

DERIVED CONES TO REACHABLE SETS OF
STURM-LIOUVILLE TYPE DIFFERENTIAL INCLUSIONS

AURELIAN CERNEA

Abstract. We consider a second-order differential inclusion and we prove that the reachable set of a certain second-order variational inclusion is a derived cone in the sense of Hestenes to the reachable set of the initial differential inclusion. This result allows to obtain a sufficient condition for local controllability along a reference trajectory.

MSC 2010. 34A60, 93C15.

Key words. Derived cone, differential inclusions, local controllability.

1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of derived cone to an arbitrary subset of a normed space has been introduced by M. Hestenes in [12] and successfully used to obtain necessary optimality conditions in Control Theory. Afterwards, this concept has been largely ignored in favor of other concepts of tangents cones, that may intrinsically be associated to a point of a given set: the cone of interior directions, the contingent, the quasitangent and, above all, Clarke's tangent cone (e.g., [1]). Mirică ([13, 14]) obtained “an intersection property” of derived cones that allowed a conceptually simple proof and significant extensions of the maximum principle in optimal control; moreover, other properties of derived cones may be used to obtain controllability and other results in the qualitative theory of control systems. In our previous papers [2, 3, 4, 10] we identified derived cones to the reachable sets of certain classes of discrete and differential inclusions in terms of a variational inclusion associated to the initial discrete or differential inclusion.

In the present note we consider second-order differential inclusions of the form

$$(1) \quad (p(t)x'(t))' \in F(t, x(t)) \quad \text{a.e. } ([0, T]), \quad x(0) \in X_0, \quad x'(0) \in X_1,$$

where $F : [0, T] \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$ is a set-valued map, X is a separable Banach space, $X_0, X_1 \subset X$ are closed sets and $p(\cdot) : [0, T] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is continuous. Our aim is to prove that the reachable set of a certain second-order variational inclusion is a derived cone in the sense of Hestenes to the reachable set of the problem (1). In order to obtain the continuity property in the definition of a derived cone we shall use a continuous version of Filippov's theorem for solutions of differential inclusions (1) obtained in [5]. As an application, when X is finite dimensional, we obtain a sufficient condition for local controllability along a reference trajectory.

We note that existence results and qualitative properties of the solutions of problem (1) may be found in [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11] etc.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we present the notations and the preliminary results to be used in the sequel and in Section 3 we provide our main results.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Since the reachable set to a control system is, generally, neither a differentiable manifold, nor a convex set, its infinitesimal properties may be characterized only by tangent cones in a generalized sense, extending the classical concepts of tangent cones in Differential Geometry and Convex Analysis, respectively. From the rather large number of “convex approximations”, “tents”, “regular tangents cones”, etc. in the literature, we choose the concept of derived cone introduced by M. Hestenes in [12].

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed space.

DEFINITION 1 ([12]). A subset $M \subset X$ is said to be a *derived set* to $E \subset X$ at $x \in E$ if for any finite subset $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\} \subset M$, there exist $s_0 > 0$ and a continuous mapping $a(\cdot) : [0, s_0]^k \rightarrow E$ such that $a(0) = x$ and $a(\cdot)$ is (conically) differentiable at $s = 0$ with the derivative $\text{col}[v_1, \dots, v_k]$ in the sense that

$$\lim_{\mathbb{R}_+^k \ni \theta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|a(\theta) - a(0) - \sum_{i=1}^k \theta_i v_i\|}{\|\theta\|} = 0.$$

We shall write in this case that the derivative of $a(\cdot)$ at $s = 0$ is given by

$$Da(0)\theta = \sum_{i=1}^k \theta_i v_i \quad \forall \theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k) \in \mathbb{R}_+^k := [0, \infty)^k.$$

A subset $C \subset X$ is said to be a *derived cone* of E at x if it is a derived set and also a convex cone.

For the basic properties of derived sets and cones we refer to M. Hestenes [12]; we recall that if M is a derived set, then $M \cup \{0\}$, as well as the convex cone $\text{cco}(M) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i v_i; \lambda_i \geq 0, k \in \mathbb{N}, v_i \in M, i = 1, \dots, k \right\}$ generated by M is also a derived set, hence a derived cone.

