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#### Abstract

In this paper we apply certain results in the theory of univalent functions to investigate the time evolution of the free boundary of a viscous fluid for a planar flow problem in the Hele-Shaw cell model under injection. More precisely, we prove that the property of strongly $\Phi$-likeness of order $\alpha \in(0,1]$ (a geometric property which includes strongly starlikeness of order $\alpha$ and strongly spirallikeness of order $\alpha$ ) remains invariant in time for two basic cases: the inner problem and the outer problem, under the assumption of zero surface tension. Special cases that are obtained by using numerical computations are also presented.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

The time evolution of the free boundary of a viscous fluid for planar flows in Hele-Show cells under injection has been intensively investigated in the literature (see e.g. [5]). Various authors (see [6], [9], [14], [16], [17], [18]) proved that a number of geometric properties such as starlikeness, directional convexity, strongly starlikeness of order $\alpha$ are preserved during the time evolution of a moving boundary. The theory of univalent functions provided a powerful tool in the study of these results. In this paper, we continue this study and prove the invariance in time of the property of strongly $\Phi$-likeness of order $\alpha \in(0,1]$ under the assumption of zero surface tension.

In this section we review certain classical notions that we shall use in the forthcoming sections. Let us consider the flow of a viscous fluid in a planar Hele-Shaw cell under injection through a source of constant strength $Q<0$ that is located at the origin. Assume that the initial domain $\Omega(0)$, occupied by the fluid at time $t=0$, contains the origin, is simply connected and is bounded by an analytic and smooth curve $\Gamma(0)=\partial \Omega(0)$. Also assume that $\Omega(t)$ is a simply connected domain occupied by the fluid at the moment $t$ and $0 \in \Omega(t)$.

[^0]In view of the Riemann mapping theorem, there is a univalent function $f(\cdot, t)$ that maps the unit disk $U=\{\zeta:|\zeta|<1\}$ onto $\Omega(t)$ and is normalized by the conditions $f(0, t)=0$ and $f^{\prime}(0, t)>0$. Let $\Gamma(t)=\partial \Omega(t)$ be the boundary of $\Omega(t)$. Obviously, the function $f(\cdot, 0)=f_{0}(\cdot)$ produces a parametrization of the boundary $\Gamma_{0}=\left\{f_{0}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right), \theta \in[0,2 \pi)\right\}$, while the moving boundary is parameterized by $\Gamma(t)=\left\{f\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}, t\right), \theta \in[0,2 \pi)\right\}$.

The following equation satisfied by the moving boundary $\Gamma(t)$ was obtained by L.A. Galin [3] and P. Polubarinova [11], [12]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left[\dot{f}(\zeta, t) \overline{\zeta f^{\prime}(\zeta, t)}\right]=-\frac{Q}{2 \pi}, \quad \zeta=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(in the previous equality we used the following notations $f^{\prime}=\frac{\partial f}{\partial \zeta}, \dot{f}=\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}$ ).
A classical solution in the interval $[0, T)$ is a function $f(\zeta, t), t \in[0, T)$, that is univalent on $\bar{U}$ and $C^{1}$ with respect to $t$ in $[0, T)$. It is known that, starting with an analytic and smooth boundary $\Gamma(0)$, the classical solution exists and is unique locally in time (see [19]; see also [5]). Note that $T$ is called the blow-up time.

The case of unbounded domain with bounded complement can be viewed as the dynamics of a contracting bubble in a Hele-Shaw cell since the fluid occupies a neighborhood of infinity and injection (of constant strength $Q<0$ ) is supposed to take place at infinity. Again, let $\Omega(t)$ be the domain occupied by the fluid at the moment $t$ and let $\Gamma(t)=\partial \Omega(t)$. Taking into account the Riemann mapping theorem, there is a univalent function $F(\cdot, t)$ on the exterior of the unit disk $U^{-}=\{\zeta| | \zeta \mid>1\}$ such that $F\left(U^{-}, t\right)=\Omega(t)$ and $F(\zeta, t)=a \zeta+a_{0}+\frac{a_{1}}{\zeta}+\ldots, a>0$. In this case, the equation satisfied by the free boundary in the case of zero tension surface model is [16], [18]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left[\dot{F}(\zeta, t) \overline{\zeta F^{\prime}(\zeta, t)}\right]=\frac{Q}{2 \pi}, \quad \zeta=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2. THE INNER PROBLEM (BOUNDED DOMAINS)

