

BOUNDS ON THE COEFFICIENTS OF CERTAIN ANALYTIC  
AND UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS

K. O. BABALOLA

**Abstract.** For the real number  $\alpha > 1$ , we use a technique due to Nehari and Netanyahu and an application of certain integral iteration of Caratheodory functions to find the best-possible upper bounds on the coefficients of functions of the class  $T_n^\alpha(\beta)$  introduced in [4] by Opoola.

**MSC 2000.** 30C45.

**Key words.** Coefficient bounds, analytic and univalent functions.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $A$  denote the class of functions:

$$(1) \quad f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + \dots .$$

which are analytic in the unit disk  $E = \{z \in \mathcal{C} : |z| < 1\}$ . In [4], Opoola introduced the subclass  $T_n^\alpha(\beta)$  consisting of functions  $f \in A$  which satisfy:

$$(2) \quad \operatorname{Re} \frac{D^n f(z)^\alpha}{\alpha^n z^\alpha} > \beta .$$

where  $\alpha > 0$  is real,  $0 \leq \beta < 1$ ,  $D^n (n \in N_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\})$  is the Salagean derivative operator defined as:  $D^n f(z) = D(D^{n-1} f(z)) = z[D^{n-1} f(z)]'$  with  $D^0 f(z) = f(z)$  and powers in (2) meaning principal determinations only. The geometric condition (2) slightly modifies the one given originally in [4] (see [1]).

The object of the present work is the extension of some earlier results regarding the bounds on the coefficients,  $a_k$ , of functions belonging to the class  $T_n^\alpha(\beta)$ . Babalola and Opoola have begun to solve this problem in [2]. They determined sharp bounds on  $a_k$  for some  $\alpha > 0$  and gave some rough estimate of the general coefficient bounds using the logarithmic coefficient approach. The sharp bounds were stated as follows:

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $f \in T_n^\alpha(\beta)$ . Define*

$$B_m = \frac{2^m (1 - \beta)^m \alpha^{m(n-1)} \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (1 - j\alpha)}{m!},$$

$$A_k(n, \alpha, \beta) = \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} B_m Q_{k-1}^{(m)},$$

$$A_{k-1}(n, \alpha, \beta) = \sum_{m=1}^{k-2} B_m Q_{k-1}^{(m)},$$

where for each  $m = 1, 2, \dots, Q_{k-1}^{(m)}$  is defined by the power series

$$\left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{(\alpha + k)^n} \right)^m = Q_m^{(m)} z^m + Q_{m+1}^{(m)} z^{m+1} + Q_{m+2}^{(m)} z^{m+2} + \dots.$$

Also let

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_1 &= \{\alpha | 0 < \alpha < (k-2)^{-1}, k = 2, 3, \dots\}, \\ \Omega_2 &= \{\alpha | (k-2)^{-1} \leq \alpha \leq (k-3)^{-1}, k = 4, 6, \dots\}, \\ \Omega_3 &= \{\alpha | (k-2)^{-1} \leq \alpha < (k-3)^{-1}, k = 3, 5, \dots\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$|a_k| \leq \begin{cases} A_k & \text{if } \alpha \in \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2, \\ A_{k-1} & \text{if } \alpha \in \Omega_3. \end{cases}$$

The inequalities are sharp. Equalities are attained for  $f(z)$  satisfying

$$\frac{D^n f(z)^\alpha}{\alpha^n z^\alpha} = \begin{cases} \frac{1+(1-2\beta)z}{1-z} & \text{if } \alpha \in \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2, \\ \frac{1+(1-2\beta)z^2}{1-z^2} & \text{if } \alpha \in \Omega_3. \end{cases}$$

The rough estimate was also given as:

**THEOREM 2.** Let  $f \in T_n^\alpha(\beta)$ ,  $n \in N$ . Suppose

$$\frac{f(z)^\alpha}{z^\alpha} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_{k+1}(\alpha) z^k, A_1(\alpha) = 1.$$

Then

$$|A_{k+1}(\alpha)| < \exp \left\{ 0.624\alpha^2 + \left( 2\alpha^2 - \frac{1}{2} \right) \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{j} \right\}.$$