The fact that the derived cone is a proper generalization of the classical concepts in Differential Geometry and Convex Analysis is illustrated by the following results [12]: if $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a differentiable manifold and $T_x E$ is the tangent space in the sense of Differential Geometry to E at x , $T_x E = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^n; \exists c : (-s, s) \rightarrow E, \text{ of class } C^1, c(0) = x, c'(0) = v\}$, then $T_x E$ is a derived cone; also, if $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a convex subset then the tangent cone in the sense of Convex Analysis defined by $TC_x E = \text{cl}\{t(y - x); t \geq 0, y \in E\}$ is also a derived cone. Since any convex subcone of a derived cone is also a derived cone, such an object may not be uniquely associated to a point $x \in E$;

moreover, simple examples show that even a maximal with respect to set-inclusion derived cone may not be uniquely defined: if the set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is defined by $E = C_1 \cup C_2$, $C_1 = \{(x, x); x \geq 0\}$, $C_2 = \{(x, -x), x \leq 0\}$, then C_1 and C_2 are both maximal derived cones of E at the point $(0, 0) \in E$.

On the other hand, the up-to-date experience in Nonsmooth Analysis shows that for some problems, the use of one of the intrinsic tangent cones may be preferable. From the multitude of the intrinsic tangent cones in the literature (e.g. [1]), the contingent, the quasitangent (intermediate) and Clarke's tangent cones, defined by, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} K_x E &= \left\{ v \in X; \exists s_m \rightarrow 0+, \exists x_m \rightarrow x, x_m \in E : \frac{x_m - x}{s_m} \rightarrow v \right\}, \\ Q_x E &= \left\{ v \in X; \forall s_m \rightarrow 0+, \exists x_m \rightarrow x, x_m \in E : \frac{x_m - x}{s_m} \rightarrow v \right\}, \\ C_x E &= \left\{ v \in X; \forall (x_m, s_m) \rightarrow (x, 0+), x_m \in E, \exists y_m \in E : \frac{y_m - x_m}{s_m} \rightarrow v \right\} \end{aligned}$$

seem to be among the most oftenly used in the study of different problems involving nonsmooth sets and mappings.

An outstanding property of derived cone, obtained by Hestenes [12, Theorem 4.7.4] is stated in the next lemma.

LEMMA 2 ([12]). *Let $X = \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $x \in \text{int}(E)$ if and only if $C = \mathbb{R}^n$ is a derived cone at $x \in E$ to E .*

Corresponding to each type of tangent cone, say $\tau_x E$ one may introduce (e.g. [1]) a *set-valued directional derivative* of a multifunction $G(\cdot) : E \subset X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$ (in particular of a single-valued mapping) at a point $(x, y) \in \text{Graph}(G)$ as follows $\tau_y G(x; v) = \{w \in X; (v, w) \in \tau_{(x,y)} \text{Graph}(G)\}$, $v \in \tau_x E$.

We recall that a set-valued map, $A(\cdot) : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$ is said to be a *convex* (respectively, *closed convex*) *process* if $\text{Graph}(A(\cdot)) \subset X \times X$ is a convex (respectively, closed convex) cone. For the basic properties of convex processes we refer to [1], but we shall use here only the above definition.

Let denote by I the interval $[0, T]$ and let X be a real separable Banach space with the norm $\|\cdot\|$ and with the corresponding metric $d(\cdot, \cdot)$. Denote by $\mathcal{L}(I)$ the σ -algebra of all Lebesgue measurable subsets of I , by $\mathcal{P}(X)$ the family of all nonempty subsets of X and by $\mathcal{B}(X)$ the family of all Borel subsets of X . Recall that the Pompeiu-Hausdorff distance between the nonempty closed subsets $A, B \subset X$ is defined by $d_H(A, B) = \max\{d^*(A, B), d^*(B, A)\}$, $d^*(A, B) = \sup\{d(a, B); a \in A\}$, where $d(x, B) = \inf_{y \in B} d(x, y)$.

