

A COUNTEREXAMPLE ?

Let R be a commutative ring and let $T \in \mathbb{M}_3(R)$ with $\text{Tr}(T) = 0$. To avoid too many indexes and emphasize the diagonal elements (i.e. the zero trace) we write

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} x & a & c \\ b & y & e \\ d & f & -x-y \end{bmatrix}. \text{ We consider the following two conditions}$$

(A) $T^2 = 0_3$, and

(B) all 2×2 minors of T equal zero, and show that (B) \implies (A) but (A) \implies (B) only if 2 is not a zero divisor (i.e., is cancellable).

The condition (A), i.e., $T^2 = 0_3$ is *equivalent* to the following ($9 = 3 \times 3$) equalities

$$x^2 + ab + cd = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$a(x+y) + cf = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$ae = cy \quad (3)$$

$$b(x+y) + de = 0 \quad (4)$$

$$y^2 + ab + ef = 0 \quad (5) \quad .$$

$$bc = ex \quad (6)$$

$$bf = dy \quad (7)$$

$$ad = fx \quad (8)$$

$$(x+y)^2 + cd + ef = 0 \quad (9).$$

Denote by T_{ab}^{cd} the 2×2 minor on the rows a and b and on the columns c and d . [The well-known properties of determinants yield $T_{ba}^{cd} = T_{ab}^{dc} = -T_{ab}^{cd}$].

The two terms equalities are *equivalent* to the vanishing of four 2×2 minors. Namely,

$$(3) \equiv (T_{12}^{23} = 0), (6) \equiv (T_{12}^{13} = 0), (7) \equiv (T_{23}^{12} = 0), (8) \equiv (T_{13}^{12} = 0).$$

Further, two other equalities are *equivalent* to the vanishing of another two minors. Namely,

$$(2) (a(x+y) + cf = 0) \equiv (T_{13}^{23} = 0),$$

$$\text{and } (4) (b(x+y) + de = 0) \equiv (T_{23}^{13} = 0).$$

Therefore this covers (if and only if) the six **not** diagonal 2×2 minors.

What remains is the vanishing of the three 2×2 *diagonal* minors, i.e.,

$$T_{12}^{12} = 0 : xy = ab, T_{13}^{13} = 0 : x(-x-y) = cd \text{ and } T_{23}^{23} = 0 : y(-x-y) = ef.$$

No condition needed for (B) \implies (A):

$$xy = ab (T_{12}^{12} = 0) \text{ and } cd = -x(x+y) (T_{13}^{13} = 0) \text{ imply } x^2 + ab + cd = 0 (1),$$

$$xy = ab (T_{12}^{12} = 0) \text{ and } ef = -y(x+y) (T_{23}^{23} = 0) \text{ imply } y^2 + ab + ef = 0 (5),$$

and

$$cd = -x(x+y) (T_{13}^{13} = 0), ef = -y(x+y) (T_{23}^{23} = 0) \text{ imply } (x+y)^2 + cd + ef = 0 (9).$$

Seems that a condition is needed for (A) \implies (B).

By hypothesis all equalities (1) - (9) hold. In the 8 letters used, each equality has degree 2. Multiplication of any relation by any letter will increase the degree and

the vanishing of the diagonal 2×2 minors cannot be deduced, because cancellation is not possible (unless we assume some non zero divisors; e. g., see the lemma below, (ii)).

We focus on $T_{12}^{12} = 0$.

The term ab appears only in (1) and (5), while the term xy appears only in (9).

From $x^2 + ab + cd = 0$ (1), $y^2 + ab + ef = 0$ (5) and $(x + y)^2 + cd + ef = 0$ (9) we get $2xy = 2ab$. This implies $xy = ab$ ($T_{12}^{12} = 0$) iff 2 is not a zero divisor.

