

GROUP GRADED ALGEBRAS AND THE RELATIVE FREENESS  
OF POINTED GROUPS

CAMELIA DICU

**Abstract.** The main result of Zhou [1] characterizes relative freeness of pointed groups on a  $G$ -algebra. We show here that this theorem follows from results on induced modules over group graded algebras.

**MSC 2000.** 20C20.

**Key words.**  $G$ -algebras, pointed groups, group graded algebras, Green correspondence.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be a complete discrete valuation ring with residue field  $k$  of characteristic  $p > 0$ , and  $A$  be an  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra. Recall that  $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}(A)$  is a point of  $A$  if  $\alpha$  is a conjugacy class of primitive idempotents of  $A$ . Let  $G$  be a finite group.  $A$  is a  $G$ -algebra over  $\mathcal{O}$  if there is a group homomorphism  $\varphi : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}}(A)$ . Throughout this paper,  $A$  is a  $G$ -algebra. If  $a \in A$  and  $g \in G$  we write  $a^g$  instead of  $\varphi(g^{-1})(a)$ , and for any  $H \leq G$  denote  $A^H = \{a \in A \mid a^h = a \text{ for all } h \in H\}$  the set of  $H$ -fixed elements of  $A$ . The trace map  $\text{Tr}_H^G : A^H \rightarrow A^G$  maps  $a \in A^H$  to  $\sum_{g \in [G/H]} a^{g^{-1}}$ .

1.2. A pointed group  $H_\beta$  on  $A$  is a pair  $(H, \beta)$  where  $H \leq G$  and  $\beta \in \mathcal{P}(A^H)$ . Given two pointed groups  $G_\alpha$  and  $H_\theta$  on  $A$ , we say that  $G_\alpha$  is projective relative to  $H_\theta$  if  $H \leq G$  and  $\alpha \subseteq \text{Tr}_H^G(A^H \theta A^H)$ ; further  $G_\alpha$  is free relative to  $H_\theta$  if there exist  $i \in \alpha$  and  $j \in \beta$  such that  $i = \text{Tr}_H^G(j)$  and  $jj^g = 0$  for any  $g \in G \setminus H$ . A pointed group  $P_\gamma$  is local if it is not relative projective to any pointed group of  $Q$  on  $A$  for any  $Q < P$ . The pointed group  $P_\gamma$  is a defect pointed group of the pointed group  $G_\alpha$  if  $P_\gamma \leq G_\alpha$ ,  $P_\gamma$  is local and  $G_\alpha$  is relative projective to  $P_\gamma$ .

In general, if  $j$  is an idempotent of  $A^H$ ,  $\text{Tr}_H^G(j)$  need not be an idempotent. We say that  $j$  has an orthogonal  $G/H$ -trace if for any  $g \in G \setminus H$  we have  $jj^g = 0$ . The existence of orthogonal  $G/H$ -trace is needed to define induction of divisors. The  $G$ -algebra  $A$  is called inductively complete if for any pointed group  $H_\beta$  on  $A$ , there exist  $j \in \beta$  such that  $j$  has an orthogonal  $G/H$ -trace. Denote  $\mathcal{D}(A^H)$  the set of divisors of  $A^H$ . The following results are due to Puig [4, Chapter 5].

**THEOREM 1.3.** *Assume that  $A$  is an inductively complete  $G$ -algebra. Then there exists a unique linear map*

$$\text{ind}_H^G : \mathcal{D}(A^H) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(A^G),$$

mapping  $\beta \in \mathcal{P}(A^H)$  to the divisor  $\alpha$  containing the idempotent  $\text{Tr}_H^G(j)$ , where  $j \in \beta$  satisfies  $jj^g = 0$  for any  $g \in G \setminus H$ .

**THEOREM 1.4.** *For any  $G$ -algebra  $A$ , there exist an inductively complete  $G$ -algebra  $B$  and a divisor  $\omega \in \mathcal{D}(B^G)$  such that  $A \simeq B_\omega$  (so in particular,  $A$  and  $B$  are Morita equivalent).*

1.5. This paper is a sequel of [2], and our notation is explained there. By using the bijection established in [2, Proposition 2.4] we can interpret a pointed group  $H_\beta$  on  $A$  as an isomorphism class of indecomposable  $R_H$ -direct summand of  $A$ , where  $R = A * G$  is the  $G$ -graded skew group algebra of  $A$  and  $G$ . This allows us to consider induction of pointed groups without having to pass to an inductively complete  $G$ -algebra as above.