As usual, we denote by $C(I, X)$ the Banach space of all continuous functions $x(\cdot) : I \rightarrow X$ endowed with the norm $\|x(\cdot)\|_C = \sup_{t \in I} \|x(t)\|$ and by $L^1(I, X)$ the Banach space of all (Bochner) integrable functions $x(\cdot) : I \rightarrow X$ endowed with the norm $\|x(\cdot)\|_1 = \int_I \|x(t)\| dt$.

Consider $F : I \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$ a set-valued map, $x_0, x_1 \in X$ and $p(\cdot) : I \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ a continuous mapping that defined the Cauchy problem

$$(2) \quad (p(t)x'(t))' \in F(t, x(t)) \quad \text{a.e. } ([0, T]), \quad x(0) = x_0, \quad x'(0) = x_1.$$

A continuous mapping $x(\cdot) \in C(I, X)$ is called a solution of problem (2) if there exists a (Bochner) integrable function $f(\cdot) \in L^1(I, X)$ such that:

$$(3) \quad f(t) \in F(t, x(t)) \quad \text{a.e. } (I),$$

$$(4) \quad x(t) = x_0 + p(0)x_1 \int_0^t \frac{1}{p(s)} ds + \int_0^t \frac{1}{p(s)} \int_0^s f(u) du ds \quad \forall t \in I.$$

Note that, if we denote $S(t, u) := \int_u^t \frac{1}{p(s)} ds$, $t \in I$, then (4) rewrites as

$$(5) \quad x(t) = x_0 + p(0)x_1 S(t, 0) + \int_0^t S(t, u) f(u) du \quad \forall t \in I.$$

We call $(x(\cdot), f(\cdot))$ a *trajectory-selection pair* of (2) if (3) and (4) are satisfied.

HYPOTHESIS 3. i) $F(\cdot, \cdot) : I \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$ has nonempty closed values and is $\mathcal{L}(I) \otimes \mathcal{B}(X)$ measurable.

ii) There exists $L(\cdot) \in L^1(I, \mathbb{R}_+)$ such that, for any $t \in I$, $F(t, \cdot)$ is $L(t)$ -Lipschitz in the sense that $d_H(F(t, x_1), F(t, x_2)) \leq L(t)\|x_1 - x_2\|$, $\forall x_1, x_2 \in X$.

The main tool in characterizing derived cones to reachable sets of semilinear differential inclusions is a certain version of Filippov's theorem for differential inclusion (2).

HYPOTHESIS 4. Let S be a separable metric space, $X_0, X_1 \subset X$ are closed sets, $a_0(\cdot) : S \rightarrow X_0$, $a_1(\cdot) : S \rightarrow X_1$ and $c(\cdot) : S \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ are given continuous mappings. The continuous mappings $g(\cdot) : S \rightarrow L^1(I, X)$, $y(\cdot) : S \rightarrow C(I, X)$ are given such that

$$(p(t)(y(s))'(t))' = g(s)(t), \quad y(s)(0) \in X_0, \quad (y(s))'(0) \in X_1.$$

There exists a continuous function $q(\cdot) : S \rightarrow L^1(I, \mathbb{R}_+)$ such that

$$d(g(s)(t), F(t, y(s)(t))) \leq q(s)(t) \quad \text{a.e. } (I), \quad \forall s \in S.$$

THEOREM 5 ([5]). Assume that Hypotheses 3 and 4 are satisfied. Then there exist $M > 0$ and the continuous functions $x(\cdot) : S \rightarrow L^1(I, X)$, $h(\cdot) : S \rightarrow C(I, X)$ such that for any $s \in S$ $(x(s)(\cdot), h(s)(\cdot))$ is a trajectory-selection of (1) satisfying for any $(t, s) \in I \times S$

$$(6) \quad x(s)(0) = a_0(s), \quad (x(s))'(0) = a_1(s),$$

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} \|x(s)(t) - y(s)(t)\| \leq M & \left[c(s) + \|a_0(s) - y(s)(0)\| \right. \\ & \left. + \|a_1(s) - (y(s))'(0)\| + \int_0^t q(s)(u) du \right]. \end{aligned}$$

3. THE MAIN RESULT

Our object of study is the reachable set of (1) defined by $R_F(T, X_0, X_1) := \{x(T); x(\cdot) \text{ is a solution of (1)}\}$. We consider a certain variational second-order differential inclusion and we shall prove that the reachable set of this variational inclusion from derived cones $C_0 \subset X$ to X_0 and $C_1 \subset X$ to X_1 at time T is a derived cone to the reachable set $R_F(T, X_0, X_1)$.

