

THE BOUNDEDNESS OF A CLASS OF SEMICLASSICAL  
FOURIER INTEGRAL OPERATORS ON BESOV SPACES

REKIA MESSIOUENE and ABDERRAHMANE SENOUSSAOUI

**Abstract.** The aim of this paper is to discuss the Besov spaces bounds for semiclassical Fourier integral operator. We give the conditions that the symbol and the phase function must satisfy for this operator to be bounded.

**MSC 2010.** 35S30, 35S05, 47G30.

**Key words.** Semiclassical analysis, Fourier integral operator, symbol, phase, Besov spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

For a function  $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  a Fourier integral operator (FIO) is given by:

$$(1) \quad (Fu)(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int e^{i\phi(x,y,\xi)} a(x,y,\xi) u(y) dy d\xi,$$

where  $a$  is the *symbol* and  $\phi$  is the *phase function*. These operators appear naturally in the expression of the solutions of the hyperbolic partial differential, see [9, 10], and in the expression of the  $C^\infty$  solution of the associated Cauchy's problem, see [12], and appear also in the quantum mechanics, see [11, 17].

A semiclassical Fourier integral operator (with semiclassical parameter  $h$ ) is defined by:

$$(2) \quad (Fu)(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi h)^n} \int e^{\frac{i}{h}\phi(x,y,\xi)} a(x,\xi;h) u(y) dy d\xi.$$

If  $\phi(x,y) = (x-y)\xi$ , then we obtain what we call the pseudodifferential operator (PDO):

$$(3) \quad (Pu)(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int e^{i(x-y)\xi} a(x,\xi) u(y) dy d\xi.$$

An interesting question is: under which conditions for  $a$  and  $\phi$  are these operators bounded? Since 1970, many authors made efforts in the study of the boundedness of these operators on many functional spaces (such as  $L^p$ , Hölder and Besov spaces). In [1, 15, 2] the authors have studied the boundedness of FIO of the form (1) on  $L^p$ . Qingjiu in [16] has argued that a class of FIO is

---

The authors would like to thank the referee for the careful reading and for relevant remarks, suggestions which helped them to improve the paper.

bounded on certain Besov space. However, Boudraud in [4] and Moussai in [13, 14] have discussed the boundedness on this space for the pseudodifferential operators. Harrat and Senoussaoui in [8] have proved that (2) is bounded on  $L^2$  if the weight of the amplitude is bounded. Elong and Senoussaoui in [6] have demonstrated that (2) is bounded from  $L^p$  to  $L^q$  such that  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . In this work we deal with the boundedness of a class of a semiclassical Fourier integral operator which is denoted by:  $h$ -FIO on the Besov spaces.

## 2. NOTATIONS AND DEFINITIONS

NOTATION 2.1.

- $F(f)$  or  $\widehat{f}$  denotes the Fourier transformation of  $f$  and  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}g$  is the inverse Fourier transformation of a function  $g$ .
- $\mathcal{S}$  is the Schwartz space (space of rapidly decreasing functions), and  $\mathcal{S}'$  its dual space.
- $L_m^\infty$  denotes the function space for which the derivatives up to and including order  $m$  belong to  $L^\infty$ .
- $C_0^\infty$  is the space of infinitely differentiable functions having a compact support.

Next, we give the definitions of some spaces that are useful later.

DEFINITION 2.2 (Series of Littlewood-Paley). Let  $C^\infty$ -functions  $\varphi_0(\xi)$  and  $\varphi(\xi)$  be such that

- (1)  $\varphi_0(\xi) \geq 0$ ,  $\varphi(\xi) \geq 0$ ;
- (2) if  $\varphi_k(\xi) = \varphi(2^{-k}\xi)$ , then  $\sum_{k=0}^\infty \varphi_k(\xi) = 1$ ;
- (3)  $\text{supp } \varphi_0 \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi| \leq 2\}$ , and  $\text{supp } \varphi \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : 2^{-1} \leq |\xi| \leq 2\}$ ,  
so,  $\text{supp } \varphi_k \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : 2^{k-1} \leq |\xi| \leq 2^{k+1}\}$ .

For any  $f(x) \in \mathcal{S}'$  let  $f_k(x) = f * F^{-1}[\varphi_k(\xi)]$ .

So, we have the *Littlewood-Paley expansion* of  $f(x)$  as follows:

$$(4) \quad f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k(x).$$

DEFINITION 2.3 (Besov spaces  $B_{p,q}^s$ ). Let  $1 < p \leq \infty$ ,  $1 < q \leq \infty$  and  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$B_{p,q}^s = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{S}' : \|f\|_{B_{p,q}^s} = \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( 2^{sk} \|f_k\|_{L_p} \right)^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty \right\}.$$

We note that  $\Lambda_s = B_{\infty,\infty}^s$  (classical Hölder space).

