\right]^m z^{k-p}.$$

It follows easily from (4) that

(6) 
$$\lambda z (I_p^m(\lambda, \ell) f(z))' = \ell I_p^{m+1}(\lambda, \ell) f(z) - (\lambda p + \ell) I_p^m(\lambda, \ell) f(z) \quad (\lambda > 0).$$

We also note that

$$I_p^0(\lambda,\ell)f(z) = f(z)$$

and

$$I_p^1(1,1)f(z) = \frac{(z^{p+1}f(z))'}{z^p} = (p+1)f(z) + zf'(z).$$

By specializing the parameters  $\lambda$ ,  $\ell$ , m, and p one obtains the following operators studied by various authors:

- (i)  $I_p^m(1,1) = D_p^m$  (see Aouf and Hossen [1], Liu and Owa [7], Liu and Srivastava [8], and Srivastava and Patel [11]); (ii)  $I_1^m(1,\ell) = D_\ell^m$  (see Cho et al. [4, 5]); (iii)  $I_1^m(1,1) = I^m$  (see Uralegaddi and Somanatha [12]);

- (iv)  $I_p^m(1,\ell) = I_p(m,\ell)$ , where  $I_p(m,\ell)$  is defined by

$$I_p(m,\ell)f(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{k+\ell}{\ell}\right]^m a_{k-p} z^{k-p};$$

(v) 
$$I_p^m(\lambda, 1) = D_{p,\lambda}^m$$
, where  $D_{p,\lambda}^m f(z)$  is defined by

(7) 
$$D_{p,\lambda}^{m}f(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\lambda k + 1\right]^{m} a_{k-p} z^{k-p}$$

We denote by  $\sum_{p,\lambda,\ell}^{m}(\alpha,\delta,\mu,\gamma)$  the class of all functions  $f \in \sum_{p}$  such that (8)

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{ (1-\gamma) \left( \frac{I_p^m(\lambda,\ell)f(z)}{I_p^m(\lambda,\ell)g(z)} \right)^{\mu} + \gamma \frac{I_p^{m+1}(\lambda,\ell)f(z)}{I_p^{m+1}(\lambda,\ell)g(z)} \left( \frac{I_p^m(\lambda,\ell)f(z)}{I_p^m(\lambda,\ell)g(z)} \right)^{\mu-1} \right\} > \alpha,$$

where  $g \in \sum_{p}$  satisfies the condition

(9) 
$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{I_p^m(\lambda,\ell)g(z)}{I_p^{m+1}(\lambda,\ell)g(z)}\right\} > \delta \quad (0 \le \delta < 1, \ z \in U).$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\mu$  are real numbers such that  $0 \leq \alpha < 1, \mu > 0, p \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ with  $\operatorname{Re}\{\gamma\} > 0$ .

We note that

(i) For  $\lambda = 1$  we have that  $\sum_{p,\ell}^m (\alpha, \delta, \mu, \gamma)$  is the class of functions  $f \in \sum_p \beta$ satisfying the condition (10)

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{ (1-\gamma) \left( \frac{I_p(m,\ell)f(z)}{I_p(m,\ell)g(z)} \right)^{\mu} + \gamma \frac{I_p(m+1,\ell)f(z)}{I_p(m+1,\ell)g(z)} \left( \frac{I_p(m,\ell)f(z)}{I_p(m,\ell)g(z)} \right)^{\mu-1} \right\} > \alpha,$$

where  $g \in \sum_{p}$  is such that

(11) 
$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{I_p(m,\ell)g(z)}{I_p(m+1,\ell)g(z)}\right\} > \delta \quad (0 \le \delta < 1, \ z \in U),$$

- with  $0 \le \alpha < 1$ ,  $\mu > 0$ , and  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\operatorname{Re}\{\gamma\} > 0$ ; (ii) For  $\ell = 1$  we have that  $\sum_{p,\lambda}^{m}(\alpha, \delta, \mu, \gamma)$  is the class of functions  $f \in \sum_{p} f(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \gamma)$ satisfying the condition

(12) 
$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{ (1-\gamma) \left( \frac{D_{p,\lambda}^m f(z)}{D_{p,\lambda}^m g(z)} \right)^{\mu} + \gamma \frac{D_p^{m+1} f(z)}{D_{p,\lambda}^{m+1} g(z)} \left( \frac{D_{p,\lambda}^m f(z)}{D_{p,\lambda}^m g(z)} \right)^{\mu-1} \right\} > \alpha,$$

where  $g \in \sum_{p}$  is such that

(13) 
$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{D_{p,\lambda}^{m}g(z)}{D_{p,\lambda}^{m+1}g(z)}\right\} > \delta \quad (0 \le \delta < 1, \ z \in U),$$

with  $0 \leq \alpha < 1, \mu > 0, \lambda > 0, p \in \mathbb{N}, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , and  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\operatorname{Re}\{\gamma\} > 0;$ 

(iii) For  $\lambda = \ell = 1$  we have that  $\sum_{p=1}^{m} (\alpha, \delta, \mu, \gamma)$  is the class of functions  $f \in \sum_{p}$  satisfying the condition

(14) 
$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{ (1-\gamma) \left( \frac{D_p^m f(z)}{D_p^m g(z)} \right)^{\mu} + \gamma \frac{D_p^{m+1} f(z)}{D_p^{m+1} g(z)} \left( \frac{D_p^m f(z)}{D_p^m g(z)} \right)^{\mu-1} \right\} > \alpha,$$

where  $g \in \sum_{p}$  is such that

(15) 
$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{D_p^m g(z)}{D_p^{m+1} g(z)}\right\} > \delta \quad (0 \le \delta < 1, \ z \in U),$$

with  $0 \leq \delta < 1$ ,  $\mu > 0$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , and  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\operatorname{Re}\{\gamma\} > 0$ . To establish our main results we need the following lemmas.

