

GENERALIZATION OF CERTAIN SUBCLASS OF CONVEX
FUNCTIONS AND A CORRESPONDING SUBCLASS OF
STARLIKE FUNCTIONS WITH NEGATIVE COEFFICIENTS

M. K. AOUF and G. S. SALAGEAN

Abstract. Making use of Salagean operators, D^n and D^{n+m} ($n \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $m \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$), we define the class $T_j(n, m, \alpha, \beta)$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $j, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $-1 \leq \alpha < 1, \beta \geq 0$). In this paper, we obtain coefficient estimates, distortion theorem, closure theorems and radii of close-to-convexity, starlikeness and convexity for functions belonging to the class $T_j(n, m, \alpha, \beta)$. We consider integral operators associated with functions belonging to the class $T_j(n, m, \alpha, \beta)$. We also obtain several results for the modified Hadamard products of functions belonging to the class $T_j(n, m, \alpha, \beta)$. Finally, distortion theorems for the fractional calculus of functions in the class $T_j(n, m, \alpha, \beta)$ are obtained.

MSC 2000. 30C45

Key words. Analytic, Salagean operator, uniformly convex, closure theorems, modified Hadamard products.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let A_j denote the class of functions of the form :

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z + \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (j \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\})$$

which are analytic in the unit disc $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$. For a function $f(z)$ in A_j we define

$$(1.2) \quad D^0 f(z) = f(z),$$

$$(1.3) \quad D^1 f(z) = Df(z) = z f'(z),$$

$$(1.4) \quad D^n f(z) = D(D^{n-1} f(z)) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

The differential operator D^n was introduced by Salagean [16]. It is easy to see that

$$(1.5) \quad D^n f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n a_k z^k, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.$$

With the help of the differential operator D^n , for $-1 \leq \alpha < 1$, $\beta \geq 0$, $j, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we let $S_j(n, m, \alpha, \beta)$ denote the subclass of A_j consisting of

The work of this author was supported by the Grant 2-CEx 06-11-10 / 25.07.2006

functions $f(z)$ of the form (1.1) and satisfying the analytic condition :

$$(1.6) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{D^{n+m}f(z)}{D^n f(z)} - \alpha \right\} > \beta \left| \frac{D^{n+m}f(z)}{D^n f(z)} - 1 \right|, \quad z \in U.$$

The operator D^{n+m} was studied by Sekine [18], Aouf et al. ([3] and [4]) and Hossen et al. [7] and Aouf [2].

We note that :

(i) $S_j(1, 1, \alpha, \beta) = \beta - UCV(\alpha, j)$, is the class of β -uniformly convex functions of order α , $-1 \leq \alpha < 1$, $\beta \geq 0$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, that is the class

$$(1.7) \quad \left\{ f(z) \in A_j : \operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \alpha \right\} > \beta \left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right|, \quad z \in U \right\}.$$

The class $\beta - UCV(0, 1) = \beta - UCV$ was introduced by Kanas and Wisniowska [10]. The class $1 - UCV(0, 1) = UCV$ was introduced by Ma and Minda [11] and Ronning [13].

(ii) $S_j(0, 1, \alpha, \beta) = \beta - S_p(\alpha, j)$, is the class of β -starlike functions of order α , $-1 \leq \alpha < 1$, $\beta \geq 0$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, that is the class

$$(1.8) \quad \left\{ f(z) \in A_j : \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - \alpha \right\} > \beta \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right|, \quad z \in U \right\}.$$

The class $\beta - S_p(0, 1) = \beta - S_p$ was introduced by Kanas and Wisniowska [9]. The class $1 - S_p(0, 1) = \beta - S_p$ was introduced by Ronning [14].

We denote by T_j the subclass of A_j consisting of functions of the form :

$$(1.9) \quad f(z) = z - \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k (a_k \geq 0; k \geq j+1; j \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Further, we define the class $T_j(n, m, \alpha, \beta)$ by

$$(1.10) \quad T_j(n, m, \alpha, \beta) = S_j(n, m, \alpha, \beta) \cap T_j.$$

Also we note that :

(i) $T_1(n, 1, \alpha, \beta) = TS(n, \alpha, \beta)$ ($-1 \leq \alpha < 1$, $\beta \geq 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$) (Rosy and Murugusndaramoorth [15]);

(ii) $T_1(0, 1, \alpha, 0) = T^*(\alpha)$ and $T_1(1, 1, \alpha, 0) = C(\alpha)$ ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$) (Silverman [19]);

(iii) $T_j(0, 1, \alpha, 0) = T_\alpha(j)$ and $T_j(1, 1, \alpha, 0) = C_\alpha(j)$ ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$) (Chatterjea [5] and Srivastava et al. [20]);

(iv) $T_j(n, m, \alpha, 0) = T_j(n, m, \alpha)$ ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$; $j \in \mathbb{N}$) (Sekine [18] and Hossen et al. [7]);

(v) $T_1(n, 1, \alpha, 0) = T^*(n, \alpha)$ ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$) (Hur and Oh [8]);

(vi) $T_j(n, 1, 0, \beta) = T_j(n, m, \alpha)$ ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$; $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\beta \geq 0$) (Dixit and Pathak [6]).