It is clear that the Besov spaces were introduced by interpolation spaces, see [3, 18].

DEFINITION 2.4. Let  $1 < p \leq \infty$ ,  $1 < q \leq \infty$  and  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

- $(B_{p,q}^s)_{\text{comp}}$  is the collection of all  $f \in B_{p,q}^s$  having a compact support.

- $(B_{p,q}^s)_{loc}$  is the collection of all  $f \in \mathcal{S}'$  such that  $\psi f \in B_{p,q}^s$  for any  $\psi \in C_0^\infty$ .

For more details about these spaces (properties and equivalent norms) we refer to [19].

DEFINITION 2.5 (Symbol class  $S_{1,\delta}^{-m}$ ). We say that  $a \in S_{1,\delta}^{-m}$  if  $a(x, \xi; h) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times [1, +\infty[$  and satisfies the estimate

$$|\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_x^\beta a(x, \xi; h)| \leq Ch^{-|\alpha|}(1 + |\xi|)^{-m-|\alpha|+\delta|\beta|}.$$

A semiclassical Fourier integral operator with the semiclassical parameter  $h$  such that  $h \geq 1$  is introduced as follows: for  $f \in \mathcal{S}$

$$(5) \quad F_h(f)(x) = (2\pi h)^{-n} \int e^{\frac{i}{h}(\Phi(x,\xi) - \langle y, \xi \rangle)} a(x, \xi; h) f(y) dy d\xi,$$

where  $\Phi$  is called the phase function which is a positive function and homogeneous of degree 1 in  $\xi$ .

Furthermore, we assume that  $\frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial x_i \partial \xi_j} \geq 0$  or  $(\leq 0)$ , the map

$$(6) \quad S_x = \{\xi \in \text{supp } a(x, \xi; h); \Phi(x, \xi) = 1\} \ni \xi \mapsto \frac{\nabla_\xi \Phi(x, \xi)}{|\nabla_\xi \Phi(x, \xi)|} \text{ is } 1 - 1$$

and the symbol  $a(x, \xi; h) \in S_{1,\delta}^{-m}$ .

We put

$$(7) \quad (F_{j,h}u)(x) \equiv U_{j,h}(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi h)^n} \int e^{\frac{i}{h}(\Phi(x,\xi) - \langle y, \xi \rangle)} \Psi_h(2^{-j}\xi) |\xi|^{-m} u(y) dy d\xi,$$

where  $\Psi_h(2^{-j}\xi)$  is supported in  $\gamma^{-1}2^{j-1} \leq |\xi| \leq \gamma 2^{j+1}$ . To prove the main result we need the next lemmas.

LEMMA 2.6. Suppose  $U_{j,h}(x)$  is as in (7) and  $\Phi(x, \xi)$  satisfies the condition (6). Then, if  $m > (n - 1)|\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}|$ , there exists a constant  $C$  such that

$$(8) \quad \left[ \sum_{j=0}^\infty 2^{sj} \|U_{j,h}\|_{L_{loc}^p} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C \|u\|_{(B_{p,q}^s)_{comp}}.$$

*Proof.* Set

$$K_j(x, y) = \int e^{\frac{i}{h}(\Phi(x,\xi) - \langle y, \xi \rangle)} \Psi_h(2^{-j}\xi) |\xi|^{-m} d\xi$$

and for  $m = \frac{n-1}{2} + \epsilon$ , by the method of [1, Lemma 4.2] and [6, Lemma 3.3], we have

$$\sup_{\text{near } x^0} \left[ \int_{\text{near } y^0} K_{j\epsilon}(x, y) dy \right] < C$$

and

$$\sup_{\text{near } y^0} \left[ \int_{\text{near } x^0} K_{j\epsilon}(x, y) dx \right] < C, \quad \text{for any } x^0, y^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Therefore, for  $u(y)$  supported near  $y^0$ , we have

$$\sup_{\text{near } x^0} \left| \int K_{j\epsilon}(x, y)u(y)dy \right| \leq C_\infty \|u\|_{L^\infty}$$

and

$$\int_{\text{near } x^0} \left| \int K_{j\epsilon}(x, y)u(y)dy \right| dx \leq C_1 \|u\|_{L^1},$$

where  $C_\infty$  and  $C_1$  are independent on  $j$ . It means that  $F_{j,h} : L_{comp}^\infty \rightarrow L_{loc}^\infty$ ,  $u \rightarrow U_{j,h}$  and  $F_{j,h} : L_{comp}^1 \rightarrow L_{loc}^1$ ,  $u \rightarrow U_{j,h}$  are uniformly bounded for any  $\epsilon > 0$ .