LEMMA 1. (see [9]) Let  $\Omega$  be a set in the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$  and let the function  $\Psi \colon \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$  satisfy the condition  $\Psi(\mathrm{i}r_2, s_1) \notin \Omega$  for all reals  $r_2, s_1 \leq -\frac{1+r_2^2}{2}$ . If q is analytic on U with q(0) = 1 and if  $\Psi(q(z), zq'(z)) \in \Omega$ , for all  $z \in U$ , then  $\operatorname{Re}\{q(z)\} > 0$  for all  $z \in U$ .

LEMMA 2. (see [10]) If q is analytic on U with q(0) = 1, and if  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^* =$  $\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$  satisfies  $\operatorname{Re}\{\lambda\} \geq 0$ , then  $\operatorname{Re}\{q(z) + \lambda zq'(z)\} > \alpha \ (0 \leq \alpha < 1)$  implies  $\operatorname{Re}\{q(z)\} > \alpha + (1 - \alpha)(2\gamma - 1),$ 

where  $\gamma$  is given by

$$\gamma = \gamma(\mathrm{Re}\lambda) = \int_{0}^{1} \left(1 + t^{\mathrm{Re}\{\lambda\}}\right)^{-1} \mathrm{d}t.$$

(Note that  $\gamma$  is an increasing function of  $\operatorname{Re}\{\lambda\}$  satisfying  $\frac{1}{2} \leq \gamma < 1$ .) The estimate is sharp in the sense that the bound cannot be improved.

For real or complex numbers a, b, c ( $c \notin \mathbb{Z}_0^-$ ), the Gauss hypergeometric function is defined by

$$_{2}F_{1}(a,b;c;z) = 1 + \frac{ab}{c} \cdot \frac{z}{1!} + \frac{a(a+1)b(b+1)}{c(c+1)} \cdot \frac{z^{2}}{2!} + \cdots$$

Note that the above series converges absolutely for  $z \in U$  and hence represents an analytic function on the unit disc U (see [13, chapter 14] for details).

Each of the identities asserted by Lemma 3 below is fairly well known (for instance, cf. [13, chapter 14]).

LEMMA 3. Let a, b, c  $(c \notin \mathbb{Z}_0^-)$  be real or complex parameters. Then the following equalities hold true

(16) 
$$\int_{0}^{1} t^{b-1} (1-t)^{c-b-1} (1-tz)^{-a} dt = \frac{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c-b)}{\Gamma(c)} {}_{2}F_{1}(a,b;c;z)$$

 $(if \operatorname{Re}(c) > \operatorname{Re}(b) > 0),$ 

(17) 
$${}_{2}F_{1}(a,b;c;z) = {}_{2}F_{1}(b,a;c;z),$$

(18) 
$$_{2}F_{1}(a,b;c;z) = (1-z)^{-a} _{2}F_{1}\left(a,c-b;c;\frac{z}{z-1}\right),$$

and

(19) 
$${}_{2}F_{1}\left(1,1;2;\frac{1}{2}\right) = 2\ell n2.$$

The methods we will use to obtain our main results are similar to those of Kwon et al. [6], El-Ashwah [3], and Aouf and Mostafa [2].

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

We will assume throughout the paper that the powers are understood as principle values.

THEOREM 4. Let  $f \in \sum_{p,\lambda,\ell}^{m} (\alpha, \delta, \mu, \gamma), \ \lambda, \ell > 0, \ p \in \mathbb{N}, \ m \in \mathbb{N}_0 \ and \ \gamma \geq 0.$ Then

(20) 
$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\left(\frac{I_p^m(\lambda,\ell)f(z)}{I_p^m(\lambda,\ell)g(z)}\right)^{\mu}\right\} > \frac{2\ell\alpha\mu + \delta\gamma\lambda}{2\ell\mu + \delta\gamma\lambda} \quad (0 \le \alpha < 1, \ \mu > 0, \ z \in U),$$

where the function  $g \in \sum_{p}$  satisfies condition (9).

