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#### Abstract

The objective of the present paper is to show quasi-Hadamard products of some families of starlike functions with negative coefficients in the open unit disc. Our results generalize corresponding results of Aouf and Srivastava. MSC 2000. 30C45. Key words. Analytic, quasi-Hadamard product, negative coefficients.


## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let $A(j)$ denote the class of functions of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}(j \in N=\{1,2, . .\}) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic in the open unit disc $U=\{z: z \in C$ and $|z|<1\}$. For a function $f(z)$ in $A(j)$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{0} f(z)=f(z) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{1} f(z)=D f(z)=z f^{\prime}(z) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{n} f(z)=D\left(D^{n-1} f(z)\right)(n \in N) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The differential operator $D^{n}$ was introduced by Salagean [6]. With the help of the differential operator $D^{n}$, we say that a function $f(z)$ belonging to $A(j)$ is in the class $C(j, \lambda, \alpha, n)$ if and only if
(5) $\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{(1-\lambda) z\left(D^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}+\lambda z\left(D^{n+1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{(1-\lambda) D^{n} f(z)+\lambda D^{n+1} f(z)}\right\}>\alpha\left(n \in N_{o}=N \cup\{0\}\right)$
for some $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha<1)$ and $\lambda(0 \leq \lambda \leq 1)$, and for all $z \in U$.
Let $T(j)$ denote the subclass of $A(j)$ consisting of functions of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z-\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}\left(a_{k} \geq 0 ; j \in N\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, we define the class $P(j, \lambda, \alpha, n)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(j, \lambda, \alpha, n)=C(j, \lambda, \alpha, n) \cap T(j) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The class $P(j, \lambda, \alpha, n)$ was studied by Aouf and Srivastava [2].

We note that, by specializing the parameters $j, \lambda, \alpha$ and $n$, we obtain the following subclasses studied by various authors:
(i) $P(j, \lambda, \alpha, 0)=P(j, \lambda, \alpha)$ (Altintas [1]);
(ii) $P(1,0, \alpha, 0)=T^{*}(\alpha)$ and $P(1,1, \alpha, 0)=P(1,0, \alpha, 1)=C(\alpha)$ (Silverman [7]);
(iii) $P(j, 0, \alpha, 0)=T_{\alpha}(j)$ and $P(j, 1, \alpha, 0)=P(j, 0, \alpha, 1)=C_{\alpha}(j)$ (Chatterjea [3] and Srivastava et al. [8]);
(iv) $P(j, 0, \alpha, n)=P(j, \alpha, n)$ and $P(j, 1, \alpha, n)=P(j, \alpha, n+1)$ (Aouf and Srivastava [2]).

Let $f_{\ell}(z)(\ell=1,2)$ be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\ell}(z)=z-\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} a_{k, \ell} z^{k}\left(a_{k, \ell} \geq 0\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the quasi-Hadamard product $\left(f_{1} * f_{2}\right)(z)$ of $f_{1}(z)$ and $f_{2}(z)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f_{1} * f_{2}\right)(z)=z-\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} a_{k, 1} a_{k, 2} z^{k} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the quasi-Hadamard product, Aouf and Srivastava [2] have shown that:
Theorem A. If $f_{\ell}(z) \in P(j, \lambda, \alpha, n)(\ell=1,2)$, then $\left(f_{1} * f_{2}\right)(z) \in P(j, \lambda, \beta, n)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=1-\frac{j(1-\alpha)^{2}}{(j+1)^{n}(\lambda j+1)(j+1-\alpha)^{2}-(1-\alpha)^{2}} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result is sharp.
Corollary A. If $f_{\ell}(z) \in P(j, \lambda, \alpha, n)(\ell=1,2,3)$, then $\left(f_{1} * f_{2} * f_{3}\right)(z) \in$ $P(j, \lambda, \beta, n)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=1-\frac{j(1-\alpha)^{3}}{(j+1)^{2 n}(\lambda j+1)^{2}(j+1-\alpha)^{3}-(1-\alpha)^{3}} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result is sharp.
In the present paper, we generalize Theorem A and Corollary A using the technique of Owa [5].