2. COEFFICIENT ESTIMATES

LEMMA 1. *Let the function $f(z)$ be defined by (1.1) with $j = 1$. Then $f(z) \in S(n, m, \alpha, \beta)$ if*

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n [k^m(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] |a_k| \leq 1 - \alpha.$$

Proof. It suffices to show that

$$\beta \left| \frac{D^{n+m} f(z)}{D^n f(z)} - 1 \right| - \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{D^{n+m} f(z)}{D^n f(z)} - 1 \right\} \leq 1 - \alpha.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta \left| \frac{D^{n+m} f(z)}{D^n f(z)} - 1 \right| - \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{D^{n+m} f(z)}{D^n f(z)} - 1 \right\} &\leq (1 + \beta) \left| \frac{D^{n+m} f(z)}{D^n f(z)} - 1 \right| \\ &\leq \frac{(1 + \beta) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^m (k^m - 1) |a_k|}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n |a_k|}. \end{aligned}$$

This last expression is bounded above by $(1 - \alpha)$ if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n [k^m(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] |a_k| \leq 1 - \alpha,$$

and hence the proof is complete.

THEOREM 1. *A necessary and sufficient condition for $f(z)$ of the form (1.9) (with $j = 1$) to be in the class $T_1(n, m, \alpha, \beta)$ is that*

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n [k^m(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] a_k \leq 1 - \alpha.$$

Proof. In view of Lemma 1, we need only to prove the necessity.

If $f(z) \in T_1(n, m, \alpha, \beta)$ and z is real, then (1.6) yields

$$\frac{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{n+m} a_k z^{k-1}}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n a_k z^{k-1}} - \alpha \geq \beta \left| \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n (k^m - 1) a_k z^{k-1}}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n a_k z^{k-1}} \right|.$$

Letting $z \rightarrow 1^-$ along the real axis, we obtain the desired inequality

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n [k^m(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] a_k \leq 1 - \alpha.$$

THEOREM 2. *Let the function $f(z)$ be defined by (1.9). Then $f(z) \in T_j(n, \alpha, m, \beta)$ if and only if*

$$\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} k^n [k^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] a_k \leq 1 - \alpha.$$

Proof. Putting $a_k = 0$ ($k = 2, 3, \dots, j$) in Theorem 1, we can prove the assertion of Theorem 2.

COROLLARY 1. *Suppose that the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.9) is in the class $T_j(n, m, \alpha, \beta)$. Then*

$$(2.4) \quad a_k \leq \frac{1 - \alpha}{k^n [k^m(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)]} \quad (k \geq j + 1).$$

The result is sharp for the function $f(z)$ given by

$$(2.5) \quad f(z) = z - \frac{(1 - \alpha)}{k^n [k^m(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)]} z^k \quad (k \geq j + 1).$$

3. GROWTH AND DISTORTION THEOREM

THEOREM 3. *Suppose that the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.9) is in the class $T_j(n, m, \alpha, \beta)$. Then*

$$(3.1) \quad |D^i f(z)| \geq |z| - \frac{1 - \alpha}{(j + 1)^{n-i} [(j + 1)^m(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)]} |z|^{j+1}$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad |D^i f(z)| \leq |z| + \frac{1 - \alpha}{(j + 1)^{n-i} [(j + 1)^m(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)]} |z|^{j+1}$$

for $z \in U$, where $0 \leq i \leq n$. The equalities in (3.1) and (3.2) are attained for the function $f(z)$ given by

$$(3.3) \quad f(z) = z - \frac{1 - \alpha}{(j + 1)^{n-i} [(j + 1)^m(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)]} z^{j+1}.$$

Proof. Note that $f(z) \in T_j(n, m, \alpha, \beta)$ if and only if $D^i f(z) \in T_j(n - i, m, \alpha, \beta)$ and that

$$(3.4) \quad D^i f(z) = z - \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} k^i a_k z^k.$$

Using Theorem 2, we know that

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & (j + 1)^{n-i} [(j + 1)^m(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} k^i a_k \\ & \leq \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} k^n [k^m(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] a_k \leq 1 - \alpha \end{aligned}$$

that is, that

$$(3.6) \quad \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^i a_k \leq \frac{1 - \alpha}{(j+1)^{n-i}[(j+1)^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta)]}.$$

It follows from (3.4) and (3.6) that

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} |D^i f(z)| &\geq |z| - |z|^{j+1} \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} k^i a_k \\ &\geq |z| - \frac{1 - \alpha}{(j+1)^{n-i}[(j+1)^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta)]} |z|^{j+1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} |D^i f(z)| &\leq |z| + |z|^{j+1} \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} k^i a_k \\ &\leq |z| + \frac{1 - \alpha}{(j+1)^{n-i}[(j+1)^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta)]} |z|^{j+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we note that the equalities in (3.1) and (3.2) are attained for the function $f(z)$ defined by

$$(3.9) \quad D^i f(z) = z - \frac{1 - \alpha}{(j+1)^{n-i}[(j+1)^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta)]} z^{j+1} (z \in U).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

COROLLARY 2. *Suppose that the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.9) is in the class $T_j(n, m, \alpha, \beta)$. Then*

$$(3.10) \quad |f(z)| \geq |z| - \frac{1 - \alpha}{(j+1)^n[(j+1)^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta)]} |z|^{j+1}.$$

and

$$(3.11) \quad |f(z)| \leq |z| + \frac{1 - \alpha}{(j+1)^n[(j+1)^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta)]} |z|^{j+1}.$$

for $z \in U$. The equalities in (3.10) and (3.11) are attained for the function $f(z)$ given by (3.3).

Proof. Taking $i = 0$ in Theorem 3, we can easily show (3.10) and (3.11).

COROLLARY 3. *Suppose that the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.9) is in the class $T_j(n, m, \alpha, \beta)$. Then*

$$(3.12) \quad |f'(z)| \geq 1 - \frac{1 - \alpha}{(j+1)^{n-1}[(j+1)^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta)]} |z|^j$$

and

$$(3.13) \quad |f'(z)| \leq 1 + \frac{1 - \alpha}{(j+1)^{n-1}[(j+1)^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta)]} |z|^j$$

for $z \in U$. The equalities in (3.12) and (3.13) are attained for the function $f(z)$ given by (3.3).

