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#### Abstract

In this paper we obtain conditions of univalence and convexity for the generalized and normalized Bessel functions of the first kind of complex order using the technique of differential subordinations. A condition of starlikeness of $z u_{p}(z)$ is given, where by definition


$$
u_{p}(z):=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(-\frac{c}{4}\right)^{n} \frac{\Gamma\left(p+\frac{b+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(p+n+\frac{b+1}{2}\right)} \frac{z^{n}}{n!}, b, p, c, z \in \mathbb{C} .
$$
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## 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

A function $f$, analytic in the unit disk $U=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$, is said to be convex if it is univalent and $f(U)$ is a convex domain. It is well known that $f$ is convex [4] if and only if $f^{\prime}(0) \neq 0$ and

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left[1+z f^{\prime \prime}(z) / f^{\prime}(z)\right]>0, z \in U
$$

A function $g$, analytic in $U$, with $g(0)=0$, is said to be starlike if it is univalent and $g(U)$ is starlike with respect to the origin. The function $g$ with $g(0)=0$ and $g^{\prime}(0) \neq 0$ is starlike [4] if and only if

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left[z g^{\prime}(z) / g(z)\right]>0, z \in U
$$

If in addition

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left[z g^{\prime}(z) / g(z)\right]>\alpha, z \in U
$$

where $0 \leq \alpha<1$, then $g$ is called starlike of order $\alpha$. We remark that, according to the Alexander duality Theorem [1], the function $f$ is convex if and only if $z f^{\prime}$ is starlike.

The next lemmas will be used to prove several theorems.
Lemma 1.1. [6] Let $\Omega$ be a set in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ and $\psi: \mathbb{C}^{3} \times U \mapsto \mathbb{C} a$ function, that satisfies the admissibility condition $\psi(\rho \mathrm{i}, \sigma, \mu+\nu \mathrm{i} ; z) \notin \Omega$, where $z \in U, \rho, \sigma, \mu, \nu \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\mu+\sigma \leq 0$ and $\sigma \leq-\left(1+\rho^{2}\right) / 2$. If $H$ is analytic in
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the unit disk $U$, with $H(0)=1$ and $\psi\left(H(z), z H^{\prime}(z), z^{2} H^{\prime \prime}(z) ; z\right) \in \Omega, z \in U$, then $\operatorname{Re}[H(z)]>0, \forall z \in U$.

This lemma is a special case of [6, Theorem 2.3b], obtained by taking $q(z)=$ $(1+z) /(1-z)$. If we only have $\psi: \mathbb{C}^{2} \times U \mapsto \mathbb{C}$, the admissibility condition reduces to $\psi(\rho \mathrm{i}, \sigma ; z) \notin \Omega, z \in U$ and $\rho, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\sigma \leq-\left(1+\rho^{2}\right) / 2$. We continue these preliminaries with the next conditions of univalence (close-toconvexity [4]) due to Ozaki [7].

Lemma 1.2. [7] Let $D$ be a simply connected domain and let $f$ an analytic function in $D$. If there exists a function $\varphi$, univalent in $D$ such that $\varphi(D)$ is a convex domain and $\operatorname{Re}\left[f^{\prime}(z) / \varphi^{\prime}(z)\right]>0$, for all $z \in D$, i.e. $f$ is close-toconvex, then $f$ is univalent in $D$.

We recall that the generalized Bessel function $v_{p}$ of real order [3] is defined as a particular solution of the linear differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{2} v^{\prime \prime}(z)+b z v^{\prime}(z)+\left[c z^{2}-p^{2}+(1-b) p\right] v(z)=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b, p, c \in \mathbb{R}$. The analytic function $v_{p}$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{p}(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} c^{n}}{n!\Gamma\left(p+n+\frac{b+1}{2}\right)} \cdot\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2 n+p}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us consider now $b, p, c \in \mathbb{C}$ in (1.1).
Definition 1.3. Any solution of the linear differential equation (1.1) is called a generalized Bessel function of complex order $p$ and the particular solution $v_{p}$ defined by (1.2) is called the generalized Bessel function of the first kind of complex order $p$.

