

APPLICATIONS OF HORADAM POLYNOMIALS
TO GENERAL CLASSES OF BI-UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS
INVOLVING THE q -DERIVATIVE OPERATOR

ŞAHSENE ALTINKAYA and SIBEL YALÇIN

Abstract. In this present investigation, by using the Horadam polynomials, we aim to build a bridge between the theory of geometric functions and that of special functions, which are usually considered very different fields. Thus, we introduce some new classes of bi-univalent functions defined by combining the q -derivative operator and the Horadam polynomials. Afterwards, we derive coefficient inequalities and consider the classical Fekete-Szegő problem.

MSC 2010. 11B39, 30C45.

Key words. Bi-univalent functions, Fekete-Szegő problem, Horadam polynomials, principle of subordination, recurrence relation.

1. INTRODUCTION, PRELIMINARIES AND KNOWN RESULTS

Recently, Horzum and Gökçen Koçer [9] investigated the Horadam polynomials $h_n(x)$, which are given by the following recurrence relation

$$(1) \quad h_n(x) = pxh_{n-1}(x) + rh_{n-2}(x) \quad (n > 2),$$

with $h_1(x) = a$, $h_2(x) = bx$, for some real constants a, b, p and r .

The generating function of the Horadam polynomials $h_n(x)$ follows immediately:

$$\Pi(x, z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n(x)z^{n-1} = \frac{a + (b - ap)xz}{1 - pxz - rz^2}.$$

There are many classes of polynomials which are related to the Horadam polynomials such as (for example) the Fibonacci polynomials, the Lucas polynomials, the Chebychev polynomials, the Pell polynomials, the Lucas-Lehmer polynomials and the families of orthogonal polynomials and other special polynomials. These polynomials and their generalizations play an important role in mathematics, viscoelasticity, oscillating magnetic field, heat conduction, electromagnetism, biology, etc. (see, for example, [7, 8, 10, 13, 19, 20]). By properly choosing a, b, p and r , several classical polynomials can be obtained. In particular, we have:

The authors thank the referee for his helpful comments and suggestions.

- the Fibonacci polynomials $F_n(x)$ when $a = b = p = r = 1$;
- the Lucas polynomials $L_n(x)$ when $a = 2$ and $b = p = r = 1$;
- the Pell polynomials $P_n(x)$ when $a = r = 1$ and $b = p = 2$;
- the Pell-Lucas polynomials $Q_n(x)$ when $a = b = p = 2$ and $r = 1$;
- the Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind $U_n(x)$ when $a = 1$, $b = p = 2$ and $r = 1$.

Let A denote the class of functions f of the form:

$$(2) \quad f(z) = z + a_2z^2 + a_3z^3 + \cdots,$$

which are analytic in the open unit disc $\Delta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ and normalized under the conditions given by $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$. Let S be the subclass of A consisting of functions which are univalent in Δ . Further, let P be the class of functions with positive real part consisting of all analytic functions $\xi : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $\xi(0) = 1$ and $\Re(\xi(z)) > 0$.

Next, we give the following lemma which is necessary to prove our investigations.

LEMMA 1.1 ([15]). *If the function $\xi \in P$ is defined by*

$$\xi(z) = 1 + \xi_1z + \xi_2z^2 + \xi_3z^3 + \cdots,$$

then $|\xi_n| \leq 2$ ($n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$).

In order to recall the principle of subordination between analytic functions, let the functions f, g be analytic in Δ . A function f is subordinate to g , denoted by $f \prec g$ (or $f(z) \prec g(z)$) ($z \in \Delta$), if there exists a Schwarz function $\mathfrak{w} \in \Lambda$, where $\Lambda = \{\mathfrak{w} : \mathfrak{w}(0) = 0, |\mathfrak{w}(z)| < 1, z \in \Delta\}$, such that $f(z) = g(\mathfrak{w}(z))$ ($z \in \Delta$).