From Theorem 1, which is best-possible, it is obvious that the problem has only been solved completely for  $a_2$  and  $a_3$  for all values of the index  $\alpha$ . For  $k \geq 4$ , the problem has remained open for all  $\alpha > (k-3)^{-1}$ . In this article we proceed with the proof of the sharp bounds on the coefficients of functions in the class  $T_n^\alpha(\beta)$ ,  $\alpha > 1$ . Our result is the following:

**THEOREM 3.** Let  $f \in T_n^\alpha(\beta)$ . If  $\alpha > 1$ , we have the sharp inequalities

$$(3) \quad |a_k| \leq \frac{2(1-\beta)\alpha^{n-1}}{(\alpha+k-1)^n}, \quad k = 2, 3, 4, \dots$$

Equalities are attained for  $f(z)$  satisfying

$$\frac{D^n f(z)^\alpha}{\alpha^n z^\alpha} = \frac{1 + (1-2\beta)z^{k-1}}{1 - z^{k-1}}.$$

For  $k = 2, 3$ , the above result is contained in Theorem 1 above. The proof here is however new. Also Singh [6] proved the same result for  $k = 2, 3, 4$  for the particular case  $n = 1$  and  $\beta = 0$ . In our proof we combine a method of classical analysis due to Nehari and Netanyahu [3] (also used by Singh [6]) with an application of certain integral iteration of the Caratheodory functions [1]. That is presented in Section 3. In the next section we state and prove some preliminary lemmas.

## 2. PRELIMINARY LEMMAS

Let  $P$  be the class of functions

$$(4) \quad p(z) = 1 + b_1z + b_2z^2 + \dots$$

which are analytic in  $E$  and have positive real part. In [1] the following integral iteration of each  $p \in P$  was identified:

DEFINITION 1. [1] Let  $p \in P$  and  $\alpha > 0$  be real. The  $n$ th iterated integral transform of  $p(z)$ ,  $z \in E$  is defined as

$$p_n(z) = \frac{\alpha}{z^\alpha} \int_0^z t^{\alpha-1} p_{n-1}(t) dt, \quad n \geq 1,$$

with  $p_0(z) = p(z)$ . The family of the  $n$ th integral iteration of  $p \in P$  was denoted by  $P_n$ . Functions  $p_n(z)$  in  $P_n$  have series expansion:

$$(5) \quad p_n(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^n}{(\alpha + k)^n} b_k z^k.$$

Furthermore if  $Re p_n(z) > \beta$ , ( $0 \leq \beta < 1$ ), we denote by  $P_n(\beta)$  the family of such functions given by:

$$p_n(z) = 1 + (1 - \beta) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^n}{(\alpha + k)^n} b_k z^k.$$

In the proof of our main result we require the following lemmas:

LEMMA 1. [3] If  $p(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k$  and  $q(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k z^k$  belong to  $P$ , then  $r(z) = 1 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k c_k z^k$  also belongs to  $P$ .

LEMMA 2. [3] Let  $h(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_k z^k$  and  $1 + G(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b'_k z^k$  be functions in  $P$ . Set

$$(6) \quad \gamma_m = \frac{1}{2^m} \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu=1}^m \binom{m}{\mu} d_\mu \right], \quad \gamma_0 = 1.$$

If  $A_k$  is defined by

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{m+1} \gamma_{m-1} G_1^m(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k z^k.$$

Then

$$|A_k| \leq 2, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

If, in the proof of the above lemma (as contained in [3]), we define  $h_n(z)$  as the  $n$ -th iterated integral transform of  $h_0(z) = h(z)$  we immediately obtain the following corollary.

**COROLLARY 1.** *Let  $h_n(z)$  be the  $n$ th integral iteration of  $h_0(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_k z^k$  with  $\operatorname{Re} h_n(z) > \beta$ , and  $1 + G(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b'_k z^k$  be functions in  $P$ . Define  $\gamma_m$  as in (6) and*

$$(7) \quad \eta_m = \frac{(1 - \beta)\alpha^n}{(\alpha + m)^n} \gamma_m, \quad \eta_0 = 1 - \beta.$$

If  $A_k$  is defined by

$$(8) \quad \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{m+1} \eta_{m-1} G_1^m(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k z^k.$$

Then

$$(9) \quad |A_k| \leq \frac{2(1 - \beta)\alpha^n}{(\alpha + k)^n}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

**LEMMA 3.** [2] *Let  $G(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k z^k$  be a power series. Then the  $m$ th integer product of  $G(z)$  is  $G^m(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k^{(m)} z^k$  where  $c_k^{(1)} = c_k$  and  $c_k^{(m)} = \sum_{j=0}^k c_j c_{k-j}^{(m-1)}$ ,  $m \geq 2$ .*

We now turn to the proof of the main result.