Proof. Note that $D^1 f(z) = z f'(z)$. Hence, taking $i = 1$ in Theorem 3, we have Corollary 3.

4. CONVEX LINEAR COMBINATIONS

In this section, we shall prove that the class $T_j(n, m, \alpha, \beta)$ is closed under convex linear combinations.

THEOREM 4. *The class $T_j(n, m, \alpha, \beta)$ is a convex set.*

Proof. Let the functions

$$(4.1) \quad f_\nu(z) = z - \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} a_{k,\nu} z^k \quad (a_{k,\nu} \geq 0; \nu = 1, 2)$$

be in the class $T_j(n, m, \alpha, \beta)$. It is sufficient to show that the function $h(z)$ defined by

$$(4.2) \quad h(z) = \lambda f_1(z) + (1 - \lambda) f_2(z) \quad (0 \leq \lambda \leq 1)$$

is also in the class $T_j(n, m, \alpha, \beta)$. Since, for $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$,

$$(4.3) \quad h(z) = z - \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \{\lambda a_{k,1} + (1 - \lambda) a_{k,2}\} z^k,$$

with the aid of Theorem 2, we have

$$(4.4) \quad \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n [k^m (1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] \{\lambda a_{k,1} + (1 - \lambda) a_{k,2}\} \leq 1 - \alpha$$

which implies that $h(z) \in T_j(n, m, \alpha, \beta)$. Hence $T_j(n, m, \alpha, \beta)$ is a convex set.

THEOREM 5. *Let $f_j(z) = z$ and*

$$(4.5) \quad f_k(z) = z - \frac{1 - \alpha}{k^n [k^m (1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)]} z^k \quad (k \geq j + 1, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{N}),$$

for $-1 \leq \alpha < 1$ and $\beta \geq 0$. Then $f(z)$ is in the class $T_j(n, m, \alpha, \beta)$ if and only if it can be expressed in the form:

$$(4.6) \quad f(z) = \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \lambda_k f_k(z),$$

where $\lambda_k \geq 0$ ($k \geq j$) and $\sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \lambda_k = 1$.

Proof. Assume that

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \lambda_k f_k(z) = z - \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{1-\alpha}{k^n [k^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)]} \lambda_k z^k.$$

Then it follows that

$$(4.7) \quad \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{k^n [k^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)]}{1-\alpha} \frac{1-\alpha}{k^n [k^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)]} \lambda_k \\ = \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \lambda_k = 1 - \lambda_j \leq 1.$$

So by Theorem 2, $f(z) \in T_j(n, m, \alpha, \beta)$. Conversely, assume that the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.9) belongs to the class $T_j(n, m, \alpha, \beta)$. Then

$$(4.8) \quad a_k \leq \frac{1-\alpha}{k^n [k^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)]} \quad (k \geq j+1; \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0; \quad m \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Setting

$$(4.9) \quad \lambda_k = \frac{k^n [k^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)]}{1-\alpha} a_k \quad (k \geq j+1; \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0; \quad m \in \mathbb{N})$$

and

$$(4.10) \quad \lambda_j = 1 - \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \lambda_k,$$

we can see that $f(z)$ can be expressed in the form (4.6). This completes the proof of Theorem 5.

COROLLARY 4. *The extreme points of the class $T_j(n, m, \alpha, \beta)$ are the functions $f_k(z)$ ($k \geq j$) given by Theorem 5.*

5. RADII OF CLOSE-TO-CONVEXITY, STARLIKENESS AND CONVEXITY

THEOREM 6. *Suppose that the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.9) is in the class $T_j(n, m, \alpha, \beta)$. Then $f(z)$ is close-to-convex of order ρ ($0 \leq \rho < 1$) in $|z| < r_1$, where*

$$(5.1) \quad r_1 = r_1(n, m, \alpha, \beta, \rho) = \inf_k \left\{ \frac{(1-\rho)k^{n-1}[k^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)]}{1-\alpha} \right\}^{\frac{1}{k-1}}, \\ (k \geq j+1).$$

The result is sharp, the extremal function $f(z)$ being given by (2.5).

Proof. We must show that

$$|f'(z) - 1| \leq 1 - \rho \quad \text{for } |z| < r_1(n, m, \alpha, \beta, \rho),$$

where $r_1(n, m, \alpha, \beta, \rho)$ is given by (5.1). Indeed we find from the definition (1.9) that

$$|f'(z) - 1| \leq \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} k a_k |z|^{k-1}.$$

Thus

$$|f'(z) - 1| \leq 1 - \rho$$

if

$$(5.2) \quad \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{k}{1-\rho} \right) a_k |z|^{k-1} \leq 1.$$

But, by Theorem 2, (5.2) will be true if

$$\left(\frac{k}{1-\rho} \right) |z|^{k-1} \leq \frac{k^n [k^m (1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta)]}{1-\alpha},$$

that is, if

$$(5.3) \quad |z| \leq \left\{ \frac{(1-\rho)k^{n-1} [k^m (1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta)]}{1-\alpha} \right\}^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \quad (k \geq j+1).$$

Theorem 6 follows easily from (5.3).

THEOREM 7. *Suppose that the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.9) is in the class $T_j(n, m, \alpha, \beta)$. Then the function $f(z)$ is starlike of order ρ ($0 \leq \rho < 1$) in $|z| < r_2$, where*

$$(5.4) \quad r_2 = r_2(n, m, \alpha, \beta, \rho) = \inf_k \left\{ \frac{(1-\rho)k^n [k^m (1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta)]}{(k-\rho)(1-\alpha)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \quad (k \geq j+1).$$

The result is sharp, with the extremal function $f(z)$ given by (2.5).

