

## TORSION IN LATTICES

by

GRIGORE G. CĂLUGĂREANU

We will work within a complete lattice  $L$ , with smallest and largest elements denoted by  $0$  resp.  $1$ .

**1. Terminology.** An element  $a$  is *essential* in  $L$  if  $a \wedge c \neq 0$  holds for every  $c \neq 0$  in  $L$ . An element  $c$  is a *pseudocomplement* of an element  $b$  in  $L$  if  $b \wedge c = 0$  and  $c$  is maximal with this property. The lattice  $L$  is *pseudocomplemented* if for every interval  $I \subseteq L$  and every  $b \in I$ , there is a pseudocomplement of  $a$  in  $I$ . For  $a \leq b$  elements in  $L$  we shall use the notation  $b/a = \{x \in L/a \leq x \leq b\}$ . A non-zero element  $a$  of  $L$  is an *atom* if  $b < a$  implies  $b = 0$ . The *socle*  $s(L)$  of  $L$  is the join of all atoms of  $L$ .

A lattice  $L$  is *noetherian/artinian* if  $L$  satisfies the ascending chain (or the maximum) condition/resp. the descending chain (or the minimum) condition/.  $L$  will be called *atomic* if for every non-zero element  $a$ , the sublattice  $a/0$  contains atoms and *strongly atomic* if for every  $a < b$ , the sublattice  $b/a$  contains atoms.

$L$  will be called *torsion* if for every  $a \neq 1$ , the sublattice  $1/a$  contains atoms.

### 2. Well-known results.

- (A) The essential elements form a filter in  $L$ .
- (B) If  $L$  is modular and compactly generated, the socle  $s(L)$  is equal to the meet of all essential elements of  $L$ .
- (C) If  $L$  is atomic the socle  $s(L)$  is essential. The filter mentioned in (A) is then principal, generated by  $s(L)$ .
- (D) If  $L$  is modular,  $c$  is a pseudocomplement of  $b$  iff  $b \wedge c = 0$  and  $b \vee c$  is essential in  $1/c$ .
- (E)  $L$  is strongly atomic iff  $b \neq 1$  implies  $1/b$  atomic.
- (F) Every artinian lattice is strongly atomic. Every strongly atomic lattice is torsion.
- (G) Every noetherian torsion lattice is artinian.

### 3. Results concerning torsion.

**PROPOSITION 1** *Every modular pseudocomplemented torsion lattice is atomic.*

*Proof.* Let  $a$  be a non-zero element in  $L$  and  $b$  be a pseudocomplement of  $a$ . According to (D),  $a \vee b$  is essential in  $1/b$  and  $a \wedge b = 0$ .

Therefore  $b \neq 1$  (otherwise  $a = 0$ ) and  $L$  being torsion,  $1/b$  contains atoms. If  $c$  is an atom in  $1/b$ ,  $(a \vee b) \wedge c \neq b$  implies  $(a \vee b) \wedge c = b$  and then  $c \in (a \vee b/b)$ . Using a well-known isomorphism theorem in modular lattices we have  $a \vee b/b \cong a/a \wedge b = a/0$ . Hence  $a \wedge c$  is atom in  $a/0$  and  $L$  is atomic.

*Remark.* Every superior continuous modular lattice is pseudocomplemented. Hence, for such lattices the following implications are valid: artinian  $\Rightarrow$  strongly atomic  $\Rightarrow$  torsion  $\Rightarrow$  atomic.

**PROPOSITION 2** *Let  $a$  be an element of a modular pseudocomplemented lattice. Then  $L$  is torsion iff both sublattices  $a/0$  and  $1/a$  are torsion.*

*Proof.*  $L$  torsion implies  $1/a$  torsion is clear. If  $b < a$  then  $a/b \subseteq 1/b$ . The last sublattice being torsion, we use prop. 1 in order to find atoms in  $a/b$ . Conversely, let  $b \neq 1$  be element in  $L$ . If  $b \wedge a = a$  then  $b \in 1/a$  and  $1/a$  being torsion  $1/b$  contains atoms. If  $b \wedge a \neq a$  then  $a/0$  torsion implies  $a/a \wedge b$  contains atoms. The rest is now done by the above mentioned isomorphism.

*Definition.* An element  $a$  of  $L$  is called *torsion* if the interval  $a/0$  is torsion. We shall denote by  $T(L)$  the set of the torsion elements of  $L$ . Trivially,  $T(L)$  contains all the atoms of  $L$  and  $0$ .

*Remark.* If  $L$  is a modular pseudocomplemented lattice we easily recover the well-known properties for a hereditary simple torsion theory.

(T1) If  $b \leq a$  and  $a \in T(L)$  then  $b \in T(L)$  and  $a/b$  is torsion.

(T2) Conversely, if  $b \in T(L)$ ,  $a \geq b$  and  $a/b$  is torsion then  $a \in T(L)$ .

(T3) If  $\{a_i\}_{i \in I} \subseteq T(L)$  then  $\bigvee_{i \in I} a_i \in T(L)$ .

As for (T3), if  $0 \leq c < \bigvee_{i \in I} a_i$ , there is a  $j \in I$  such that  $a_j \not\leq c$ .