### 3. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

Let  $f \in T_n^\alpha(\beta)$ . Then there exists an analytic functions  $p_n \in P_n$  such that

$$(10) \quad f(z)^\alpha = z^\alpha [\beta + (1 - \beta)p_n(z)],$$

where  $p_n(z)$  given by (5) is the  $n$ th iterated integral transform of an analytic function  $p \in P$  defined by (4) (see Lemma 4.2 of [1]). The first part of the proof involves obtaining expression for the coefficients,  $a_k$ , of  $f(z)$  in terms of the coefficients,  $b_k$ , of the function  $p \in P$ . This is contained in [2] and adapted here for completeness. We have, using (5) in (10),

$$(11) \quad f(z) = z \left( 1 + (1 - \beta) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^n}{(\alpha + k)^n} b_k z^k \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}.$$

Equation (11) expands binomially as

$$(12) \quad \frac{f(z)}{z} = 1 + \frac{(1-\beta)\alpha^n}{\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_k z^k}{(\alpha+k)^n} + \frac{(1-\beta)^2 \alpha^{2n} (1-\alpha)}{2! \alpha^2} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_k z^k}{(\alpha+k)^n} \right)^2 + \dots + \frac{(1-\beta)^m \alpha^{mn} \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (1-j\alpha)}{m! \alpha^m} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_k z^k}{(\alpha+k)^n} \right)^m + \dots$$

Using Lemma 3 in (12) we get

$$\frac{f(z)}{z} = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \tilde{B}_1 C_k^{(1)} z^k + \dots + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \tilde{B}_m C_k^{(m)} z^k + \dots,$$

where

$$\tilde{B}_m = \frac{(1-\beta)^m \alpha^{m(n-1)} \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (1-j\alpha)}{m!}$$

and  $C_k^{(m)}$ ,  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ ;  $k = m, m+1, \dots$  is defined by

$$\left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_k z^k}{(\alpha+k)^n} \right)^m = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_k^{(m)} z^k,$$

having the general form

$$(13) \quad C_k^{(m)} = \sum_{j=1}^k C_j \prod_{l=1}^m \frac{b_l^{\rho_l}}{(\alpha+l)^{n\rho_l}}$$

for some nonnegative constants  $C_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$  and indices  $\rho_l$ ,  $l = 1, 2, \dots, m$  taking values in the set  $M = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m\}$  such that  $\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \dots + \rho_m = m$  (see page 10 of [2]). From (12) we write

$$(14) \quad f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \tilde{A}_k^{(m)} z^k,$$

where

$$\tilde{A}_k^{(m)} = \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \tilde{B}_m C_{k-1}^{(m)}, \quad k = 2, 3, \dots$$

Comparing coefficients in (1) and (14), we see that  $a_k = \tilde{A}_k^{(m)}$  which gives

$$(15) \quad a_k = \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \frac{(1-\beta)^m \alpha^{m(n-1)} \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (1-j\alpha)}{m!} \left( \sum_{j=1}^k C_j \prod_{l=1}^m \frac{b_l^{\rho_l}}{(\alpha+l)^{n\rho_l}} \right).$$