*Proof.* Let  $\beta = \frac{2\ell\alpha\mu + \delta\gamma\lambda}{2\ell\mu + \delta\gamma\lambda}$  and define the function q by

(21) 
$$q(z) = \frac{1}{(1-\beta)} \left\{ \left( \frac{I_p^m(\lambda,\ell)f(z)}{I_p^m(\lambda,\ell)g(z)} \right)^\mu - \beta \right\}.$$

The function q is analytic on U and q(0) = 1. If we set

(22) 
$$h(z) = \frac{I_p^m(\lambda, \ell)g(z)}{I_p^{m+1}(\lambda, \ell)g(z)},$$

then, by hypothesis,  $\operatorname{Re}\{h(z)\} > \delta$ . Differentiating (21) and using the identity (6), we get

(1-
$$\gamma$$
)  $\left(\frac{I_p^m(\lambda,\ell)f(z)}{I_p^m(\lambda,\ell)g(z)}\right)^{\mu} + \gamma \frac{I_p^{m+1}(\lambda,\ell)f(z)}{I_p^{m+1}(\lambda,\ell)g(z)} \left(\frac{I_p^m(\lambda,\ell)f(z)}{I_p^m(\lambda,\ell)g(z)}\right)^{\mu-1}$   
(23)  $= \left[\beta + (1-\beta)q(z)\right] + \frac{\lambda\gamma(1-\beta)}{\mu\ell}h(z)zq'(z).$ 

Define the function  $\Psi$  by

(24) 
$$\Psi(r,s) = \beta + (1-\beta)r + \frac{\lambda\gamma(1-\beta)}{\mu\ell}h(z)s.$$

Using (24) and the fact that  $f \in \sum_{p,\lambda,\ell}^{m} (\alpha, \delta, \mu, \gamma)$ , we obtain

$$\left\{\Psi(q(z), zq'(z)) : z \in U\right\} \subset \Omega = \left\{w \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re}\{w\} > \alpha\right\}.$$

The following relations hold for all reals  $r_2, s_1 \leq -\frac{1+r_2^2}{2}$ 

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \Psi(\mathrm{i}r_2, s_1) \right\} = \beta + \frac{\lambda \gamma(1-\beta)s_1}{\mu \ell} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ h(z) \right\}$$
$$\leq \beta - \frac{\lambda \gamma(1-\beta)\delta(1+r_2^2)}{2\mu \ell}$$
$$\leq \beta - \frac{\lambda \gamma(1-\beta)\delta}{2\mu \ell} = \alpha.$$

Hence  $\Psi(ir_2, s_1) \notin \Omega$  for each  $z \in U$ . Applying now Lemma 1, we get  $\operatorname{Re}\{q(z)\} > 0$ , for  $z \in U$ , hence

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\left(\frac{I_p^m(\lambda,\ell)f(z)}{I_p^m(\lambda,\ell)g(z)}\right)^{\mu}\right\} > \beta \quad (z \in U).$$

This finishes the proof.

For  $\ell = 1$  in Theorem 4 we obtain the following result.

72

COROLLARY 5. Let  $f \in \sum_{p,\lambda}^{m} (\alpha, \delta, \mu, \gamma), \lambda > 0, p \in \mathbb{N}, m \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, and \gamma \geq 0$ . Then

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{ \left( \frac{D_{p,\lambda}^{m} f(z) f(z)}{D_{p,\lambda}^{m} f(z) g(z)} \right)^{\mu} \right\} > \frac{2\alpha\mu + \delta\gamma\lambda}{2\mu + \delta\gamma\lambda} \quad (0 \le \alpha < 1, \ \mu > 0, \ z \in U),$$

where the function  $g \in \sum_{p}$  satisfies condition (9) with  $\ell = 1$ .

COROLLARY 6. Let the functions f and g be in  $\sum_p$  and let g satisfy condition (9). If  $\lambda, \ell > 0, \gamma \geq 1, p \in \mathbb{N}, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , and

(25) 
$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{ (1-\gamma) \left( \frac{I_p^m(\lambda,\ell)f(z)}{I_p^m(\lambda,\ell)g(z)} \right) + \gamma \frac{I_p^{m+1}(\lambda,\ell)f(z)}{I_p^{m+1}(\lambda,\ell)g(z)} \right\} > \alpha$$
$$(0 \le \alpha < 1, \ p \in \mathbb{N}, \ m \in \mathbb{N}_0, \ z \in U),$$

then

(26) 
$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{I_p^{m+1}(\lambda,\ell)f(z)}{I_p^{m+1}(\lambda,\ell)g(z)}\right\} > \beta = \frac{\alpha(2\ell+\delta\lambda)+\delta\lambda(\gamma-1)}{2\ell+\delta\gamma\lambda} \quad (z\in U).$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{split} \gamma \frac{I_p^{m+1}(\lambda,\ell)f(z)}{I_p^{m+1}(\lambda,\ell)g(z)} &= \left\{ (1-\gamma) \left( \frac{I_p^m(\lambda,\ell)f(z)}{I_p^m(\lambda,\ell)g(z)} \right) + \gamma \frac{I_p^{m+1}(\lambda,\ell)f(z)}{I_p^{m+1}(\lambda,\ell)g(z)} \right\} \\ &+ (\gamma-1) \frac{I_p^m(\lambda,\ell)f(z)}{I_p^m(\lambda,\ell)g(z)} \quad (z \in U). \end{split}$$