Throughout this section we assume

HYPOTHESIS 6. i) *Hypothesis 3 is satisfied and $X_0, X_1 \subset X$ are closed sets.*

ii) *$(z(\cdot), f(\cdot)) \in C(I, X) \times L^1(I, X)$ is a trajectory-selection pair of (1) and a family $P(t, \cdot) : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$, $t \in I$ of convex processes satisfying the condition*

$$(8) \quad P(t, u) \subset Q_{f(t)}F(t, \cdot)(z(t); u) \quad \forall u \in \text{dom}(P(t, \cdot)), \text{ a.e. } t \in I$$

is assumed to be given and defines the variational inclusion

$$(9) \quad (p(t)v'(t))' \in P(t, v(t)).$$

Remark. We note that for any set-valued map $F(\cdot, \cdot)$, one may find an infinite number of families of convex process $P(t, \cdot)$, $t \in I$, satisfying condition (8); in fact any family of closed convex subcones of the quasitangent cones, $\bar{P}(t) \subset Q_{(z(t), f(t))} \text{Graph}(F(t, \cdot))$, defines the family of closed convex process $P(t, u) = \{v \in X; (u, v) \in \bar{P}(t)\}$, $u, v \in X$, $t \in I$ that satisfy condition (8). One is tempted, of course, to take as an ‘‘intrinsic’’ family of such closed convex process, for example Clarke’s convex-valued directional derivatives $C_{f(t)}F(t, \cdot)(z(t); \cdot)$.

We recall (e.g. [1]) that, since $F(t, \cdot)$ is assumed to be Lipschitz a.e. on I , the quasitangent directional derivative is given by

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} & Q_{f(t)}F(t, \cdot)((z(t); u)) \\ & = \left\{ w \in X; \lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\theta} d(f(t) + \theta w, F(t, z(t) + \theta u)) = 0 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We are able now to prove the main result of this paper.

THEOREM 7. *Assume that Hypothesis 6 is satisfied, let $C_0 \subset X$ be a derived cone to X_0 at $z(0)$ and $C_1 \subset X$ be a derived cone to X_1 at $z'(0)$. Then the reachable set $R_P(T, C_0, C_1)$ of (9) is a derived cone to $R_F(T, X_0, X_1)$ at $z(T)$.*

Proof. In view of Definition 1, let $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\} \subset R_P(T, C_0, C_1)$, hence such that there exist the trajectory-selection pairs $(u_1(\cdot), g_1(\cdot)), \dots, (u_m(\cdot), g_m(\cdot))$ of the variational inclusion (9) such that

$$u_j(T) = v_j, \quad u_j(0) \in C_0, \quad u_j'(0) \in C_1, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Since $C_0 \subset X$ is a derived cone to X_0 at $z(0)$ and $C_1 \subset X$ is a derived cone to X_1 at $z'(0)$, there exist the continuous mappings $a_0 : S = [0, \theta_0]^m \rightarrow X_0$,

$a_1 : S \rightarrow X_1$ such that

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} a_0(0) &= z(0), & Da_0(0)s &= \sum_{j=1}^m s_j u_j(0) \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}_+^m, \\ a_1(0) &= z'(0), & Da_1(0)s &= \sum_{j=1}^m s_j u_j'(0) \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}_+^m. \end{aligned}$$

Further on, for any $s = (s_1, \dots, s_m) \in S$ and $t \in I$ we denote

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} y(s)(t) &= z(t) + \sum_{j=1}^m s_j u_j(t), \\ g(s)(t) &= f(t) + \sum_{j=1}^m s_j g_j(t), \\ q(s)(t) &= d(g(s)(t), F(t, y(s)(t))) \end{aligned}$$

and prove that $y(\cdot)$, $q(\cdot)$ satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 5.

