

## A SCHECHTER-TYPE CRITICAL POINT RESULT FOR LOCALLY LIPSCHITZ FUNCTIONS

ORSOLYA VAS

**Abstract.** Based on the variational principle of Ekeland, we prove a Schechter-type critical point existence theorem for locally Lipschitz functions defined on a ball of a Hilbert space. As application we give an existence result for a differential inclusion problem.

**MSC 2010.** 47J30, 58E05.

**Key words.** Variational principle, critical point, locally Lipschitz functions, Palais-Smale condition.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Concerning the critical points of a  $C^1$ -functional on a ball, Schechter proved [9] an existence and localization result. In this case, he also presents [10] a systematic way of finding critical points and shows that how this method can be used for solving partial differential equations. Schechter's original statements for extrema in a ball of a Hilbert space can be found in [10, Theorems 5.3.3 and 5.5.5].

In the articles [8, 6], Precup deals with the critical point theory [10] developed by Schechter. Based on the variational principle of Bishop-Phelps, he also gives in [8] a new proof to Schechter's theorem for these extrema.

The objective of the present paper is to extend the Schechter-type result of Precup [8] for locally Lipschitz functions. Confirming the applicability of this result, we present a differential inclusion problem.

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we recall some definitions and properties of locally Lipschitz functions and generalized gradients. Section 3 describes the abstract framework in which we work, the formulation of our main theorem and its proof. Concerning the applicability of our abstract result, Section 4 presents a concrete application of the theorem.

### 2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

In this section we recall some basic definitions and properties of locally Lipschitz functions from the theory developed by Clarke [2].

Let  $X$  be a Banach space,  $X^*$  be its topological dual space,  $U$  be an open subset of  $X$  and  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function.

---

The author was supported by Edutus Főiskola, Collegium Talentum of Hungary.

DEFINITION 2.1. The  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  function is called locally Lipschitz if for each point  $u \in U$  there exists a neighborhood  $N_u \subset U$  such that

$$|f(u_1) - f(u_2)| \leq K \|u_1 - u_2\|, \quad \forall u_1, u_2 \in N_u,$$

for a constant  $K > 0$  depending on  $N_u$ .

DEFINITION 2.2. The generalized directional derivative of the locally Lipschitz function  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  at the point  $u \in U$  in the direction  $v \in X$  is defined by

$$f^\circ(u; v) := \limsup_{\substack{w \rightarrow u \\ t \downarrow 0}} \frac{1}{t} [f(w + tv) - f(w)].$$

PROPOSITION 2.1. The generalized directional derivative of the locally Lipschitz function  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  has the following properties

- a) For every  $u \in U$  the function  $f^\circ(u; \cdot) : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is positively homogenous and subadditive and satisfies

$$|f^\circ(u; v)| \leq K \|v\|, \quad \forall v \in X.$$

Moreover, it is Lipschitz continuous on  $X$  with the Lipschitz constant  $K$ , where  $K > 0$  is a Lipschitz constant of  $f$  near  $u$ .

- b)  $f^\circ(\cdot; \cdot) : U \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is upper semicontinuous.  
 c)  $f^\circ(u; -v) := (-f)^\circ(u; v)$ ,  $\forall u \in U, \forall v \in X$ .

DEFINITION 2.3. The generalized gradient of the locally Lipschitz function  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  at the point  $u \in U$  is a subset of  $X^*$ , defined by

$$\partial f(u) = \{z \in X^* : \langle z, v \rangle \leq f^\circ(u; v), \forall v \in X\}.$$

PROPOSITION 2.2. Let  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a locally Lipschitz function. Then the following assertions hold:

- a) For every  $u \in U$ ,  $\partial f(u)$  is a nonempty, convex and weakly-compact subset of  $X^*$  which is bounded by the Lipschitz constant  $K > 0$  of  $f$  near  $u$ .  
 b) For every  $u \in U$ ,  $f^\circ(u; \cdot)$  is the support function of  $\partial f(u)$ ,

$$f^\circ(u; v) = \max \{\langle z, v \rangle : z \in \partial f(u)\}, \forall v \in X.$$

- c) The set valued map  $\partial f : U \rightarrow X^*$  is weakly-closed, that is, if  $\{u_n\} \subset U$  and  $\{z_n\} \subset X^*$  are sequences such that  $u_n \rightarrow u$  strongly in  $X$ ,  $z_n \in \partial f(u_n)$  and  $z_n \rightarrow z$  weakly in  $X^*$  for  $u \in U, z \in X^*$ , then  $z \in \partial f(u)$ .