Then, using the Marcinkiewiez interpolation theorem, we obtain

$$\left[ \int_{\text{near } x^0} \left| \int K_{j\epsilon}(x, y)u(y)dy \right|^{p_0} dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p_0}} \leq C_{p_0} \|u\|_{L^{p_0}},$$

where  $1 < p_0 < \infty$  and  $C_{p_0} = CC_\infty^{1-\frac{1}{p_0}} C_1^{\frac{1}{p_0}}$ , so  $C_{p_0}$  is also independent of  $j$ . It implies that the map

$$F_{j,h} : L_{comp}^{p_0} \rightarrow L_{loc}^{p_0}, \text{ for } m = \frac{n-1}{2} + \epsilon.$$

is also uniformly bounded. We consider the symbol

$$A_{j\alpha} = \Psi_h(2^{-j}\xi) |\xi|^{-m} 2^{jm} (2^j)^{(\alpha-1)(\frac{n-1}{2}+\epsilon)} \in S^{(\alpha-1)(\frac{n-1}{2}+\epsilon)},$$

where  $(|\xi| \sim 2^j)$  and

$$K_j(x, y) = 2^{j((1-\alpha)(\frac{n-1}{2}+\epsilon)-m)} \int e^{\frac{i}{h}(\Phi(x,\xi)-\langle y,\xi \rangle)} A_{j\alpha}(\xi) d\xi.$$

Then  $A_{j0} \in S^{-\frac{n-1}{2}-\epsilon}$ ,  $A_{j1} \in S^0$ , which means that the map  $F_{j,h} : L_{comp}^{p_0} \rightarrow L_{loc}^{p_0}$ , has the upper bound  $C_{p_0} 2^{j(\frac{n-1}{2}+\epsilon-m)}$  and  $F_{j,h} : L_{comp}^2 \rightarrow L_{loc}^2$  has the upper bound  $C_2 2^{-jm}$ .

Assume that  $1 \leq p \leq 2$  and

$$p_0 = \frac{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{(n-1)}}{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{(n-1)p}}, \quad 1 < p_0 < p.$$

Then, by Stein's analytic interpolation theorem in [7], we obtain  $F_{j,h} : L_{comp}^p \rightarrow L_{loc}^p$ , where

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\alpha}{p_0} + \frac{\alpha}{2}, \quad \text{i.e. } \alpha = \frac{1 - \frac{1}{p}}{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{n-1}}$$

and the upper bound

$$C(C_{p_0} 2^{j(\frac{n-1}{2}+\epsilon-m)})^{1-\alpha} (C_2 2^{-jm})^\alpha = C_p 2^{j((n-1)|\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}|+\epsilon-m)},$$

where  $C_p$  is independent on  $j$ .

By duality, we have  $F_{j,h} : L_{comp}^p \rightarrow L_{loc}^p$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , with the upper bound  $C_p 2^{j((n-1)|\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}|+\epsilon-m)}$ . It implies that

$$(9) \quad \|U_{j,h}\|_{L_{loc}^p} \leq C 2^j ((n-1) \left| \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2} \right| + \epsilon - m) \|u\|_{L_{comp}^p}, \quad \text{for } \epsilon > 0 \text{ small.}$$

Now, we take the Littlewood-Paley series of  $u = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} u_l$ , so  $\widehat{u}_l = \varphi_l \widehat{u}$  and

$$\text{supp } \widehat{u}_l \subset \left\{ \xi : 2^{l-1} \leq |\xi| \leq 2^{l+1} \right\}, \quad \text{supp } \widehat{u}_0 \subset \{ \xi : |\xi| \leq 2 \}.$$

Since  $\varphi_{l-1}, \varphi_l, \varphi_{l+1}$  are not equal to zero,

$$\widetilde{\varphi}_l = \varphi_{l-1} + \varphi_l + \varphi_{l+1} \quad \varphi_{-1} = 0,$$