Let  $G_\alpha$  and  $H_\beta$  be two pointed groups on  $A$ , and let  $Ai$ ,  $i \in \alpha$  and  $Aj$ ,  $j \in \theta$  be the corresponding indecomposable  $R$  and  $R_H$ -modules. We have that  $G_\alpha$  is free relative to  $H_\beta$  if and only if  $Ai \simeq R \otimes_{R_H} Aj$ . Moreover, by using the characterization of relative projectivity in [2], we see that  $P_\gamma$  is a defect pointed group of  $G_\alpha$  if and only if  $P$  is a vertex of  $Ai$  and  $Ae$  is a source of  $Ai$ , where  $e \in \gamma$ . Thus the pointed group version of the Green correspondence can be easily deduced from the version for group graded algebras (see [3, Theorem 1.4.23]).

1.6. We fix a strongly  $G$ -graded  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra  $R$ . If  $K$  and  $H$  are subgroups of  $G$ , we denote by  $[H \setminus G / K]$  a set of representatives for the double cosets of  $(H, K)$  in  $G$ , and if  $K \leq H$  and  $V$  is an  $R_K$ -module, we denote  $\text{Ind}_K^H V = R_H \otimes_{R_K} V$ .

By the above remarks, the following theorem, applied to the  $G$ -graded algebra  $A * G$ , implies [1, Theorem 1.6]. We shall give a module theoretic proof using Green's theory of vertices and sources and the Green correspondence for group graded algebras.

**THEOREM 1.7.** *Let  $R$  be a strongly  $G$ -graded algebra,  $P$  a  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  and  $H$  a subgroup of  $G$  containing  $P$ . Let  $U$  an indecomposable  $R$ -module with vertex  $P$  and  $U'$  an indecomposable  $R_H$ -module with vertex  $P$ . Let  $\bar{U} \in R_{N_G(P)}\text{-mod}$  and  $\bar{U}' \in R_{N_H(P)}\text{-mod}$  be the Green correspondents of  $U$  and  $U'$  respectively.*

*Then  $U \simeq \text{Ind}_H^G U'$  if and only if  $\bar{U} \simeq \text{Ind}_{N_H(P)}^{N_G(P)} \bar{U}'$ , and for any  $Q < P$  and any  $t \in [N_G(P) \setminus G / H]$  satisfying  $Q \leq {}^t H$ ,  $\text{Ind}_{N_{{}^t H}(Q)}^{N_G(Q)} \text{Res}_{N_{{}^t H}(Q)}^{{}^t H} {}^t U'$  has no indecomposable  $R_{N_G(Q)}$ -summand with vertex  $Q$ .*

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.7

For the proof we need two preliminary results. The first is a generalization of a theorem of Burry (see [5, Theorem 2.9]). Recall that if  $V$  is an  $R$ -module and  $V_1, \dots, V_r$  a complete set of nonisomorphic indecomposable direct summands

of  $V$  such that  $V \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^r n_i V_i$ , then  $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$  is called the multiplicity of  $V_i$  in the module  $V$ .

PROPOSITION 2.1. Let  $R$  be a strongly  $G$ -graded algebra,  $P$  a  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  and  $H$  a subgroup of  $G$  containing  $P$ . Let  $f_G$  be the Green correspondence with respect to  $(G, P, N_G(P))$ , and denote

$$I_P = \{t \in [N_G(P) \backslash G / H] \mid P \leq {}^t H\}.$$

a) If  $V$  is an  $R_H$ -module, then  $f_G$  induces a multiplicity-preserving bijection between the nonisomorphic indecomposable direct summands of  $\text{Ind}_H^G V$  with vertex  $P$  and the nonisomorphic indecomposable direct summands of  $\bigoplus_{t \in I_P} \text{Ind}_{N_{tH}(P)}^{N_G(P)} \text{Res}_{N_{tH}(P)}^{tH} {}^t V$  with vertex  $P$ .

b) If  $V$  is an indecomposable  $R_H$ -module with vertex  $P$ , then  $f_G$  induces a multiplicity-preserving bijection between the nonisomorphic indecomposable direct summand of  $\text{Ind}_H^G V$  with vertex  $P$  and the nonisomorphic indecomposable direct summands of  $\text{Ind}_{N_H(P)}^{N_G(P)} \text{Res}_{N_H(P)}^H V$  with vertex  $P$ .

