

ABELIAN GROUPS WITH PSEUDOCOMPLEMENTED LATTICE OF SUBGROUPS

GRIGORE G. CĂLUGĂREANU*

Received: October 13, 1986

ABSTRACT. — In this paper we prove that for the lattice of the subgroups of an abelian group pseudocomplementation and distributivity are equivalent. We also characterize abelian groups which have a Stone lattice or a Heyting algebra of subgroups.

Let L be a lattice with zero and $0 \neq a \in L$. If $C_a = \{x \in L \mid a \wedge x = 0\}$, the greatest element of C_a (if it exists) is called the pseudocomplement of a in L . (Note that the "pseudocomplement" is differently used for an unspecified maximal element of C_a). If every element in L has a pseudocomplement, L is called a pseudocomplemented lattice.

We first recall the following known facts:

- (A) Every distributive compactly generated lattice is pseudocomplemented.
 (B) If A is an abelian group, the lattice $L(A)$ of all the subgroups of A is compactly generated.

1. LEMMA. Let P be an inductive poset. The following conditions are equivalent: (i) P has a unique maximal element; (ii) P has a greatest element. Indeed, if m is the unique maximal element of P and $a \in P$ then $P_a = \{x \in P \mid a \leq x\}$ is inductive and has (by Zorn's lemma) maximal elements which are also maximal in P . So $a \leq m$. The converse is obvious.

2. COROLLARY. Let L be an upper continuous lattice. The following conditions are equivalent: (i) C_a has a unique maximal element; (ii) C_a has a greatest element.

Indeed, in an upper continuous lattice, C_a is inductive.

The key result for our paper, from [5] is the following:

- (C) Let $B \neq 0$ be a subgroup of an abelian group A . There is a unique B -high subgroup if and only if A/B is a torsion group and for each prime p either $B[p] = A[p]$ or $B[p] = 0$ holds.

3. COROLLARY. Let $B \neq 0$ be a subgroup of an abelian group A . The following conditions are equivalent: (i) B has a pseudocomplement in $L(A)$; (ii) there is only one B -high subgroup in A ; (iii) A/B is a torsion group and for every prime p either $B[p] = A[p]$ or $B[p] = 0$ holds.

4. PROPOSITION. For an abelian group A the following conditions are equivalent: (a) every nontrivial quotient group of A is a torsion group; (b) A is either a torsion group or a torsion-free group of rank 1.

* University of Cluj-Napoca, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 3400 Cluj-Napoca, Romania

Proof. Clearly no mixed group has the property (a): if $0 \neq T(A) \neq A$ then $A/T(A)$ is torsion-free. Obviously every torsion group has the property (a). Now, if A is torsion-free of rank $r_0(A) \geq 2$ and $0 \neq a \in A$ then $r_0(A/\langle a \rangle) \geq 1$ so that $A/\langle a \rangle$ is not torsion. Finally, if A is torsion-free of rank 1, A has the property (a), as every rational group has it.

5. PROPOSITION. *For a torsion group A the following conditions are equivalent: (c) for each subgroup B of A and each p prime either $B[p] = A[p]$ or $B[p] = 0$ holds; (d) A is a direct sum of cocyclic groups corresponding to different primes.*

Proof. We can obviously reduce our problem to p -groups. But $B[p] = 0$ holds if and only if $B = 0$ so that only the case $B[p] = A[p]$ needs care. If A is a p -group such that $B[p] = A[p]$ holds for each subgroup $B \neq 0$ of A then $A[p] = S(A)$ (the socle) is contained in every nonzero subgroup B of A . In this case, having a smallest nonzero subgroup, A is cocyclic. The converse is obvious.

6. COROLLARY. *If A is an abelian group, the lattice $L(A)$ is pseudocomplemented if and only if A is either a direct sum of cocyclic groups corresponding to different primes or a torsion-free group of rank 1.*

Proof. Using 3, 4 and 5 we only need to observe that (c) is trivially true for torsion-free groups.

7. THEOREM. *For an abelian group A the following conditions are equivalent: (i) $L(A)$ is a distributive lattice; (ii) $L(A)$ is a pseudocomplemented lattice; (iii) A is a locally cyclic group; (iv) $r_0(A) + \max_p r_p(A) \leq 1$; (v) A is either a direct sum of cocyclic groups corresponding to different primes or a torsion-free group of rank 1.*

Proof. One can use [3, p 86, ex. 5] and [2, p 301, T. 78.2]. The rest is done by the previous corollary.