for  $2^{l-1} \leq |\xi| \leq 2^{l+1}$  and  $\widetilde{\varphi}_l \widehat{u}_l = \widehat{u}_l$  or  $u_l = F^{-1}(\widetilde{\varphi}_l) * u_l$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} U_{j,h}^l &= \frac{1}{(2\pi h)^n} \int e^{\frac{i}{h}(\Phi(x,\xi) - \langle y, \xi \rangle)} \Psi_h(2^{-j}\xi) |\xi|^{-m} u_l(y) dy d\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi h)^n} \int e^{\frac{i}{h}(\Phi(x,\xi) - \langle y, \xi \rangle)} \Psi_h(2^{-j}\xi) |\xi|^{-m} \left( \int F^{-1}[\widetilde{\varphi}_l](y-z) u_l(z) dz \right) dy d\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi h)^n} \int u_l(z) \left[ \int e^{\frac{i}{h}(\Phi(x,\xi) - \langle y, \xi \rangle)} \Psi_h(2^{-j}\xi) |\xi|^{-m} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left( \int F^{-1}[\widetilde{\varphi}_l](y-z) dy d\xi \right) \right] dz, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} K_j^l(x, y) &= \int e^{\frac{i}{h}(\Phi(x,\xi) - \langle y, \xi \rangle)} \Psi_h(2^{-j}\xi) |\xi|^{-m} F^{-1}[\widetilde{\varphi}_l](y-z) dy d\xi \\ &= \int e^{\frac{i}{h}(\Phi(x,\xi) - \langle y, \xi \rangle)} \Psi_h(2^{-j}\xi) |\xi|^{-m} \left( \int e^{-i\langle y-z, \xi \rangle} F^{-1}[\widetilde{\varphi}_l](y-z) dy \right) d\xi \\ &= \int e^{\frac{i}{h}(\Phi(x,\xi) - \langle y, \xi \rangle)} \Psi_h(2^{-j}\xi) |\xi|^{-m} \widetilde{\varphi}_l(\xi) d\xi \\ &= K_{j,-1}^l + K_{j,0}^l + K_{j,1}^l, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$K_{j,k}^l = \int e^{\frac{i}{h}(\Phi(x,\xi) - \langle y, \xi \rangle)} \Psi_h(2^{-j}\xi) |\xi|^{-m} \varphi(2^{l+k}\xi) d\xi, \quad k = -1, 0, 1,$$

$$\text{supp } \Psi_h(2^{-j}\xi) \cap \text{supp } \varphi(2^{l+k}\xi) \neq \emptyset, \quad \text{when } |l-j| \leq 3,$$

and the intersection is empty when  $|l-j| > 3$ .

So, for fixed  $j$ , we have

$$\|U_{j,h}^l\|_{L_{loc}^p} = \begin{cases} C_p 2^{j((n-1)|\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}|+\epsilon-m)} \|u_l\|_{L_{comp}^p} & , |l-j| \leq 3, \\ 0, & , |l-j| > 3, \end{cases}$$

and then,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (2^{js} \|U_{j,h}\|_{L_{loc}^p})^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left( 2^{js} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \|U_{j,h}^l\|_{L_{loc}^p} \right)^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& \leq C_p \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{j((n-1)|\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}|+\epsilon-m+s)^q} \left( \sum_{k=-2}^2 \|u_{j+k}\|_{L_{comp}^p} \right)^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& \leq C_p \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{j((n-1)|\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}|+\epsilon-m+s)^q} \|u_j\|_{L_{comp}^p}^q \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{(j-1)((n-1)|\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}|+\epsilon-m+s)^q} \|u_j\|_{L_{comp}^p}^q \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} 2^{(j-2)((n-1)|\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}|+\epsilon-m+s)^q} \|u_j\|_{L_{comp}^p}^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& \leq C'_p \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{j((n-1)|\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}|+s-m)} (2^{js} \|u_j\|_{L_{comp}^p})^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$m > (n-1) \left| \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2} \right|,$$

so

$$(n-1) \left| \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2} \right| + \epsilon - m < 0, \quad \text{for } \epsilon \text{ small.}$$

Hence, we obtain (8).  $\square$

REMARK 2.7. The spectrum of  $U_{j,h}$  is contained in

$$(10) \quad b^{-1}a^j \leq |\xi| \leq ba^j \text{ for some constants } a > 1, b > 0.$$

In fact, the nondegeneracy of  $\Phi$  implies that, after a linear change of coordinates,

$$(11) \quad \Phi(x, \xi) = \langle x, \xi \rangle - \Phi_1(\xi) + O(|x|^2|\xi|).$$

Therefore, when

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi(x, \xi) &= \langle x, \xi \rangle - \Phi_1(\xi), \\
\widehat{U}_{j,h}(\xi) &= e^{\Phi_1(\xi)} \psi_h(2^{-j}\xi) |\xi|^{-m} \widehat{u}(\xi),
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\text{supp } \widehat{U}_{j,h}(\xi) \subset \{ \xi : \gamma^{-1}2^{j-1}|\xi| \leq \gamma 2^{j+1} \}.$$

It means that  $U_{j,h}$  satisfies the condition (11).

LEMMA 2.8. For series  $h_j$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots$ , suppose that the spectrum of  $h_j$  is contained in  $b^{-1}a^{j-1} \leq |\xi| \leq ba^{j+1}$  for some  $a > 1$ ,  $b > 0$ , we have

$$(12) \quad \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} h_j \right\|_{B_{p,q}^s} \leq C \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (2^{sj} \|h_j\|_{L_p})^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Moreover, if  $s > 0$ , (12) holds when the spectrum of  $h_j$  is contained in  $|\xi| \leq ba^j$ .