Now, the generalized and normalized Bessel function $u_{p}$ is defined with the transformation $u_{p}(z)=\left[a_{0}(p)\right]^{-1} z^{-p / 2} v_{p}\left(z^{1 / 2}\right)$, where

$$
a_{0}=\left[2^{p} \Gamma\left(p+\frac{b+1}{2}\right)\right]^{-1} \equiv a_{0}(p)
$$

Using the Pochhammer symbol, defined, in terms of $\Gamma$-functions, by $(\kappa)_{n}=$ $\Gamma(\kappa+n) / \Gamma(\kappa)=\kappa(\kappa+1) \ldots(\kappa+n-1)$ and $(\kappa)_{0}=1$, we obtain for the function $u_{p}$ the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{p}(z)=\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^{n} c^{n}}{4^{n}(\kappa)_{n}} \frac{z^{n}}{n!}=\sum_{n \geq 0}\left(-\frac{c}{4}\right)^{n}\left[(\kappa)_{n}\right]^{-1} \frac{z^{n}}{n!} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\kappa=p+(b+1) / 2 \neq 0,-1,-2, \ldots$. The function $u_{p}$ is called the generalized and normalized Bessel function of the first kind of complex order $p$, this function is analytic in $\mathbb{C}$ and satisfies the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 z^{2} u^{\prime \prime}(z)+2(2 p+1+b) z u^{\prime}(z)+c z u(z)=0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1.4. By Proposition 2.17, [3] we know that $2(2 p+b+1) u_{p}^{\prime}(z)=$ $-c u_{p+1}(z)$, or $4 \kappa u_{p}^{\prime}(z)=-c u_{p+1}(z)$, with $\kappa=p+(b+1) / 2$ and for all $b, p, c \in$ $\mathbb{R}$. Clearly this recursive relation remains true for $b, p, c \in \mathbb{C}$.

## 2. UNIVALENCE, CONVEXITY AND STAR-LIKENESS OF BESSEL FUNCTIONS

Theorem 2.1. For $b, p, c=c_{1}+\mathrm{i} c_{2} \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\kappa=p+(b+1) / 2$ the Bessel functions, $v_{p}$ and $u_{p}$, satisfy the following properties in the unit disk $U$ :
(i) If $\operatorname{Re} \kappa \geq|c| / 4+1$, then $\operatorname{Re} u_{p}(z)>0$;
(ii) For $\operatorname{Re} \kappa \geq|c| / 4$ we have that $u_{p}$ is univalent;
(iii) For $\operatorname{Re} \kappa \geq|c| / 4+(2 \operatorname{Im} \kappa-1)^{2} / 24+1 / 2$ we have that $u_{p}$ is convex;
(iv) If $\operatorname{Re} \kappa \geq|c| / 4+(2 \operatorname{Im} \kappa-1)^{2} / 24+3 / 2$, then $z u_{p}(z)$ is starlike;
(v) If $\operatorname{Re} \kappa \geq|c| / 2+(2 \operatorname{Im} \kappa-1)^{2} / 16+1$, then $z u_{p}(z)$ is starlike of order $1 / 2$;
(vi) For $\operatorname{Re} \kappa \geq|c| / 2+(2 \operatorname{Im} \kappa-1)^{2} / 16+1$ we have that $z^{1-p} v_{p}(z)$ is starlike.

Proof. (i) Denoting $H(z)=u_{p}(z)$, since $H$ satisfies (1.4), it will also satisfy the following differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 z^{2} H^{\prime \prime}(z)+4 \kappa z H^{\prime}(z)+c z H(z)=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $\psi(r, s, t ; z)=4 t+4 \kappa s+c z r$ and $\Omega=\{0\}$, equation (2.1) can be written as $\psi\left(H(z), z H^{\prime}(z), z^{2} H^{\prime \prime}(z) ; z\right) \in \Omega$. Now we will use Lemma 1.1 to prove that $\operatorname{Re}[H(z)]>0$. If we let $z=x+\mathrm{i} y$, then

$$
\operatorname{Re} \psi(\rho \mathrm{i}, \sigma, \mu+\nu \mathrm{i} ; x+\mathrm{i} y)=4(\mu+\sigma)+4(\operatorname{Re} \kappa-1) \sigma-\left(c_{1} y+c_{2} x\right) \rho
$$

For $\operatorname{Re} \kappa>1$ we have that

$$
\operatorname{Re} \psi(\rho \mathrm{i}, \sigma, \mu+\nu \mathrm{i} ; x+\mathrm{i} y) \leq-2(\operatorname{Re} \kappa-1) \rho^{2}-\left(c_{1} y+c_{2} x\right) \rho-2(\operatorname{Re} \kappa-1)
$$

and denoting

$$
Q_{1}(\rho)=-2(\operatorname{Re} \kappa-1) \rho^{2}-\left(c_{1} y+c_{2} x\right) \rho-2(\operatorname{Re} \kappa-1)
$$

this will be negative for all real $\rho$, because the discriminant $\Delta_{1}$ of $Q_{1}(\rho)$ satisfies

$$
\Delta_{1}=\left(c_{1} y+c_{2} x\right)^{2}-16(\operatorname{Re} \kappa-1)^{2}<0
$$

