



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Linear Algebra and its Applications

[www.elsevier.com/locate/laa](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/laa)



## Some matrix completions over integral domains



Grigore Călugăreanu

*Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Babeş-Bolyai University,  
1 Kogălniceanu Street, 400084 Cluj-Napoca, Romania*

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received 27 February 2016

Accepted 20 June 2016

Available online 23 June 2016

Submitted by P. Semrl

#### MSC:

16U60

16U99

#### Keywords:

Matrix completion

Idempotent

Nilpotent

Nil-clean

Corner

### ABSTRACT

We characterize  $3 \times 3$  nilpotent matrices which are completions of  $2 \times 2$  arbitrary matrices and  $3 \times 3$  idempotent matrices which are completions of  $2 \times 2$  arbitrary matrices over integral domains. As an application we show that a nil-clean element of a ring which belongs to a corner of the ring, may not be nil-clean in this corner.

© 2016 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Throughout the last decades, numerous results have been published in the area of the so-called Matrix Completion Problems (see [1] for a recent survey).

In this paper we discuss two such completions over arbitrary (commutative) integral domains. While nilpotents and idempotents can be easily characterized in  $\mathcal{M}_2(R)$  for any commutative ring  $R$ , it is much harder to do this in  $\mathcal{M}_3(R)$ . In this short note we characterize nilpotent  $3 \times 3$  matrices obtained by completing an arbitrary  $2 \times 2$  matrix

*E-mail address:* [calu@math.ubbcluj.ro](mailto:calu@math.ubbcluj.ro).

and idempotent  $3 \times 3$  matrices obtained by completing an arbitrary  $2 \times 2$  matrix. As an application we give a *negative example related to* a long lasting question on nil-clean rings, stated by Diesl already in his Ph.D. thesis (2006), and restated in [2]: are corners of nil-clean rings also nil-clean?

More precisely, since so far, this question turns out to be much harder to answer, one may begin by asking more generally (for a ring  $R$  and an idempotent  $e \in R$ ) how the nil-clean elements of  $eRe$  (denoted in the sequel  $NC(eRe)$ ) are related to those of  $R$  (denoted  $NC(R)$ ). If it were true that  $eRe \cap NC(R) \subseteq NC(eRe)$  (for any full idempotent  $e \in R$ ), then certainly the question above would have a “yes” answer. However, this inclusion relation does not hold in general, as our example shows.

In this section we present a method of constructing  $3 \times 3$  completions of  $2 \times 2$  matrices which are nilpotent respectively idempotent. We describe this construction for matrices over any (commutative) integral domain.

First recall the following formula (folklore): let  $A$  and  $B$  be square matrices of the same size. Then the trace

$$\text{Tr}(AB) = \sum A * B^T$$

where the RHS is obtained by adding the elements of the elementwise product ( $*$ ) of the matrices ( $B^T$  denotes the transpose).

Next note that for an arbitrary  $2 \times 2$  matrix  $M$ ,  $\text{Tr}(M^2) = \text{Tr}(M)^2 - 2 \det(M)$ .

Finally, the characteristic polynomial of a  $3 \times 3$  matrix is  $p_A(X) = \det(X.I_3 - A) = X^3 - \text{Tr}(A)X^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\text{Tr}(A)^2 - \text{Tr}(A^2))X - \det(A)$ . Hence a  $3 \times 3$  matrix  $A$  is nilpotent iff  $p_A(X) = X^3$  iff  $\det(A) = \text{Tr}(A) = \text{Tr}(A^2) = 0$  in any (commutative) integral domain.

In the sequel, for any given matrix  $U$ ,  $u_{ij}$  denotes the  $(i, j)$  entry of  $U$ .