*Proof.* Put

$$H_k(x) = H * F^{-1}[\varphi_k(\xi)] = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} h_j * F^{-1}[\varphi_k(\xi)]$$

and take the Littlewood-Paley series of  $H = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} h_j$  as follows:  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} H_k(x)$  such that

$$(h_j * \widehat{F^{-1}[\varphi_k(\xi)]}) = \widehat{h}_j \varphi_k(\xi),$$

and suppose  $b = a$ . Then we have

$$\widehat{h}_j \varphi_k(\xi) = \widehat{h}_k \varphi_k(\xi), \quad (j = k), \quad \text{or } = 0, \quad (j \neq k),$$

so,

$$H_k(x) = h_k * F^{-1}[\varphi_k(\xi)].$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} h_j \right\|_{B_{p,q}^s} &= \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2^{sk} \|H_k\|_{L_p})^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2^{sk} \|h_k * F^{-1}[\varphi_k(\xi)]\|_{L_p})^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq C \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2^{sk} \|h_k\|_{L_p})^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e. (12) holds. By [7, Lemma 5], we obtain the second part of this lemma.  $\square$

LEMMA 2.9. For any  $a(x, \xi; h) \in S_{1,\delta}^{-m}$ , any  $r > 0$  and large  $N > 0$  there exists a positive convergent series  $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} u_k$  and a sequence  $\{a_k(x, \xi; h)\}$  such that

$$(13) \quad a(x, \xi; h) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} u_k a_k(x, \xi; h),$$

where

$$a_k(x, \xi; h) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} M_{k,j} (2^j \delta x) \Psi_{k,h}(2^{-j} \xi) |\xi|^{-m},$$

$$\Psi_{k,h} \in C^\infty, \quad \text{supp } \Psi_{k,h} \subset \left\{ \xi : \frac{1}{3} \leq |\xi| \leq 3 \right\}$$

and

$$\|M_{k,j}\|_{\Lambda_r} \leq C, \quad \|\Psi_{k,h}\|_{L_{N-n-1}^\infty} \leq C'^{-|\alpha|},$$

where  $C$  and  $C'$  are independent on  $j$  and  $k$ .

*Proof.* Set  $|\xi|^{+m}a(x, \xi; h) = A(x, \xi; h)$ , so,  $A(x, \xi; h) \in S_{1,\delta}^0$ . For  $A(x, \xi; h)$ , let

$$\lambda(\xi) \in C_0^\infty, \quad \text{supp } \lambda(\xi) \subset \left\{ \xi : \frac{1}{2} \leq |\xi| \leq 2 \right\}$$

and

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda(2^{-j}\xi) = 1 \text{ at } \xi \neq 0; \quad \theta(\xi) \in C_0^\infty,$$

$$\text{supp } \theta(\xi) \subset \left\{ \xi : \frac{1}{3} \leq |\xi| \leq 3 \right\} \quad \text{and } = 1 \quad \text{in } \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq |\xi| \leq 2.$$

Set

$$A_j(x, \xi; h) = \lambda(\xi)A(2^{-j\delta}x, 2^j\xi; h),$$

so

$$A(x, \xi; h) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_j(2^{j\delta}x, 2^{-j}\xi; h).$$

Then take the Fourier series of  $A_j(x, \xi; h)$ :

$$A_j(x, \xi; h) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} C_{kj}(x) e^{\frac{i}{h}k \cdot \xi} \theta(\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

let  $u_k = (1 + |k|^2)^{-\frac{n+1}{2}}$ ,  $\Psi_{k,h}(\xi) = (1 + |k|^2)^{\frac{n+1-N}{2}} e^{\frac{i}{h}k \cdot \xi} \theta(\xi)$ , and  $M_{kj}(x) = (1 + |k|^2)^{\frac{N}{2}} \cdot C_{kj}(x)$ . Then we have

$$A(x, \xi; h) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} u_k \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} M_{kj}(2^{j\delta}x) \Psi_{k,h}(2^{-j}\xi) \right)$$

and  $a(x, \xi; h)$  has the decomposition (13).