Since  $\gamma \geq 1$ , using (25) and (20) (for  $\mu = 1$ ), we deduce that

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{I_p^{m+1}(\lambda,\ell)f(z)}{I_p^{m+1}(\lambda,\ell)g(z)}\right\} > \beta = \frac{\alpha(2\ell+\delta\lambda)+\delta\lambda(\gamma-1)}{2\ell+\delta\gamma\lambda}.$$

COROLLARY 7. Let  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}^*$  with  $\operatorname{Re}\{\gamma\} \ge 0$  and  $\lambda, \ell > 0$ . If  $f \in \sum_p$  satisfies the following condition

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{(1-\gamma)(z^{p}I_{p}^{m}(\lambda,\ell)f(z))^{\mu}+\gamma z^{p}I_{p}^{m+1}(\lambda,\ell)f(z)(z^{p}I_{p}^{m}(\lambda,\ell)f(z))^{\mu-1}\right\}>\alpha$$
$$(0\leq\alpha<1,\ \mu>0,\ p\in\mathbb{N},\ m\in\mathbb{N}_{0},\ z\in U),$$

then

(27) 
$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\left(z^{p}I_{p}^{m}(\lambda,\ell)f(z)\right)^{\mu}\right\} > \frac{2\alpha\ell\mu + \lambda\operatorname{Re}(\gamma)}{2\ell\mu + \lambda\operatorname{Re}(\gamma)} \quad (z \in U).$$

Moreover, if  $\gamma \geq 1$ ,  $\lambda, \ell > 0$ , and  $f \in \sum_p \text{ satisfy}$ 

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{(1-\gamma)z^{p}I_{p}^{m}(\lambda,\ell)f(z)+\gamma z^{p}I_{p}^{m+1}(\lambda,\ell)f(z)\right\}>\alpha\quad(z\in U),$$

then

(28) 
$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{z^{p}I_{p}^{m+1}(\lambda,\ell)f(z)\right\} > \frac{\alpha(2\ell+\lambda)+\lambda(\gamma-1)}{2\ell+\gamma\lambda}$$

$$(0 \le \alpha < 1, \ p \in \mathbb{N}, \ m \in \mathbb{N}_0, \ z \in U).$$

*Proof.* The relations (27) and (28) follow by considering  $g(z) = \frac{1}{z^p}$  in Theorem 4 and Corollary 6, respectively.

REMARK 8. Choosing  $\gamma$ ,  $\delta$ ,  $\ell$ ,  $\mu$ ,  $\lambda$ , and m appropriately in Corollary 7, we obtain the following results.

(i) For  $\gamma = \lambda = \ell = 1$  and m = 0 in Corollary 7, we have that

(29) 
$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\left(1+p+\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right)(z^{p}f(z))^{\mu}\right\} > \alpha$$
$$(0 \le \alpha < 1, \ \mu > 0, \ p \in \mathbb{N}, \ z \in U)$$

implies

Re {
$$(z^p f(z))^{\mu}$$
} >  $\frac{2\mu\alpha + 1}{2\mu + 1}$  ( $z \in U$ ).

(ii) For  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}^*$  with  $\operatorname{Re}\{\gamma\} \ge 0$ ,  $\mu = \lambda = \ell = 1$ , and m = 0 in Corollary 7, we have that

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{ (1+\gamma p)z^{p}f(z) + \gamma z^{p+1}f'(z) \right\} > \alpha$$
$$(0 \le \alpha < 1, \ \mu > 0, \ p \in \mathbb{N}, \ z \in U)$$

implies

$$\operatorname{Re}\{z^p f(z)\} > \frac{2\alpha + \operatorname{Re}\{\gamma\}}{2 + \operatorname{Re}\{\gamma\}} \quad (z \in U).$$

(iii) Replacing f(z) by  $-\frac{zf'(z)}{p}$  in (ii), we have that

$$-\operatorname{Re}\left\{ (1+\gamma+\gamma p) \, \frac{z^{p+1}f'(z)}{p} + \frac{\gamma}{p} z^{p+2} f''(z) \right\} > \alpha$$
$$(0 \le \alpha < 1, \ p \in \mathbb{N}, \ z \in U)$$

implies

$$-\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z^{p+1}}{p}f'(z)\right\} > \frac{2\alpha + \operatorname{Re}\{\gamma\}}{2 + \operatorname{Re}\{\gamma\}} \quad (z \in U).$$

(iv) For  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $\gamma \ge 1$ ,  $\mu = \lambda = \ell = 1$ , and m = 0 in Corollary 7, we have that

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{ (1+\gamma p)z^{p}f(z) + \gamma z^{p+1}f'(z) \right\} > \alpha$$
$$(0 \le \alpha < 1, \ p \in \mathbb{N}, \ z \in U)$$

implies

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{z^{p}f(z)\right\} > \frac{3\alpha + \gamma - 1}{2 + \gamma} \quad (z \in U).$$

(v) For 
$$\gamma = \lambda = 1$$
 in Corollary 7 we have that  

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{z^{p}I_{p}(m+1,\ell)f(z)(z^{p}I_{p}(m,\ell)f(z))^{\mu-1}\right\} > \alpha$$

$$(0 \le \alpha < 1, \ \mu > 0, \ p \in \mathbb{N}, \ m \in \mathbb{N}_0, \ z \in U)$$

implies

Re {
$$(z^p I_p(m,\ell)f(z))^{\mu}$$
} >  $\frac{2\ell\mu\alpha + 1}{2\ell\mu + 1}$   $(z \in U)$ .