According to the *Koebe-One Quarter Theorem* [5], the image of Δ under every univalent function $f \in A$ contains a disc of radius $1/4$. Thus, clearly, every such univalent function $f \in A$ has an inverse f^{-1} satisfying $f^{-1}(f(z)) = z$ and $f(f^{-1}(w)) = w$ ($|w| < r_0(f)$, $r_0(f) \geq \frac{1}{4}$), where

$$(3) \quad g(w) = f^{-1}(w) = w - a_2w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3)w^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2a_3 + a_4)w^4 + \cdots.$$

A function $f \in A$ is said to be bi-univalent in Δ if both f and f^{-1} are univalent in Δ . Let Σ denote the class of bi-univalent functions in Δ given by (2). For a brief history and interesting examples of functions in the class Σ , see the pioneering work on this subject by Srivastava et al. [18], which has apparently revived the study of bi-univalent functions in recent years (see also [2, 3, 4, 12, 14, 17]).

It may be of interest to recall that Srivastava used the basic (or q -) hypergeometric functions in a book chapter (see, for details, [16]). Thus, the theory of univalent functions was characterized by the concept of q -calculus. We first recall the definitions of fractional q -calculus operators of a complex valued function f .

DEFINITION 1.2 ([11]). The q -derivative of a function f , defined on a subset of \mathbb{C} , is given by

$$(D_q f)(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(z) - f(qz)}{(1-q)z} & \text{for } z \neq 0, \\ f'(0) & \text{for } z = 0. \end{cases}$$

We note that $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} (D_q f)(z) = f'(z)$ if f is differentiable at z . From (2), we get

$$(D_q f)(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q a_n z^{n-1},$$

where $[n]_q = \frac{1-q^n}{1-q}$ ($0 < q < 1, n \in \mathbb{N}$).

Additionally, from (3), we get

$$(4) \quad (D_q g)(w) = \frac{g(w) - g(qw)}{(1-q)w} = 1 - [2]_q a_2 w + [3]_q (2a_2^2 - a_3) w^2 - [4]_q (5a_2^3 - 5a_2 a_3 + a_4) w^3 + \dots$$

In this paper, we aim first at introducing some new classes of bi-univalent functions defined by means of the q -derivative operator and the Horadam polynomials. Furthermore, we derive coefficient inequalities and consider the classical Fekete-Szegő problem.

DEFINITION 1.3. A function $f \in \Sigma$ is said to be in the class

$$\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}^q(x) \quad (z, w \in \Delta)$$

if the following subordination conditions are satisfied:

$$D_q f(z) \prec \Pi(x, z) + 1 - a,$$

$$D_q g(w) \prec \Pi(x, w) + 1 - a,$$

where the function g is given by (3).

REMARK 1.4. Upon setting $q \rightarrow 1^-$ it is readily seen that a function $f \in \Sigma$ is in the class $\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}(x)$ ($z, w \in \Delta$) if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$f'(z) \prec \Pi(x, z) + 1 - a,$$

$$g'(w) \prec \Pi(x, w) + 1 - a,$$

where $g = f^{-1}$.

REMARK 1.5. Upon setting $a = 1, b = p = 2, r = -1$, the class $\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}(x)$ reduce to the class $\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}(t)$ studied by Altinkaya and Yalçın [1].

2. COEFFICIENT BOUND ESTIMATES

In this section, we obtain estimates on the coefficients $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ for functions in the class $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma^q(x)$.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let the function f given by (2) be in the class $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma^q(x)$. Then*

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{b|x| \sqrt{b|x|}}{\sqrt{\left| \left([3]_q b - [2]_q^2 p \right) bx^2 + [2]_q^2 bx - [2]_q^2 qa \right|}}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{b^2 x^2}{[2]_q^2} + \frac{b|x|}{[3]_q}.$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{H}_\Sigma^q(x)$. Then, by Definition 1.3, for two analytic functions Φ, Ψ such that

$$\Phi(0) = \Psi(0) = 0, \quad |\Phi(z)| < 1, \quad |\Psi(w)| < 1 \quad (\forall z, w \in \Delta),$$

we can write

$$D_q f(z) = \Pi(\Phi(z), x) + 1 - a$$

and

$$D_q g(w) = \Pi(\Psi(w), x) + 1 - a$$

or, equivalently,

$$(5) \quad D_q f(z) = 1 + h_1(x) - a + h_2(x)\Phi(z) + h_3(x)\Phi^2(z) + \dots$$

and

$$(6) \quad D_q g(w) = 1 + h_1(x) - a + h_2(x)\Psi(w) + h_3(x)\Psi^2(w) + \dots.$$