For any  $r > 0$ , let  $|\beta| = [r]$ , so  $r = |\beta| + r_1$  and  $0 \leq r_1 < 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \|M_{kj}\|_{\Lambda_r} &= \left\| (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{|\xi| < 2} (I - \Delta_\xi)^{\frac{N}{2}} A_j(x, \xi; h) e^{\frac{i}{h}k \cdot \xi} d\xi \right\|_{\Lambda_r} \\ &\leq C \sup_{|\alpha| \leq N, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left\| \partial_\xi^\alpha A_j(x, \xi; h) \right\|_{\Lambda_r} \\ &\leq C \sup_{|\alpha| \leq N, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left\| \partial_x^\beta \partial_\xi^\alpha A_j(x, \xi; h) \right\|_{\Lambda_{r_1}} \\ &\leq C \sup_{|\alpha| \leq N, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left| \partial_x^{\beta+1} \partial_\xi^\alpha A_j(x, \xi; h) \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq C \sup_{|\alpha| \leq N, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left( \left| \partial_x^{\beta+1} \partial_\xi^\alpha A(x, \xi; h) \right| 2^{-j\delta(|\beta|+1)} 2^{j|\alpha|} \right) \leq C,$$

$C$  is independent on  $j$  and  $k$ .

We take  $N > n + 1$ , so, when  $|\alpha| \leq N - n - 1$ , we have

$$|\partial_\xi^\alpha \Psi_{k,h}(\xi)| \leq Ch^{-|\alpha|} \|\theta\|_{L_{N-n-1}^\infty} \leq C',$$

where  $C'$  is also independent on  $k$ . □

**THEOREM 2.10.** *Suppose that:*

- the phase function  $\Phi(x, \xi)$  of semi classical Fourier integral operator (5) satisfies the conditions (6) and (10),
- $a \in S_{1,\delta}^{-m}$ ,
- and  $m \geq (n-1)|\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}|$ .

Then  $h$ -FIO preserves locally the Besov spaces  $B_{p,q}^s$  boundedness, i.e.

$$(14) \quad F_h : (B_{p,q}^s)_{comp} \rightarrow (B_{p,q}^s)_{loc},$$

where  $s > 0$  at  $\delta = 1$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  when  $\delta < 1$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.9, it is sufficient to prove the boundedness for

$$a(x, \xi; h) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} M_j(2^{j\delta}x) \Psi_h(2^{-j}\xi) |\xi|^{-m}.$$

Set  $A_r = \sup_{j \geq 0} \|M_j\|_{\Lambda_r}$ . First, we consider the case of  $\delta = 1$ . We take  $r > s > 0$  and let  $M_j = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} M_{jl}$  be the Littlewood-Paley series of  $M_j$ , where

$$\text{supp } \widehat{M}_{jl} \subset \{\xi : 2^{j-1} \leq |\xi| \leq 2^{j+1}\} \quad j \neq 0,$$

and

$$\text{supp } \widehat{M}_{j0} \subset \{\xi : |\xi| \leq 2\}.$$

We note that  $M_j \in \Lambda_r$  implies  $\|M_{jl}\|_\infty \leq CA_r 2^{-lr}$ . Put  $N_{jk}(x) = M_{j(k-j)}(2^jx)$ , so,

$$\text{supp } \widehat{N}_{jk} \subset \{\xi : 2^{k-1} \leq |\xi| \leq 2^{k+1}\}$$

and

$$(15) \quad \|N_{jk}\|_\infty \leq CA_r 2^{r(j-k)}.$$

Therefore,

$$(F_h u)(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} N_{j,k}(x) U_{j,h}(x).$$

In fact,

$$(F_h u)(x) = (2\pi h)^{-n} \int e^{\frac{i}{h} \Phi(x,\xi) - \langle y,\xi \rangle} a(x, \xi; h) u(y) dy d\xi$$

and

$$a(x, \xi; h) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} M_j(2^{j\delta}x) \Psi_h(2^{-j}\xi) |\xi|^{-m}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(F_h u)(x) &= (2\pi h)^{-n} \int e^{\frac{i}{h}\Phi(x,\xi) - \langle y,\xi \rangle} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} M_j(2^{j\delta}x) \Psi_h(2^{-j}\xi) |\xi|^{-m} u(y) dy d\xi \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} M_j(x) U_{j,h}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} M_{jl}(x) U_{j,h}(x) \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} M_{j(k-j)}(x) U_{j,h}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} N_{kj}(x) U_{j,h}(x) \\
&= \sum_{v=0}^4 \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} N_{j,j+v} U_{j,h} \right) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{k-5} N_{j,k} U_{j,h} \right) \\
&= G_1 + G_2.
\end{aligned}$$

We note that  $F_{jk} \equiv N_{jk} U_{j,h}$  has the support required in Lemma 2.8.

