Self-c-Injective Abelian Groups (*).

SIMION BREAZ (**) - GRIGORE CĂLUGĂREANU (***)

ABSTRACT - Using suitable bibliography, torsion self-c-injective Abelian groups can be characterized, and torsion free self-c-injective Abelian groups turn out to coincide with a widely studied class: the quasi-pure-injective groups. In this paper the mixed case is dealt with: we prove that an honest mixed Abelian group is self-c-injective if and only if it is a direct sum of a divisible group, a quasi-pure-injective torsion free group, and a fully invariant subdirect product of a rigid family of reduced quasi-injective primary groups.

1. Introduction and background.

Recently (2000 and 2004), modules which are self-injective relative to closed submodules were considered by C. Santa-Clara and P.F. Smith (see [13] and [14]) and some Abelian group examples given. The aim of this paper is to determine, up to the infinite rank torsion-free case, the self-c-injective Abelian groups. All the groups we consider in the sequel are Abelian groups. If A is such a group, T(A) denotes the torsion part of A, $T_p(A)$ is the p-component of A, p(A) is the set of all primes p such that $T_p(A) \neq 0$, and d(A) is the set of all primes p such that p denotes the set of all prime numbers, which we usually denote by p or p0. A subgroup p1 if it has no proper essential extensions in p2. All unexplained notions and notations can be found in [6] and [5].

Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: 20K40, 16D50

^(*) This work was supported by the Kuwait University Research Administration Grant SM No. $01/04\,$

^(**) Indirizzo dell'A.: "Babeş-Bolyai" University, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Str. Mihail Kogălniceanu 1, 400084 Cluj-Napoca, Romania; e-mail address: bodo@math.ubbcluj.ro

^(***) Department of Mathematics and Computer Science Faculty of Science, Kuwait University, Safat 13060 Kuwait; e-mail addres: calu@sci.kuniv.edu.kw

If $\mathcal P$ is a property the submodules of an R-module N may have (e.g., closed, pure, essential, uniform), a module M is called N- $\mathcal P$ -injective if every module homomorphism $f:K\to M$ from a submodule K of N having property $\mathcal P$ can be extended to an endomorphism of M (i.e., there exists a homomorphism $\overline f:N\to M$ such that $\overline f|_K=f$). If M=N then M is called quasi- $\mathcal P$ -injective (or self- $\mathcal P$ -injective). Therefore

- for $\mathcal{P} \equiv$ closed submodule, *N-c-injective* and *self-c-injective* modules (considered in the above mentioned papers) are defined,
- for $\mathcal{P} \equiv$ pure submodule, *N-pure-injective* and *quasi-pure-injective* modules are defined,
- for P ≡ any submodule, N-injective and quasi-injective (or self-injective) modules are defined.

Similar notions, defined merely for Abelian groups, were intensively studied in the late 70's. In what follows, we recall some of these, since this will be useful in the sequel:

- for $\mathcal{P} \equiv p$ -pure subgroup, the *quasi-p-pure-injective* groups are defined,
- for $\mathcal{P} \equiv$ neat subgroup, the *quasi-neat-injective* groups are defined,
- for $\mathcal{P} \equiv p$ -neat subgroup, the *quasi-p-neat-injective* groups are defined.

Here, for a given prime p, a subgroup H of a group G is p-neat if $pH = H \cap pG$, p-pure if $p^nH = H \cap p^nG$ holds for every positive integer n and is neat (pure) if it is p-neat (respectively p-pure) for all prime numbers p.

As it is well-known, the closed subgroups (\mathbb{Z} -submodules) are exactly the neat subgroups ([12] and [11]). Thus, an alternate denomination for self-c-injective Abelian groups (in the spirit of the late 70's) is *quasi-neat-injective*.

We recall some results which are often used without explicit mention.

Lemma 1.1. If H is a neat fully invariant subgroup of a self-c-injective group G then H is self-c-injective.