DEFINITION 2.4 (Palais–Smale condition). The locally Lipschitz function  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies the non-smooth Palais-Smale condition at level  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  if any sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset U$  which satisfies

- a)  $f(u_n) \rightarrow c$ ;  
 b) there exists  $\{\varepsilon_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}, \varepsilon_n \downarrow 0$  such that  $f^\circ(u_n; v - u_n) + \varepsilon_n \|v - u_n\| \geq 0$ , for all  $v \in U$  and all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

admits a convergent subsequence. If this holds for every  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  we say that  $f$  satisfies the non-smooth Palais-Smale condition.

**THEOREM 2.1** (Ekeland's variational principle). *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and let  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a lower semicontinuous, proper and bounded from below function. For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists some point  $x_\varepsilon \in X$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_\varepsilon) &\leq \inf_{x \in X} f(x) + \varepsilon; \\ f(y) &> f(x_\varepsilon) - \varepsilon d(x_\varepsilon, y), \forall y \in X. \end{aligned}$$

### 3. MAIN RESULT

Let  $(X, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be a Hilbert space with the inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and the norm  $\|\cdot\| = \sqrt{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$ , consider the origin centered closed ball  $\overline{B}_R = \{x \in X : \|x\| \leq R\}$  of  $X$  with radius  $R > 0$  and denote by  $B_R = \{x \in X : \|x\| < R\}$  the origin centered open ball of  $X$  having radius  $R > 0$ .

**DEFINITION 3.1.** *Let  $F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a locally Lipschitz function. In the space  $X$  we consider the sphere  $S_R$  of center 0 and radius  $R > 0$ , i.e.,  $S_R = \{x \in X : \|x\| = R\}$ . The generalized gradient  $\partial(F|_{S_R})(u)$  at  $u \in S_R$  is defined by*

$$\partial(F|_{S_R})(u) = \left\{ w^* - \frac{1}{R^2} \langle w^*, u \rangle \Lambda u; w^* \in \partial F(u) \right\},$$

where  $\Lambda : X \rightarrow X^*$  denotes the duality mapping.

Using the aforementioned notations we can state the main result of the paper.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $F : \overline{B}_R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a locally Lipschitz function, which is bounded from below. There exist a sequence  $(x_n) \subset \overline{B}_R$ , such that  $F(x_n) \rightarrow \inf F(\overline{B}_R)$  and one of the following two situations holds:*

- a)  $\lambda_F(x_n) \rightarrow 0$ ;
- b)  $\|x_n\| = R$  and  $\langle w_n^*, x_n \rangle \leq 0$ , for all  $n$  and  $w_n^* \in \partial F(x_n)$ , then  $\lambda_{F, S_R}(x_n) \rightarrow 0$ ,

where  $\partial F(x_n)$  is the generalized gradient of the locally Lipschitz function  $F$  and

$$\lambda_{F, S_R}(x_n) = \inf \left\{ w^* - \frac{1}{R^2} \langle w^*, x_n \rangle \Lambda x_n, w^* \in \partial F(x_n) \right\}.$$

If in addition  $\langle x^*, x \rangle \geq -a > -\infty$  for all  $x \in \partial \overline{B}_R$ ,  $x^* \in \partial F(x)$ ,  $F$  satisfies a Palais-Smale type compactness condition and the boundary condition

$$(3.1) \quad x^* + \mu \Lambda x \neq 0 \text{ for all } x \in \partial \overline{B}_R \text{ and } \mu > 0,$$

holds, then there exists  $x \in \partial \overline{B}_R$  with

$$F(x) = \inf F(\overline{B}_R).$$

*Proof.* We apply the variational principle of Ekeland stated in Theorem 2.1, to the closed set  $X = \overline{B}_R$ , to the continuous and bounded from below function  $f = F$ , to distance  $d(x, y) = \|x - y\|$ ,  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{n}$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ ) and to  $x_\varepsilon \in \overline{B}_R$  with

$$F(x_\varepsilon) \leq \inf_{x \in \overline{B}_R} F(x) + \frac{1}{n}.$$

In this case there exists a sequence  $(x_n) \in \overline{B}_R$  such that

$$(3.2) \quad F(x_n) \leq F(x_\varepsilon) \leq \inf_{x \in \overline{B}_R} F(x) + \frac{1}{n}$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad F(x_n) < F(y) + \frac{1}{n} \|x_n - y\| \text{ for every } y \in \overline{B}_R \setminus \{x_n\}.$$