*Proof.* a) Let  $V_1, \dots, V_r$  be nonisomorphic indecomposable  $R$ -modules such that  $\text{Ind}_H^G V \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^r n_i V_i$ . We may assume that for an  $s \leq r$ , all  $V_1, \dots, V_s$  have vertex  $P$ . Then for any  $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ ,  $\text{Res}_{N_G(P)}^G V_i$  has a unique indecomposable direct summand with vertex  $P$ , namely  $f_G(V_i)$ . If  $s < j \leq r$ , then  $V_j$  doesn't have vertex  $P$ , so by the Burry-Carlson theorem (see [5, Theorem 2.6(ii)]),  $\text{Res}_{N_G(P)}^G V_j$  has no indecomposable direct summand with vertex  $P$ . Then  $f_G$  induces a multiplicity-preserving bijection between the nonisomorphic indecomposable direct summands with vertex  $P$  of  $\text{Ind}_H^G V$  and  $\text{Res}_{N_G(P)}^G \text{Ind}_H^G V$ . Note that by the Mackey decomposition,

$$\text{Res}_{N_G(P)}^G \text{Ind}_H^G V \simeq \bigoplus_{x \in [N_G(P) \backslash G / H]} \text{Ind}_{xH \cap N_G(P)}^{N_G(P)} \text{Res}_{xH \cap N_G(P)}^{xH} {}^x V.$$

Thus it suffices to show that if  $M$  is an indecomposable  $R_{N_G(P)}$ -module with vertex  $P$  and  $M \mid \text{Ind}_{xH \cap N_G(P)}^{N_G(P)} \text{Res}_{xH \cap N_G(P)}^{xH} {}^x V$ , then  $P \leq {}^x H$ . But this follows from the fact that  $M$  is relatively  ${}^x H \cap N_G(P)$ -projective and  $M$  has vertex  $P$ , so  $P$  is  $N_G(P)$ -conjugate to a subgroup of  ${}^x H \cap N_G(P)$ . Therefore  $P \leq {}^x H$  and the assertion follows.

b) By a), it suffices to show that if for  $t \in I_P$ ,  $\text{Ind}_{N_{tH}(P)}^{N_G(P)} \text{Res}_{N_{tH}(P)}^{tH} {}^t V$  has an indecomposable direct summand  $M$  with vertex  $P$ , then  $t \in N_G(P)H$ . But since  $M \mid \text{Ind}_{N_{tH}(P)}^{N_G(P)} \text{Res}_{N_{tH}(P)}^{tH} {}^t V$  we may choose an indecomposable  $R_{N_{tH}(P)}$ -module  $W$  such that  $W \mid \text{Res}_{N_{tH}(P)}^{tH} {}^t V$  and  $M \mid \text{Ind}_{N_{tH}(P)}^{N_G(P)} W$ . Since  $M$  is a summand of  $\text{Ind}_{N_{tH}(P)}^{N_G(P)} W$  and  $M$  has vertex  $P$ ,  $P$  is contained in a vertex  $Q$  of  $W$ . If  $U$  is a source of  ${}^t V$ , we have that  $W \mid \text{Res}_{N_{tH}(P)}^{tH} \text{Ind}_P^{tH} U$ . By

the Mackey decomposition it is easy to deduce that  $Q$  is contained in a  ${}^tH$ -conjugate of  ${}^tP$ . Then  $P$  is  ${}^tH$ -conjugate to  ${}^tP$ , hence  $th \in N_G(P)$  for some  $h \in H$ , and the result is established.  $\square$

**PROPOSITION 2.2.** With notations of Theorem 1.7, if  $U \simeq \text{Ind}_H^G U'$  then  $\bar{U} \simeq \text{Ind}_{N_H(P)}^{N_G(P)} \bar{U}'$ .

*Proof.* Observe that  $\text{Ind}_{N_H(P)}^{N_G(P)} \bar{U}'$  is relatively  $P$ -projective since  $\bar{U}'$  has vertex  $P$ . Denote by  $M$  a source of  $\bar{U}'$ . We first prove that  $\text{Ind}_{N_H(P)}^{N_G(P)} \bar{U}'$  has no indecomposable direct summand with vertex  $Q < P$ . Suppose  $W | \text{Ind}_{N_H(P)}^{N_G(P)} \bar{U}'$  is an indecomposable direct summand which is relatively  $Q$ -projective, for some  $Q < P$ . Therefore  $W | \text{Ind}_Q^{N_G(P)} W'$  for some  $R_Q$ -module  $W'$ , so we have that  $W | \text{Ind}_P^{N_G(P)} \text{Ind}_Q^P W'$ . By the Mackey decomposition we have