Proof. It is sufficient to show that

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \leq 1 - \rho \quad \text{for } |z| < r_2(n, m, \alpha, \beta, \rho),$$

where $r_2(n, m, \alpha, \beta, \rho)$ is given by (5.4). Indeed we find, again from the definition (1.9) that

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} (k-1)a_k |z|^{k-1}}{1 - \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} a_k |z|^{k-1}}.$$

Thus

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \leq 1 - \rho$$

if

$$(5.5) \quad \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{k-\rho}{1-\rho} \right) a_k |z|^{k-1} \leq 1.$$

But, by Theorem 2, (5.5) will be true if

$$\left(\frac{k-\rho}{1-\rho} \right) |z|^{k-1} \leq \frac{k^n [k^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta)]}{1-\alpha},$$

that is, if

$$(5.6) \quad |z| \leq \left\{ \frac{(1-\rho)k^n [k^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta)]}{(1-\alpha)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \quad (k \geq j+1).$$

Theorem 7 follows easily from (5.6).

COROLLARY 5. *Suppose that the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.9) is in the class $T_j(n, m, \alpha, \beta)$. Then $f(z)$ is convex of order ρ ($0 \leq \rho < 1$) in $|z| < r_3$, where*

$$(5.7) \quad r_3 = r_3(n, m, \alpha, \beta, \rho) = \inf_k \left\{ \frac{(1-\rho)k^{n-1} [k^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta)]}{(k-\rho)(1-\alpha)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \\ (k \geq j+1).$$

The result is sharp, with the extremal function $f(z)$ given by (2.5).

6. A FAMILY OF INTEGRAL OPERATORS

THEOREM 8. *Suppose that the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.9) is in the class $T_j(n, m, \alpha, \beta)$ and let c be a real number such that $c > -1$. Then the function $F(z)$ defined by*

$$(6.1) \quad F(z) = \frac{c+1}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} f(t) dt \quad (c > -1)$$

also belongs to the class $T_j(n, m, \alpha, \beta)$.

Proof. From the representation (6.1) of $F(z)$, it follows that

$$F(z) = z - \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} b_k z^k,$$

where

$$b_k = \left(\frac{c+1}{c+k} \right) a_k.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} k^n [k^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] b_k = \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} k^n [k^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] \left(\frac{c+1}{c+k} \right) a_k$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} k^n [k^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)] a_k \leq 1 - \alpha,$$

since $f(z) \in T_j(n, m, \alpha, \beta)$. Hence, by Theorem 2, $F(z) \in T_j(n, m, \alpha, \beta)$.

THEOREM 9. *Let the function $F(z) = z - \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ ($a_k \geq 0$) be in the class $T_j(n, m, \alpha, \beta)$, and let c be a real number such that $c > -1$. Then the function $f(z)$ given by (6.1) is univalent in $|z| < R^*$, where*

$$(6.2) \quad R^* = \inf_k \left\{ \frac{k^{n-1} [k^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)] (c+1)}{(1-\alpha)(c+k)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \quad (k \geq j+1).$$

The result is sharp.

Proof. From (6.1), we have

$$f(z) = \frac{z^{1-c}(z^c F(z))'}{c+1} = z - \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{c+k}{c+1} \right) a_k z^k.$$

In order to obtain the required result, it suffices to show that

$$|f'(z) - 1| < 1 \quad \text{wherever} \quad |z| < R^*,$$

where R^* is given by (6.2). Now

$$|f'(z) - 1| \leq \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{k(c+k)}{(c+1)} a_k |z|^{k-1}.$$

Thus $|f'(z) - 1| < 1$ if

$$(6.3) \quad \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{k(c+k)}{(c+1)} a_k |z|^{k-1} < 1.$$

But Theorem 2 confirms that

$$(6.4) \quad \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{k^n [k^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)] a_k}{1-\alpha} \leq 1.$$

Hence (6.3) will be satisfied if

$$\frac{k(c+k)}{(c+1)} |z|^{k-1} < \frac{k^n [k^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)]}{1-\alpha},$$

that is, if

$$(6.5) \quad |z| < \left\{ \frac{k^{n-1} [k^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)] (c+1)}{(1-\alpha)(c+k)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \quad (k \geq j+1).$$

Therefore, the function $f(z)$ given by (6.1) is univalent in $|z| < R^*$. Sharpness of the result follows if we take

$$(6.6) \quad f(z) = z - \frac{(1-\alpha)(c+k)}{k^n[k^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)](c+1)} z^k \quad (k \geq j+1).$$

7. MODIFIED HADAMARD PRODUCTS

Let the functions $f_\nu(z)$ ($\nu = 1, 2$) be defined by (4.1). The modified Hadamard product of $f_1(z)$ and $f_2(z)$ is defined by

$$(7.1) \quad (f_1 \otimes f_2)(z) = z - \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} a_{k,1} a_{k,2} z^k.$$

THEOREM 10. *Let each of the functions $f_\nu(z)$ ($\nu = 1, 2$) defined by (4.1) be in the class $T_j(n, m, \alpha, \beta)$. Then $(f_1 \otimes f_2)(z) \in T_j(n, m, \delta(j, n, m, \alpha, \beta), \beta)$, where*

$$(7.2) \quad \delta(j, n, m, \alpha, \beta) = 1 - \frac{[(j+1)^m - 1](1+\beta)(1-\alpha)^2}{(j+1)^n[(j+1)^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)]^2 - (1-\alpha)^2}.$$

The result is sharp.