LEMMA 1.2. [13, Lemma 2.5]. Let G be a group and let A_i , $i \in I$, be a family of groups. Then $\prod_{i \in I} A_i$ is G-c-injective if and only if each A_i is G-c-injective.

Lemma 1.3. [13, Corollary 2.6]. A direct summand of a self-c-injective group is a self-c-injective group.

Torsion self-c-injective groups. By standard arguments, in the torsion case we can reduce our problem to p-groups. Indeed, it is readily checked that if $G = \bigoplus_p G_p$ is the primary decomposition of a torsion group, then G is self-c-injective if and only if G_p are self-c-injective for all primes p. Note that for the proof of this result, the fact that for every subgroup H of G_q we have $\operatorname{Hom}(H, \bigoplus_{p \neq q} G_p) = 0$ is essential, that is, the fully invariant property of all the primary components alone is not sufficient in order to complete a proof. Actually, as a consequence of Theorem 2.2 we can see that the group $\mathbb{Z}^p \oplus (\mathbb{Z}(p^2) \oplus \mathbb{Z}(p))$ is not self-c-injective but it is a direct sum of two fully invariant subgroups which are self-c-injective (here $\mathbb{Z}^p = \{m/p^k \mid m, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$).

Obviously, a subgroup of a p-group is p-neat if and only if it is neat. Hence a p-group is quasi-p-neat-injective if and only if it is quasi-neat-injective. As quasi-neat injective \equiv self-c-injective, a p-group is self-c-injective if and only if it is quasi-p-neat-injective.

In [4], the torsion quasi-p-neat injective groups were characterized:

THEOREM 1.4. A torsion group G is quasi-p-neat-injective if and only if G_p is the direct sum of two quasi-injective groups and G_q is quasi-injective for every prime $q \neq p$.

In order to have the whole picture, also recall (see [10] and [7]):

Theorem 1.5. The following conditions are equivalent for a group G:

- i) G is quasi-injective;
- $ii) \ G \ is \ a \ fully \ invariant \ subgroup \ of \ a \ divisible \ (injective) \ group;$
- iii) G is either injective or a torsion group whose p-components are direct sums of isomorphic cocyclic groups.

Therefore, the quasi-injective p-groups are the homogeneous p-groups, i.e. direct sums of isomorphic cocyclic p-groups ($\mathbb{Z}(p^k)$) with $1 \le k \le \infty$), and a self-c-injective p-group is a direct sum of at most two homogeneous p-groups. We can state a complete characterization for torsion self-c-injective groups.

Theorem 1.6. A torsion group is self-c-injective if and only if every primary p -component is a direct sum of at most two homogeneous p-groups.

From Lemma 1.1 and the previous theorem we obtain

COROLLARY 1.7. If G is a self-c-injective group then T(G) is a self-c-injective group. Consequently, every p-component of G is a direct summand of G.

Torsion free self-c-injective groups. For torsion-free groups, neatness and purity are equivalent. Hence, the self-c-injective torsion free groups are exactly the quasi-pure-injective torsion free groups.

This class was intensively studied in the last 30 years (though a complete characterization does not exist): rank two self-c-injective torsion-free groups were characterized in [1], completely decomposable self-c-injective groups were characterized in [3] and finally, finite rank self-c-injective torsion free groups were characterized in [2]. Generalizations of this notion were studied in [15], [8], and [9].

2. Mixed self-c-injective Abelian groups.

According to [6, Exercise 31.17] or [12, Section I.6] every subgroup of a group can be embedded in a neat subgroup which is minimal with respect to this property. For reader's convenience we construct such a subgroup in a particular case.

LEMMA 2.1. Let G be a group and p a prime number. If $x \in G$ is an element of infinite order such that $h_p(x) = 0$ then there exists a neat subgroup [x] of G such that $x \in [x]$, and [x] is a rank 1 torsion free group which is not p-divisible.