Now we compute the leading coefficients,  $A_v$ , in the expression (8). From (8) we have

$$(16) \quad \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{m+1} \eta_{m-1} G_1^m(z) = G_1(z) - \eta_1 G_1^2(z) + \cdots = \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} A_v z^v$$

with  $G_1(z) = \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} b'_v z^v$ . Using Lemma 3 again we have

$$(17) \quad G_1^m(z) = \sum_{v=m}^{\infty} C_v^{(m)} z^v, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where

$$(18) \quad C_v^{(m)} = \sum_{j=1}^v C_j \prod_{l=1}^m b'_l{}^{\rho_l}$$

with  $C_j$  and indices  $\rho_l$  as already defined for (13). Using (17) and (18) in (16) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{m+1} \eta_{m-1} G_1^m(z) &= \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{m=1}^v (-1)^{m+1} \eta_{m-1} C_v^{(m)} \right) z^v \\ &= \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{m=1}^v (-1)^{m+1} \eta_{m-1} \left( \sum_{j=1}^v C_j \prod_{l=1}^m b'_l{}^{\rho_l} \right) \right) z^v \\ &= \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} A_v z^v, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$A_v = \sum_{m=1}^v (-1)^{m+1} \eta_{m-1} \left( \sum_{j=1}^v C_j \prod_{l=1}^m b'_l{}^{\rho_l} \right) z^v.$$

By corollary 1, these coefficients,  $A_v$ , satisfy the inequality (9) if  $1 + G(z) = 1 + b'_1 z + b'_2 z^2 + \cdots$  is a function of the class  $P$ , and by Lemma 1 we may set  $b'_l = \frac{1}{2} b_l c_l$  where  $p(z) = 1 + b_1 z + b_2 z^2 + \cdots$  is the function (4) and  $H(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \cdots$  is an arbitrary function in  $P$ . Then

$$(19) \quad |A_v| = \left| \sum_{m=1}^v (-1)^{m+1} \frac{\eta_{m-1}}{2^m} \left( \sum_{j=1}^v C_j \prod_{l=1}^m b_l{}^{\rho_l} c_l{}^{\rho_l} \right) \right| \leq \frac{2(1-\beta)\alpha^n}{(\alpha+v)^n}.$$

Using (7) together with the fact that  $\alpha > 0$ , we can write (19) equivalently as (20)

$$|A_v| = \left| \sum_{m=1}^v (-1)^{m+1} \frac{(1-\beta)\alpha^n}{(\alpha+m-1)^n} \frac{\gamma_{m-1}}{2^m \alpha} \left( \sum_{j=1}^v C_j \prod_{l=1}^m b_l{}^{\rho_l} c_l{}^{\rho_l} \right) \right| \leq \frac{2(1-\beta)\alpha^{n-1}}{(\alpha+v)^n}.$$

Since for each  $m = 1, 2, \dots$  and any  $\alpha > 0$ ,

$$\prod_{l=1}^m \frac{\alpha^{n\rho_l}}{(\alpha+l)^{n\rho_l}} \leq \prod_{l=1}^m \frac{\alpha^{n\rho_l}}{(\alpha+1)^{n\rho_l}} = \frac{\alpha^{mn}}{(\alpha+1)^{mn}} \leq \frac{\alpha^n}{(\alpha+m-1)^n},$$

it is evident that for each  $v = 1, 2, \dots$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^v (-1)^{m+1} \frac{\gamma_{m-1}}{2^m \alpha} \left( \sum_{j=1}^v C_j \prod_{l=1}^m \frac{(1-\beta)^{\rho_l} \alpha^{n\rho_l}}{(\alpha+l)^{n\rho_l}} b_l^{\rho_l} c_l^{\rho_l} \right) &\leq \\ \sum_{m=1}^v (-1)^{m+1} \frac{(1-\beta)\alpha^n}{(\alpha+m-1)^n} \frac{\gamma_{m-1}}{2^m \alpha} \left( \sum_{j=1}^v C_j \prod_{l=1}^m b_l^{\rho_l} c_l^{\rho_l} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by (20), we have

$$\left| \sum_{m=1}^v (-1)^{m+1} \frac{\gamma_{m-1}}{2^m \alpha} \left( \sum_{j=1}^v C_j \prod_{l=1}^m \frac{(1-\beta)^{\rho_l} \alpha^{n\rho_l}}{(\alpha+l)^{n\rho_l}} b_l^{\rho_l} c_l^{\rho_l} \right) \right| \leq \frac{2(1-\beta)\alpha^{n-1}}{(\alpha+v)^n}$$

that is,

$$(21) \quad \left| \sum_{m=1}^v (-1)^{m+1} \frac{(1-\beta)^m \alpha^{mn-1} \gamma_{m-1}}{2^m} \left( \sum_{j=1}^v C_j \prod_{l=1}^m \frac{b_l^{\rho_l} c_l^{\rho_l}}{(\alpha+l)^{n\rho_l}} \right) \right| \leq \frac{2(1-\beta)\alpha^{n-1}}{(\alpha+v)^n}.$$