(vi) For  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}^*$  with  $\operatorname{Re}\{\gamma\} \ge 0$ ,  $\mu = \lambda = 1$  in Corollary 7, we have that  $\operatorname{Re}\{(1-\gamma)z^p I_p(m,\ell)f(z) + \gamma z^p I_p(m+1,\ell)f(z)\} > \alpha$  $(0 \le \alpha < 1, \ p \in \mathbb{N}, \ m \in \mathbb{N}_0, \ z \in U)$ 

implies

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{z^{p}I_{p}(m,\ell)f(z)\right\} > \frac{2\ell\alpha + \operatorname{Re}\{\gamma\}}{2\ell + \operatorname{Re}\{\gamma\}} \quad (z \in U).$$

(vii) For  $\gamma = \lambda = \ell = 1$ , in Corollary 7 we have that

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{z^{p}D_{p}^{m+1}f(z)(z^{p}D_{p}^{m}f(z))^{\mu-1}\right\} > \alpha$$
  
(0 \le \alpha < 1, \mu > 0, \nu \in \mathbb{N}, \nu \in \mathbb{N}\_{0}, \nu \in U)

implies

Re 
$$\{(z^p D_p^m f(z))^{\mu}\}$$
 >  $\frac{2\mu\alpha + 1}{2\mu + 1}$   $(z \in U).$ 

(viii) For  $\mu = \lambda = \ell = 1$ , in Corollary 7 we have that

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{(1-\gamma)(z^p D_p^m f(z)) + \gamma z^p D_p^{m+1} f(z)\right\} > \alpha$$
$$(0 \le \alpha < 1, \ p \in \mathbb{N}, \ m \in \mathbb{N}_0, \ z \in U)$$

implies

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{z^{p}D_{p}^{m}f(z)\right\} > \frac{2\alpha + \operatorname{Re}\{\gamma\}}{2 + \operatorname{Re}\{\gamma\}} \quad (z \in U).$$

THEOREM 9. Let  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\operatorname{Re}\{\gamma\} > 0$  and  $\lambda, \ell > 0$ . Assume that  $f \in \sum_p$  satisfies the following condition (30)

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{(1-\gamma)(z^{p}I_{p}^{m}(\lambda,\ell)f(z))^{\mu}+\gamma z^{p}I_{p}^{m+1}(\lambda,\ell)f(z)(z^{p}I_{p}^{m}(\lambda,\ell)f(z))^{\mu-1}\right\}>\alpha$$

$$(0\leq\alpha<1,\ \mu>0,\ p\in\mathbb{N},\ m\in\mathbb{N}_{0},\ z\in U).$$

Then

(31) 
$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{(z^{p}I_{p}^{m}(\lambda,\ell)f(z))^{\mu}\right\} > \alpha + (1-\alpha)(2\rho-1),$$

where

(32) 
$$\rho = \frac{1}{2} {}_{2}F_{1}\left(1, 1; \frac{\mu\ell}{\lambda \text{Re}\{\gamma\}} + 1; \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

Proof. Let

(33) 
$$q(z) = (z^p I_p^m(\lambda, \ell) f(z))^\mu$$

Then q is analytic on U and q(0) = 1. Differentiating (33) with respect to z and using relation (6), we obtain

$$(1-\gamma)(z^p I_p^m(\lambda,\ell)f(z))^{\mu} + \gamma z^p I_p^{m+1}(\lambda,\ell)f(z)(z^p I_p^m(\lambda,\ell)f(z))^{\mu-1}$$
  
=  $q(z) + \frac{\gamma \lambda z q'(z)}{\ell \mu}.$ 

Hence (30) yields

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{q(z) + \frac{\gamma\lambda z q'(z)}{\ell\mu}\right\} > \alpha \quad (z \in U).$$

In view of Lemma 2 this implies that

$$\operatorname{Re}\{q(z)\} > \alpha + (1 - \alpha)(2\rho - 1),$$

where

$$\rho = \rho(\operatorname{Re}\{\gamma\}) = \int_{0}^{1} \left(1 + t^{\frac{\lambda \operatorname{Re}\{\gamma\}}{\ell\mu}}\right)^{-1} \mathrm{d}t.$$

Putting  $\operatorname{Re}\{\gamma\} = \gamma_1 > 0$ , we have

$$\rho = \int_{0}^{1} \left( 1 + t^{\frac{\lambda \gamma_1}{\ell \mu}} \right)^{-1} \mathrm{d}t = \frac{\ell \mu}{\lambda \gamma_1} \int_{0}^{1} u^{\frac{\ell \mu}{\lambda \gamma_1} - 1} (1+u)^{-1} \mathrm{d}u.$$

Using (16), (17), (18), and (19), we obtain

$$\rho = {}_{2}F_{1}(1, \frac{\ell\mu}{\lambda\gamma_{1}}; \frac{\ell\mu}{\lambda\gamma_{1}} + 1; -1)$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{2} {}_{2}F_{1}(1, 1; \frac{\ell\mu}{\lambda\gamma_{1}} + 1; \frac{1}{2}).$ 

This finishes the proof.