Proof. Employing the technique used earlier by Schild and Silverman [17], we need to find the largest $\delta = \delta(j, n, m, \alpha, \beta)$ such that

$$(7.3) \quad \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{k^n[k^m(1+\beta) - (\delta+\beta)]}{1-\delta} a_{k,1} a_{k,2} \leq 1.$$

Since

$$(7.4) \quad \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{k^n[k^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)]}{1-\alpha} a_{k,1} \leq 1,$$

and

$$(7.5) \quad \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{k^n[k^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)]}{1-\alpha} a_{k,2} \leq 1,$$

by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$(7.6) \quad \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{k^n[k^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)]}{1-\alpha} \sqrt{a_{k,1} a_{k,2}} \leq 1.$$

Thus it is sufficient to show that

$$(7.7) \quad \frac{k^n[k^m(1+\beta) - (\delta+\beta)]}{1-\delta} a_{k,1} a_{k,2} \leq \frac{k^n[k^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)]}{1-\alpha} \sqrt{a_{k,1} a_{k,2}} \quad (k \geq j+1),$$

that is, that

$$(7.8) \quad \sqrt{a_{k,1} a_{k,2}} \leq \frac{[k^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)](1-\delta)}{[k^m(1+\beta) - (\delta+\beta)](1-\alpha)} \quad (k \geq j+1).$$

Note that

$$(7.9) \quad \sqrt{a_{k,1}a_{k,2}} \leq \frac{(1-\alpha)}{k^n[k^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)](1-\alpha)} \quad (k \geq j+1).$$

Consequently, we need only to prove that

$$(7.10) \quad \frac{1-\alpha}{k^n[k^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)]} \leq \frac{[k^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)](1-\delta)}{[k^m(1+\beta) - (\delta+\beta)](1-\alpha)} \quad (k \geq j+1),$$

or, equivalently, that

$$(7.11) \quad \delta \leq 1 - \frac{(k^m - 1)(1+\beta)(1-\alpha)^2}{k^n[k^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)]^2 - (1-\alpha)^2} \quad (k \geq j+1).$$

Since

$$(7.12) \quad \Phi(k) = 1 - \frac{(k^m - 1)(1+\beta)(1-\alpha)^2}{k^n[k^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)]^2 - (1-\alpha)^2}$$

is an increasing function of k ($k \geq j+1$), letting $k = j+1$ in (7.12), we obtain

$$(7.13) \quad \delta \leq \Phi(j+1) = 1 - \frac{[(j+1)^m - 1](1+\beta)(1-\alpha)^2}{(j+1)^n[(j+1)^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)]^2 - (1-\alpha)^2},$$

which proves the main assertion of Theorem 10.

Finally, by taking the functions $f_\nu(z)$ ($\nu = 1, 2$) given by

$$(7.14) \quad f_\nu(z) = z - \frac{1-\alpha}{(j+1)^n[(j+1)^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)]} z^{j+1} \quad (\nu = 1, 2),$$

we can see that the result is sharp.

THEOREM 11. *Suppose that the function $f_1(z)$ defined by (4.1) is in the class $T_j(n, m, \alpha, \beta)$, and the function $f_2(z)$ defined by (4.1) is in the class $T_j(n, m, \gamma, \beta)$. Then $(f_1 \otimes f_2)(z) \in T_j(n, m, \xi(j, n, m, \alpha, \gamma, \beta), \beta)$, where*

$$(7.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \xi(j, n, m, \alpha, \gamma, \beta) &= 1 \\ &- [(j+1)^m - 1](1+\beta)(1-\alpha)(1-\gamma) \\ &\times \{(j+1)^n[(j+1)^m(1+\beta) \\ &- (\alpha+\beta)][(j+1)^m(1+\beta) - (\gamma+\beta)] - (1-\alpha)(1-\gamma)\}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The result is the best possible for the functions

$$(7.16) \quad f_1(z) = z - \frac{1-\alpha}{(j+1)^n[(j+1)^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)]} z^{j+1}$$

and

$$(7.17) \quad f_2(z) = z - \frac{1-\gamma}{(j+1)^n[(j+1)^m(1+\beta) - (\gamma+\beta)]} z^{j+1}.$$

Proof. Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 10, we get

$$\xi \leq 1 - \frac{(k^m - 1)(1 + \beta)(1 - \alpha)(1 - \gamma)}{k^n[k^m(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)][k^m(1 + \beta) - (\gamma + \beta)] - (1 - \alpha)(1 - \gamma)} \quad (k \geq j + 1).$$

Since the right-hand side of (7.18) is an increasing function of k , setting $k = j + 1$ in (7.18), we obtain (7.15). This completes the proof of Theorem 11.

COROLLARY 6. *Let the functions $f_\nu(z)$ ($\nu = 1, 2, 3$) defined by (4.1) be in the class $T_j(n, m, \alpha, \beta)$. Then $(f_1 \otimes f_2 \otimes f_3)(z)$ belongs to the class $T_j(n, m, \zeta(j, n, m, \alpha, \beta), \beta)$, where*

$$\zeta(j, n, m, \alpha, \beta) = 1 - \frac{[(j + 1)^m - 1](1 + \beta)(1 - \alpha)^3}{(j + 1)^{2n}[(j + 1)^m(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)]^3 - (1 - \alpha)^3}.$$

The result is the best possible for the functions $f_\nu(z)$ ($\nu = 1, 2, 3$) given by

$$f_\nu(z) = z - \frac{1 - \alpha}{(j + 1)^n[(j + 1)^m(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)]} z^{j+1} \quad (\nu = 1, 2, 3).$$

Proof. From Theorem 10, we have $(f_1 \otimes f_2)(z) \in T_j(n, m, \delta(j, n, m, \alpha, \beta), \beta)$, where δ is given by (7.2). Now, using Theorem 11, we get $(f_1 \otimes f_2 \otimes f_3)(z) \in T_j(n, m, \zeta(j, n, m, \alpha, \beta), \beta)$, where

$$\zeta(j, n, m, \alpha, \beta) = 1 - \frac{[(j + 1)^m - 1](1 + \beta)(1 - \alpha)^3}{(j + 1)^{2n}[(j + 1)^m(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)]^3 - (1 - \alpha)^3}.$$

This completes the proof of Corollary 6.