whenever $x, y \in(-1,1)$ and $\operatorname{Re} \kappa \geq|c| / 4+1$. Suppose that $\Delta_{1} \geq 0$. This is equivalent to $4(\operatorname{Re} \kappa-1) \leq\left|c_{1} y+c_{2} x\right|$, but using the Cauchy-SchwarzBuniakowski inequality and the hypothesis we have that

$$
4(\operatorname{Re} \kappa-1) \leq\left|c_{1} y+c_{2} x\right| \leq \sqrt{c_{1}^{2}+c_{2}^{2}} \sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}<|c| \leq 4(\operatorname{Re} \kappa-1)
$$

and this is contradiction, therefore $\Delta_{1}<0$. Hence by Lemma 1.1 we conclude that $\operatorname{Re}[H(z)]=\operatorname{Re}\left[u_{p}(z)\right]>0$, for all $z \in U$.
(ii) If we apply (i) and Lemma 1.2 for the special case $D=U, \varphi(z)=$ $-(c z) /(4 \kappa)$, we obtain the univalence condition. Therefore, if $\operatorname{Re} \kappa \geq|c| / 4$, we obtain that $\operatorname{Re} u_{p+1}(z)>0$, for all $z \in U$. Using Remark 1.4 we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} u_{p+1}(z)=\operatorname{Re}\left[-\frac{4 \kappa}{c} u_{p}^{\prime}(z)\right]>0, \forall z \in U, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which means that $u_{p}$ is close-to-convex of order 0 , i.e. it is univalent in $U$.
(iii) Denoting by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(z)=1+\frac{z u_{p}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{u_{p}^{\prime}(z)}, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $2(2 \operatorname{Re} \kappa-1) \geq|c|+(2 \operatorname{Im} \kappa-1)^{2} / 6>|c|-2$, the condition of (ii) holds, hence $u_{p}^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$ and $H$ is analytic in $U$ with $H(0)=1$. Combining (2.3) with (1.4), we obtain that $H$ satisfies the next differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 z H^{\prime}(z)+4 H^{2}(z)+4(\kappa-2) H(z)+c z-4(\kappa-1)=0 . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we let $\psi(r, s ; z)=4 s+4 r^{2}+4(\kappa-2) r+c z-4(\kappa-1)$ and $\Omega=\{0\}$, then (2.4) can be written as $\psi\left(H(z), z H^{\prime}(z) ; z\right) \in \Omega$. Now we will use Lemma 1.1 to prove that $\operatorname{Re} H(z)>0$. Letting $z=x+\mathrm{i} y$ and $c=c_{1}+\mathrm{i} c_{2}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Re} \psi(\rho \mathbf{i}, \sigma ; x+\mathbf{i} y)=4 \sigma-4 \rho^{2}-2 \rho(2 \operatorname{Im} \kappa-1)+\left(c_{1} x-c_{2} y\right)-4(\operatorname{Re} \kappa-1) \\
\leq-6 \rho^{2}-2(2 \operatorname{Im} \kappa-1) \rho+\left(c_{1} x-c_{2} y\right)-2(2 \operatorname{Re} \kappa-1)=Q_{2}(\rho),
\end{gathered}
$$

for $\sigma \leq-\left(1+\rho^{2}\right) / 2$, for all real $\rho$ and for $x, y \in(-1,1)$. The discriminant $\Delta_{2}$ of the quadratic form $Q_{2}(\rho)$, is the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{2}=4\left[(2 \operatorname{Im} \kappa-1)^{2}+6\left(c_{1} x-c_{2} y\right)-12(2 \operatorname{Re} \kappa-1)\right] . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to check that $c_{1} x-c_{2} y \leq\left|c_{1}\right|+\left|c_{2}\right|$, for any $x, y \in(-1,1)$ and for $c_{1}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$. Otherwise by the Cauchy-Schwarz-Buniakowski inequality we have that $c_{1} x-c_{2} y \leq\left|c_{1} x-c_{2} y\right| \leq \sqrt{c_{1}^{2}+c_{2}^{2}} \sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}<|c|$ and clearly $|c| \leq\left|c_{1}\right|+\left|c_{2}\right|$. Therefore we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{2} / 4<(2 \operatorname{Im} \kappa-1)^{2}+6|c|-12(2 \operatorname{Re} \kappa-1), \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, by hypothesis, is negative. Thus, the quadratic form $Q_{2}(\rho)$ is also negative, which means that $\operatorname{Re} \psi(\rho \mathrm{i}, \sigma ; x+\mathrm{i} y)<0$. Then we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} H(z)=\operatorname{Re}\left[1+\frac{z u_{p}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{u_{p}^{\prime}(z)}\right]>0, \forall z \in U, \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which shows that $u_{p}$ is convex in $U$.
(iv) We have $c z u_{p}(z)=-4 \kappa z u_{p-1}^{\prime}(z)$ by Remark 1.4 for $p-1$ and the result follows immediately by applying (iii) and Alexander's duality Theorem [1]. Since $\operatorname{Re} \kappa-1 \geq|c| / 4+1 / 2+(2 \operatorname{Im} \kappa-1)^{2} / 24$ and using the fact that $u_{p-1}$ is convex, it follows that $z u_{p-1}^{\prime}$ is starlike in the unit disk.
(v) Let denote $G_{p}(z)=z u_{p}(z)$. Since the condition of the first part holds, i.e.