Choosing  $\ell = 1$  in Theorem 9, we obtain the next result.

COROLLARY 10. Let  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\operatorname{Re}\{\gamma\} > 0$  and  $\lambda > 0$ . Assume that  $f \in \sum_p$  satisfies the condition

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{(1-\gamma)(z^{p}D_{p,\lambda}^{m}f(z))^{\mu}+\gamma z^{p}D_{p,\lambda}^{m}f(z)(z^{p}D_{p,\lambda}^{m}f(z))^{\mu-1}\right\}>\alpha$$
$$(0\leq\alpha<1,\ \mu>0,\ p\in\mathbb{N},\ m\in\mathbb{N}_{0},\ z\in U).$$

Then

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{z^{p}D_{p,\lambda}^{m}f(z)f(z)\right\}^{\mu} > \alpha + (1-\alpha)(2\rho-1),$$
$$\rho = \frac{1}{2} \ _{2}F_{1}\left(1,1;\frac{\mu}{\lambda\operatorname{Re}\{\gamma\}}+1;\frac{1}{2}\right).$$

where

COROLLARY 11. Let 
$$\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$$
 with  $\gamma \ge 1$ . If  $f \in \sum_{p}$  satisfies  
(34) Re  $\{(1-\gamma)z^{p}I_{n}^{m}(\lambda,\ell)f(z) + \gamma z^{p}I_{n}^{m+1}(\lambda,\ell)f(z)\} > \alpha$ 

34) 
$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{ (1-\gamma)z^{p}I_{p}^{m}(\lambda,\ell)f(z) + \gamma z^{p}I_{p}^{m+1}(\lambda,\ell)f(z) \right\} > \alpha$$
$$(0 \le \alpha < 1, \ \lambda, \ \ell > 0, \ p \in \mathbb{N}, \ m \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, \ z \in U),$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re}\{z^{p}I_{p}^{m+1}(\lambda,\ell)f(z)\} > \alpha + (1-\alpha)(2\rho^{*}-1)(1-\gamma^{-1}) \quad (z \in U),$$

where

$$\rho^* = \frac{1}{2} {}_2F_1\left(1, 1; \frac{\ell}{\gamma\lambda} + 1; \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

*Proof.* The assertion follows by using the identity

(35) 
$$\gamma z^p I_p^{m+1}(\lambda, \ell) f(z) = \left[ (1 - \gamma) z^p I_p^m(\lambda, \ell) f(z) + \gamma z^p I_p^{m+1}(\lambda, \ell) f(z) \right] \\ + (\gamma - 1) z^p I_p^m(\lambda, \ell) f(z).$$

REMARK 12. (i) Note that if  $\gamma = \mu > 0$ ,  $\lambda = \ell = 1$ , and m = 0 in Corollary 7, that is,

(36) 
$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{ (1+\gamma p)(z^{p}f(z))^{\gamma} + \gamma z^{p+1}f'(z)(z^{p}f(z))^{\gamma-1} \right\} > \alpha$$
$$(0 \le \alpha < 1, \ p \in \mathbb{N}, \ z \in U),$$

then (27) implies that

(37) 
$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{(z^p f(z))^{\gamma}\right\} > \frac{2\alpha + 1}{3} \quad (z \in U).$$

On the other hand, if  $f\in \sum_p$  satisfies condition (36) then, by Theorem 9, we get

Re 
$$\{(z^p f(z))^{\gamma}\}$$
 > 2(1 -  $\ell n 2$ ) $\alpha$  + (2 $\ell n 2$  - 1) ( $z \in U$ ),  
efter than (37)

which is better than (37).

(ii) We observe that if  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfies  $\gamma > 0$  and

$$k(z) = \frac{I_p^{m+1}(\lambda,\ell)f(z)}{I_p^{m+1}(\lambda,\ell)g(z)} + \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} - 1\right)\frac{I_p^m(\lambda,\ell)f(z)}{I_p^m(\lambda,\ell)g(z)} \quad (z \in U),$$

then Theorem 4, applied for  $\mu = 1$ , yields that

$$\operatorname{Re}\{k(z)\} > \frac{\alpha}{\gamma}$$

implies

(38) 
$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{I_p^m(\lambda,\ell)f(z)}{I_p^m(\lambda,\ell)g(z)}\right\} > \frac{2\ell\alpha + \delta\gamma\lambda}{2\ell + \delta\gamma\lambda},$$

whenever

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{I_p^m(\lambda,\ell)g(z)}{I_p^{m+1}(\lambda,\ell)g(z)}\right\} > \delta \quad (0 \le \delta < 1, \ p \in \mathbb{N}, \ m \in \mathbb{N}_0, z \in U).$$

$$\operatorname{Re}\{k(z)\} \ge 0 \ (z \in U)$$

implies

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{I_p^m(\lambda,\ell)f(z)}{I_p^m(\lambda,\ell)g(z)}\right\} \ge 1 \ (z \in U),$$

whenever

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{I_p^m(\lambda,\ell)g(z)}{I_p^{m+1}(\lambda,\ell)g(z)}\right\} > \delta \quad (0 \le \delta < 1, \ p \in \mathbb{N}, \ m \in \mathbb{N}_0, \ z \in U).$$

We will extend in the following theorem the above results.