THEOREM 12. *Let the functions $f_\nu(z)$ ($\nu = 1, 2$) defined by (4.1) be in the class $T_j(n, m, \alpha, \beta)$. Then the function*

$$h(z) = z - \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} (a_{k,1}^2 + a_{k,2}^2) z^k$$

belongs to the class $T_j(n, m, \tau(j, n, m, \alpha, \beta), \beta)$, where

$$\tau(j, n, m, \alpha, \beta) = 1 - \frac{2[(j + 1)^m - 1](1 + \beta)(1 - \alpha)^2}{(j + 1)^n[(j + 1)^m(1 + \beta)(\alpha + \beta)]^2 - 2(1 - \alpha)^2}.$$

The result is sharp for the functions $f_\nu(z)$ ($\nu = 1, 2$) defined by (7.14).

Proof. By virtue of Theorem 2, we obtain

$$\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{k^n [k^m(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)]}{1 - \alpha} \right\}^2 a_{k,1}^2$$

$$(7.23) \quad \leq \left\{ \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{k^n[k^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta)]}{1 - \alpha} a_{k,1} \right\}^2 \leq 1$$

and

$$(7.24) \quad \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{k^n[k^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta)]}{1 - \alpha} \right\}^2 a_{k,2}^2 \leq \left\{ \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{k^n[k^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta)]}{1 - \alpha} a_{k,2} \right\}^2 \leq 1.$$

It follows from (7.23) and (7.24) that

$$(7.25) \quad \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{k^n[k^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta)]}{1 - \alpha} \right\}^2 (a_{k,1}^2 + a_{k,2}^2) \leq 1.$$

Therefore, we need to find the largest $\tau = \tau(j, n, m, \alpha, \beta)$ such that

$$(7.26) \quad \frac{k^n[k^m(1+\beta) - (\tau + \beta)]}{1 - \tau} \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{k^n[k^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta)]}{1 - \alpha} \right\}^2 \quad (k \geq j + 1),$$

that is,

$$(7.27) \quad \tau \leq 1 - \frac{2(k^m - 1)(1 + \beta)(1 - \alpha)^2}{k^n[k^m(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)]^2 - 2(1 - \alpha)^2} \quad (k \geq j + 1).$$

Since

$$(7.28) \quad D(k) = 1 - \frac{2(k^m - 1)(1 + \beta)(1 - \alpha)^2}{k^n[k^m(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)]^2 - 2(1 - \alpha)^2}$$

is an increasing function of k ($k \geq j + 1$), we readily have

$$(7.29) \quad \tau \leq D(j + 1) = 1 - \frac{2[(j + 1)^m - 1](1 + \beta)(1 - \alpha)^2}{(j + 1)^n[(j + 1)^m(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)]^2 - 2(1 - \alpha)^2},$$

and Theorem 12 follows at once.

8. APPLICATIONS OF FRACTIONAL CALCULUS

We begin with the statements of the following definitions of fractional calculus (that is , fractional derivative and fractional integral) which were defined by Owa [12].

DEFINITION 1. The fractional integral of order μ is defined, for a function $f(z)$, by

$$(8.1) \quad D_z^{-\mu} f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu)} \int_0^z \frac{f(\zeta)}{(z - \zeta)^{1-\mu}} d\zeta \quad (\mu > 0),$$

where $f(z)$ is an analytic function in a simply-connected region of the z -plane containing the origin, and the multiplicity of $(z - \zeta)$ is removed by requiring $\log(z - \zeta)$ to be real when $z - \zeta > 0$.

DEFINITION 2. The fractional derivative of order μ is defined, for a function $f(z)$, by

$$(8.2) \quad D_z^\mu f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu)} \frac{d}{dz} \int_0^z \frac{f(\zeta)}{(z - \zeta)^\mu} d\zeta \quad (0 \leq \mu < 1),$$

where $f(z)$ is constrained, and the multiplicity of $(z - \zeta)^{-\mu}$ is removed, as in Definition 1.

DEFINITION 3. Under the hypotheses of Definition 2, the fractional derivative of order $n + \mu$ is defined by

$$(8.3) \quad D_z^{n+\mu} f(z) = \frac{d^n}{dz^n} D_z^\mu f(z) \quad (0 \leq \mu < 1; n \in \mathbb{N}_0).$$

THEOREM 13. Suppose that the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.9) is in the class $T_j(n, m, \alpha, \beta)$. Then

$$(8.4) \quad |D_z^{-\mu}(D^i f(z))| \geq \frac{|z|^{1+\mu}}{\Gamma(2+\mu)} \left\{ 1 - \frac{(1-\alpha)\Gamma(j+2)\Gamma(2+\mu)}{(j+1)^{n-i}[(j+1)^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)]\Gamma(j+2+\mu)} |z|^j \right\}$$

and

$$(8.5) \quad |D_z^{-\mu}(D^i f(z))| \leq \frac{|z|^{1+\mu}}{\Gamma(2+2\mu)} \left\{ 1 + \frac{(1-\alpha)\Gamma(j+2)\Gamma(2+\mu)}{(j+1)^{n-i}[(j+1)^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)]\Gamma(j+2+\mu)} |z|^j \right\}$$

$(\mu > 0; 0 \leq i \leq n; z \in U).$

The result is sharp

Proof. Let

$$(8.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(z) &= \Gamma(2+\mu) z^{-\mu} D_z^{-\mu}(D^i f(z)) \\ &= z - \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(2+\mu)}{\Gamma(k+1+\mu)} k^i a_k z^k = z - \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \Psi(k) k^i a_k z^k, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(8.7) \quad \Psi(k) = \frac{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(2+\mu)}{\Gamma(k+1+\mu)} \quad (k \geq j+1).$$