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $R$  be a (commutative) integral domain and let  $U$  be an arbitrary matrix in  $\mathcal{M}_2(R)$ . There is a nilpotent matrix  $N \in \mathcal{M}_3(R)$  which has  $U$  as the northwest  $2 \times 2$  corner, whenever there exist elements  $a, b, x, y \in R$  such that  $ax + by = \det(U) - \text{Tr}(U)^2$  and  $bxu_{12} + ayu_{21} - axu_{22} - byu_{11} = \text{Tr}(U) \det(U)$ . Such a matrix exists if (e.g.)  $u_{12}$  or  $u_{21}$  is a unit.*

*Conversely, if  $N$  is a  $3 \times 3$  nilpotent matrix which has  $U$  as the northwest  $2 \times 2$  corner, the previous relations hold for  $a = n_{13}, b = n_{23}, x = n_{31}$  and  $y = n_{32}$ .*

**Proof.** To simplify the writing we use block multiplication. We search for  $N = \begin{bmatrix} U & \alpha \\ \beta & -t \end{bmatrix}$

where  $U = \begin{bmatrix} u_{11} & u_{12} \\ u_{21} & u_{22} \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}$  is a column,  $\beta = \begin{bmatrix} x & y \end{bmatrix}$  is a row and  $t = \text{Tr}(U) = u_{11} + u_{22}$ . Notice that already  $\text{Tr}(N) = 0$ .

Then  $N^2 = \begin{bmatrix} U^2 + \alpha\beta & U\alpha - t\alpha \\ \beta U - t\beta & \beta\alpha + t^2 \end{bmatrix}$  where  $\beta\alpha = ax + by$ . Here  $\text{Tr}(\alpha\beta) = \text{Tr}(\beta\alpha) = \beta\alpha$  and  $\text{Tr}(U^2) = \text{Tr}(U)^2 - 2\det(U)$ . Hence  $0 = \text{Tr}(N^2) = 2\text{Tr}(U)^2 - 2\det(U) + 2\beta\alpha$  implies

$$\beta\alpha = \det(U) - \text{Tr}(U)^2 \tag{1}$$

Further, we need  $\det(N) = 0 = bxu_{12} + ayu_{21} - axu_{22} - byu_{11} - t\det(U)$  that is

$$bxu_{12} + ayu_{21} - axu_{22} - byu_{11} = \text{Tr}(U)\det(U) \tag{2}$$

This way conditions (1)–(2) form the linear system of two equations with coefficients in  $R$  and with four integer unknowns, namely  $a, b, x$  and  $y$ , which is stated above. The example is obvious: denoting  $m = \det(U) - \text{Tr}(U)^2$  and  $l = \text{Tr}(U)\det(U)$ , if  $u_{12} \in U(R)$ , take  $a = 0, y = m, b = 1$  and  $x = (l + mu_{22})u_{12}^{-1}$ , respectively  $x = 0, b = m, y = 1$  and  $a = (l + mu_{22})u_{21}^{-1}$  if  $u_{21} \in U(R)$ .

The converse follows since  $\det(N) = \text{Tr}(N) = \text{Tr}(N^2) = 0$  were exactly the conditions equivalent with (1) and (2), together with  $n_{33} = -\text{Tr}(U)$ .  $\square$

**Remarks.** 1) The system has the trivial solution (i.e.  $a = b = x = y = 0$ ) iff  $\det(U) = \text{Tr}(U) = 0$ , that is iff  $U$  is nilpotent.

2) Condition (2) can be equivalently written as  $\det(U) - \det(\alpha\beta + U) = t\det(U)$ .

As for idempotent  $3 \times 3$  matrices we prove the following

**Proposition 2.** A  $3 \times 3$  matrix  $E = \begin{bmatrix} F & -\alpha \\ -\beta & t \end{bmatrix}$  where  $F$  is a  $2 \times 2$  matrix,  $\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}$

is a column,  $\beta = \begin{bmatrix} x & y \end{bmatrix}$  is a row and  $t \in R$ , is idempotent iff (3)  $F^2 + \alpha\beta = F$ ; (4)  $(F + (t - 1)I_2)\alpha = 0$ ; (5)  $\beta(F + (t - 1)I_2) = 0$  and  $\beta\alpha = t - t^2$ .