$$\text{Res}_P^{N_G(P)} W | \bigoplus_{g \in [N_G(P)/P]} {}^g(\text{Ind}_Q^P W'),$$

where  ${}^g(\text{Ind}_Q^P W')$  is a relative  $Q$ -projective module. Thus any indecomposable direct summand of  $\text{Res}_P^{N_G(P)} W$  is relatively  $Q$ -projective. But this is not possible, since if  $V | \text{Res}_P^{N_G(P)} W$  is an indecomposable direct summand, then  $V | \text{Res}_P^{N_G(P)} \text{Ind}_P^{N_G(P)} M$ , hence  $V$  is isomorphic to  ${}^g M$  for some  $g \in [N_G(P)/P]$ , and therefore has vertex  $P$ .

We now apply Proposition 2.1 b) to the indecomposable  $R_H$ -module  $U'$ . It follows that  $f_G(U) = \bar{U}$  is the unique indecomposable direct summand of  $\text{Ind}_{N_H(P)}^{N_G(P)} \text{Res}_{N_H(P)}^H U'$  with vertex  $P$ . But any indecomposable summand with vertex  $P$  of  $\text{Ind}_{N_H(P)}^{N_G(P)} \bar{U}'$  is a direct summand of  $\text{Ind}_{N_H(P)}^{N_G(P)} \text{Res}_{N_H(P)}^H U'$ , then is isomorphic to  $\bar{U}$  and  $\bar{U}$  is the only direct summand of  $\text{Ind}_{N_H(P)}^{N_G(P)} \bar{U}'$  with vertex  $P$  and has multiplicity 1. This implies that  $\bar{U} \simeq \text{Ind}_{N_H(P)}^{N_G(P)} \bar{U}'$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.7.* Assume that  $U \simeq \text{Ind}_H^G U'$ . Proposition 2.2 implies that  $\bar{U} \simeq \text{Ind}_{N_H(P)}^{N_G(P)} \bar{U}'$ . For any  $Q < P$ , by Proposition 2.1 a) applied to the  $R_H$ -module  $U'$  instead of  $V$  and  $Q$  instead of  $P$ , we have that for any  $t \in I_Q$ ,  $\text{Ind}_{N_{tH}(Q)}^{N_G(Q)} \text{Res}_{N_{tH}(Q)}^{tH} {}^t U'$  has no indecomposable  $R_{N_G(Q)}$ -summand with vertex  $Q$ .

Conversely, by the Green correspondence,  $\text{Res}_{N_H(P)}^H \bar{U}$  has a unique indecomposable direct summand with vertex  $P$ , namely  $\bar{U}'$ . But  $\text{Ind}_{N_H(P)}^{N_G(P)} \bar{U}' \simeq \bar{U}$  so  $\text{Ind}_{N_H(P)}^{N_G(P)} \text{Res}_{N_H(P)}^H U'$  has a unique indecomposable direct summand with vertex  $P$ , namely  $\bar{U}$ . By Proposition 2.1 b),  $\text{Ind}_H^G U'$  has a unique indecomposable direct summand with vertex  $P$  and multiplicity 1, namely  $f_G^{-1}(\bar{U}) = U$ .

But our hypothesis and Proposition 2.1(i) imply that  $\text{Ind}_H^G U'$  has no indecomposable direct summands with vertex  $Q$ , for any  $Q < P$ . Therefore  $U \simeq \text{Ind}_H^G U'$  and the theorem is proved.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] ZHOU, Y., *Relative freeness of pointed groups*, Algebra Colloquium, **10** (2003), 445–450.
- [2] DICU, C. and MARCUS, A., *Group graded algebras and the relative projectivity of pointed groups*, to appear.
- [3] MARCUS, A., *Representation Theory of Group Graded Algebras*, Nova Science Publishers, Commack, NY, 1999
- [4] PUIG, L., *Blocks of Finite Groups: The Hyperfocal Subalgebra of a Block*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
- [5] KARPILOVSKY, G., *Induced modules over group graded algebras*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 1990.
- [6] THEVENAZ, J., *G-Algebras and Modular Representation Theory*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1995.

Received September 29, 2004

*“Babeş-Bolyai” University*  
*Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science*  
*Str. Mihail Kogălniceanu Nr. 1*  
*400084 Cluj-Napoca, Romania*  
*E-mail: cdicu@math.ubbcluj.ro*