Since

$$(8.8) \quad 0 < \Psi(k) \leq \Psi(j+1) = \frac{\Gamma(j+2)\Gamma(2+\mu)}{\Gamma(j+2+\mu)},$$

Therefore, by using (3.6) and (8.8), we see that

$$(8.9) \quad |F(z)| \geq |z| - \Psi(j+1) |z|^{j+1} \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} k^i a_k \\ \geq |z| - \frac{(1-\alpha)\Gamma(j+2)\Gamma(2+\mu)}{(j+1)^{n-i}[(j+1)^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)]\Gamma(j+2+\mu)} |z|^{j+1}$$

and

$$(8.10) \quad |F(z)| \leq |z| + \Psi(j+1) |z|^{j+1} \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} k^i a_k \\ \geq |z| + \frac{(1-\alpha)\Gamma(j+2)\Gamma(2+\mu)}{(j+1)^{n-i}[(j+1)^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)]\Gamma(j+2+\mu)} |z|^{j+1},$$

which proves the inequalities (8.4) and (8.5) of Theorem 13. The equalities in (8.4) and (8.5) are attained for the function $f(z)$ given by

$$(8.11) \quad D_z^{-\mu}(D^i f(z)) = \frac{z^{1+\mu}}{\Gamma(2+\mu)} \left\{ 1 - \frac{(1-\alpha)\Gamma(j+2)\Gamma(2+\mu)}{(j+1)^{n-i}[(j+1)^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)]\Gamma(j+2+\mu)} z^j \right\}$$

or, equivalently, by

$$(8.12) \quad D^i f(z) = z - \frac{(1-\alpha)}{(j+1)^{n-i}[(j+1)^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)]} z^{j+1}.$$

Thus we complete the proof of Theorem 13.

Taking $i = 0$ in Theorem 13, we have

COROLLARY 7. *Suppose that the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.9) is in the class $T_j(n, m, \alpha, \beta)$. Then*

$$(8.13) \quad |D_z^{-\mu} f(z)| \geq \frac{|z|^{1+\mu}}{\Gamma(2+\mu)} \\ \times \left\{ 1 - \frac{(1-\alpha)\Gamma(j+2)\Gamma(2+\mu)}{(j+1)^n[(j+1)^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)]\Gamma(j+2+\mu)} |z|^j \right\}$$

and

$$(8.14) \quad |D_z^{-\mu} f(z)| \leq \frac{|z|^{1+\mu}}{\Gamma(2+\mu)} \\ \times \left\{ 1 + \frac{(1-\alpha)\Gamma(j+2)\Gamma(2+\mu)}{(j+1)^n[(j+1)^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)]\Gamma(j+2+\mu)} |z|^j \right\} \\ (\mu > 0; z \in U).$$

The equalities in (8.13) and (8.14) are attained for the function $f(z)$ given by (3.3).

REMARK 1. We note that the results obtained by Rosy and Murugusundarmoothy [14, Theorem 4 and Corollary 2] are not correct. The correct results are given by Theorem 13 and Corollary 7 after putting $j = m = 1$.

THEOREM 14. Suppose that the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.9) is in the class $T_j(n, m, \alpha, \beta)$. Then

$$(8.15) \quad |D_z^{-\mu}(D^i f(z))| \geq \frac{|z|^{1-\mu}}{\Gamma(2-\mu)} \\ \left\{ 1 - \frac{(1-\alpha)\Gamma(j+2)\Gamma(2-\mu)}{(j+1)^{n-i}[(j+1)^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)]\Gamma(j+2+\mu)} |z|^j \right\}$$

and

$$(8.16) \quad |D_z^{-\mu}(D^i f(z))| \leq \frac{|z|^{1-\mu}}{\Gamma(2-\mu)} \\ \left\{ 1 + \frac{(1-\alpha)\Gamma(j+2)\Gamma(2-\mu)}{(j+1)^{n-i}[(j+1)^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)]\Gamma(j+2+\mu)} |z|^j \right\} \\ (0 \leq \mu < 1; 0 \leq i \leq n-1; z \in U).$$

The result is sharp .

Proof. Let

$$(8.17) \quad G(z) = \Gamma(2-\mu)z^\mu D_z^\mu(D^i f(z)) = z - \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(2+\mu)}{\Gamma(k+1+\mu)} k^i a_k z^k \\ = z - \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \theta(k) k^{i+1} a_k z^k,$$

where

$$(8.18) \quad \theta(k) = \frac{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(2+\mu)}{\Gamma(k+1+\mu)} (k \geq j+1).$$

It is easily seen from (8.18) that

$$(8.19) \quad 0 < \theta(k) \leq \theta(j+1) = \frac{\Gamma(j+1)\Gamma(2-\mu)}{\Gamma(j+2-\mu)}.$$

Consequently , with the aid of (3.6)and (8.19) , we have

$$(8.20) \quad |G(z)| \geq |z| - \theta(j+1) |z|^{j+1} \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} k^{i+1} a_k \\ \geq |z| - \frac{(1-\alpha)\Gamma(j+2)\Gamma(2-\mu)}{(j+1)^{n-i}[(j+1)^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)]\Gamma(j+2+\mu)} |z|^{j+1}$$

and

$$(8.21) \quad |G(z)| \leq |z| + \theta(j+1) |z|^{j+1} \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} k^{i+1} a_k \\ \leq |z| + \frac{(1-\alpha)\Gamma(j+2)\Gamma(2-\mu)}{(j+1)^{n-i}[(j+1)^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)]\Gamma(j+2+\mu)} |z|^{j+1}.$$

Now (8.15) and (8.16) follows from (8.20) and (8.21), respectively .