Further suppose  $U$  is a  $2 \times 2$  matrix which satisfies (1) such that  $t = \text{Tr}(U)$ . Then (6)  $\det(U) = \text{Tr}(U)$ .

**Proof.** Since by block multiplication  $E^2 = \begin{bmatrix} F^2 + \alpha\beta & -F\alpha - t\alpha \\ -\beta F - t\beta & \beta\alpha + t^2 \end{bmatrix}$ , the conditions result just by equalizing the entries of  $E^2$  and  $E$ . As for (6), since (1) is  $\beta\alpha = \det(U) - \text{Tr}(U)^2 = \det(U) - t^2$ , (6) follows using  $\beta\alpha = t - t^2$ .  $\square$

## 2. An example

In his 2006 Ph.D. thesis, Diesl stated the following question: *If  $R$  is a nil-clean ring and  $e \in R$  is a full idempotent (that is, an idempotent such that  $ReR = R$ ), is the corner ring  $eRe$  necessarily a nil-clean ring?*

Denote by  $Id(R)$  the idempotents, by  $N(R)$  the nilpotent elements and by  $NC(R) = Id(R) + N(R)$ , the set of all nil-clean elements in a ring  $R$ . If  $e \in Id(R)$  then  $Id(eRe) = (eRe) \cap Id(R)$  and  $N(eRe) = (eRe) \cap N(R)$ .

While these equalities do provide a relation between the two sets  $NC(eRe)$  and  $NC(R)$ , these are far from sufficient for answering the above question.

In what follows we show that for a general element  $a \in eRe$ ,  $a \in NC(R)$  may not imply that  $a \in NC(eRe)$ , that is,  $(eRe) \cap NC(R) \subseteq NC(eRe)$  does not hold in general (even) for full idempotents  $e \in R$ .

The example is found in  $\mathcal{M}_3(\mathbf{Z})$ , that is  $3 \times 3$  integral matrices, using the full idempotent  $e = \text{diag}(1; 1; 0) \in \mathcal{M}_3(\mathbf{Z})$ . This way we identify  $eRe$  with  $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbf{Z})$  (which corresponds to the  $2 \times 2$  north-west “corner” of  $\mathcal{M}_3(\mathbf{Z})$ ). Thus, we are looking for a  $2 \times 2$  integral matrix  $A$ , which is not nil-clean in  $eRe$ . As an element of  $eRe$ ,  $A$  is identified with the  $3 \times 3$  matrix  $\text{diag}(A; 0) \in \mathcal{M}_3(\mathbf{Z})$ , matrix which should be nil-clean in  $\mathcal{M}_3(\mathbf{Z})$ .

**The example.** Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . It is easy to see that nil-clean integral matrices have trace 0, 1 or 2, depending on the idempotent which appears in their decomposition (nilpotent matrices have zero trace). The trace is = 1 if the idempotent is not trivial, it is = 0 if the idempotent is  $0_2$  and it is = 2 *only* if the idempotent is  $I_2$ . Now, since  $\text{Tr}(A) = 2$ , this matrix would be nil-clean only if  $A - I_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$  is nilpotent, which fails since  $\det(A - I_2) = -2$ . Hence  $A$  is *not* nil-clean.

However

$$\text{diag}(A; 0) = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = E + N = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -2 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

is a nil-clean decomposition with  $E^2 = E$  and  $N^3 = 0_3$ .

### 3. How this example was found

As mentioned in the previous section, we are looking for a  $2 \times 2$  (integral) matrix  $A$ , which is not nil-clean such that  $\text{diag}(A; 0)$  is nil-clean.