Next, define the functions $\xi, \tau \in P$ by

$$\xi(z) = \frac{1 + \Phi(z)}{1 - \Phi(z)} = 1 + \xi_1 z + \xi_2 z^2 + \dots$$

and

$$q(w) = \frac{1 + \Psi(w)}{1 - \Psi(w)} = 1 + \tau_1 w + \tau_2 w^2 + \dots.$$

In the following, one can derive

$$(7) \quad \Phi(z) = \frac{\xi(z) - 1}{\xi(z) + 1} = \frac{1}{2}\xi_1 z + \frac{1}{2} \left(\xi_2 - \frac{1}{2}\xi_1^2 \right) z^2 + \dots$$

and

$$(8) \quad \Psi(w) = \frac{\tau(w) - 1}{\tau(w) + 1} = \frac{1}{2}\tau_1 w + \frac{1}{2} \left(\tau_2 - \frac{1}{2}\tau_1^2 \right) w^2 + \dots.$$

Combining (5), (6), (7) and (8), we get

$$(9) \quad D_q f(z) = 1 + h_2(x)\xi_1 z + [h_2(x)\xi_2 + h_3(x)\xi_1^2] z^2 + \dots,$$

and

$$(10) \quad D_q g(w) = 1 + h_2(x)\tau_1 w + [h_2(x)\tau_2 + h_3(x)\tau_1^2] w^2 + \dots$$

Thus, upon comparing the corresponding coefficients in (9) and (10), we have

$$(11) \quad [2]_q a_2 = \frac{h_2(x)}{2} \xi_1,$$

$$(12) \quad [3]_q a_3 = \frac{h_2(x)}{2} \left(\xi_2 - \frac{\xi_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{h_3(x)}{4} \xi_1^2,$$

$$(13) \quad -[2]_q a_2 = \frac{h_2(x)}{2} \tau_1$$

and

$$(14) \quad [3]_q (2a_2^2 - a_3) = \frac{h_2(x)}{2} \left(\tau_2 - \frac{\tau_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{h_3(x)}{4} \tau_1^2.$$

From the equations (11) and (13), we can easily see that

$$(15) \quad \xi_1 = -\tau_1,$$

$$(16) \quad 2[2]_q^2 = \frac{h_2^2(x)}{4} (\xi_1^2 + \tau_1^2).$$

If we add (12) to (14), we get

$$(17) \quad 2[3]_q a_2^2 = \frac{h_2(x)}{2} (\xi_2 + \tau_2) + \frac{h_3(x) - h_2(x)}{4} (\xi_1^2 + \tau_1^2).$$

By using (16) in the equality (17), we have

$$(18) \quad 2 \left\{ [3]_q h_2^2(x) - [2]_q^2 (h_3(x) - h_2(x)) \right\} a_2^2 = 2\mu^2 h_2^3(x) (\xi_2 + \tau_2)$$

which gives

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{b|x| \sqrt{b|x|}}{\sqrt{\left| ([3]_q b - [2]_q^2 p) bx^2 + [2]_q^2 bx - [2]_q^2 qa \right|}}.$$

Moreover, if we subtract (14) from (12), we obtain

$$(19) \quad 2[3]_q (a_3 - a_2^2) = \frac{h_2(x)}{2} (\xi_2 - \tau_2) + \frac{h_3(x) - h_2(x)}{4} (\xi_1^2 - \tau_1^2).$$

Then, in view of (15) and (16), (19) becomes

$$a_3 = \frac{h_2^2(x)}{8[2]_q^2} (\xi_1^2 + \tau_1^2) + \frac{h_2(x)}{4[3]_q} (\xi_2 - \tau_2).$$

Then, with the help of (1), we deduce that $|a_3| \leq \frac{b^2 x^2}{[2]_q^2} + \frac{b|x|}{[3]_q}$. □