## 2. QUASI-HADAMARD PRODUCTS

To prove our main result of quasi-Hadamard products, we need the following lemma given by Aouf and Srivastava [2].

Lemma. A function $f(z)$ given by (6) is in the class $P(j, \lambda, \alpha, n)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} k^{n}(k-\alpha)(\lambda k-\lambda+1) a_{k} \leq 1-\alpha . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying the above lemma, we derive:
Theorem 1. If $f_{\ell}(z)(\ell=1,2, \ldots, m)$ belong to the class $P\left(j, \lambda, \alpha_{\ell}, n\right)$ for each $\ell=1,2, \ldots, m$, then $\left(f_{1} * f_{2} * \ldots * f_{m}\right)(z) \in P(j, \lambda, \beta, n)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=1-\frac{j \prod_{\ell=1}^{m}\left(1-\alpha_{\ell}\right)}{(j+1)^{n(m-1)}(\lambda j+1)^{m-1} \prod_{\ell=1}^{m}\left(j+1-\alpha_{\ell}\right)-\prod_{\ell=1}^{m}\left(1-\alpha_{\ell}\right)} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result is sharp for the functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\ell}(z)=z-\frac{1-\alpha_{\ell}}{(j+1)^{n}(\lambda j+1)\left(j+1-\alpha_{\ell}\right)} z^{j+1}(\ell=1,2, \ldots, m) . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For $m=1$, we have that $\beta=\alpha_{1}$. For $m=2$, Lemma gives that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{k^{n}\left(k-\alpha_{\ell}\right)(\lambda k-\lambda+1)}{1-\alpha_{\ell}} a_{k, \ell} \leq 1(\ell=1,2) . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that, from (15),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty}\left\{k^{n}(\lambda k-\lambda+1) \sqrt{\prod_{\ell=1}^{2}\left(\frac{k-\alpha_{\ell}}{1-\alpha_{\ell}}\right) a_{k, \ell}}\right\} \leq 1(\ell=1,2) . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove the case when $m=2$, we have to find the largest $\beta$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{k^{n}(k-\beta)(\lambda k-\lambda+1)}{1-\beta} a_{k, 1} a_{k, 2} \leq 1 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{k-\beta}{1-\beta}\right) \sqrt{a_{k, 1}, a_{k, 2}} \leq \sqrt{\prod_{\ell=1}^{2}\left(\frac{k-\alpha_{\ell}}{1-\alpha_{\ell}}\right)} \quad(k \geq j+1) . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, by using (16), we need to find the largest $\beta$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{k-\beta}{1-\beta} \leq k^{n}(\lambda k-\lambda+1) \prod_{\ell=1}^{2}\left(\frac{k-\alpha_{\ell}}{1-\alpha_{\ell}}\right)(k \geq j+1), \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta \leq \frac{k^{n}(\lambda k-\lambda+1) \prod_{\ell=1}^{2}\left(k-\alpha_{\ell}\right)-k \prod_{\ell=1}^{2}\left(1-\alpha_{\ell}\right)}{k^{n}(\lambda k-\lambda+1) \prod_{\ell=1}^{2}\left(k-\alpha_{\ell}\right)-\prod_{\ell=1}^{2}\left(1-\alpha_{\ell}\right)} \\
&=1-\frac{(k-1) \prod_{\ell=1}^{2}\left(1-\alpha_{\ell}\right)}{k^{n}(\lambda k-\lambda+1) \prod_{\ell=1}^{2}\left(k-\alpha_{\ell}\right)-\prod_{\ell=1}^{2}\left(1-\alpha_{\ell}\right)}(k \geq j+1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Defining the function $\varphi(k)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(k)=1-\frac{(k-1) \prod_{\ell=1}^{2}\left(1-\alpha_{\ell}\right)}{k^{n}(\lambda k-\lambda+1) \prod_{\ell=1}^{2}\left(k-\alpha_{\ell}\right)-\prod_{\ell=1}^{2}\left(1-\alpha_{\ell}\right)}(k \geq j+1) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