From (3.2) follows that  $F(x_n) \rightarrow \inf F(\overline{B}_R)$ .

The sequence  $(x_n)$  belongs to  $\overline{B}_R$ , hence we distinguish two possible cases:

- (1) there exists a subsequence of  $(x_n)$ , also denoted by  $(x_n)$ , such that  $\|x_n\| < R$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (2) there exists a subsequence of  $(x_n)$ , also denoted by  $(x_n)$ , such that  $\|x_n\| = R$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

In case (1) we suppose that  $\|x_n\| < R$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For a fixed  $n$  and any  $z \in X$  with  $\|z\| = 1$  let  $y := x_n - tz$ , where  $t > 0$ . For  $t$  small enough  $\|y\| \leq R$ , thus  $y$  still belongs to  $\overline{B}_R$ . By consequence (3.3) of the variational principle of Ekeland we have

$$F(x_n) < F(x_n - tz) + \frac{1}{n} \|x_n - (x_n - tz)\|,$$

therefore

$$F(x_n) - F(x_n - tz) < \frac{t}{n}.$$

Dividing by  $t > 0$  and taking  $t \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain

$$-F^\circ(x_n, -z) < \frac{1}{n}.$$

The property of the generalized directional derivative stated in Proposition 2.2 gives us

$$-\max\{\langle w_n^*, -z \rangle : w_n^* \in \partial F(x_n)\} < \frac{1}{n},$$

thus

$$\min\{\langle w_n^*, z \rangle : w_n^* \in \partial F(x_n)\} < \frac{1}{n} \text{ for any } z \in X, \text{ where } \|z\| = 1.$$

Then there exists  $w_n^* \in \partial F(x_n)$  such that  $z = \frac{w_n^*}{\|w_n^*\|}$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \min \left\{ \left\langle w_n^*, \frac{w_n^*}{\|w_n^*\|} \right\rangle : w_n^* \in \partial F(x_n) \right\} &< \frac{1}{n} \\ \min \left\{ \frac{\|w_n^*\|^2}{\|w_n^*\|} : w_n^* \in \partial F(x_n) \right\} &< \frac{1}{n} \\ \min \{ \|w_n^*\| : w_n^* \in \partial F(x_n) \} &< \frac{1}{n}, \end{aligned}$$

whence it follows that  $\lambda_F(x_n) \rightarrow 0$ . Therefore, in this case, the property (a) of the theorem holds.

In case (2) we suppose that  $\|x_n\| = R$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For a fixed  $n$  and any  $z \in X$  with  $\|z\| = 1$  let  $y := x_n - tz$ , where  $t > 0$ . For such a  $y$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|y\|^2 &= \|x_n - tz\|^2 = \langle x_n - tz, x_n - tz \rangle = \|x_n\|^2 - 2t\langle x_n, z \rangle + t^2\|z\|^2 \\ &= R^2 - 2t\langle x_n, z \rangle + t^2. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\langle x_n, z \rangle > 0$ , then there exists  $t \in (0, 2\langle x_n, z \rangle)$  for which  $y$  still belongs to  $\overline{B}_R$ , thus we also have

$$(3.4) \quad -F^\circ(x_n, -z) < \frac{1}{n}.$$

If  $\langle x_n, z \rangle = 0$  for any fixed  $n$  we choose a subsequence  $(z_k)$  such that  $z_k \rightarrow z$  and  $\langle x_n, z_k \rangle > 0$ . Then  $F^\circ(x_n, -z_k) \rightarrow F^\circ(x_n, -z)$  holds, and we obtain

$$(3.5) \quad -F^\circ(x_n, -z) < \frac{1}{n}.$$

Hence (3.4) and (3.5) gives us

$$(3.6) \quad -F^\circ(x_n, -z) < \frac{1}{n} \text{ for every } z \in X \text{ with } \|z\| = 1 \text{ and } \langle x_n, z \rangle \geq 0.$$