In this case  $a_j \wedge c < a_j$  and  $a_j$  being torsion  $a_j/a_j \wedge c$  contains atoms. But then  $a_j \wedge c/c$  and hence  $\bigvee_{i \in I} a_i/c$  contains atoms.

*Definition.* The *torsion part* of a lattice  $L$ , denoted  $t(L)$  is the join of all the torsion elements of  $L$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.** *If  $L$  is a complete modular lattice then  $T(L)$  is a principal ideal, generated by  $t(L)$ .*

Easy consequence of (T3).

**PROPOSITION 4**  $s(L) \leq t(L)$  and  $s(L) = 0$  iff  $t(L) = 0$ .

Clear.

**PROPOSITION 5.** *The torsion part has the "radical" property, i.e.  $t(1/t(L)) = t(L)$ .*

*Proof.* We show that  $s(1/t(L)) = t(L)$ . Let  $b$  be an atom in  $1/t(L)$ . We shall prove that  $b \in T(L)$ , which will be a contradiction.

Let  $a$  be such that  $b > a$ . If  $a = t(L)$  then  $b$  is atom in  $b/a$  and if  $a < t(L)$ ,  $t(L)/a$  and consequently  $b/a$  contains atoms. In the remaining case,  $a \not\leq t(L)$ , we have  $t(L) < a \vee t(L) \leq b$  and hence  $a \vee t(L) = b$ . Again, using the isomorphism theorem  $b/a = a \vee t(L)/a \cong t(L)/a \wedge t(L)$  and all these intervals contain atoms.

*Remark.* Moreover, if for an element  $c$  of  $L$  we have  $t(1/c) = c$  then  $t(L) \leq c$ .

*Proof.* If there is an element  $a \in T(L)$  such that  $a \not\leq c$ , then  $a \wedge \wedge c < a$  and  $c < a \vee c$  so that  $a/a \wedge c \subseteq a/0$  and  $a \vee c/c \subseteq 1/c$  and all these intervals contain atoms. Hence  $s(1/c) \neq c$  and  $t(1/c) \neq c$ .

*Definition.* The lattice  $L$  satisfies the *restricted socle condition (RSC)* if  $b \neq 1$ ,  $b$  essential in  $L$  implies  $1/b$  contains atoms ( $s(1/b) \neq b$ ).

PROPOSITION 6 *A modular atomic lattice is torsion iff it is RSC.*

*Proof.* Only one way needs comments. We prove that if  $L$  is atomic and RSC then  $L$  is torsion. For an element  $b \neq 1$  of  $L$  only two cases are possible:  $b$  essential in  $L$  or there is a non-zero element  $a$  of  $L$  such that  $a \wedge b = 0$ . In the first case, RSC assures that  $1/b$  contains atoms and in the second one we have  $a/0 = a/a \wedge b \cong a \vee b/b$ .  $L$  being atomic all these contain atoms and so is also  $1/b$ . Hence  $L$  is torsion.

PROPOSITION 7 *If  $L$  is a compactly generated modular lattice with RSC then the following properties are equivalent:*

(i)  $s$  is essential in  $L$ ; (ii)  $s(L) \leq s$  and  $1/s$  is torsion.

*Proof.* If  $s$  is essential in  $L$  it is known from [3] that  $s(L) \leq s$ . Further if  $s \leq a < 1$  then  $a$  is essential in  $L$  and RSC implies that  $1/a$  contains atoms. Hence  $1/s$  is torsion.

Conversely, let  $s$  satisfy (ii) but not (i). There is  $a \neq 0$  such that  $s \wedge a = 0$ . We again have  $a/0 \cong s \vee a/s$  and  $1/s$  being atomic, let  $a'$  be atom in  $a/0$ . The conditions  $a' \leq a$ ,  $s(L) \leq s$  and  $a \wedge s = 0$  imply that  $a' \wedge s(L) = 0$ . But then  $a' \not\leq s(L)$ , which is a contradiction.

COROLLARY. *If  $L$  is a compactly generated modular lattice with RSC then the following properties are equivalent:*

(a)  $c$  is a pseudocomplement of  $b$  in  $L$ ; (b)  $b \vee c$  is essential in  $1/c$ ;  
(c)  $s(1/c) \leq b \vee c$  and  $1/b \vee c$  is torsion.

Consequently, if  $M$  is a left module over the ring  $R$ , and  $M$  satisfies RSC for submodules, the submodule  $C$  is a pseudocomplement of the submodule  $B$  iff  $S(M/C) \leq B \oplus C$  and  $M/B \oplus C$  is semiartinian.

*Remark.* For  $Z$ -modules, i.e. abelian groups the restricted socle condition is always true, and the conditions mentioned above (semiartinian = torsion) characterize pseudocomplements (or  $B$ -high subgroups) of abelian groups.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Călugăreanu Grigore, *Some remarks about mutual pseudocomplements in lattices.* *Mathematica*, **22** (45), 2, (1980), 237–239.
- [2] Crawley P., Dilworth R. P., *Algebraic theory of lattices*, Prentice Hall Inc., 1973.
- [3] Stenström B., *Radicals and socles of lattices*, *Arch. Math.* **20**, (1969), 258–261.
- [4] Stenström B., *Rings of Quotients*, Springer Verlag, 1975.

Received 29.11.1981.

Faculty of Mathematics  
„Babeş-Bolyai” University  
3400 Cluj-Napoca