COROLLARY 2.2. *Let $f \in \mathcal{H}_\Sigma^q(x)$. Then*

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{b|x|\sqrt{b|x|}}{\sqrt{|(3b-4p)bx^2+4bx-4qa|}} \quad \text{and} \quad |a_3| \leq \frac{b^2x^2}{4} + \frac{b|x|}{3}.$$

COROLLARY 2.3 ([1]). *Let $f \in H_\Sigma(t)$. Then*

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{t\sqrt{2t}}{\sqrt{1+2t-t^2}} \quad \text{and} \quad |a_3| \leq t^2 + \frac{2t}{3}.$$

3. FEKETE-SZEGÖ PROBLEM

The classical Fekete-Szegö inequality is investigated by Loewner's method, which for the coefficients of $f \in S$ is

$$|a_3 - \vartheta a_2^2| \leq 1 + 2 \exp(-2\vartheta/(1-\vartheta)) \quad \text{for } \vartheta \in [0, 1).$$

As $\vartheta \rightarrow 1^-$, we have the elementary inequality $|a_3 - a_2^2| \leq 1$. Moreover, the problem of maximizing the modulus of the functional

$$\Psi_\vartheta(f) = a_3 - \vartheta a_2^2$$

is called the Fekete-Szegö problem (see [6]).

Now, we derive Fekete-Szegö inequalities for the coefficients of $f \in \mathcal{H}_\Sigma^q(x)$.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let the function f given by (2) be in the class $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma^q(x)$. Suppose also that $\vartheta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then*

$$|a_3 - \vartheta a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{b|x|}{[3]_q}, & \text{if } |\vartheta - 1| \leq \left| \frac{1}{4} - \frac{[2]_q^2 (pbx^2 - bx + ra)}{[3]_q b^2 x^2} \right| \\ \frac{b^3 |1 - \vartheta| |x|^3}{\left| \left([3]_q b - [2]_q^2 p \right) bx^2 + [2]_q^2 bx - [2]_q^2 qa \right|}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. From (18) and (19), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} a_3 - \vartheta a_2^2 &= \frac{h_2^3(x) (1 - \vartheta) (\xi_2 + \tau_2)}{4 \left\{ [3]_q h_2^2(x) - [2]_q^2 (h_3(x) - h_2(x)) \right\}} + \frac{h_2(x) (\xi_2 - \tau_2)}{4 [3]_q} \\ &= h_2(x) \left[\left(\Omega(\vartheta, x) + \frac{1}{4 [3]_q} \right) \xi_2 + \left(\Omega(\vartheta, x) - \frac{1}{4 [3]_q} \right) \tau_2 \right], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Omega(\vartheta, x) = \frac{h_2^2(x) (1 - \vartheta)}{4 \left\{ [3]_q h_2^2(x) - [2]_q^2 (h_3(x) - h_2(x)) \right\}}.$$

Hence, in view of (1), we conclude that

$$|a_3 - \vartheta a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\mu |h_2(x)|}{[3]_q}, & 0 \leq |\Omega(\vartheta, x)| \leq \frac{1}{4 [3]_q} \\ 4 |h_2(x)| |\Omega(\vartheta, x)|, & |\Omega(\vartheta, x)| \geq \frac{1}{4 [3]_q}. \end{cases}$$

□

COROLLARY 3.2. Let $f \in \mathcal{H}_\Sigma(x)$ and $\vartheta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$|a_3 - \vartheta a_2^2| \begin{cases} \frac{b|x|}{3}, & \text{if } |\vartheta - 1| \leq \left| \frac{1}{4} - \frac{4(pb^2x^2 - bx + ra)}{3b^2x^2} \right| \\ \frac{b^3|1 - \vartheta||x|^3}{|(3b - 4p)bx^2 + 4bx - 4qa|}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

COROLLARY 3.3 ([1]). Let $f \in H_\Sigma(t)$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$|a_3 - \eta a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{2t}{3}; & |\eta - 1| \leq \frac{1+2t-t^2}{3t^2}, \\ \frac{2|1 - \eta|t^3}{1 + 2t - t^2}; & |\eta - 1| \geq \frac{1+2t-t^2}{3t^2}. \end{cases}$$

If we set $\vartheta = 1$, we get the following corollaries.