$$
\operatorname{Re} \kappa \geq|c| / 2+(2 \operatorname{Im} \kappa-1)^{2} / 16+1>|c| / 4+1
$$

we deduce that $G_{p}(z) \neq 0, \forall z \in U$. If we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(z)=2 \frac{z G_{p}^{\prime}(z)}{G_{p}(z)}-1=1+2 \frac{z u_{p}^{\prime}(z)}{u_{p}(z)} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $H$ is analytic in $U$, with $H(0)=1$. Combining (2.8) with (1.4) we obtain the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 z H^{\prime}(z)+H^{2}(z)+2(\kappa-2) H(z)+c z-(2 \kappa-3)=0 . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we let

$$
\psi(r, s ; z)=2 s+r^{2}+2(\kappa-2) r+c z-(2 \kappa-3)
$$

and $\Omega=\{0\}$, then (2.9) can be written as $\psi\left(H(z), z H^{\prime}(z) ; z\right) \in \Omega$. We will use Lemma 1.1 to prove that $\operatorname{Re} H(z)>0, z \in U$. Letting $z=x+\mathrm{i} y$ and $c=c_{1}+\mathrm{i}_{2}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Re} \psi(\rho \mathrm{i}, \sigma ; x+\mathrm{i} y)=2 \sigma-\rho^{2}-(2 \operatorname{Im} \kappa-1) \rho+\left(c_{1} x-c_{2} y\right)-(2 \operatorname{Re} \kappa-3) \\
\leq-2 \rho^{2}-(2 \operatorname{Im} \kappa-1) \rho+\left(c_{1} x-c_{2} y\right)-(2 \operatorname{Re} \kappa-2)=Q_{3}(\rho),
\end{gathered}
$$

for $\sigma \leq-\left(1+\rho^{2}\right) / 2$, for all real $\rho$ and for $x, y \in(-1,1)$. An analogous procedure gives the proof of $Q_{3}(\rho)<0$ under the assumptions. We obtain that the discriminant $\Delta_{3}$ of the quadratic form $Q_{3}(\rho)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{3}=(2 \operatorname{Im} \kappa-1)^{2}+8\left(c_{1} x-c_{2} y\right)-8(2 \operatorname{Re} \kappa-2) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We know, by Cauchy's inequality, that $c_{1} x-c_{2} y<|c|$, therefore by hypothesis we have that $\Delta_{3}<(2 \operatorname{Im} \kappa-1)^{2}+8|c|-8(2 \operatorname{Re} \kappa-2) \leq 0$. Hence we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} H(z)=\operatorname{Re}\left[2 \frac{\left.z \frac{G_{p}^{\prime}(z)}{G_{p}(z)}-1\right]>0, \forall z \in U, \text {, }, ~}{\text {, }}\right. \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which shows that $G_{p}(z)=z u_{p}(z)$ is starlike of order $1 / 2$.
(vi) If we let $H_{p}(z)=z^{1-p} v_{p}(z)$, then $H_{p}(z)=G_{p}\left(z^{2}\right) / z=z u_{p}\left(z^{2}\right)$. Since $G_{p}(z)=z u_{p}(z)$ is starlike of order $1 / 2$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{z H_{p}^{\prime}(z)}{H_{p}(z)}\right]=\operatorname{Re}\left[2 \frac{z^{2} G_{p}^{\prime}\left(z^{2}\right)}{G_{p}\left(z^{2}\right)}-1\right]>0, \forall z \in U, \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

we deduce that $H_{p}$ is starlike in $U$.
Remark 2.2. Note that similar results as in Theorem 2.1 for confluent hypergeometric functions was obtained by S. Kanas and J. Stankiewicz [5]. In the case of real $b, p, c$, we obtain that $2 \operatorname{Im} \kappa-1=0$, therefore Theorem 2.1 reduces to the results in [2] (see also [3, Theorem 3.1]).
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