Henceforward, two subcases are possible:

- (i) there exists a subsequence of  $(x_n)$ , also denoted by  $(x_n)$ , such that  $\langle w_n^*, x_n \rangle > 0$  for  $w_n^* \in \partial F(x_n)$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (ii) there exists a subsequence of  $(x_n)$ , also denoted by  $(x_n)$ , such that  $\langle w_n^*, x_n \rangle \leq 0$  for  $w_n^* \in \partial F(x_n)$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

In case (i), by taking  $z = \frac{w_n^*}{\|w_n^*\|}$  in (3.6), we also obtain

$$-F^\circ(x_n, -z) < \frac{1}{n},$$

whence it follows that  $\lambda_F(x_n) \rightarrow 0$ , thus for the sequence  $(x_n)$  the property (a) of the theorem holds.

In case (ii) let  $z \in X$  be such that  $\|z\| = 1$ . By taking  $z = \frac{z_n^*}{\|z_n^*\|}$ , where  $z_n^* = w_n^* - \frac{\langle w_n^*, x_n \rangle}{R^2} x_n$  in (3.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle w_n^*, z \rangle &= \left\langle w_n^*, \frac{z_n^*}{\|z_n^*\|} \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle w_n^* - \frac{\langle w_n^*, x_n \rangle}{R^2} x_n, \frac{z_n^*}{\|z_n^*\|} \right\rangle + \frac{\langle w_n^*, x_n \rangle}{R^2} \left\langle x_n, \frac{z_n^*}{\|z_n^*\|} \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle w_n^* - \frac{\langle w_n^*, x_n \rangle}{R^2} x_n, \frac{z_n^*}{\|z_n^*\|} \right\rangle + \frac{\langle w_n^*, x_n \rangle}{R^2 \|z_n^*\|} \langle x_n, z_n^* \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \langle z_n^*, x_n \rangle &= \langle w_n^*, x_n \rangle - \frac{\langle w_n^*, x_n \rangle}{R^2} \langle x_n, x_n \rangle \\ &= \langle w_n^*, x_n \rangle - \frac{\langle w_n^*, x_n \rangle}{R^2} \|x_n\|^2 \\ &= \langle w_n^*, x_n \rangle - \frac{\langle w_n^*, x_n \rangle}{R^2} R^2 \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and by the definition of  $z_n^*$  we get

$$\langle w_n^*, z \rangle = \left\langle w_n^* - \frac{\langle w_n^*, x_n \rangle}{R^2} x_n, \frac{z_n^*}{\|z_n^*\|} \right\rangle = \left\langle z_n^*, \frac{z_n^*}{\|z_n^*\|} \right\rangle = \|z_n^*\|,$$

hence

$$\lambda_{F, S_R}(x_n) = \min\{\|z_n^*\| : w_n^* \in \partial F(x_n)\} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Thus for the sequence  $(x_n)$  the property (b) of the theorem holds.

Finally, if  $\langle x^*, x \rangle \geq -a > -\infty$  holds for all  $x \in \partial \bar{B}_R$ ,  $x^* \in \partial F(x)$  and the function  $F$  satisfies the Palais-Smale condition, we may assume that  $\langle x_n^*, x_n \rangle \rightarrow b$ , where  $b \leq 0$ . Then, by the Palais-Smale condition, there exists a convergent subsequence  $(x_n)$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$ ,  $\|x_n\| = R$ , where  $x \in B_R$ . Using Proposition 2.2, there exist sequences  $(x_n) \subset B_R$  and  $x_n^* \in \partial F(x_n)$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$  strongly in  $X$  and  $x_n^* \rightarrow x^*$  weakly in  $X^*$  for  $x \in B_R$  and  $x^* \in \partial F(x)$ . Then

$$x_n^* - \frac{\langle x_n^*, x_n \rangle}{R^2} \Lambda x_n = x^* - \frac{b}{R^2} \Lambda x = 0,$$

whence

$$x^* + \mu \Lambda x = 0,$$

where  $\mu = -\frac{\langle x^*, x \rangle}{R^2} = -\frac{b}{R^2} \geq 0$ . The boundary condition (3.1) excludes the case that  $\mu > 0$ , thus we obtain  $x^* = 0$ , consequently

$$F(x_n) = F(x) = \inf F(\bar{B}_R).$$

□

#### 4. APPLICATION

In this section we give a concrete application of our main result.