According to the completion results obtained in Section 2, for a  $2 \times 2$  (integral) matrix  $A$ ,  $\text{diag}(A; 0)$  is nil-clean iff  $A = F + U$  with two matrices  $U, F \in \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbf{Z})$ , a  $2 \times 1$  column  $\alpha$  and a  $1 \times 2$  row  $\beta$  such that

- (1)  $\beta\alpha = ax + by = t - t^2$ ,
- (2)  $bxu_{12} + ayu_{21} - axu_{22} - byu_{11} = t^2$ ,
- (3)  $F^2 + \alpha\beta = F$ ,
- (4)  $(F + (t - 1)I_2)\alpha = 0$ ,

- (5)  $\beta(F + (t - 1)I_2) = 0,$
- (6)  $t = \det(U) = \text{Tr}(U).$

Since both  $(F + (t - 1)I_2)\alpha = 0$  and  $\beta(F + (t - 1)I_2) = 0,$  are homogeneous linear systems in  $(a, b)$  respectively  $(x, y),$  if  $\det(F + (t - 1)I_2) = \det(F) + (t - 1)\text{Tr}(F) + (t - 1)^2 \neq 0$  then  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are zero column respectively row. In this case, by Remark 1,  $U$  is nilpotent and (by (3))  $F$  idempotent and so the sum  $A = F + U$  is nil-clean.

Thus, in the sequel we assume

$$(7) \det(F) + (t - 1)\text{Tr}(F) + (t - 1)^2 = 0.$$

We start by inspecting the equation (3). Since  $\alpha\beta = \begin{bmatrix} ax & ay \\ bx & by \end{bmatrix},$  necessary conditions for equation (3)  $F^2 + \alpha\beta = F$  are: (i)  $F^2 - F$  has equal products of entries on diagonals, and (ii)  $\text{Tr}(F^2 - F) = -\text{Tr}(\alpha\beta) = -\beta\alpha = t^2 - t.$

By computation, we find

- (i)  $\det(F)[\det(F) - \text{Tr}(F) + 1] = 0,$  i.e.,  $\det(F) = 0$  or  $\det(F) = \text{Tr}(F) - 1,$  and
- (ii)  $\text{Tr}(F)^2 - 2\det(F) - \text{Tr}(F) = t^2 - t.$

We distinguish two cases:  $\det(F) = 0$  or  $\det(F) \neq 0.$

In the first case we show that either (integral) matrices  $F, U$  cannot be constructed, or else  $A = F + U$  is nil-clean.

The construction of the example given in the previous section will follow from subcase (b) of Case 2.

**Case 1.** If  $\det(F) = 0,$  then (from (ii))  $\text{Tr}(F) \in \{t, 1 - t\}.$  By (7) we obtain  $(t - 1)[\text{Tr}(F) + t - 1] = 0$  and so  $t = 1$  or  $\text{Tr}(F) = 1 - t.$

If  $t = 1,$  then by (ii),  $\text{Tr}(F) \in \{0, 1\}.$

*First we discard the case  $\det(F) = 0$  and  $\text{Tr}(F) = 1.$*

Cayley–Hamilton theorem shows that  $F$  is idempotent. It is not hard to show that (4) and (5) combined with (6), contradict (2).

*Next, if  $\det(F) = \text{Tr}(F) = 0$  and  $\det(U) = \text{Tr}(U) = 1$  then  $\text{Tr}(F) = 1 - \text{Tr}(U)$  and this case may be included in the next case.*

*Finally if  $\det(F) = 0$  and  $\text{Tr}(F) = 1 - t,$  notice that  $\text{Tr}(A) = \text{Tr}(F + U) = 1 - t + t = 1$  so that Cayley–Hamilton theorem gives  $A^2 - A + \det(A).I_2 = 0_2.$  Since the case  $t = 0$  was already covered in Remark 1, for  $t \neq 0$  we show that  $\det(A) = 0$  and so  $A$  is idempotent (and so nil-clean).*