Now comparing (15) and the term in the absolute value in (21) (with  $v = k-1$ ), we would conclude that the inequalities (3) hold if we are able to find two members  $h(z) = 1 + d_1 z + d_2 z^2 + \dots$  and  $H(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots$  of  $P$  which give rise to the constants  $\gamma_m$  (as required by (6)) and  $c_l$ . For  $H \in P$ , a natural choice of the Moebius function is suitable. That is,  $H(z) = (1+z)/(1-z) = 1 + 2z + 2z^2 + \dots$ . Thus we have  $c_l = 2$ ,  $l = 1, 2, \dots$ . Using this in (21) we get

$$(22) \quad \left| \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{m+1} (1-\beta)^m \alpha^{mn-1} \gamma_{m-1} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} C_j \prod_{l=1}^m \frac{b_l^{\rho_l}}{(\alpha+l)^{n\rho_l}} \right) \right| \leq \frac{2(1-\beta)\alpha^{n-1}}{(\alpha+k-1)^n}.$$

Comparing (15) and the term in the absolute value in (22) we find that

$$(-1)^{m+1} \frac{\gamma_{m-1}}{\alpha} = \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (1-j\alpha)}{m! \alpha^m}$$

that is

$$(23) \quad \gamma_{m-1} = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (j\alpha - 1)}{m! \alpha^{m-1}}, \quad \gamma_0 = 1.$$

Now with  $d_\mu$ ,  $\mu = 1, 2, \dots, m - 1$  defined by

$$(24) \quad \frac{1}{2^{m-1}} \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu=1}^{m-1} \binom{m-1}{\mu} d_\mu \right] = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (j\alpha - 1)}{m! \alpha^{m-1}},$$

we need to find  $h(z)_k$ , corresponding to each  $a_k$ ,  $k = 2, 3, 4, \dots$ , such that the coefficients  $d_\mu$ ,  $\mu = 1, 2, \dots, m - 1$  of each  $h(z)_k$  satisfy (24) and the proof would be complete. Observe from (22) that  $m = 1, 2, \dots, k - 1$  as we begin to implement our scheme for each  $k = 2, 3, 4, \dots$

$k = 2$ : In this case  $m = 1$ . Hence by (23)  $\gamma_0 = 1$  and we can therefore define  $d_\mu = 0$  for all  $\mu$  so that  $h(z)_2 = 1$ .

$k = 3$ : Here we have  $m = 1, 2$ . Using (24) we get  $d_1 = -\frac{2}{\alpha}$ , so that

$$h(z)_3 = \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \left( \frac{1 - z}{1 + z} \right) = 1 - \frac{2}{\alpha} z + \dots$$

$k = 4$ : Now  $m = 1, 2, 3$ . From (24) we have

$$\frac{1}{4} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} (2d_1 + d_2) \right) = \frac{(\alpha - 1)(2\alpha - 1)}{3! \alpha^2}.$$

Taking  $d_1 = 0$ , we get  $\frac{d_2}{2} = \frac{\alpha^2 - 6\alpha + 2}{3\alpha^2}$  (note that  $|d_2| \leq 2$ ), and we have

$$\begin{aligned} h(z)_4 &= \begin{cases} \frac{2(\alpha^2 + 3\alpha - 1)}{3\alpha^2} + \frac{\alpha^2 - 6\alpha + 2}{3\alpha^2} \left( \frac{1 + z^2}{1 - z^2} \right) & \text{if } \alpha \geq \alpha_0, \\ \frac{2(2\alpha^2 - 3\alpha + 1)}{3\alpha^2} + \frac{6\alpha - \alpha^2 - 2}{3\alpha^2} \left( \frac{1 - z^2}{1 + z^2} \right) & \text{if } 1 < \alpha \leq \alpha_0 \end{cases} \\ &= 1 + \frac{2(\alpha^2 - 6\alpha + 2)}{3\alpha^2} z^2 + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha_0 > 1$  is the solution of  $\alpha^2 - 6\alpha + 2 = 0$ .