In this section we obtain the invariance in time of strongly $\Phi$-likeness property for the inner problem. Starting with an initial bounded domain $\Omega(0)$ which is strongly $\Phi$-like of order $\alpha$, we prove that at each moment $t \in[0, T)$ the domain $\Omega(t)$ is strongly $\Phi$-like of order $\alpha$ (for zero surface tension model).

Definition 2.1. Let $f$ be a holomorphic function on the unit disc $U$ such that $f(0)=0$ and $f^{\prime}(0) \neq 0$. Let $\Phi$ be a holomorphic function on $f(U)$ such that $\Phi(0)=0$ and $\left|\arg \Phi^{\prime}(0)\right|<\frac{\alpha \pi}{2}$, where $\alpha \in(0,1]$. We say that $f$ is strongly $\Phi$-like of order $\alpha$ on $U$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\arg \left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\Phi(f(z))}\right)\right|<\frac{\alpha \pi}{2}, \quad z \in U . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, $f(U)$ is called a strongly $\Phi$-like domain of order $\alpha$.

Remark 2.2. (a) In the case that $\alpha=1$ in Definition 2.1, we obtain the usual notion of $\Phi$-likeness due to Brickman [1]. This notion is a natural generalization of starlikeness and spirallikness. Applications of $\Phi$-likeness in the study of univalent functions may be found in [4].
(b) If $\Phi(w) \equiv w(\operatorname{resp} . \Phi(w) \equiv w$ and $\alpha=1)$ in the above definition, then $f$ is strongly starlike of order $\alpha$ (resp. starlike).
(c) If $\Phi(w) \equiv \lambda w$ and $|\arg \lambda|<\alpha \pi / 2$, then $f$ is strongly spirallike of type $-\arg \lambda$ and order $\alpha$. Clearly, if $\alpha=1$ and $\operatorname{Re} \lambda>0$, then $f$ is spirallike of type $-\arg \lambda$. Various properties of starlike and spirallike mappings can be found in [4], [10] and [13].

We recall that a holomorphic function $f$ on $U$ with $f(0)=0$ and $f^{\prime}(0) \neq 0$ is strongly spirallike of type $\beta \in(-\alpha \pi / 2, \alpha \pi / 2)$ and order $\alpha \in(0,1]$ if (see e.g. [10] and [13])

$$
\left|\arg \left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \beta} \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)\right|<\frac{\alpha \pi}{2}, \quad z \in U .
$$

The following result is a generalization of [16, Theorem 1] (see also [5, Theorem 4.3.2]) to the case of strongly $\Phi$-like functions of order $\alpha$. The mentioned theorem may be obtained by taking $\Phi(w) \equiv w$ in Theorem 2.3 below. On the other hand, if $\alpha=1$ in Theorem 2.3, we obtain [2, Theorem 2.3].

Theorem 2.3. Let $\alpha \in(0,1], Q<0$ and let $f_{0}$ be a strongly $\Phi$-like function of order $\alpha$ on $U$ and univalent on $\bar{U}$. Let $f(\zeta, t)$ be the classical solution of the Polubarinova-Galin equation (1.1) with the initial condition $f(\zeta, 0)=f_{0}(\zeta)$. Also let $\Omega=\bigcup_{0 \leq t<T} \Omega(t)=\bigcup_{0 \leq t<T} f(U, t)$ where $T$ is the blow-up time. If $\Phi$ is holomorphic on $\bar{\Omega}$ and satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\arg \Phi^{\prime}(w)\right|<\frac{\alpha \pi}{2}, \forall w \in \bar{\Omega}, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f(\zeta, t)$ is strongly $\Phi$-like of order $\alpha$ for $t \in[0, T)$.
Proof. Taking into account the fact that all the functions $f(\zeta, t)$ have analytic univalent extensions on $\bar{U}$ for each $t \in[0, T)$ and in consequence their derivatives $f^{\prime}(\zeta, t)$ are continuous and do not vanish in $\bar{U}$, we can replace with $" \leq "$ the inequality in Definition 2.1 of a strongly $\Phi$-like function of order $\alpha$. The equality can be attained for $|\zeta|=1$.