Using the lipschitzianity of $F(t, \cdot, \cdot)$ we have that for any $s \in S$, the measurable function $q(s)(\cdot)$ in (12) is also integrable:

$$\begin{aligned} q(s)(t) &= d(g(s)(t), F(t, y(s)(t))) \leq \sum_{j=1}^m s_j \|g_j(t)\| + d_H(F(t, z(t)), \\ &F(t, y(s)(t))) \leq \sum_{j=1}^m s_j \|g_j(t)\| + L(t) \sum_{j=1}^m s_j \|u_j(t)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the mapping $s \rightarrow q(s)(\cdot) \in L^1(I, \mathbb{R}_+)$ is continuous (in fact Lipschitzian) since for any $s, s' \in S$ one may write successively

$$\begin{aligned} \|q(s)(\cdot) - q(s')(\cdot)\|_1 &= \int_0^T \|q(s)(t) - q(s')(t)\| dt \\ &\leq \int_0^T [\|g(s)(t) - g(s')(t)\| + d_H(F(t, y(s)(t)), F(t, y(s')(t)))] dt \\ &\leq \|s - s'\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \int_0^T [\|g_j(t)\| + L(t)\|u_j(t)\|] dt \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let us define $S_1 := S \setminus \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$ and $c(\cdot) : S_1 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, $c(s) := \|s\|^2$. It follows from Theorem 5 the existence of a continuous function $x(\cdot) : S_1 \rightarrow C(I, X)$ such that for any $s \in S_1$, $x(s)(\cdot)$ is a solution of (1) with the properties (6)-(7). For $s = 0$ we define $x(0)(t) = y(0)(t) = z(t) \forall t \in I$. Obviously, $x(\cdot) : S \rightarrow C(I, X)$ is also continuous. Finally, we define the function $a(\cdot) : S \rightarrow R_F(T, X_0, X_1)$ by $a(s) = x(s)(T)$, $\forall s \in S$. Obviously, $a(\cdot)$ is continuous on S and satisfies $a(0) = z(T)$.

To end the proof we need to show that $a(\cdot)$ is differentiable at $s_0 = 0 \in S$ and its derivative is given by $Da(0)(s) = \sum_{j=1}^m s_j v_j \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$ which is equivalent to the fact that:

$$(13) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\|s\|} \left(\|a(s) - a(0) - \sum_{j=1}^m s_j v_j\| \right) = 0.$$

From (7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\|s\|} \|a(s) - a(0) - \sum_{j=1}^m s_j v_j\| &\leq \frac{1}{\|s\|} \|x(s)(T) - y(s)(T)\| \\ &\leq M\|s\| + \frac{M}{\|s\|} \|a_0(s) - z(0) - \sum_{j=1}^m s_j u_j(0)\| \\ &\quad + \frac{M}{\|s\|} \|a_1(s) - z'(0) - \sum_{j=1}^m s_j u_j'(0)\| + M \int_0^T \frac{q(s)(u)}{\|s\|} du \end{aligned}$$

and therefore in view of (11), relation (13) is implied by the following property of the mapping $q(\cdot)$ in (12)

$$(14) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{q(s)(t)}{\|s\|} = 0 \quad \text{a.e. } (I).$$

In order to prove the last property, we note that, since $P(t, \cdot)$ is a convex process for any $s \in S$, one has

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{s_j}{\|s\|} g_j(t) \in P \left(t, \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{s_j}{\|s\|} u_j(t) \right) \subset Q_{f(t)} F(t, \cdot)(z(t); \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{s_j}{\|s\|} u_j(t)) \quad \text{a.e. } (I).$$

Hence by (10) we obtain

$$(15) \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{h} d \left(f(t) + h \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{s_j}{\|s\|} g_j(t), F(t, z(t) + h \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{s_j}{\|s\|} u_j(t)) \right) = 0.$$