THEOREM 13. Suppose that the functions f and g are in  $\sum_p$  and suppose that g satisfies condition (9). If

(39) 
$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{I_p^{m+1}(\lambda,\ell)f(z)}{I_p^{m+1}(\lambda,\ell)g(z)} - \frac{I_p^m(\lambda,\ell)f(z)}{I_p^m(\lambda,\ell)g(z)}\right\} > -\frac{(1-\alpha)\delta\lambda}{2\ell}$$

$$(0 \le \alpha < 1, \ 0 \le \delta < 1, \ \lambda, \ell > 0, \ p \in \mathbb{N}, \ m \in \mathbb{N}_0, \ z \in U),$$

then

(40) 
$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{I_p^m(\lambda,\ell)f(z)}{I_p^m(\lambda,\ell)g(z)}\right\} > \alpha \quad (z \in U)$$

and

(41) 
$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{I_p^{m+1}(\lambda,\ell)f(z)}{I_p^{m+1}(\lambda,\ell)g(z)}\right\} > \frac{(2\ell+\lambda\delta)\alpha - \lambda\delta}{2\ell}$$

$$(0 \le \alpha < 1, \ 0 \le \delta < 1, \ \lambda, \ell > 0, \ p \in \mathbb{N}, \ m \in \mathbb{N}_0, \ z \in U).$$

Proof. Let

(42) 
$$q(z) = \frac{1}{(1-\alpha)} \left\{ \frac{I_p^m(\lambda,\ell)f(z)}{I_p^m(\lambda,\ell)g(z)} - \alpha \right\}.$$

Then q is analytic on U and q(0) = 1. For

(43) 
$$\phi(z) = \frac{I_p^m(\lambda, \ell)g(z)}{I_p^{m+1}(\lambda, \ell)g(z)} \quad (z \in U)$$

we observe that, by hypothesis,  ${\rm Re}\{\phi(z)\}>\delta$  ( $0\leq\delta<1)$  for  $z\in U.$  A simple computation shows that

$$\frac{\lambda(1-\alpha)zq'(z)\phi(z)}{\ell} = \frac{I_p^{m+1}(\lambda,\ell)f(z)}{I_p^{m+1}(\lambda,\ell)g(z)} - \frac{I_p^m(\lambda,\ell)f(z)}{I_p^m(\lambda,\ell)g(z)}$$
$$= \Psi(q(z),zq'(z)),$$

where

$$\Psi(r,s) = \frac{\lambda(1-\alpha)\phi(z)s}{\ell} \quad (\ell \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}).$$

Using (39), we obtain

$$\left\{\Psi(q(z), zq'(z); z \in U\right\} \subset \Omega = \left\{w \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re}\{w\} > -\frac{\lambda\delta(1-\alpha)}{2\ell}\right\}.$$

For all reals  $r_2, s_1 \leq -\frac{(1+r_2^2)}{2}$  we have that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \Psi(\operatorname{i} r_2, s_1) \right\} = \frac{\lambda s_1(1-\alpha) \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \phi(z) \right\}}{\ell} \le -\frac{\lambda \delta(1-\alpha)(1+r_2^2)}{2\ell} \le -\frac{\lambda \delta(1-\alpha)}{2\ell}.$$

This shows that  $\Psi(ir_2, s_1) \notin \Omega$  for each  $z \in U$ . Hence, by Lemma 1, we conclude that  $\operatorname{Re}\{q(z)\} > 0$   $(z \in U)$ . This proves (40). The proof of (41) follows by using (40) and (41) in the identity

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{I_p^{m+1}(\lambda,\ell)f(z)}{I_p^{m+1}(\lambda,\ell)g(z)}\right\} = \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{I_p^{m+1}(\lambda,\ell)f(z)}{I_p^{m+1}(\lambda,\ell)g(z)} - \frac{I_p^m(\lambda,\ell)f(z)}{I_p^m(\lambda,\ell)g(z)}\right\} + \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{I_p^m(\lambda,\ell)f(z)}{I_p^m(\lambda,\ell)g(z)}\right\}.$$

This finishes the proof.

Putting  $\ell = 1$  in Theorem 13, we obtain the next result.

COROLLARY 14. Suppose that the functions f and g are in  $\sum_p$  and suppose that g satisfies condition (9) with  $\ell = 1$ . If

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{D_{p,\lambda}^{m+1}f(z)}{D_{p,\lambda}^{m+1}g(z)} - \frac{D_{p,\lambda}^{m}f(z)}{D_{p,\lambda}^{m}g(z)}\right\} > -\frac{(1-\alpha)\delta\lambda}{2}$$
$$(0 \le \alpha < 1, \ 0 \le \delta < 1, \ \lambda > 0, \ p \in \mathbb{N}, \ m \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, \ z \in U),$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{D_{p,\lambda}^{m}f(z)}{D_{p,\lambda}^{m}g(z)}\right\} > \alpha \quad (z \in U)$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{D_{p,\lambda}^{m+1}f(z)}{D_{p,\lambda}^{m+1}g(z)}\right\} > \frac{(2+\lambda\delta)\alpha - \lambda\delta}{2}$$
$$(0 \le \alpha < 1, \ 0 \le \delta < 1, \ \lambda > 0, \ p \in \mathbb{N}, \ m \in \mathbb{N}_0, \ z \in U).$$

For  $\lambda = 1$  in Theorem 13 we get the following result.