We suppose by contrary that the conclusion of Theorem 2.3 is not true. Then there exist some $t_{0} \geq 0$ and $\zeta_{0}=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta_{0}}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\arg \frac{\zeta_{0} f^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)}{\Phi\left(f\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right)}=\frac{\alpha \pi}{2} \quad\left(\text { or }-\frac{\alpha \pi}{2}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for each $\varepsilon>0$ there exist $t>t_{0}$ and $\theta \in\left(\theta_{0}-\varepsilon, \theta_{0}+\varepsilon\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\arg \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} f^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}, t\right)}{\Phi\left(f\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}, t\right)\right)} \geq \frac{\alpha \pi}{2} \quad\left(\text { or } \leq-\frac{\alpha \pi}{2}\right) . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider the sign $(+)$ in (2.3). Let $t_{0}$ be the first such point $t_{0} \in[0, T)$. Without loss of generality we assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Im} \frac{\zeta_{0} f^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)}{\Phi\left(f\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right)}>0 . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(A similar proof can be applied for the case $\operatorname{Im} \frac{\zeta_{0} f^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)}{\Phi\left(f\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right)}<0$.)
Since $\left(1, \theta_{0}\right)$ is a maximum point for the function

$$
g(r, \theta)=\arg \frac{r \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} f^{\prime}\left(r \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}, t_{0}\right)}{\Phi\left(f\left(r \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}, t_{0}\right)\right)} \text {, for } r \in[0,1], \theta \in[0,2 \pi],
$$

we deduce that $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} g\left(1, \theta_{0}\right)=0$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial r} g\left(1, \theta_{0}\right) \geq 0$ (the stationarity condition at an endpoint of an interval). Hence, we obtain the following relations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Re}\left[1+\frac{\zeta_{0} f^{\prime \prime}\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)}-\frac{\Phi^{\prime}\left(f\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right) \zeta_{0} f^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)}{\Phi\left(f\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right)}\right]=0  \tag{2.6}\\
& \operatorname{Im}\left[1+\frac{\zeta_{0} f^{\prime \prime}\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)}-\frac{\Phi^{\prime}\left(f\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right) \zeta_{0} f^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)}{\Phi\left(f\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right)}\right] \geq 0 \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

By straightforward calculations we obtain:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \arg \frac{\zeta f^{\prime}(\zeta, t)}{\Phi(f(\zeta, t))}=\operatorname{Im} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \log \frac{\zeta f^{\prime}(\zeta, t)}{\Phi(f(\zeta, t))} \\
& =\operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f^{\prime}(\zeta, t)}{f(\zeta, t)}-\frac{\Phi^{\prime}(f(\zeta, t)) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(\zeta, t)}{\Phi(f(\zeta, t))}\right) . \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