So, by Lemma 2.6, Lemma 2.8 and (15), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|G_1\|_{(B_{pq}^s)_{loc}}^q &= \left\| \sum_{v=0}^4 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} N_{j,j+v} U_{j,h} \right\|_{(B_{pq}^s)_{loc}}^q \\
&\leq \sum_{v=0}^4 \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} N_{j,j+v} U_{j,h} \right\|_{(B_{pq}^s)_{loc}}^q \\
&\leq C \sum_{v=0}^4 \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (2^{js} \|N_{j,j+v} U_{j,h}\|_{L_{loc}^p})^q \right) \\
&\leq C' \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (2^{js} \|U_{j,h}\|_{L_{loc}^p})^q \right) \\
&\leq C'' \|u\|_{(B_{pq}^s)_{comp}}^q,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|G_2\|_{(B_{pq}^s)_{loc}}^q &= \left\| \sum_{k=5}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{k-5} N_{j,k} U_{j,h} \right\|_{(B_{pq}^s)_{loc}}^q \\
&\leq C \sum_{k=5}^{\infty} \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{k-5} N_{j,k} U_{j,h} \right\|_{(B_{pq}^s)_{loc}}^q \\
&\leq C' \sum_{k=5}^{\infty} \left( \left( 2^{h's} \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{k-5} N_{j,k} U_{j,h} \right\|_{L_{loc}^p} \right)^q \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C'' \left( \sum_{h'=5}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{h'-5} 2^{h's} 2^{(j-h')r} \|U_{j,h}\|_{L_{loc}^p} \right)^q \right) \\ &\leq C'' \sum_{h'=5}^{\infty} \left( 2^{h'(s-r)} \sum_{j=0}^{h'-5} 2^{j(r-s)} 2^{js} 2^{(j-h')r} \|U_{j,h}\|_{L_{loc}^p} \right)^q. \end{aligned}$$

By [4, Lemma 3], since  $s < r$ , the norm  $l^q$  of the sequence

$$\left\{ 2^{h'(s-r)} \sum_{j=0}^{h'-5} 2^{j(r-s)} 2^{js} 2^{(j-h')r} \|U_{j,h}\|_{L_{loc}^p} \right\}$$

is controlled by

$$C \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left( 2^{js} \|U_{j,h}\|_{L_{loc}^p} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

So,

$$\|G_2\|_{(B_{pq}^s)_{loc}}^q \leq C'' \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left( 2^{js} \|U_{j,h}\|_{L_{loc}^p} \right) \right) \leq C''' \|u\|_{(B_{pq}^s)_{comp}}^q.$$

Secondly, we consider the case  $0 \leq \delta < 1$ .

Since  $S_{1,\delta}^{-m} \subset S_{1,\delta}$ , it is sufficient to prove this theorem for  $s < 0$ .

For fixed  $s < 0$ , let  $r > 0$  such that  $(\delta - 1)r < s < 0$ , and

$$M_j = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} M_{jl}$$

be the Littlewood-Paley series of  $M_j$ , where

$$\text{supp } \widehat{M}_{jl} \subset \left\{ \xi; 2^{(1-\delta)(l-1)} \leq |\xi| \leq 2^{(1-\delta)(l+1)} \right\} \quad (l \neq 0)$$

and

$$\text{supp } \widehat{M}_{j0} \subset \left\{ \xi : |\xi| \leq 2^{1-\delta} \right\}, \text{ and } \|M_{jl}\|_{\infty} \leq CA_r 2^{(\delta-1)rl}.$$

In virtue of condition (11), it is easy to see that there exists  $v = v(\delta) > 0$  such that

$$\text{supp } (M_{jl} \widehat{(2^{j\delta} x)} U_{j,h}) \subset \left\{ \xi : b^{-1} a^{j-1} \leq |\xi| \leq b a^{j+1} \right\} \quad \text{when } j \geq 1 + v,$$

and

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} &\text{supp } (M_{jl} \widehat{(2^{j\delta} x)} U_{j,h}) \subset \left\{ \xi : |\xi| \leq b a \right\}, \\ &\text{when } j < 1 + v \text{ for some } a > 1, b > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we decompose  $F_h u$  as follows:

$$(F_h u)(x) = \sum_{j=v}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{l=0}^{j-v} M_{jl} (2^{j\delta} x) U_{j,h} \right) + \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{l+v-1} M_{jl} (2^{j\delta} x) U_{j,h} \right)$$