PROOF. Let D be the divisible hull of G. We consider a direct decomposition $D=T(D)\oplus K$ such that $x\in K$. If $U=\{y\in K\mid\exists\ n\in\mathbb{Z},\ (n,p)=1:nk\in\langle x\rangle\}\cap G$, we prove that U is a neat subgroup of G. If $z\in G$ is such that $pz\in U$, writing $z=t\oplus k$ with $t\in T(D)$ and $k\in K$, we observe that pt=0 and hence $t\in G$. Therefore we can suppose $z\in K\cap G$ and there exist $m,n\in\mathbb{Z}$ such that (n,p)=1 and npz=mx. Since $h_p(x)=0$, p divides m. We obtain in K the equality npz=m'px which yields $nz=m'x\in\langle x\rangle$ and so $z\in U$. Hence U is p-neat in G. If $g\neq p$ is a prime and $g\in U$ ($g\in G$) a similar proof allows us to suppose $g\in K\cap G$. In this context using the definition of $g\in U$ we obtain $g\in U$. It is not difficult to observe that $g\in U$ verifies the required conditions. \square

Recall that a group is a *honest mixed group* if it is neither torsion nor torsion free, and it is *torsion reduced* if its torsion part is reduced.

THEOREM 2.2. Let G be a torsion reduced honest mixed group which is self-c-injective. Then

- a) If p is a prime such that $T_p(G) \neq 0$ then $G/T_p(G)$ is p-divisible.
- b) For every prime p the p-component $T_p(G)$ is a quasi-injective group.
- c) There exists a direct decomposition $G = H \oplus K$ where H is a torsion-free group which is p-divisible for all $p \in p(G)$ and K is a subdirect product of $\prod_p T_p(G)$.

PROOF. a) Since every p-component of G is a bounded direct summand, it suffices to prove that if H is not torsion, H is not p-divisible and $T_p(H)=0$, then for every integer n>0 the group $G'=\mathbb{Z}(p^n)\oplus H$ is not self-c-injective. Since H is not p-divisible, there exists $h\in H$ an element of infinite order such that $h_p(h)=0$. If c be a generator for $\mathbb{Z}(p^n)$ then $x=c+p^nh$ is of infinite order. Since $T_p(H)=0$ we have $h_p(p^nh)=n$. In what follows we use the neat subgroup $[x]\leq G'$.

Let $\pi:G'\to H$ be the canonical projection. We consider an element $u\in [x]$. Then there exist $q,r\in \mathbb{Z}$, (r,p)=1 such that ru=qx. Thus $r\pi(u)=q\pi(x)=qp^nh$ and, since r is coprime with p, we obtain $\pi(u)\in p^nH$. Moreover, since $T_p(H)=0$, for each $u\in U$, there exists only one element $y_u\in H$ such that $p^n(y_u)=\pi(u)$, and the correspondence $u\mapsto y_u$ defines a homomorphism $a:[x]\to H$. Note that a(x)=h.

If G' were self-c-injective there would exist $\beta: G' \to H$ which extends a. This leads to a contradiction since $2n \le h_p(\beta(p^{2n}h)) = h_p(a(p^nx)) = h_p(p^nh) = n$.

- b) Assume that for a prime p the p-component $T_p(G) \neq 0$ is not quasi-injective. Then we can find a direct summand of G isomorphic to a group of the form $L = \mathbb{Z}(p^m) \oplus \mathbb{Z}(p^n) \oplus H$ with $0 < m < n < \infty$, and H a non-torsion group with $T_p(H) = 0$. This group is self-c-injective as a direct summand of a self-c-injective group, hence a) shows that H is p-divisible. Therefore, every equation $p^k y = h \in H$ has a unique solution in H, denoted $p^{-k}h$. We consider an element $x = a + p^{n-m}b + c$ where a is a generator for $\mathbb{Z}(p^m)$, b is a generator for $\mathbb{Z}(p^n)$, $c \in H$ an infinite order element and the neat subgroup [x]. Then $[x]/p^n[x] \cong \mathbb{Z}(p^n)$ is generated by the coset of x and there exists a homomorphism $a:[x] \to L$ such that a(x) = b. Since L is self-c-injective there exists $\beta:L \to L$ extending a. If $\beta(p^{m-n}c) = ka + lb + d$, where k,l are integers, and $d \in H$ then $0 \neq p^m b = a(p^m c) = \beta(p^m c) = p^n d$, a contradiction since $p^n d$ is of finite order if and only if d = 0.
 - c) For each prime p we consider a direct decomposition $G=T_p(G)\oplus$