By differentiating the Polubarinova-Galin equation (1.1) with respect to $\theta$, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|f^{\prime}(\zeta, t)\right|^{2} \operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f^{\prime}(\zeta, t)}{f(\zeta, t)}-\frac{\Phi^{\prime}(f(\zeta, t)) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(\zeta, t)}{\Phi(f(\zeta, t))}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Im}\left(\overline{\zeta f^{\prime}(\zeta, t)} \dot{f}(\zeta, t)\right)\left(1+\frac{\overline{\zeta f^{\prime \prime}(\zeta, t)}}{f^{\prime}(\zeta, t)}-\frac{\Phi^{\prime}(f(\zeta, t)) \zeta f^{\prime}(\zeta, t)}{\Phi(f(\zeta, t))}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we substitute (2.6), (2.7) and (1.1) in the above expression, replace $\theta$ by $\theta_{0}$ and $t$ by $t_{0}$, we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \arg \frac{\zeta f^{\prime}(\zeta, t)}{\Phi(f(\zeta, t))}\right|_{\zeta=\zeta_{0}, t=t_{0}} \\
& =\frac{1}{\left|f^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right|^{2}} \frac{Q}{2 \pi} \operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{\zeta_{0} f^{\prime \prime}\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)}+\frac{\Phi^{\prime}\left(f\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right) \zeta_{0} f^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)}{\Phi\left(f\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{Q}{2 \pi\left|f\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right|^{2}} \operatorname{Im}\left(1+\frac{\zeta_{0} f^{\prime \prime}\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)}-\frac{\Phi^{\prime}\left(f\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right) \zeta_{0} f^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)}{\Phi\left(f\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right)}\right. \\
& \left.+2 \frac{\Phi^{\prime}\left(f\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right) \zeta_{0} f^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)}{\Phi\left(f\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right)}\right) \\
& =\frac{Q}{2 \pi\left|f^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right|^{2}}\left[\operatorname{Im}\left(1+\frac{\zeta_{0} f^{\prime \prime}\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)}-\frac{\Phi^{\prime}\left(f\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right) \zeta_{0} f^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)}{\Phi\left(f\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right)}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+2 \operatorname{Im} \frac{\Phi^{\prime}\left(f\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right) \zeta_{0} f^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)}{\Phi\left(f\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right)}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next we shall estimate the term $\operatorname{Im} \frac{\Phi^{\prime}\left(f\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right) \zeta_{0} f^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)}{\Phi\left(f\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right)}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & <\arg \frac{\zeta_{0} f^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right) \Phi^{\prime}\left(f\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right)}{\Phi\left(f\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right)}=\underbrace{\arg \frac{\zeta_{0} f^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)}{\Phi\left(f\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right)}}_{=\frac{\alpha \pi}{2}(\text { cf. }(2.3))}+\underbrace{\arg \Phi^{\prime}\left(f\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right)}_{\in\left(-\frac{\alpha \pi}{2}, \frac{\alpha \pi}{2}\right)(\text { cc. }(2.2))} \\
& <\frac{\alpha \pi}{2}+\frac{\alpha \pi}{2}=\alpha \pi \leq \pi .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we proved that $0<\arg \frac{\zeta_{0} f^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right) \Phi^{\prime}\left(f\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right)}{\Phi\left(f\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right)}<\pi$, which yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Im} \frac{\zeta_{0} f^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right) \Phi^{\prime}\left(f\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right)}{\Phi\left(f\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right)}>0 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.7) and (2.9) in the following relation, we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \arg \frac{\zeta f^{\prime}(\zeta, t)}{\Phi(f(\zeta, t))}\right|_{\zeta=\zeta_{0}, t=t_{0}} \\
& =\frac{Q}{2 \pi\left|f^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right|^{2}}\left[\operatorname{Im}\left(1+\frac{\zeta_{0} f^{\prime \prime}\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)}-\frac{\Phi^{\prime}\left(f\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right) \zeta_{0} f^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)}{\Phi\left(f\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right)}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+2 \operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{\zeta_{0} f^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right) \Phi^{\prime}\left(f\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right)}{\Phi\left(f\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right)}\right)\right]<0
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, $\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \arg \frac{\zeta f^{\prime}(\zeta, t)}{\Phi(f(\zeta, t))}\right|_{\zeta=\zeta_{0}, t=t_{0}}<0$. Therefore, $\arg \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} f^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}, t\right)}{\Phi\left(f\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}, t\right)\right)}<\frac{\alpha \pi}{2}$ for $t>t_{0}$ (close to $t_{0}$ ) in some neighbourhood of $\theta_{0}$. This contradicts the assumption (2.4) and completes the proof.