$$= G_1 + G_2.$$

Now, we estimate  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|G_1\|_{(B_{pq}^s)_{loc}}^q &= \left\| \sum_{j=v}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{l=0}^{j-v} M_{jl}(2^{j\delta}x)U_{j,h} \right) \right\|_{(B_{pq}^s)_{loc}}^q \\ &\leq C \left( \sum_{j=v}^{\infty} \left( 2^{js} \left\| \sum_{l=0}^{j-v} M_{jl}(2^{j\delta}x)U_{j,h} \right\|_{L_{loc}^p} \right)^q \right) \\ &\leq C' \left( \sum_{j=v}^{\infty} \left( 2^{js} \left( \sum_{l=0}^{j-v} 2^{(\delta-1)rl} \right) \|U_{j,h}\|_{L_{loc}^p} \right)^q \right) \\ &\leq C'' \left( \sum_{j=v}^{\infty} \left( 2^{js} \|U_{j,h}\|_{L_{loc}^p} \right)^q \right) \\ &\leq C''' \|u\|_{(B_{pq}^s)_{comp}}^q. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $B_{pq}^{s_1} \subset B_{pq}^{s_2}$ ,  $s_1 < s_2$  and  $s < 0$ ,  $t = s + (1 - \delta)r > 0$ , by using Lemma 2.9, (16) and [4, Lemma 3], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|G_2\|_{(B_{pq}^s)_{loc}}^q &\leq \|G_2\|_{(B_{pq}^t)_{loc}}^q \\ &= C' \left( \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left( 2^{lt} \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{l+v-1} M_{jl}(2^{j\delta}x)U_{j,h} \right\|_{L_{loc}^p} \right)^q \right) \\ &\leq C'' \left( \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left( 2^{ls} \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{l+v-1} 2^{-sj} \left( 2^{sj} \|U_{j,h}\|_{L_{loc}^p} \right)^q \right) \right) \right) \\ &\leq C''' \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left( 2^{sj} \|U_{j,h}\|_{L_{loc}^p} \right)^q \\ &\leq C \|u\|_{(B_{pq}^s)_{comp}}^q. \end{aligned}$$

□

## REFERENCES

- [1] R.M. Beals, *L<sup>p</sup> boundedness of Fourier integral operators*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., **38** (264) (1982), 57p.+viii.
- [2] P. Benner, *L<sub>p</sub>-L<sub>p'</sub> estimates for Fourier integral operators related to hyperbolic equations*, Math. Z., **152** (1977), 273–286.
- [3] J. Bergh and J. Löfstörm, *Interpolation Spaces. An Introduction*, Vol. **223**, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1976.
- [4] G. Boudraud, *L<sup>p</sup> estimates for certain non regular pseudodifferential operators*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, **7** (1982), 1023–1033.

- [5] J. J. Duistermaat, *Fourier integral operators*, Courant Institute Lecture Notes, New York, 1974
- [6] O. Elong and A. Senoussaoui, *On the  $L^p$  boundedness of a class of semiclassical Fourier operators*, Mat. Vesnik, **70** (2018), 189–203.
- [7] C. Fefferman and E.M. Stein,  *$H^p$  spaces of several variables*, Acta Math., **129** (1972), 137–193.
- [8] C. Harrat and A. Senoussaoui, *On a class of  $h$ -Fourier integral operators*, Demonstr. Math., **47** (2014), 595–606.
- [9] L. Hörmander, *Fourier integral operators. I*, Acta Math. **127** (1971), 79–183.
- [10] L. Hörmander, *The analysis of linear partial differential operators III: Pseudo-differential operators*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2007.
- [11] A. Martinez, *An Introduction to Semiclassical and Microlocal Analysis*, Springer Verlag, 2002.
- [12] B. Messirdi and A. Senoussaoui, *Parametrix du problème de Cauchy  $C^\infty$  hyperbolique muni d'un système ordres de Leray-Volevïc*, Z. Anal. Anwend., **24** (2005), 581–592.
- [13] M. Moussai, *Continuity of pseudodifferential operators on Bessel and Besov spaces*, Serdica Math. J., **27** (2001), 249–262.
- [14] M. Moussai, *On the continuity of pseudodifferential operators on Besov spaces*, Analysis (Berlin), **26** (2006), 491–506.
- [15] J. Peral,  *$L^p$  Estimate for the Wave Equation*, Doctoral Dissertation, Princeton Univ., 1987.
- [16] Q. Qingjiu, *The Besov space boundedness for certain Fourier integral operators*, Acta Math. Sin., **5** (1985), 167–174.
- [17] D. Robert, *Autour de l'approximation semi classique*, Birkäuser, 1987.
- [18] E.M. Stein, *Interpolation of linear operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **83** (1956), 482–492.
- [19] H. Triebel, *Theory of Function Spaces*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1983.

Received April 9, 2018

Accepted January 31, 2019

*Université Oran 1, Ahmed Ben Bella  
Laboratoire de Mathématiques Fondamentales  
et Appliquées d'Oran (LMFAO)  
B.P. 1524 El M'naouar, Oran, Algeria  
E-mail: rekiamessiouene@yahoo.fr  
E-mail: senoussaoui\_abdou@yahoo.fr*