Let  $\Omega$  denote a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with the  $C^1$  regular boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . Consider the Sobolev space  $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$  equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W_0^{1,2}} = \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sqrt{\langle u, u \rangle}.$$

Let  $W_0^{-1,2}(\Omega)$  denote the topological dual space  $(W_0^{1,2}(\Omega))^*$ .

From the Sobolev embedding theorem, [1], we know that the embedding  $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega)$  is compact for all  $q \in (1, 2^* = \frac{2N}{N-2})$ , hence there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\|u\|_{L^q} \leq C \|u\|_{W_0^{1,2}}, \quad \forall u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega).$$

Let the Carathéodory function  $F : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfy the conditions:

- a)  $F(\cdot, u)$  is measurable for each  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ ;
- b)  $F(x, \cdot)$  is locally Lipschitz for each  $x \in \Omega$ ;
- c)  $F(\cdot, 0) \in L^1(\Omega)$ .

We consider the following non-smooth Dirichlet problem

$$(P) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u \in \partial_y F(x, u) & \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

We assume that the function  $F : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies the growth condition

$$(4.1) \quad |z| \leq a(x) + b(x) |y|^{q-1}, \quad \text{for } \forall z \in \partial_y F(x, y), (x, y) \in (\Omega \times \mathbb{R}),$$

where  $a \in L^{\frac{q}{q-1}}(\Omega)$ ,  $b \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  are positive functions and  $q \in (1, 2^*)$ , with  $2^* = \frac{2N}{N-2}$ .

We introduce the notations

$$\overline{B}_R = \{u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) : \|u\|_{W_0^{1,2}} \leq R\}$$

and

$$S_R = \{u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) : \|u\|_{W_0^{1,2}} = R\}.$$

**DEFINITION 4.1.** *A function  $u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$  is a weak solution of problem (P) if there exists  $w_F(x) \in \partial_y F(x, u(x))$  for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$  such that for all  $v \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$  we have*

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u(x) \nabla v(x) dx = \int_{\Omega} w_F(x) \cdot v(x) dx.$$

Let  $I : W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$I(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) dx, \quad \forall u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega),$$

be the energy functional associated to the problem (P). The critical points of the energy functional  $I$  are the weak solutions of (P).

PROPOSITION 4.1. *If  $R > 0$  is the solution of the inequality in  $\mathbb{R}$*

$$(4.2) \quad R - \|b\|_{L^\infty} \cdot C_q^q \cdot R^{q-1} > \|a\|_{L^{\frac{q}{q-1}}} \cdot C_q,$$

then

$$\langle u^*, u \rangle + \mu \cdot \langle \Lambda u, u \rangle \neq 0, \quad \forall u^* \in \partial I(u)$$

for any  $\mu > 0$ , where  $u \in S_R$ .

*Proof.* We prove this proposition by contradiction. We assume that there exists  $u \in S_R$  and  $\mu > 0$  such that  $\langle u^*, u \rangle + \mu \cdot \langle \Lambda u, u \rangle = 0$  for any  $u^* \in \partial I(u)$ . Then using the growth condition (4.1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} w_F(x) \cdot u(x) dx &\leq \int_{\Omega} a(x) \cdot u(x) + b(x) \cdot |u(x)|^q dx < R^2 \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} a(x) \cdot u(x) dx + \int_{\Omega} b(x) \cdot |u(x)|^q dx \\ &\leq \left( \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \cdot \|a\|_{L^{\frac{q}{q-1}}} + \left[ \left( \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right]^q \cdot \|b\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq \|a\|_{L^{\frac{q}{q-1}}} \cdot \|u\|_{L^q} + \|b\|_{L^\infty} \cdot \|u\|_{L^q}^q. \end{aligned}$$