Remark 2.4. Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.3, it follows that if the initial domain $\Omega(0)=f(U, 0)$ is strongly $\Phi$-like of order $\alpha$, then the family of domains $\Omega(t)=f(U, t)$ remain strongly $\Phi$-like of order $\alpha$ for $t \in[0, T)$, where $T$ is the blow-up time.

If in the previous theorem we take $\Phi(w) \equiv \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \beta} w$ where $|\beta|<\alpha \pi / 2$ and $\alpha \in(0,1]$, then we obtain the following particular case. The case $\alpha=1$ has been recently considered in [2].

Corollary 2.5. Let $Q<0$ and let $f_{0}$ be a strongly spirallike function of type $\beta$ and order $\alpha$ on $U$ and univalent on $\bar{U}$, where $\alpha \in(0,1]$ and $\beta \in$ $(-\alpha \pi / 2, \alpha \pi / 2)$. Then the classical solution of the Polubarinova-Galin equation (1.1) with the initial condition $f(\zeta, 0)=f_{0}(\zeta)$ is strongly spirallike of type $\beta$ and order $\alpha$ for $t \in[0, T)$, where $T$ is the blow-up time.

## 3. THE OUTER PROBLEM (UNBOUNDED DOMAINS WITH BOUNDED COMPLEMENT)

In this section we obtain the invariance in time of the strongly $\Phi$-likeness property for the outer problem.

Definition 3.1. Let $F$ be a holomorphic function on $U^{-}=\{\zeta:|\zeta|>1\}$ such that $F(\zeta)=a \zeta+a_{0}+\frac{a_{-1}}{\zeta}+\ldots$, where $a \neq 0$. Let $\alpha \in(0,1]$ and let $\Phi$ be a holomorphic function on $F\left(U^{-}\right)$such that $\lim _{\zeta \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(\zeta)=\infty$ and $\lim _{\zeta \rightarrow \infty} \Phi^{\prime}(\zeta)>0$.

We say that $F$ is strongly $\Phi$-like of order $\alpha$ on $U^{-}$if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\arg \frac{\zeta F^{\prime}(\zeta)}{\Phi(F(\zeta))}\right|<\frac{\alpha \pi}{2}, \forall \zeta \in U^{-} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 3.2. (a) It is obvious to see that if $F$ is a strongly $\Phi$-like function on $U^{-}$of order $\alpha \in(0,1]$, then the function $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by $f(z)=\frac{1}{F\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)}$, $z \neq 0$, and $f(0)=0$, is strongly $\Psi$-like on $U$ of order $\alpha$, where $\Psi: f(U) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $\Psi(w)=w^{2} \Phi\left(\frac{1}{w}\right), \forall w \in f(U) \backslash\{0\}$ and $\Psi(0)=0$.
(b) If $f$ is a strongly $\Psi$-like function of order $\alpha$, on $U$ then the function $F: U^{-} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, F(\zeta)=\frac{1}{f\left(\frac{1}{\zeta}\right)}$ is strongly $\Phi$-like of order $\alpha$ on $U^{-}$, where $\Phi$ : $F\left(U^{-}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \Phi(\omega)=\omega^{2} \Psi\left(\frac{1}{\omega}\right), \forall \omega \in F\left(U^{-}\right)$. The proof is immediate and we leave it for the reader.
(c) Any strongly $\Phi$-like function $F$ of order $\alpha \in(0,1]$ on $U^{-}$is univalent on $U^{-}$. Indeed the corresponding function $f$ is strongly $\Psi$-like of order $\alpha$ on $U$, and thus univalent.

We next obtain the analog of Theorem 2.3 to the case of unbounded domains. This result is a generalization of [17, Theorem 3] (see also [5, Theorem 4.3.5]). The mentioned theorem may be obtained by taking $\Phi(w) \equiv w$ and $\alpha=1$ in Theorem 3.3 below. The case $\alpha=1$ was considered in [2].