Finally using $x^2 + ab + cd = 0$ (1), $y^2 + ab + ef = 0$ (5) and $xy = ab$ ($T_{12}^{12} = 0$), we get the last two zero 2×2 diagonal minors: $x(x + y) + cd = 0$ ($T_{13}^{13} = 0$) and $y(x + y) + ef = 0$ ($T_{23}^{23} = 0$).

Remark. While in the proof above the hypothesis "2 is not a zero divisor" is essential for the vanishing of the three diagonal 2×2 minors (actually for getting $ab = xy$ from $2ab = 2xy$), we were not able to find a square-zero 3×3 matrix with zero trace over a ring where 2 is a zero divisor, which has a nonzero 2×2 minor. In searching for such an example, the following observations gathered in the following lemma may help.

Lemma 0.1. (i) Suppose $T^2 = 0_3$. If any diagonal 2×2 minor is zero, so are the other two diagonal 2×2 minors.

(ii) Suppose $T^2 = 0_3$. If any entry of T is not a zero divisor, then all 2×2 minors are zero.

Proof. As noticed in the previous proof, if $T^2 = 0_3$ then all (the six) not diagonal minors are zero.

(i) In the previous proof we already saw that $xy = ab$ implies $x(x + y) + cd = 0$ and $y(x + y) + ef = 0$.

If $x(x + y) + cd = 0$, combining with $x^2 + ab + cd = 0$ we get $xy = ab$ and so the third diagonal minor vanishes.

If $y(x + y) + ef = 0$, combining with $y^2 + ab + ef = 0$ we get $xy = ab$ and so the third diagonal minor vanishes.

(ii) As noticed in the proof of the previous theorem, our concern are the diagonal 2×2 minors. The proof can be done separately for each entry.

If x is cancellable, we multiply $x^2 = -ab - cd$ by y and so $x^2 y \stackrel{ae=cy}{=} -aby - ade = -a(by + de) \stackrel{b(x+y)+de=0}{=} -a(-bx) = abx$. By cancellation we get $xy = ab$ and so the other two diagonal 2×2 minors, using (i).

If a is cancellable, we multiply $a(x + y) + cf = 0$ by x and using $ad = fx$ we obtain $x(x + y) + cd = 0$ and the other two by (i).

If c is cancellable, we multiply $bc = ex$ by a and using $ae = cy$ we obtain $ab = xy$ and the other two.

If b is cancellable, we multiply $b(x + y) + de = 0$ by y and using $bf = dy$ we obtain $y(x + y) + ef = 0$ and the other two.

If y is cancellable, we multiply $y^2 = -ab - ef$ by x and using $fx = ad$ and $bx + de = -by$ we obtain $aby = xy^2$. By cancellation we get $xy = ab$ and the other two.

If e is cancellable, we multiply $bc = ex$ by y and using $ae = cy$ we obtain $ab = xy$ and the other two.

If d is cancellable, we multiply $bf = dy$ by $x + y$ and using $b(x + y) + de = 0$ we obtain $y(x + y) + ef = 0$ and the other two.

If f is cancellable, we multiply $ad = fx$ by y and using $bf = dy$ we obtain $ab = xy$ and the other two.

If $x + y$ is cancellable, we multiply $(x + y)^2 = -cd - ef$ by x and using $bc = ex$ and $bf = dy$ we obtain $x(x + y)^2 = -cd(x + y)$. By cancellation we get $x(x + y) + cd = 0$ and the other two. \square

In conclusion, we are searching for a commutative ring with 2 being zero divisor, and a 3×3 matrix T , all whose entries are zero divisors, such that $T^2 = 0_3$ but all the diagonal 2×2 minors are nonzero.

Even more precisely, with the above notations, we need

$$2xy = 2ab \text{ but } xy \neq ab,$$

$$2x(x + y) + 2cd = 0 \text{ but } x(x + y) + cd \neq 0 \text{ and}$$

$$2y(x + y) + 2ef = 0 \text{ but } y(x + y) + ef \neq 0.$$