 \oplus G(p) with the canonical projection $\pi_p:G\to T_p(G)$ (note that the decomposition is unique as a consequence of a)), and we view π_p as endomorphisms of G; actually these are central endomorphisms. The family of all π_p induces a homomorphism $\psi:G\to\prod_p T_p(G), \psi(g)=(\pi_p(g))_p$. Denoting by $H=\ker(\psi)=\bigcap_p G(p)$, we claim that H is a pure subgroup of G. To prove this, we consider $q\in p(G),\ n>0$ an integer and $g\in G$ such that $q^ng=h\in H$. We write $g=g_q+g(q)$ with $g_q\in T_q(G)$ and $g(q)\in G(q)$. Using $h\in G(q)$ we obtain $q^ng_q=0$, hence we can suppose $g\in G(q)$. Taking another prime $p\neq q$ and the decomposition $g=g_p+g(p)$ with $g_p\in T_p(G)$ and $g(p)\in G(p)$, since $h=q^ng=q^ng_p+q^ng(p)\in G(p)$, we obtain $g_p=0$ and so $g\in G_p$. Therefore $g\in H$ and H is q-pure for all $q\in p(G)$. Similarly one can see that H is q-pure for all $q\notin p(G)$, hence H is a pure subgroup of G.

Then $H+T(G)=H\oplus T(G)$ is a pure subgroup of G (see [6, Exercise 26.5]). Since G is self-c-injective, it follows that there exists an endomorphism $\varphi:G\to G$ which extends the homomorphism $1_H\oplus 0:H\oplus T(G)\to H\oplus T(G)$. Moreover, since $\varphi(H)\subseteq H$, we can consider the endomorphism $\overline{\varphi}:G/H\to G/H, \overline{\varphi}(g+H)=\varphi(g)+H$. Then $\overline{\varphi}$ induces the endomorphism φ^* of $\psi(G)$ by $\varphi^*((\pi_p(g))_p)=(\pi_p(\varphi(g)))_p=(\varphi(\pi_p(g)))_p=0$ for all $g\in G$. Therefore $\overline{\varphi}=0$, hence $\varphi(G)\subseteq H$, and this shows that φ is an idempotent endomorphism of G. Then $H=\varphi(G)$ is a direct summand, and $G\cong H\oplus \psi(G)$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $G = A \oplus B$ be a group such that:

(1) for every neat subgroup $K \leq G$,

$$K \cap B \subseteq \operatorname{rad}_K(A) = \bigcap \{ \operatorname{Ker}(f) \mid f : K \to A \},$$

and

(2) A is injective relative to the exact sequence $0 \to \pi_A(K) \to A$ (here $\pi_A : G \to A$ denotes the canonical projection).

Then A is G-c-injective.