$k = 5$ : In this case  $m = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , and from (24) we get

$$\frac{1}{8} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} (3d_1 + 3d_2 + d_3) \right) = \frac{(\alpha - 1)(2\alpha - 1)(3\alpha - 1)}{4! \alpha^3}.$$

Taking  $d_1 = d_2 = 0$ , we get  $\frac{d_3}{2} = \frac{3\alpha^3 - 11\alpha^2 + 6\alpha - 1}{3\alpha^3}$  (note also that  $|d_3| \leq 2$ ) and

$$\begin{aligned} h(z)_5 &= \begin{cases} \frac{11\alpha^2 - 6\alpha + 1}{3\alpha^3} + \frac{3\alpha^3 - 11\alpha^2 + 6\alpha - 1}{3\alpha^3} \left( \frac{1 + z^3}{1 - z^3} \right) & \text{if } \alpha \geq \alpha_0, \\ \frac{6\alpha^3 - 11\alpha^2 + 6\alpha - 1}{3\alpha^3} + \frac{1 - 6\alpha + 11\alpha^2 - 3\alpha^3}{3\alpha^3} \left( \frac{1 - z^3}{1 + z^3} \right) & \text{if } 1 < \alpha \leq \alpha_0 \end{cases} \\ &= 1 + \frac{2(3\alpha^3 - 11\alpha^2 + 6\alpha - 1)}{3\alpha^3} z^3 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

In this case  $\alpha_0 > 1$  is the solution of  $3\alpha^3 - 11\alpha^2 + 6\alpha - 1 = 0$ .

$k \geq 6$ : In general,  $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots, k - 1$ . In (24) we set  $d_1 = \frac{-2}{m-1}$ ,  $d_2 = d_4 = \dots = d_\xi = \sigma$  where  $\xi$  equals  $m - 1$  if  $m - 1$  is *even* and  $m - 2$  otherwise, and  $d_3 = d_5 = \dots = d_\omega = 0$  where  $\omega$  equals  $m - 1$  if  $m - 1$  is *odd* and  $m - 2$  otherwise. With this we get  $\frac{\sigma}{2} = \frac{2^{m-1} \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} \left( \frac{j\alpha - 1}{j\alpha} \right)}{m \left( \binom{m-1}{2} + \binom{m-1}{4} + \dots + \binom{m-1}{\xi} \right)}$ . In this



sense  $|d_\mu| \leq 2$  for all  $\mu = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$ . Setting  $m = k-1$ , we now define  $h(z)_k$ ,  $k \geq 6$  as follows

$$h(z)_k = 1 - \frac{2}{k-2} - \frac{2^{k-2} \prod_{j=1}^{k-2} \left( \frac{j\alpha-1}{j\alpha} \right)}{(k-1) \left( \binom{k-2}{2} + \binom{k-2}{4} + \dots + \binom{k-2}{\xi} \right)} + \frac{2}{k-2} (1-z) \\ + \frac{2^{k-2} \prod_{j=1}^{k-2} \left( \frac{j\alpha-1}{j\alpha} \right)}{(k-1) \left( \binom{k-2}{2} + \binom{k-2}{4} + \dots + \binom{k-2}{\xi} \right)} \left( \frac{1+z^2}{1-z^2} \right).$$

In other words,

$$h(z)_k = 1 - \frac{2}{k-2} z + \frac{2^{k-2} \prod_{j=1}^{k-2} \left( \frac{j\alpha-1}{j\alpha} \right)}{(k-1) \left( \binom{k-2}{2} + \binom{k-2}{4} + \dots + \binom{k-2}{\xi} \right)} z^2 \\ + \frac{2^{k-2} \prod_{j=1}^{k-2} \left( \frac{j\alpha-1}{j\alpha} \right)}{(k-1) \left( \binom{k-2}{2} + \binom{k-2}{4} + \dots + \binom{k-2}{\xi} \right)} z^4 + \dots.$$

