## Equivalent nilpotents may not be conjugate.

## Grigore Călugăreanu

May 23, 2020

**Definitions.** If R is a ring with identity,  $a, b \in R$ , we say that a is equivalent to b, denoted by  $a \approx b$ , if there exist units  $u, v \in R$  such that uav = b, and, a is called *conjugate* to b, denoted by  $a \sim b$ , if there exists a unit  $u \in R$  such that  $u^{-1}au = b$ .

Obviously, both (binary) relations are equivalences on R and ~ implies  $\approx$ .

In [1], it was proved that *equivalent idempotents are conjugate* (see another 2019 note, here).

We may ask whether equivalent *units* or *nilpotents* are conjugate, respectively.

The answer is obviously no for units.

Take any unit  $u \in U(R)$  such that  $u \neq 1$ . Then (the unit)  $u = u \cdot 1 \cdot 1$  is equivalent to 1 but not conjugate to 1. Indeed, only 1 is conjugate to itself.

As for nilpotents, 0 is clearly conjugated (and so equivalent) only with itself. Therefore in the sequel we consider only nonzero nilpotents.

Before giving an *example of equivalent (nonzero) nilpotents which are not conjugate*, we mention rings in which equivalent nilpotents are conjugate.

A commutative domain is called GCD if every two nonzero elements have a gcd.

**Lemma 1** In a GCD commutative domain, gcd(a;b) = 1 implies  $gcd(a^2;b) = 1$ .

**Proof.** First recall that every nonzero element of a GCD commutative domain is primal  $(x|yz \text{ implies } x = x_1x_2 \text{ with } x_1|y, x_2|z)$ . Then suppose  $1 \neq d = \gcd(a^2; b)$ . Since  $d|a^2$ ,  $d = d_1^2$  with  $d_1|a$ . Hence  $1 \neq d_1$  divides both a and b and so  $\gcd(a; b) \neq 1$ .

**Proposition 2** Every nonzero nilpotent  $2 \times 2$  matrix over a commutative GCD domain R is similar to  $rE_{12}$ , for some  $r \in R$ .

**Proof.** We are looking for an invertible matrix  $U = (u_{ij})$  such that  $TU = U(rE_{12})$  with  $T = \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ z & -x \end{bmatrix}$  and  $x^2 + yz = 0$ .

Let  $d = \gcd(x; y)$  and denote  $x = dx_1$ ,  $y = dy_1$  with  $\gcd(x_1; y_1) = 1$ . Then  $d^2x_1^2 = -dy_1z$  and since  $\gcd(x_1; y_1) = 1$  implies  $\gcd(x_1^2; y_1) = 1$ , it follows  $y_1$  divides d. Set  $d = y_1y_2$  and so  $T = \begin{bmatrix} x_1y_1y_2 & y_1^2y_2 \\ -x_1^2y_2 & -x_1y_1y_2 \end{bmatrix} = y_2T'$ .

$$y_2 \begin{bmatrix} x_1y_1 & y_1 \\ -x_1^2 & -x_1y_1 \end{bmatrix} = y_2T'$$
  
Since  $\operatorname{gcd}(x_1, y_1) = 1$  th

Since  $gcd(x_1; y_1) = 1$  there exist  $s, t \in R$  such that  $sx_1 + ty_1 = 1$ . Take  $U = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 & s \\ -x_1 & t \end{bmatrix}$  which is invertible (indeed,  $U^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} t & -s \\ x_1 & y_1 \end{bmatrix}$ ). One can check  $T'U = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & y_1 \\ 0 & -x_1 \end{bmatrix} = UE_{12}$ , so  $r = y_2$ .

**Remark.** 1) In any ring R,  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & r \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  is similar to  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -r \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ : indeed,  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -r \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -r \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & r \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ . 2) In any ring R,  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & r \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  is similar to  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ r & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ : indeed,  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ r & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & r \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & r \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & r \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . Hence, for  $R = \mathbb{Z}$ , to have the non-similar representatives of classes of nilpo-

tents, it suffices to take  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Theorem 3** In  $\mathbb{M}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  equivalent nilpotents are conjugate.

**Proof.** According to the above, nilpotents of  $\mathbb{M}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  belong to disjoint (conjugation) classes, whose representatives are  $nE_{12}$  for all nonnegative integers. By the way of contradiction, we first show that if m, n are different positive integers,  $mE_{12}$  is not equivalent to  $nE_{12}$ .

Suppose there are units  $U, V \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , such that  $U(nE_{12}) = (mE_{12})V$ . Denote  $U = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}, V = \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{bmatrix}$  and assume  $ad - bc = \pm 1 = xt - yz$ .

The equality  $U(nE_{12}) = (mE_{12})V$  amounts to  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & na \\ 0 & nc \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} mz & mt \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and so c = z = 0 and na = mt,  $ad = \pm 1 = xt$ . Therefore,  $a, d, x, t \in \{\pm 1\}$ .

Let  $\delta = \gcd(m; n)$  and let  $m = \delta m'$ ,  $n = \delta n'$ . Then n'a = m't and since m', n' are coprime, m' divides a. Hence a = m'a' and so t = n'a'.

Finally, xt = xn'a' = 1, and so all  $x, n', a' \in \{\pm 1\}$ . If n' = 1 then  $\delta = n$  and so m = nm'. Moreover,  $m' \neq 1$ ; otherwise m = n. Finally, since a = m'a',  $a \neq 1$ , a contradiction.

Now let  $T^2 = S^2 = 0_2$  be not conjugated (nonzero) nilpotents. Then T and S belong to two different, and so disjoint, conjugacy classes, represented by (say),  $mE_{12}$  and  $nE_{12}$ , with different positive integers m, n. Each conjugacy class is included in an equivalence class and two such classes are (also) disjoint or coincide. The conjugacy classes which include T and S respectively cannot be included in the same equivalence class because  $mE_{12} \approx nE_{12}$ . Hence these are included into disjoint equivalence classes and so  $T \not\approx S$ , indeed.

As for equivalent nilpotents which are not conjugate, here is an **Example**. Consider  $T = 3 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}_{12})$ . Then  $T^2 = 6 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $T^3 = 0_2$  so T is an index 3 nilpotent.  $S = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  is an index 2 nilpotent, and since conjugation preserves the index of nilpotency, S and T are not conjugate.

However, these are equivalent since  $\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} T \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = S.$ 

Added in proof. Professor T. Y. Lam *commutative* example: let R be any not reduced commutative ring such that 2a = 0 implies a = 0. For any nonzero nilpotent element a, a is equivalent to -a, but clearly not similar to -a.

## References

[1] G. Song, X. Guo Diagonability of idempotent matrices over non commutative rings. Linear Algebra and its Applications297(1999), 1-7.