PROOF. Let K be a neat subgroup of G, and $f:K\to A$ a homomorphism. We denote by $\rho:K\to K/K\cap B$ the canonical epimorphism. Since $K\cap B\subseteq \operatorname{rad}_K(A)$ we have $K\cap B\subseteq \operatorname{Ker}(f)$, and so there exists a homomorphism $f^\star:K/K\cap B\to A$ such that $f=f^\star\rho$. Moreover, there exists $\pi^\star:K/K\cap B\to A$ such that $\pi_{A_{|K}}=\pi^\star\rho$. It is not difficult to prove that π^\star is a monomorphism, and $\pi^\star(K/K\cap B)=\pi_A(K)$. Then by (2) there exists $f_1:A\to A$ such that $f^\star=f_1\pi^\star$. It follows that $f=f^\star\rho=f_1\pi^\star\rho=f_1\pi_{A_{|K}}$. We view the canonical projections $\pi_A:G\to A$ and $\pi_B:G\to B$ as endomorph-

isms of G. If $\overline{f}: G \to A$ is defined by $\overline{f}\pi_A = f_1$, and $\overline{f}\pi_B = 0$ we obtain $\overline{f}_{|K} = \overline{f}(\pi_A + \pi_B)_{|K} = \overline{f}\pi_{A_{|K}} = f_1\pi_{A_{|K}} = f$, hence A is G-c-injective. \square

REMARK 2.4. Generally $\pi_A(K)$ is not a neat subgroup. For example, if $A=\mathbb{Z}$ and $B=\mathbb{Z}(p)$ then $K=\langle (p,\widehat{1})\rangle$ is a neat subgroup in $G=A\oplus B$, but $\pi_A(K)=p\mathbb{Z}$ is not a neat subgroup in $A=\mathbb{Z}$.

COROLLARY 2.5. Let $G = A \oplus B$ be a group such that for every neat subgroup $K \leq G$, $K \cap B \subseteq \operatorname{rad}_K(A)$. If

- a) A is quasi-injective, or
- b) A is a self-c-injective torsion free group and $d(A) \cup d(B) = \mathbb{P}$,

then A is G-c-injective.

PROOF. a) is obvious, so we only prove that b) is a consequence of the previous lemma. Let p be a prime such that B is p-divisible. If K is a neat subgroup of G and $a \in A$ is such that $pa \in \pi_A(K)$ then there exist $k \in K$ and $b \in B$ such that k = pa + b. Since B is p-divisible, p divides k, and there exists $k' \in K$ such that pk' = k. Then there exists $a' \in \pi_A(K)$ such that pa = pa'. Therefore $\pi_A(K)$ is p-pure in A (A is torsion free) for all primes p for which A is not p-divisible. Thus the pure hull of $\pi_A(K)$, as a subgroup of A, is $\pi_A(K)_* = \mathbb{Z}_S \pi_A(K) = \{(m/n)x \mid m/n \in \mathbb{Z}_S, \ x \in \pi_A(K)\}$, where S is the set of all primes p such that A is p-divisible, and $\mathbb{Z}_S = \{m/n \mid (n,p) = 1 \}$ for all $p \notin S$. If $a : \pi_A(K) \to A$ is a homomorphism then $a^{\natural} : \pi_A(K)_* \to A$, $a^{\natural}((m/n)x) = (m/n)a(x)$ is well defined and extends a. Since A is self-c-injective we can extend a^{\natural} to a homomorphism $a^* : A \to A$, and the proof is complete.

Lemma 2.6. If A is a reduced quasi-injective p-group, and B is a p-divisible group then A is $A \oplus B$ -c-injective.

PROOF. Let $n \geq 1$ (the case n = 0 is obvious) be the exponent of the p-group A (i.e. $A \cong \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}(p^n)$), K a neat subgroup of $G = A \oplus B$ and $a : K \to A$ a homomorphism. If $l \in K \cap B$, then l is divisible by p in G and there exists $l_1 \in K$ such that $pl_1 = l$. If $\pi_A : G \to A$ is the canonical projection, we have $p\pi_A(l_1) = 0$. In the hypothesis n > 1, l_1 is divisible by p, hence we can continue this procedure in order to construct $l_2 \in K$ such that $pl_2 = l_1$. Continuing in the same way we obtain a sequence $l_0 = l, l_1, \ldots, l_n$ in K such that for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ we have $pl_i = l_{i-1}$. Then $K \cap B \subseteq p^n K$ and $K \cap B \subseteq \operatorname{rad}_A(K)$ follows and finally we use Corollary 2.5 in order to conclude that A is $A \oplus B$ -c-injective

The next result is a generalization of [14, Theorem 6].