we see that $\varphi^{\prime}(k) \geq 0$ for $k \geq j+1$. This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta \leq \varphi(j+1)=1-\frac{j \prod_{\ell=1}^{2}\left(1-\alpha_{\ell}\right)}{(j+1)^{n}(\lambda j+1) \prod_{\ell=1}^{2}\left(j+1-\alpha_{\ell}\right)-\prod_{\ell=1}^{2}\left(1-\alpha_{\ell}\right)} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the result is true for $m=2$. Next, suppose that the result is true for any positive integer $m$. Then we have

$$
\left(f_{1} * f_{2} * \ldots * f_{m} * f_{m+1}\right)(z) \in P(j, \lambda, \gamma, n)
$$

where
(22)

$$
\gamma=1-\frac{j(1-\beta)\left(1-\alpha_{m+1}\right)}{(j+1)^{n}(\lambda j+1)(j+1-\beta)\left(j+1-\alpha_{m+1}\right)-(1-\beta)\left(1-\alpha_{m+1}\right)},
$$

where $\beta$ is given by (13). It follows from (22) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=1-\frac{j \prod_{\ell=1}^{m+1}\left(1-\alpha_{\ell}\right)}{(j+1)^{n m}(\lambda j+1)^{m} \prod_{\ell=1}^{m+1}\left(j+1-\alpha_{\ell}\right)-\prod_{\ell=1}^{m+1}\left(1-\alpha_{\ell}\right)} . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the result is true for $m+1$. Therefore, by using the mathematical induction, we conclude that the result is true for any positive integer $m$.

Finally, taking the functions $f_{\ell}(z)$ given by (14), we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(f_{1} * f_{2} * \ldots * f_{m}\right)(z) & =z-\left\{\prod_{\ell=1}^{m}\left(\frac{1-\alpha_{\ell}}{(j+1)^{n}(\lambda j+1)\left(j+1-\alpha_{j}\right)}\right)\right\} z^{j+1} \\
& =z-A_{j+1} z^{j+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
A_{j+1}=\prod_{\ell=1}^{m}\left(\frac{1-\alpha_{\ell}}{(j+1)^{n}(\lambda j+1)\left(j+1-\alpha_{j}\right)}\right)
$$

Thus, we know that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{k^{n}(k-\beta)(\lambda k-\lambda+1)}{1-\beta} A_{k} \\
& =\frac{(j+1)^{n}(\lambda j+1)(j+1-\beta)}{1-\beta}\left\{\prod_{\ell=1}^{m}\left(\frac{1-\alpha_{\ell}}{(j+1)^{n}(\lambda j+1)\left(j+1-\alpha_{j}\right)}\right)\right\}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, the result is sharp for functions $f_{\ell}(z)$ given by (14).
Letting $\alpha_{\ell}=\alpha(\ell=1,2, \ldots, m)$, we have:
Corollary 1. If $f_{\ell}(z) \in P(j, \lambda, \alpha, n)(\ell=1,2, \ldots, m)$, then $\left(f_{1} * f_{2} * \ldots *\right.$ $\left.f_{m}\right)(z) \in P(j, \lambda, \beta, n)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=1-\frac{j(1-\alpha)^{m}}{(j+1)^{n(m-1)}(\lambda j+1)^{m-1}(j+1-\alpha)^{m}-(1-\alpha)^{m}} . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result is sharp for functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\ell}(z)=z-\frac{1-\alpha}{(j+1)^{n}(\lambda j+1)(j+1-\alpha)} z^{j+1}(\ell=1,2, \ldots, m) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting $j=1$, we have:
Corollary 2. If $f_{\ell}(z) \in P\left(1, \lambda, \alpha_{\ell}, n\right)(\ell=1,2, \ldots, n)$, then $\left(f_{1} * f_{2} * \ldots *\right.$ $\left.f_{m}\right)(z) \in P(1, \lambda, \beta, n)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=1-\frac{\prod_{\ell=1}^{m}\left(1-\alpha_{\ell}\right)}{2^{n(m-1)}(\lambda+1)^{m-1} \prod_{\ell=1}^{m}\left(2-\alpha_{\ell}\right)-\prod_{\ell=1}^{m}\left(1-\alpha_{\ell}\right)} . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result is sharp for functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\ell}(z)=z-\frac{1-\alpha_{\ell}}{2^{n}(\lambda+1)\left(2-\alpha_{\ell}\right)} z^{2}(\ell=1,2, \ldots, m) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting $\lambda=\frac{1}{j}$, we have:

Corollary 3. If $f_{\ell}(z) \in P\left(j, \frac{1}{j}, \alpha_{\ell}, n\right)$ for all $\ell=1,2, \ldots, m$, then $\left(f_{1} * f_{2} *\right.$ $\left.\ldots * f_{m}\right)(z) \in P\left(j, \frac{1}{j}, \beta, n\right)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=1-\frac{j \prod_{\ell=1}^{m}\left(1-\alpha_{\ell}\right)}{2^{m-1}(j+1)^{n(m-1)} \prod_{\ell=1}^{m}\left(j+1-\alpha_{\ell}\right)-\prod_{\ell=1}^{m}\left(1-\alpha_{\ell}\right)} . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result is sharp for functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\ell}(z)=z-\frac{1-\alpha_{\ell}}{2(j+1)^{n}\left(j+1-\alpha_{\ell}\right)} z^{j+1}(\ell=1,2, \ldots, m) . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting $\lambda=0$, we have:
Corollary 4. If $f_{\ell}(z) \in P\left(j, 0, \alpha_{\ell}, n\right)=P\left(j, \alpha_{\ell}, n\right)(\ell=1,2, \ldots, m)$, then $\left(f_{1} * f_{2} * \ldots * f_{m}\right)(z) \in P(j, \beta, n)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=1-\frac{j \prod_{\ell=1}^{m}\left(1-\alpha_{\ell}\right)}{(j+1)^{n(m-1)} \prod_{\ell=1}^{m}\left(j+1-\alpha_{\ell}\right)-\prod_{\ell=1}^{m}\left(1-\alpha_{\ell}\right)} . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result is sharp for functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\ell}(z)=z-\frac{1-\alpha_{\ell}}{(j+1)^{n}\left(j+1-\alpha_{\ell}\right)} z^{j+1}(\ell=1,2, \ldots, m) . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting $\lambda=1$, we have:
Corollary 5. If $f_{\ell}(z) \in P\left(j, 1, \alpha_{\ell}, n\right)=P\left(j, \alpha_{\ell}, n+1\right)(\ell=1,2, \ldots, m)$, then $\left(f_{1} * f_{2} * \ldots * f_{m}\right)(z) \in P(j, \beta, n+1)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=1-\frac{j \prod_{\ell=1}^{m}\left(1-\alpha_{\ell}\right)}{(j+1)^{(m-1)(n+1)} \prod_{\ell=1}^{m}\left(j+1-\alpha_{\ell}\right)-\prod_{\ell=1}^{m}\left(1-\alpha_{\ell}\right)}(\ell=1,2, \ldots, m) . \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result is sharp for functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\ell}(z)=z-\frac{1-\alpha_{\ell}}{(j+1)^{n+1}\left(j+1-\alpha_{\ell}\right)} z^{j+1}(\ell=1,2, \ldots, m) . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1. (i) Putting $n=0$ in Theorem 1, Corollary 1, Corollary 2, and Corollary 3, we obtain the results obtained by Kim et al. [4];
(ii) Putting $m=2$ in Corollary 1, then we have Theorem A obtained by Aouf and Srivastava [2].
(iii) Putting $m=3$ in Corollary 1, then we have Corollary A obtained by Aouf and Srivastava [2].
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