A pseudocomplemented distributive lattice is called a Stone lattice if $a^* \vee a^{**} = 1$, where a^* denotes the pseudocomplement of a in L . If B is a subgroup of A such that A/B is a torsion group, let π be the set of all the primes such that $B[p] = 0$ holds and $B[p] = A[p]$ holds for $p \notin \pi$. Using proposition 2 and 3 from [5] we have $B^* = \bigoplus_{p \in \pi} (T(A))_p$ and $B^{**} = \bigoplus_{p \notin \pi} (T(A))_p$ so that $B^* + B^{**} = T(A)$. Hence only the torsion groups from 7 have Stone lattices of subgroups:

8. PROPOSITION. *For an abelian group A the following conditions are equivalent: (i) $L(A)$ is a Stone lattice; (ii) A is a direct sum of cocyclic groups corresponding to different primes.*

A lattice with zero is called a Heyting algebra (or a relative pseudocomplemented lattice) if for every $a, b \in L$ the subset $\{x \in L/a \wedge x \leq b\}$ has a greatest element denoted $a * b$.

We finally mention the following characterization [1]: (D) A bounded lattice L is a Heyting algebra if and only if L is distributive and for each $b \in L$ the sublattice $1/b = \{x \in L/b \leq x\}$ is pseudocomplemented.

The pseudocomplementation and the distributivity of the lattice of all the subgroups of an abelian group being equivalent it immediately follows that

$L(A)$ is a Heyting algebra if and only if $L(A)$ is distributive (any sublattice of a distributive lattice is distributive too).

Remark. The characterization of the class of all abelian groups which have the lattice $L(A)$ a Boole algebra is an easy consequence of 8 (cf. 2, p. 302, Cor. 78.5).

REFERENCES

1. Balbes R., Dwinger P., *Distributive Lattices*, Univ. Missouri Press, Columbia, Miss. 1974.
2. Fuchs L., *Abelian Groups*, Publishing House of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest, 1958.
3. Fuchs L., *Infinite Abelian Groups*, vol. 1, Academic Press 1970.
4. Grätzer G., *General Lattice Theory*, Akademie Verlag, Berlin, 1978.
5. Krivonos F. V., *On N-high subgroups of abelian groups*, Vestnik Mosk. Univ., no. 1, 1975, p. 58-64.

1. Introduction. In the newtonian theory of stellar structure, the class of stellar models with the distribution of the density as a power law, having the form

$$\rho = \rho_0 (1 - \nu^2/R^2)^{-\alpha}, \quad \alpha \geq 0, \quad (1)$$

where the notations are the usual ones, was introduced by Hasegawa and Kasamov (1972). They named these models stephens of pseudo-polypops.

The relativistic stellar models with the distribution of the mass-energy density having the form (1) were firstly studied in our papers (Ureche, 1983a, 1983b). These models have been named relativistic stephens.

2. Main Properties of Relativistic Stephens. If we introduce the non-dimensional variables (see Ureche, 1980a), the distribution of the density (1) takes the form

$$\psi = (1 - \nu^2/R^2)^{-\alpha}, \quad \alpha \geq 0, \quad (2)$$

where ν is the non-dimensional radius of the star. With the change of variable $\nu = \nu(x)$ and using the non-dimensional form of the equations of relativistic stellar equilibrium from the last cited paper, we obtain the main properties of the relativistic stephens, namely:

The non-dimensional mass-distribution is given by

$$m(\nu) = \frac{\nu^2}{(x+1)(x+2)} \lambda(\nu), \quad (3)$$

where

$$\lambda(\nu) = (1 - \nu^2/R^2)^{-\alpha} [x + 1 + 2\nu^2 + 2(x+1)\nu + 2], \quad (4)$$

the total mass of the relativistic stellar configuration having the expression

$$M \equiv m(1) = \frac{2x^2}{(x+1)(x+2)} \quad (5)$$

* University of Cluj-Napoca, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 3400 Cluj-Napoca, Romania