COROLLARY 2.7. Let S be an infinite set of primes. If for each prime $p \in S$ T_p denotes a non-zero reduced p-group, then the group $\prod_{p \in S} T_p$ is self-c-injective if and only if every T_p is a quasi-injective group.

PROOF. Using Lemma 1.1 it suffices to prove that each T_q is $\prod_{p \in S} T_p$ -c-injective, and this is a consequence of Lemma 2.6. Conversely, the result follows from Theorem 2.2 since S is an infinite set.

We are now able to characterize the direct summands K which appear in Theorem 2.2, c).

THEOREM 2.8. Let G be a group such that $G \leq \prod_p T_p(G)$, and each p-component $T_p(G)$ is a reduced quasi-injective group. The following conditions are equivalent:

- i) G is a self-c-injective group;
- ii) G is a fully invariant pure subgroup of $\prod_n T_p(G)$;
- iii) G is a fully invariant subgroup of $\prod_p T_p(G)$.

PROOF. i) \Rightarrow ii) Using Theorem 2.2 a), $G/T_p(G)$ is p-divisible for all $p \in p(G)$ and so G/T(G) is p-divisible for all $p \in p(G)$. Hence it is p-pure in $\prod_p T_p(G)/T(G)$, and it is not difficult to prove that G is p-pure in $\prod_p T_p(G)$ for all $p \in p(G)$.

Since G/T(G) is p-divisible for all $p \in p(G)$ we have

$$\operatorname{Hom}(G/T(G),G) \leq \operatorname{Hom}(G/T(G),\prod_p T_p(G)) = 0.$$

Therefore the exact sequence

$$0 = \operatorname{Hom}(G/T(G), G) \to \operatorname{Hom}(G, G) \to \operatorname{Hom}(T(G), G)$$

proves that two endomorphism a, β of G coincide if and only if $a_{|T(G)} = \beta_{|T(G)}$. Note that $\prod_{p} T_{p}(G)$ has the same property.

Let $q \notin p(G)$. The homomorphism $a: T(G) \to T(G)$ given by qa(x) = x is well defined. Since G is self-c-injective there exists $\beta: G \to G$ which extends a. Then $q\beta_{|T(G)} = 1_{|T(G)}$ and, using the previous paragraph, this proves that the multiplication by q is an automorphism of G. Therefore G is q -divisible, and so q-pure in $\prod_p T_p(G)$.

In order to prove that G is fully invariant we consider an endomorphism $a: \prod_p T_p(G) \to \prod_p T_p(G)$. Then there exists $\beta: G \to G$ which extends

 $a_{|T(G)}$. Moreover by Corollary 2.7, $\prod_p T_p(G)$ is self-c-injective and G is pure in $\prod_p T_p(G)$, hence there exists $\gamma:\prod_p T_p(G)\to\prod_p T_p(G)$ which extends β . Since $a_{|T(G)}=\gamma_{|T(G)}$ we obtain $a=\gamma$, and so $a(G)\subseteq G$.