COROLLARY 3.4. If $f \in \mathcal{H}_\Sigma^q(x)$, then $|a_3 - a_2^2| \leq \frac{b|x|}{[3]_q}$.

COROLLARY 3.5 ([1]). If $f \in H_\Sigma(t)$, then $|a_3 - a_2^2| \leq \frac{2t}{3}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ş. Altınkaya and S. Yalçın, *Estimates on coefficients of a general subclass of bi-univalent functions associated with symmetric q -derivative operator by means of the Chebyshev polynomials*, Asia Pacific Journal of Mathematics, **4** (2017), 90–99.
- [2] Ş. Altınkaya and S. Yalçın, *Estimate for initial Maclaurin of general subclasses of bi-univalent functions of complex order involving subordination*, Honam Math. J., **40** (2018), 391–400.
- [3] D.A. Brannan and J.G. Clunie, *Aspects of contemporary complex analysis*, in *Proceedings of an instructional conference organized by the London Mathematical Society at the University of Durham (a NATO advanced study Institute)*, Academic Press, New York, 1979.
- [4] D.A. Brannan and T.S. Taha, *On some classes of bi-univalent functions*, Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math., **31** (1986), 70–77.
- [5] P.L. Duren, *Univalent functions*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [6] M. Fekete and G. Szegő, *Eine Bemerkung über Ungerade Schlichte Funktionen*, J. Lond. Math. Soc., **s1-8** (1933), 85–89.
- [7] P. Filipponi and A.F. Horadam, *Derivative sequences of Fibonacci and Lucas polynomials*, Applications of Fibonacci Numbers, **4** (1991), 99–108.
- [8] P. Filipponi and A.F. Horadam, *Second derivative sequences of Fibonacci and Lucas polynomials*, Fibonacci Quart., **31** (1993), 194–204.
- [9] T. Horzum and E. Gökçen Koçer, *On some properties of Horadam polynomials*, International Mathematical Forum, **4** (2009), 1243–1252.
- [10] A.F. Horadam and J.M. Mahon, *Pell and Pell-Lucas polynomials*, Fibonacci Quart., **23** (1985), 7–20.
- [11] F.H. Jackson, *On q -functions and a certain difference operator*, Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh, **46** (1908), 253–281.
- [12] M. Lewin, *On a coefficient problem for bi-univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **18** (1967), 63–68.

- [13] A. Lupas, *A guide of Fibonacci and Lucas polynomials*, Octagon Mathematics Magazine, **7** (1999), 2–12.
- [14] E. Netanyahu, *The minimal distance of the image boundary from the origin and the second coefficient of a univalent function in $|z| < 1$* , Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., **32** (1969), 100–112.
- [15] Ch. Pommerenke, *Univalent functions*, Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, Göttingen, 1975.
- [16] H.M. Srivastava, *Univalent functions, fractional calculus, and associated generalized hypergeometric functions*, in *Univalent Functions, Fractional Calculus, and Their Applications*, H. M. Srivastava and S. Owa, Editors, Halsted Press, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1989.
- [17] H.M. Srivastava, G. Murugusundaramoorthy and N. Magesh, *Certain subclasses of bi-univalent functions associated with the Hohlov operator*, Appl. Math. Lett., **1** (2013), 67–73.
- [18] H.M. Srivastava, A.K. Mishra and P. Gochhayat, *Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions*, Appl. Math. Lett., **23** (2000), 1188–1192.
- [19] P. Vellucci and A.M. Bersani, *The class of Lucas-Lehmer polynomials*, Rend. Mat. Appl., **37** (2016), 43–62.
- [20] T. Wang and W. Zhang, *Some identities involving Fibonacci, Lucas polynomials and their applications*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie, **55** (2012), 95–103.

Received February 5, 2019

Accepted October 16, 2019

Bursa Uludag University
Department of Mathematics
Bursa, Turkey
E-mail: sahsenealtinkaya@gmail.com
E-mail: syalcin@uludag.edu.tr