By the Sobolev embedding theorem

$$\int_{\Omega} w_F(x) \cdot u(x) dx \leq \|a\|_{L^{\frac{q}{q-1}}} \cdot C_q \|u\|_{W_0^{1,2}} + \|b\|_{L^\infty} \cdot C_q^q \|u\|_{W_0^{1,2}}^q.$$

Since our assumption gives us

$$(1 + \mu) \|u\|_{W_0^{1,2}}^2 = \int_{\Omega} w_F(x) \cdot u(x) dx,$$

we have

$$(1 + \mu) \|u\|_{W_0^{1,2}}^2 \leq \|a\|_{L^{\frac{q}{q-1}}} \cdot C_q \|u\|_{W_0^{1,2}} + \|b\|_{L^\infty} \cdot C_q^q \|u\|_{W_0^{1,2}}^q.$$

We know that  $1 + \mu > 0$ , therefore

$$\|u\|_{W_0^{1,2}}^2 \leq (1 + \mu) \|u\|_{W_0^{1,2}}^2 \leq \|a\|_{L^{\frac{q}{q-1}}} \cdot C_q \cdot \|u\|_{W_0^{1,2}} + \|b\|_{L^\infty} \cdot C_q^q \cdot \|u\|_{W_0^{1,2}}^q.$$

Using that  $u \in S_R$ , namely  $\|u\|_{W_0^{1,2}} = R$ , we get

$$R^2 \leq (1 + \mu) R^2 \leq \|a\|_{L^{\frac{q}{q-1}}} \cdot C_q \cdot R + \|b\|_{L^\infty} \cdot C_q^q \cdot R^q.$$

Dividing the inequality by  $R > 0$  and rearranging it, we obtain

$$(4.3) \quad R - \|b\|_{L^\infty} \cdot C_q^q \cdot R^{q-1} \leq \|a\|_{L^{\frac{q}{q-1}}} \cdot C_q.$$

The condition (4.2) implies that (4.3) cannot be satisfied.  $\square$



Using the conditions of Proposition 4.1 and Theorem 3.1, we can state the next result.

**THEOREM 4.1.** *If we choose  $R > 0$  to be the solution of the inequality*

$$R - \|b\|_{L^\infty} \cdot C_q^q \cdot R^{q-1} > \|a\|_{L^{\frac{q}{q-1}}} \cdot C_q,$$

*in  $\mathbb{R}$  then, the problem (P) admits a weak solution  $u \in \overline{B}_R$ , which minimizes  $I$  on  $\overline{B}_R$ .*

#### REFERENCES

- [1] BRÉZIS, H., *Functional Analysis, Sobolev Spaces and Partial Differential Equations*, Universitext, Springer, New York, 2011.
- [2] CLARKE, F., *Optimization and Nonsmooth Analysis*, John Wiley-Sons, New York, 1983.
- [3] GRANAS, A., DUGUNDJI, J., *Fixed Point Theory*, Springer, New York, 2003.
- [4] MOTREANU, D., PANAGIOTOPOULOS, P. D., *Minimax Theorems and Qualitative Properties of the Solutions of Hemivariational Inequalities*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1999.
- [5] PRECUP, R., *A compression type mountain pass theorem in conical shells*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **338** (2008), 1116–1130.
- [6] PRECUP, R., *The Leray-Schauder condition in critical point theory*, Nonlinear Anal., **71** (2009), 3218–3228.
- [7] PRECUP, R., *Critical point localization theorems via Ekeland's variational principle*, Dynam. Systems Appl., **22** (2013), 355–370.
- [8] PRECUP, R., *On a bounded critical point theorem of Schechter*, Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math., **58** (2013), 87–95.
- [9] SCHECHTER, M., *A bounded mountain pass lemma without the (PS) condition and applications*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **331** (1991), 681–703.
- [10] SCHECHTER, M., *Linking Methods in Critical Point Theory*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1999.

Received October 23, 2015

Accepted November 24, 2015

*Babeş-Bolyai University*  
*Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science*  
*Str. M. Kogălniceanu 1*  
*400084 Cluj Napoca, Romania*  
*E-mail: vas.orsolya@math.ubbcluj.ro*