That these functions  $h(z)_k$  belong to  $P$  follows from the fact that the function  $\lambda_1 f_1 + \lambda_2 f_2 + \dots + \lambda_m f_m$  belongs to  $P$  if  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m$  belong,  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m \geq 0$  and  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_m = 1$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

REMARK 1. We compute  $h(z)_6, \dots, h(z)_{10}$  for the purpose of illustration.

$$h(z)_6 = 1 - \frac{1}{2} z + \frac{4(\alpha-1)(2\alpha-1) \dots (4\alpha-1)}{105\alpha^4} z^2 \\ + \frac{4(\alpha-1)(2\alpha-1) \dots (4\alpha-1)}{105\alpha^4} z^4 + \dots,$$

$$h(z)_7 = 1 - \frac{2}{5} z + \frac{4(\alpha-1)(2\alpha-1) \dots (5\alpha-1)}{675\alpha^5} z^2 \\ + \frac{4(\alpha-1)(2\alpha-1) \dots (5\alpha-1)}{675\alpha^5} z^4 + \dots,$$

$$h(z)_8 = 1 - \frac{1}{3} z + \frac{8(\alpha-1)(2\alpha-1) \dots (6\alpha-1)}{10765\alpha^6} z^2 \\ + \frac{8(\alpha-1)(2\alpha-1) \dots (6\alpha-1)}{10765\alpha^6} z^4 + \dots,$$

$$h(z)_9 = 1 - \frac{2}{7} z + \frac{2(\alpha-1)(2\alpha-1) \dots (7\alpha-1)}{19845\alpha^7} z^2 \\ + \frac{2(\alpha-1)(2\alpha-1) \dots (7\alpha-1)}{19845\alpha^7} z^4 + \dots,$$

$$h(z)_{10} = 1 - \frac{1}{4}z + \frac{4(\alpha - 1)(2\alpha - 1) \dots (8\alpha - 1)}{360045\alpha^8}z^2 + \frac{4(\alpha - 1)(2\alpha - 1) \dots (8\alpha - 1)}{360045\alpha^8}z^4 + \dots$$

With this work the coefficient problem of functions in the class  $T_n^\alpha(\beta)$  is settled for any  $\alpha > 1$ . Of course the case  $\alpha = 1$  is trivial as this simply gives  $|a_k| \leq \frac{2(1-\beta)}{(k+1)^n}$ ,  $k \geq 2$ , as can be seen easily from (12). Thus the problem only remains open for  $(k-3)^{-1} \leq \alpha < 1$ ,  $k \geq 5$ . Finally, we note a humble attempt at this problem made by the authors in [5]. Their results depended wholly on the triangle inequality, and were not sharp.

*Acknowledgements.* Special thanks to the Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy for providing the reference paper [3] and particularly Dr. Sirraaj Ajadi, Department of Mathematics, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria for his *being there always* during the author's postgraduate studies at Ife and Ilorin.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] BABALOLA, K. O. and OPOOLA, T. O., *Iterated integral transforms of Caratheodory functions and their applications to analytic and univalent functions*, Tamkang J. Math., **37** (4) (2006), 355–366.
- [2] BABALOLA, K. O. and OPOOLA, T. O., *On the coefficients of certain analytic and univalent functions*, Advances in Inequalities for Series, Nova Science Publishers (<http://www.novapublishers.com>) (2006), 5–17. (Edited by S. S. Dragomir and A. Sofo).
- [3] NEHARI, Z. and NETANYAHU, E., *On the coefficients of meromorphic schlicht functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **8** (1) (1957), 15–23.
- [4] OPOOLA, T. O., *On a new subclass of univalent functions*, Matematica (Cluj) **36**, 59 (2)(1994), 195–200.
- [5] OPOOLA, T. O., BABALOLA, K. O., FADIPE-JOSEPH O. A. and RAUF, K., *On the coefficient bounds of a subclass of univalent functions*, J. Nig. Math. Soc. **24** (2004), 87–92.
- [6] SINGH, R., *On Bazilevic functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **38** (1973), 261–271.

Received March 28, 2007

*Department of Mathematics*  
*University of Ilorin*  
*Ilorin, Nigeria*  
*E-mail: abuuabdilqayyum@gmail.com*