ThEOREM 3.3. Let $\alpha \in(0,1]$ and $F_{0}$ be a strongly $\Phi$-like function of order $\alpha$ on $U^{-}$and univalent on $\overline{U^{-}}$. Then the solution $F(\zeta, t)$ of the PolubarinovaGalin equation (1.2) with the initial condition $F(\zeta, 0)=F_{0}(\zeta)$ is strongly $\Phi$ like of order $\alpha$ for $t \in[0, T)$, where $T$ is the blow-up time, $\Omega=\bigcup_{0 \leq t<T} \Omega(t)=$
$\bigcup_{0 \leq t<T} F\left(U^{-}, t\right)$ and the function $\Phi$ is a holomorphic function on $\bar{\Omega}$ which satisfies the following conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\arg \frac{\Phi(w)}{w}\right|<\frac{\alpha \pi}{2}, \forall w \in \bar{\Omega} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\arg \left(2 \frac{\Phi(w)}{w}-\Phi^{\prime}(w)\right)\right|<\frac{\alpha \pi}{2}, \forall w \in \bar{\Omega} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By considering the function $f(\zeta, t)=\frac{1}{F\left(\frac{1}{\zeta}, t\right)}$, the PolubarinovaGalin equation can be rewritten in terms of $f$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left[\dot{f}(\zeta, t) \overline{\zeta f^{\prime}(\zeta, t)}\right]=-\frac{Q|f(\zeta, t)|^{4}}{2 \pi}, \quad|\zeta|=1 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of Remark 3.2, the function $F(\zeta, t), \zeta \in U^{-}$, is strongly $\Phi$-like of order $\alpha$ if and only if $f(\zeta, t), \zeta \in U$, is strongly $\Psi$-like of order $\alpha$, where the relationship between $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ is as follows:

$$
\Phi(w)=w^{2} \Psi\left(\frac{1}{w}\right), \forall w \in F\left(U^{-}\right) \quad\left(\text { or } \Psi(w)=w^{2} \Phi\left(\frac{1}{w}\right), \forall w \in f(U)\right)
$$

Hence, it suffices to prove that the function $f(\zeta, t)$ is strongly $\Psi$-like of order $\alpha$, for all $t \in[0, T)$.

Suppose by contrary that the previous statement is not true. Then there exist $t_{0} \geq 0$ and $\zeta_{0}=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta_{0}}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\arg \frac{\zeta_{0} f^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)}{\Psi\left(f\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right)}=\frac{\alpha \pi}{2} \quad\left(\text { or }-\frac{\alpha \pi}{2}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider the sign $(+)$ in the previous equality. Let $t_{0} \in[0, T)$ be the first such point. Without loss of generality, we assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Im} \frac{\zeta_{0} f^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)}{\Psi\left(f\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right)}>0 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in the proof of Theorem 2.3, we deduce the following conditions at the critical point $\zeta_{0}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Re}\left[1+\frac{\zeta_{0} f^{\prime \prime}\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)}-\frac{\Psi^{\prime}\left(f\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right) \zeta_{0} f^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)}{\Psi\left(f\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right)}\right]=0  \tag{3.7}\\
& \operatorname{Im}\left[1+\frac{\zeta_{0} f^{\prime \prime}\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)}-\frac{\Psi^{\prime}\left(f\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right) \zeta_{0} f^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)}{\Psi\left(f\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right)}\right] \geq 0 \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