Cayley–Hamilton theorem for  $F$  gives  $F^2 = (1-t)F$  and then (3) gives  $\alpha\beta = tF$ . (Notice that this implies (1):  $\beta\alpha = \text{Tr}(\beta\alpha) = \text{Tr}(\alpha\beta) = t\text{Tr}(F) = t(1-t) = t-t^2$ ). Finally

$$\begin{aligned}\det(A) &= \det(F+U) = \det(F) + \det(U) + f_{11}u_{22} + f_{22}u_{11} - f_{12}u_{21} - f_{21}u_{12} \\ &= 0 + t - t = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Indeed, since  $\alpha\beta = \begin{bmatrix} ax & ay \\ bx & by \end{bmatrix} = tF$ , we have  $ax = tf_{11}$ ,  $ay = tf_{12}$ ,  $bx = tf_{21}$  and  $by = tf_{22}$ . Replacement in (2) gives  $t(f_{11}u_{22} + f_{22}u_{11} - f_{12}u_{21} - f_{21}u_{12}) = t^2$  and so (here  $t \neq 0$ )  $f_{11}u_{22} + f_{22}u_{11} - f_{12}u_{21} - f_{21}u_{12} = t$ , as claimed.

**Case 2.** If  $\det F \neq 0$  then (by (i)),  $\det(F) = \text{Tr}(F) - 1$ , and replacing in (ii),  $\text{Tr}(F)^2 - 3\text{Tr}(F) + 2 = t^2 - t$ , a degree two equation in  $\text{Tr}(F)$ . Here  $\Delta = (2t-1)^2$ , so  $\text{Tr}(F) \in \{t+1, 2-t\}$  and accordingly  $\det(F) = \{t, 1-t\}$ .

(a)  $\text{Tr}(F) = t+1$ ,  $\det(F) = t$ . Replacing in (7), we get  $2t^2 - t = 0$  with only  $t = 0$  integer solution, that is  $\text{Tr}(F) = 1$  and  $\det(F) = 0$ . From Cayley–Hamilton theorem  $F^2 - F = 0_2$  and so  $F$  is idempotent. Since by (6),  $\det(U) = \text{Tr}(U) = 0$ , by Remark 1,  $U$  is nilpotent and so  $A = F + U$  is nil-clean.

(b)  $\text{Tr}(F) = 2-t$ ,  $\det(F) = 1-t$  (with  $t \neq 1$ ). Now (7) holds for every  $t \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

Cayley–Hamilton theorem for  $F$  gives  $F^2 - (2-t)F + (1-t)I_2 = 0_2$ , or  $F^2 - F = (1-t)(F - I_2) = -\alpha\beta$ . By (1),  $\beta\alpha = t(1-t)$ , and we get  $(1-t)^2(F - I_2)^2 = \alpha\beta\alpha\beta = t(1-t)\alpha\beta$ .

Summarizing, an example of a  $2 \times 2$  (integral) matrix  $A$ , which is not nil-clean such that  $\text{diag}(A; 0)$  is nil-clean, must necessarily satisfy the conditions in this last subcase. Since  $t \in \mathbf{Z}$ , it is reasonable to check some small (positive) values for  $t$ .

To keep this exposition short, one can check that the matrices  $A$  obtained for  $t = 0$  are also nil-clean and so (since  $t \neq 1$ ) the next case to investigate is  $t = 2$ . The matrix  $U$  was chosen not to be nilpotent nor a unit (otherwise we obtain again nil-clean  $A$ ), and for  $U = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , (1) and (2) give a linear system to be solved in  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  (only integer solutions are suitable). Finally, from the relations above  $F^2 - F = -(F - I_2) = -\alpha\beta$ , we obtain  $F = I_2 + \alpha\beta$ , and this gives our desired example.

## References

- [1] G. Carvo, Matrix completion problems, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 430 (2009) 2511–2540.
- [2] A.J. Diesl, Nil clean rings, *J. Algebra* 383 (2013) 197–211.