The implication ii) \Rightarrow iii) is obvious. As for iii) \Rightarrow ii) observe that for every $q \notin p(G)$ the homomorphism $a: \prod_p T_p(G) \to \prod_p T_p(G)$ given by qa(x) = x is well defined. Since $a(G) \subseteq G$ we obtain qG = G, so that G is q-divisible. If $q \in p(G)$ we consider $a: \prod_p T_p(G) \to \prod_p T_p(G)$ defined by $a(T_q(G)) = 0$ and qa(x) = x for all $x \in \prod_{p \neq q} T_p(G)$ and the direct decomposition $G = T_q(G) \oplus (G \cap \prod_{p \neq q} T_p(G))$. It follows that $a(G \cap \prod_{p \neq q} T_p(G)) \subseteq G \cap \prod_{p \neq q} T_p(G)$, and this shows that $G \cap \prod_{p \neq q} T_p(G)$ is q-divisible. Then G/T(G) is q-pure in $(\prod_p T_p(G))/T(G)$, hence G is g-pure in $\prod_p T_p(G)$.

ii) \Rightarrow i) is a consequence of Corollary 2.7 and Lemma 1.1.

An honest mixed group G which verifies the conditions in the previous theorem is called a *proper mixed self-c-injective* group.

COROLLARY 2.9. If G is a proper mixed self-c-injective group then G/T(G) is divisible and G is p-divisible whenever $T_p(G) = 0$.

Recall that a group G is a splitting group if T(G) is a direct summand of G.

COROLLARY 2.10. A self-c-injective group G with $r_0(G) \leq \aleph_0$ is a splitting group.

PROOF. Using Theorem 2.2, if a self-c-injective group is not splitting, it has a direct summand which is a proper mixed self-c-injective group. Therefore, it suffices to prove that a proper mixed self-c-injective group has the torsion free rank at least 2^{\aleph_0} .

Let G be a proper mixed self-c-injective group. We consider the group $H = \prod_p T_p(G)$ together with the canonical projections $\pi_p : H \to T_p(G)$. For an element $x \in H$ we consider the *characteristic* $\chi(x) = (h_p(\pi_p(x)))_p$. Since each $T_p(G)$ is a homogeneous bounded p-group, there exists $C_p(x)$ a cyclic direct summand of $T_p(G)$ which contains $\pi_p(x)$. Therefore $x \in \prod_p C_p(x)$ which is a direct summand of H. Thus, for two elements $x, y \in H$ there exists an endomorphism of H which maps x into y if and only if $\chi(x) \leq \chi(y)$.

Therefore if $x \in G$ is an infinite order element, $\chi(x)$ has \aleph_0 finite components. If $\chi = (\chi_p)_p$ is a characteristic, for each set $S \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ we consider the characteristic $\chi^S = (\chi_p^S)_p$ with $\chi_p^S = \infty$ for all $p \in S$ and $\chi_p^S = \chi_p$ for all $p \notin S$. If $\chi = \chi(x)$, then χ^S is the characteristic of $x^S \in T(\prod_p C_p(x))$ with

 $\pi_p(x^S)=0$ for all $p\in S$, and $\pi_p(x^S)=\pi_p(x)$ for all $p\notin S$. It follows that the cardinality of $G\cap\prod_p C_p(x)$ is 2^{\aleph_0} , and since $T(\prod_p C_p(x))$ is a countable group, the cardinality of $(G\cap\prod_p C_p(x))/T(G\cap\prod_p C_p(x))$ is 2^{\aleph_0} , and this is possible if and only if the torsion free rank of G is at least 2^{\aleph_0} .

THEOREM 2.11. Let G be an honest mixed group. Then G is self-c-injective if and only if $G = D \oplus H \oplus R$ such that

- i) D is a divisible group,
- ii) R is a self-c-injective reduced torsion free group which is p-divisible for all $p \in p(H)$, and
- iii) H is a proper mixed self-c-injective group or it is a quasi-injective reduced torsion group.

PROOF. If G is self-c-injective then G has the mentioned form (consequence of Theorem 2.2). If G has this form then G is a pure fully invariant subgroup of $G' = D \oplus R \oplus (\prod_p T_p(H))$. Therefore, in order to prove the converse it suffices to show that G' is self-c-injective.