By differentiating (3.4) we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \arg \frac{\zeta f^{\prime}(\zeta, t)}{\Psi(f(\zeta, t))}\right|_{\zeta=\zeta_{0}, t=t_{0}}=\left.\operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{\frac{\partial f^{\prime}}{\partial t}}{f^{\prime}}-\frac{\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \Psi^{\prime}(f)}{\Psi(f)}\right)\right|_{\zeta=\zeta_{0}, t=t_{0}} \\
& =\left.\frac{Q|f|^{4}}{2 \pi\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{\zeta f^{\prime \prime}}{f^{\prime}}+\frac{\Psi^{\prime}(f) \zeta^{\prime} f}{\Psi(f)}\right)\right|_{\zeta=\zeta_{0}, t=t_{0}}+\left.4 \frac{Q|f|^{4}}{2 \pi\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \operatorname{Im} \frac{\zeta f^{\prime}}{f}\right|_{\zeta=\zeta_{0}, t=t_{0}} \\
& =\left.\frac{Q|f|^{4}}{2 \pi\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \operatorname{Im}\left(1+\frac{\zeta f^{\prime \prime}}{f^{\prime}}-\frac{\Psi^{\prime}(f) \zeta f^{\prime}}{\Psi(f)}\right)\right|_{\zeta=\zeta_{0}, t=t_{0}}+\left.\frac{2 Q|f|^{4}}{2 \pi\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \operatorname{Im} \frac{\Psi^{\prime}(f) \zeta f^{\prime}}{\Psi(f)}\right|_{\zeta=\zeta_{0}, t=t_{0}} \\
& +\left.\frac{4 Q|f|^{4}}{2 \pi\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \operatorname{Im} \frac{\zeta f^{\prime}}{\Psi(f)} \operatorname{Re} \frac{\Psi(f)}{f}\right|_{\zeta=\zeta_{0}, t=t_{0}}
\end{aligned}
$$

We evaluate the right-hand sign of the above relation. For this aim, we evaluate the term $\operatorname{Im} \frac{\Psi^{\prime}(f) \zeta f^{\prime}}{\Psi(f)}$ at $\zeta=\zeta_{0}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & <\arg \frac{\Psi^{\prime}\left(f\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right) \zeta_{0} f^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)}{\Psi\left(f\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right)}=\arg \frac{\zeta_{0} f^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)}{\Psi\left(f\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right)}+\arg \Psi^{\prime}\left(f\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right) \\
& <\frac{\alpha \pi}{2}+\frac{\alpha \pi}{2}=\alpha \pi \leq \pi
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, we obtain that $0<\left.\arg \frac{\Psi^{\prime}(f) \zeta f^{\prime}}{\Psi(f)}\right|_{\zeta=\zeta_{0}}<\pi$, and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Im} \frac{\Psi^{\prime}\left(f\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right) \zeta_{0} f^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)}{\Psi\left(f\left(\zeta_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right)}>0 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account the above relations,

$$
\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \arg \frac{\zeta f^{\prime}(\zeta, t)}{\Psi(f(\zeta, t))}\right|_{\zeta=\zeta_{0}, t=t_{0}}<0
$$

We have used the relations (3.8), (3.9), $\left|\arg \Psi^{\prime}(f)\right|<\alpha \pi / 2$ and $|\arg \Psi(f) / f|<$ $\alpha \pi / 2$ (the previous inequalities are immediate consequences of (3.2) and (3.3)). Therefore, we deduce that $\arg \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} f^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}, t\right)}{\Psi\left(f\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}, t\right)\right)}<\frac{\alpha \pi}{2}$, for $t>t_{0}$ (close to $t_{0}$ ) in some neighbourhood of $\theta_{0}$. However, this is a contradiction to the assumption (3.6). The proof is complete.

## 4. EXAMPLES

We consider the polynomial function

$$
F(\zeta, t)=a_{1}(t) \zeta+a_{2}(t) \zeta^{2}+a_{3}(t) \zeta^{3}+a_{4}(t) \zeta^{4}
$$

It has to satisfy the Polubarinova-Galin equation (1.4) that leads to the following system of differential equations obtained using Mathematica:

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{1} \frac{\mathrm{~d} a_{1}}{\mathrm{~d} t}+2 a_{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d} a_{2}}{\mathrm{~d} t}+3 a_{3} \frac{\mathrm{~d} a_{3}}{\mathrm{~d} t}+4 a_{4} \frac{\mathrm{~d} a_{4}}{\mathrm{~d} t}=-\frac{Q}{2 \pi} \\
& 2 a_{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d} a_{1}}{\mathrm{~d} t}+\left(a_{1}+3 a_{3}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d} a_{2}}{\mathrm{~d} t}+\left(2 a_{2}+4 a_{4} \frac{\mathrm{~d} a_{3}}{\mathrm{~d} t}+3 a_{3} \frac{\mathrm{~d} a_{4}}{\mathrm{~d} t}=0\right.  \tag{4.1}\\
& \left.3 a_{3} \frac{\mathrm{~d} a_{1}}{\mathrm{~d} t}+4 a_{4}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d} a_{2}}{\mathrm{~d} t}+a_{1} \frac{\mathrm{~d} a_{3}}{\mathrm{~d} t}+2 a_{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d} a_{4}}{\mathrm{~d} t}=0 \\
& 4 a_{4} \frac{\mathrm{~d} a_{1}}{\mathrm{~d} t}+a_{1} \frac{\mathrm{~d} a_{4}}{\mathrm{~d} t}=0
\end{align*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\mathrm{d} a_{1}}{\mathrm{~d} t}=-\frac{a_{1}\left(a_{1}^{2}+3 a_{1} a_{3}-8 a_{4}\left(a_{2}+2 a_{4}\right)\right) Q}{2\left(a_{1}-2 a_{2}+3 a_{3}-4 a_{4}\right)\left(a_{1}+2 a_{2}+3 a_{3}+4 a_{4}\right)\left(a_{1}^{2}-3 a_{1} a_{3}+8\left(a_{2}-2 a_{4}\right) a 4\right) \pi} \\
& \frac{\mathrm{d} a_{2}}{\mathrm{~d} t}=-\frac{\left(a_{1}^{2} a_{2}+8 a_{2} a_{4}\left(a_{2}+2 a_{4}\right)-3 a_{1} a_{3}\left(a_{2}+4 a_{4}\right)\right) Q}{\left(a_{1}-2 a_{2}+3 a_{3}-4 a_{4}\right)\left(a_{1}+2 a_{2}+3 a_{3}+4 a_{4}\right)\left(a_{1}^{2}-3 a_{1} a_{3}+8\left(a_{2}-2 a_{4}\right) a 4\right) \pi} \\
& \frac{\mathrm{d} a_{3}}{\mathrm{~d} t}=-\frac{\left(3 a_{1} a_{3}\left(a 1+3 a_{3}\right)-8 a_{2} a_{4}\left(2 a_{1}+3 a_{3}\right)+48 a_{3} a_{4}^{2}\right)}{2\left(a_{1}-2 a_{2}+3 a_{3}-4 a_{4}\right)\left(a_{1}+2 a_{2}+3 a_{3}+4 a_{4}\right)\left(a_{1}^{2}-33 a_{1} a_{3}+8\left(a_{2}-2 a_{4}\right) a 4\right) \pi} \\
& \frac{\mathrm{d} a_{4}}{\mathrm{~d} t}=-\frac{2 a_{4}\left(a_{1}^{2}+3 a_{1} a_{3}-8 a_{4}\left(a_{2}+2 a_{4}\right)\right) Q}{\left(a_{1}-2 a_{2}+3 a_{3}-4 a_{4}\right)\left(a_{1}+2 a_{2}+3 a_{3}+4 a_{4}\right)\left(a_{1}^{2}-3 a_{1} a_{3}+8\left(a_{2}-2 a_{4}\right) a 4\right) \pi}
\end{aligned}
$$

which have to be solved starting from an initial domain given by

$$
F(\zeta, 0)=a_{1}(0) \zeta+a_{2}(0) \zeta^{2}+a_{3}(0) \zeta^{3}+a_{4}(0) \zeta^{4} .
$$

It is worth to mention that the system of equations (4.1) is similar to those described by [5]. The last system of equations was solved numerically using Matlab for two different initial domains, a convex domain and a starlike domain, respectively. We have also considered a negative value for Q (fluid injection). In the injection case the domains growth infinitely and after some time the domains take a disk shape. In the following figures the domains variations are presented for an injection time $T=10$.



Convex initial domain, injection. Star-like initial domain, injection
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