Since the equalities in (8.15) and (8.16) are attained for the function $f(z)$ given by

$$(8.22) \quad |D_z^\mu(D^i f(z))| \leq \frac{|z|^{1-\mu}}{\Gamma(2-\mu)} \\ \times \left\{ 1 - \frac{(1-\alpha)\Gamma(j+2)\Gamma(2-\mu)}{(j+1)^{n-i}[(j+1)^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)]\Gamma(j+2+\mu)} |z|^j \right\}$$

or for the function $D^i f(z)$ given by (8.12), the proof of Theorem 14 is thus completed

Taking $i = 0$ in Theorem 14, we have

COROLLARY 8. *Suppose that the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.9) is in the class $T_j(n, m, \alpha, \beta)$. Then*

$$(8.23) \quad |D_z^\mu f(z)| \geq \frac{|z|^{1-\mu}}{\Gamma(2-\mu)} \\ \times \left\{ 1 - \frac{(1-\alpha)\Gamma(j+2)\Gamma(2-\mu)}{(j+1)^{n-i}[(j+1)^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)]\Gamma(j+2-\mu)} |z|^j \right\}$$

and

$$(8.24) \quad |D_z^\mu f(z)| \leq \frac{|z|^{1-\mu}}{\Gamma(2-\mu)} \\ \left\{ 1 + \frac{(1-\alpha)\Gamma(j+2)\Gamma(2-\mu)}{(j+1)^{n-i}[(j+1)^m(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)]\Gamma(j+2+\mu)} |z|^j \right\} \\ (0 \leq \mu < 1 ; z \in U).$$

The equalities in (8.23) and (8.24) are attained for the function $f(z)$ given by (3.3).

REMARK 2. We note that the results obtained by Rosy and Murugusundarmoothy [14, Theorem 5 and Corollary 3] are not correct. The correct results are given by Theorem 14 and Corollary 8, respectively, after putting $j = m = 1$.

REFERENCES

- [1] BUSENBERG, S.N., FISCHER, D.C. and MARTELLI, M., *Better Bounds for Periodic Solutions of Differential Equations in Banach Spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **98** (1986), 376–378.
- [2] AOUF, M. K., *Neighborhoods of certain classes of analytic functions with negative coefficients*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. Vol. 2006, Article ID, 38258, 1–6.
- [3] AOUF, M. K., DARWISH, H. E. and ATTIYA, A. A., *Generalization of certain subclasses of analytic functions with negative coefficients*, Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai, Math. **45** (2000), no.1 , 11–22.
- [4] AOUF, M. K., HOSSEN, H. M. and LASHIN, A. Y., *On certain families of analytic function with negative coefficients* , Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **31** (2000), no.8, 999–1015.
- [5] CHATTERJEA, S. K., *On starlike functions*, J. Pure Math.**1** (1981), 23–26.
- [6] DIXIT, K. K., PATHAK, A. L., *A new subclass of analytic functions defined by Salagean operator*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **37** (2006).
- [7] HOSSEN, H.M., SALAGEAN, G. S. and AOUF, M. K., *Notes on certain classes of analytic functions with negative coefficients*, Mathematica (Cluj) **39** (62) (1997), no.2, 165–179.
- [8] HUR, M. D. and OH, G. H., *On certain class of analytic functions with negative coefficients*, Pusan Kyongnam Math. J. **5** (1989), 69–80.
- [9] KANAS, S. and WISNIEWSKA, A., *Conic regions and starlike functions*, Rev. Roum. Math. Pures Appl. Math. Soc. **45** (2000), no.4, 647–657.
- [10] KANAS, S. and WISNIEWSKA, A., *Conic regions and k -uniformly convexity*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **105** (1999), 327–336.
- [11] MA, W. and MINDA, D., *Uniformly convex functions*, Ann. Polon. Math. **57** (1992) , 165–175.
- [12] OWA, S., *On the distortion theorems. I*, Kyungpook Math. J. **18** (1978), 53–59.
- [13] RONNING, F., *Uniformly convex functions with a corresponding class of starlike functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **118** (1993), no.1, 190–196.
- [14] RONNING, F., *On starlike functions associated with parabolic regions*, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie - Skłodowska Sect. A **45** (1991), 117–122.
- [15] ROSY, T. and MURUGUSUNDARNOORTHY, G., *Fractional calculus and their applications to certain subclass of uniformly convex functions*, Far East J. Math. Sci. (FJMS) **15** (2004), no.2, 231–242.
- [16] SALAGEAN, G. S., *Subclasses of univalent functions*, Lecture Notes in Math., Springer - Verlag, **1013** (1983), 362–372.
- [17] SCHILD, A. and SILVERMAN, H., *Convolution of univalent functions with negative coefficients*, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie - Skłodowska Sect. A **45** (1991), 117–122.
- [18] SEKINE, T., *Generalization of certain subclasses of analytic functions*, Internat J. Math. Math. Sci. **10** (1987), no.4, 725–732.
- [19] SILVERMAN, H., *Univalent functions with negative coefficients*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **51** (1975), 109–116.
- [20] SRIVASTAVA, H. M., OWA, S. and CHATTERJEA, S. K., *A note on certain classes of starlike functions*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova **77** (1987), 115–124.

Received December 12, 2007

Mansoura University
Faculty of Science, Department of Mathematics
35516 Mansoura, Egypt
E-mail: mkaouf127@yahoo.com

„Babeş-Bolyai” University
Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science
Str. Mihail Kogălniceanu Nr. 1
400084 Cluj-Napoca, Romania
E-mail: salagean@math.ubbcluj.ro