Since D is divisible, D is G'-c-injective. Let K be a neat subgroup of G', and $f:K\to R$ a homomorphism. If q is a prime such that $q\notin p(H)$ then $D\oplus H$ is q-divisible. Let $x\in K\cap (D\oplus H)$. Then q divides x in G', and so there exists $y\in K$ such that qy=x. Writing y=r+z, with $r\in R$ and $z\in D\oplus H$, we obtain qr=0, hence r=0, since R is torsion free. Then $y\in K\cap (D\oplus H)$ and it follows that $f(K\cap (D\oplus H))$ is q-divisible for all $q\notin p(H)$. Therefore $f(K\cap (D\oplus H))$ is contained in $\bigcap_{q\notin p(H)}q^\omega R$. However, since R is p-divisible for all $p\in p(H)$ we obtain $\bigcap_{q\notin p(H)}q^\omega R$ as the divisible part of the reduced group R. Hence, $f(K\cap (D\oplus R))=0$, and R is G'-c-injective as a consequence of Theorem 2.2, Theorem 2.8, and Corollary 2.5. Since $T_p(H)$ is G'-c-injective by Lemma 2.6, an application of Lemma 1.1 completes the proof.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Arnold C. I. Vinsonhaler W. J. Wickless, Quasi-pure projective and injective torsion free abelian groups of rank 2. Rocky Mountain J. Math. 6, no. 1 (1976), pp. 61–70.
- [2] D. M. Arnold B. O'Brien J. D. Reid, Quasipure injective and projective torsion-free abelian groups of finite rank. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 38, no. 3 (1979), pp. 532–544.
- [3] K. Benaballah A. Laroche, Les groupes abéliens quasi-purs injectifs sans torsion complètement décomposables. (French) Rend. Mat. (6) 10 no. 2-3 (1977), pp. 305–312.

- [4] K. Benabdallah R. Bradley A. Laroche, Sur les groupes quasi-p-nets injectifs et projectifs. (French) Abelian group theory (Proc. Second New Mexico State Univ. Conf., Las Cruces, N.M., 1976), Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 616, Springer, Berlin, 1977, pp. 228–239.
- [5] N.V. Dung D. van Huynh P.F. Smith R. Wisbauer, Extending modules. Harlow (1994).
- [6] L. Fuchs, Infinite Abelian groups, vol. 1 (1970) and 2 (1973), Academic Press.
- [7] L. Fuchs L. Salce, Modules over non-Noetherian domains. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 84. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (2001).
- [8] P. GOETERS C. VINSONHALER W. WICKLESS, A generalization of quasipure injective torsion-free abelian groups. Houston J. Math. vol. 22, no. 3 (1996), pp. 473–384.
- [9] H.P. Goeters W.J. Wickless, Qapi groups and quasi-equivalence. Proc. AMS, vol. 126, no. 11 (1998), pp. 3145–3150.
- [10] M.A. KIL'P, Quasi-injective abelian groups. [Russian], Vestnik Mosk. Univ., 22 nr. 3 (1967), pp. 3-4.
- [11] F. LOONSTRA, Closed submodules. Abelian group theory (Honolulu, Hawaii, 1983), Lecture Notes in Math., 1006, Springer, Berlin-New York, (1983), pp. 630–638.
- [12] G. RENAULT, Étude des sous-modules compléments dans un module. (French) Bull. Soc. Math. France Mém. 9, (1967) 79 pp. ???
- [13] C. Santa-Clara P.F. Smith, Modules which are self-injective relative to closed submodules. In 'Algebra and its Applications' (Athens, Ohio, 1999), Contemporary Mathematics 259, AMS, Providence, (2000), pp. 487-499.
- [14] C. SANTA-CLARA F. SMITH PATRICK, Direct products of simple modules over Dedekind domains. Arch. Math. (Basel) 82 no. 1 (2004), pp. 8–12.
- [15] C. VINSONHALER, Almost quasi-pure injective abelian groups, Rocky Mount. J. Math., vol. 9, no. 3 (1979), pp. 569-576.

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione l'11 luglio 2005.