In order to prove that (15) implies (14) we consider the compact metric space $S_+^{m-1} = \{\sigma \in \mathbb{R}_+^m; \|\sigma\| = 1\}$ and the real function $\phi_t(\cdot, \cdot) : (0, \theta_0] \times S_+^{m-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ defined by

$$(16) \quad \phi_t(h, \sigma) = \frac{1}{h} d \left(f(t) + h \sum_{j=1}^m \sigma_j g_j(t), F(t, z(t) + h \sum_{j=1}^m \sigma_j u_j(t)) \right),$$

where $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m)$ and which according to (15) has the property

$$(17) \quad \lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0^+} \phi_t(\theta, \sigma) = 0 \quad \forall \sigma \in S_+^{m-1} \quad \text{a.e. } (I).$$

Using the fact that $\phi_t(\theta, \cdot)$ is Lipschitzian and the fact that S_+^{m-1} is a compact metric space, from (17) it follows easily (e.g. [10, Proposition 4.4]) that

$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0^+} \max_{\sigma \in S_+^{m-1}} \phi_t(\theta, \sigma) = 0$, which implies that $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \phi_t(\|s\|, \frac{s}{\|s\|}) = 0$ a.e. (I), and the proof is complete. \square

An application of Theorem 7 concerns local controllability of the second-order differential inclusion in (1) along a reference trajectory, $z(\cdot)$ at time T , in the sense that $z(T) \in \text{int}(R_F(T, X_0, X_1))$.

THEOREM 8. *Let $X = \mathbb{R}^n$, $z(\cdot)$, $F(\cdot, \cdot)$ and $P(\cdot, \cdot)$ satisfy Hypothesis 6, let $C_0 \subset X$ be a derived cone to X_0 at $z(0)$ and $C_1 \subset X$ be a derived cone to X_1 at $z'(0)$. If, the variational second-order differential inclusion in (9) is controllable at T , in the sense that $R_P(T, C_0, C_1) = \mathbb{R}^n$, then the differential inclusion (1) is locally controllable along $z(\cdot)$ at time T .*

Proof. This is a straightforward application of Lemma 2 and Theorem 7. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] AUBIN, J.P. and FRANKOWSKA, H., *Set-valued Analysis*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1990.
- [2] CERNEA, A., *Local controllability of hyperbolic differential inclusions via derived cones*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl., **47** (2002), 21–31.
- [3] CERNEA, A., *Derived cones to reachable sets of differential-difference inclusions*, Nonlinear Anal. Forum, **11** (2006), 1–13.
- [4] CERNEA, A., *Derived cones to reachable sets of discrete inclusions*, Nonlinear Stud., **14** (2007), 177–187.
- [5] CERNEA, A., *Continuous version of Filippov's theorem for a Sturm-Liouville type differential inclusion*, Electronic J. Differential Equations, **2008** (2008), 1–7.
- [6] CERNEA, A., *A Filippov type existence theorem for a class of second-order differential inclusions*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., **9** (2008), 1–6.
- [7] CERNEA, A., *Sturm-Liouville type differential inclusions in non separable Banach spaces*, Math. Reports, **10 (60)** (2008), 205–211.
- [8] CERNEA, A., *On the solution set of a nonconvex nonclosed Sturm-Liouville type differential inclusion*, Commentationes Math., **49** (2009), 139–146.
- [9] CERNEA, A., *Variational inclusions for a Sturm-Liouville type differential inclusion*, Math. Bohem., **135** (2010), 171–178.
- [10] CERNEA, A. and MIRICĂ, Ș., *Derived cones to reachable sets of differential inclusions*, Mathematica, **40 (63)** (1998), 35–62.
- [11] CHANG, Y.K. and LI, W.T., *Existence results for second order impulsive functional differential inclusions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **301** (2005), 477–490.
- [12] HESTENES, M.R., *Calculus of Variations and Optimal Control Theory*, Wiley, New York, 1966.
- [13] MIRICĂ, Ș., *New proof and some generalizations of the Minimum Principle in optimal control*, J. Optim. Theory Appl., **74** (1992), 487–508.
- [14] MIRICĂ, Ș., *Intersection properties of tangent cones and generalized multiplier rules*, Optimization, **46** (1999), 135–163.

Received January 13, 2011

Accepted February 3, 2011

University of Bucharest
Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics
010014 Bucharest, Romania
E-mail: acernea@fmi.unibuc.ro