COROLLARY 15. Suppose that the functions f and g are in  $\sum_p$  and suppose that g satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{I_p(m,\ell)g(z)}{I_p(m+1,\ell)g(z)}\right\} > \delta \quad (0 \le \delta < 1, \ z \in U).$$

80

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{I_p(m+1,\ell)g(z)}{I_p(m+1,\ell)g(z)} - \frac{I_p(m,\ell)f(z)}{I_p(m,\ell)g(z)}\right\} > -\frac{(1-\alpha)\delta}{2\ell}$$
  
$$(0 \le \alpha < 1, \ 0 \le \delta < 1, \ \ell > 0, \ p \in \mathbb{N}, \ m \in \mathbb{N}_0, \ z \in U)$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{I_p(m,\ell)f(z)}{I_p(m,\ell)g(z)}\right\} > \alpha \quad (z \in U)$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{I_p(m+1,\ell)f(z)}{I_p(m+1,\ell)g(z)}\right\} > \frac{(2\ell+\delta)\alpha-\delta}{2\ell}$$
$$(0 \le \alpha <, \ 0 \le \delta < 1, \ \ell > 0, \ p \in \mathbb{N}, \ m \in \mathbb{N}_0, \ z \in U).$$

REMARK 16. For  $\delta = \lambda = \ell = 1$ , m = 0, and  $g(z) = \frac{1}{z^p}$  in Theorem 13 we get that

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{z^{p}f(z) + \frac{z^{p+1}}{p}f'(z)\right\} > -\frac{(1-\alpha)}{2p} \quad (0 \le \alpha < 1, \ p \in \mathbb{N}, \ z \in U)$$

implies

$$\operatorname{Re}\{z^p f(z)\} > \alpha \quad (0 \le \alpha < 1, \ p \in \mathbb{N}, \ z \in U)$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re}\{(1+p)z^{p}f(z) + z^{p+1}f'(z)\} > \frac{3\alpha - 1}{2} \quad (0 \le \alpha < 1, \ p \in \mathbb{N}, \ z \in U).$$

## REFERENCES

- AOUF, M.K. and HOSSEN, H.M., New criteria for meromorphic p-valent starlike functions, Tsukuba J. Math., 17 (1993), 481–486.
- [2] AOUF, M.K. and MOSTAFA, A.O., Certain subclasses of meromorphically p-valent functions involving certain operator, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., 9 (2) (2008), Article 45.
- [3] EL-ASHWAH, R.M., Some properties of certain subclasses of meromorphically multivalent functions, Appl. Math. Comput., 20 (2008), 824–832.
- [4] CHO, N.E., KWON, O.S. and SRIVASTAVA, H.M., Inclusion and argument properties for certain subclasses of meromorphic functions associated with a family of multiplier transformations, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **300** (2004), 505–520.
- [5] CHO, N.E., KWON, O.S. and SRIVASTAVA, H.M., Inclusion relationships for certain subclasses of meromorphic functions associated with a family of multiplier transformations, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct., 16 (18) (2005), 647–659.
- [6] KWON, O.S., KIM, J.A., CHO, N.E. and OWA, S., Certain subclasses of meromorphically multivalent functions, J. Bihar Math. Soc., 17 (1996), 1–8.
- [7] LIU, J.-L. and OWA, S., On certain meromorphic p-valent functions, Taiwanese J. Math., 2 (1) (1998), 107–110.
- [8] LIU, J.-L. and SRIVASTAVA, H.M., Subclasses of meromorphically multivalent functions associated with certain linear operator, Math. Comput. Modelling, 39 (1) (2004), 35–44.
- [9] MILLER, S.S. and MOCANU, P.T., Second order differential inequalities in the complex plane, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 65 (1978), 289–305.
- [10] PONNUSAMY, S., Differential subordination and Bazilevic functions, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci., 105 (1995), 169–186.

- [11] SRIVASTAVA, H.M. and PATEL, J., Applications of differential subordination to certain classes of meromorphically multivalent functions, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., 6 (3) (2005).
- [12] URALEGADDI, B.A. and SOMANATHA, C., New criteria for meromorphic starlike univalent functions, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 43 (1991), 137–140.
- [13] WHITTAKER, E.T. and WATSON, G.N., A course on modern analysis: an introduction to the general theory of infinite processes and of analytic functions; with an account of the principal transcendental functions, 4th edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1927.

Mansoura University Department of Mathematics 35516 Mansoura, Egypt E-mail: r\_elashwah@yahoo.com E-mail: mkaouf127@yahoo.com